NON-LINEAR OBSERVER-BASED CONTROL OF FLEXIBLE-JOINT MANIPULATORS USED IN MACHINE PROCESSING

Jinna Qin, François Leonard, Gabriel Abba

► To cite this version:

Jinna Qin, François Leonard, Gabriel Abba. NON-LINEAR OBSERVER-BASED CONTROL OF FLEXIBLE-JOINT MANIPULATORS USED IN MACHINE PROCESSING. ASME 2012 11th Biennial Conference on Engineering Systems Design and Analysis, ESDA 2012; Nantes, Jul 2012, France. pp.251-260, 10.1115/ESDA2012-82048 . hal-00998950

HAL Id: hal-00998950

<https://hal.science/hal-00998950>

Submitted on 3 Jul 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Science Arts & Métiers (SAM)

is an open access repository that collects the work of Arts et Métiers ParisTech researchers and makes it freely available over the web where possible.

This is an author-deposited version published in: <http://sam.ensam.eu>
Handle ID: <http://hdl.handle.net/10985/8217>

To cite this version :

Jinna QIN, François LEONARD, Gabriel ABBA - NON-LINEAR OBSERVER-BASED CONTROL OF FLEXIBLE-JOINT MANIPULATORS USED IN MACHINE PROCESSING - 2012

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to the repository

Administrator : archiveouverte@ensam.eu

NON-LINEAR OBSERVER-BASED CONTROL OF FLEXIBLE-JOINT MANIPULATORS USED IN MACHINE PROCESSING *

Jinna Qin [†]

Design, Manufacturing Engineering
and Control Lab.

Arts et Métiers ParisTech
ENSAM, 4 rue Augustin Fresnel
57078, Metz, France
Email: jinna.qin@ensam.eu

François Léonard

Design, Manufacturing Engineering
and Control Lab.

Arts et Métiers ParisTech
ENIM, 1 route d'Ars Laquenexy
57070, Metz, France
Email: leonard@enim.fr

Gabriel Abba

Design, Manufacturing Engineering
and Control Lab.

Arts et Métiers ParisTech
ENSAM, 4 rue Augustin Fresnel
57078, Metz, France
Email: gabriel.abba@ensam.eu

ABSTRACT

This paper proposes to use a non-linear observer to build the state and the external force of flexible manipulator robots during their machining (composite materials) processes or Friction Stir Welding (FSW) processes. These two different processes have a problem in common: the flexibility of the robot can not be neglected, that is to say, the errors due to the deformation of the links should be taken into account. However, in most industrial robots, the real positions and velocities of each link are not measured, so in this study, an observer is proposed to reconstruct the real angular positions and velocities of links by using the measured angular positions and the velocities of actuators. A simulation by Matlab/Simulink has been carried out with a 2 axis Robot during its machining processes: the proposed observer showed great performances in estimating the state of the robot (position and velocity). Then, in order to improve the tracking accuracy in the tool frame, the state of the external force along the forward direction (x) and its normal direction (y) are required, while they are also not measured by our robot. A disturbance observer has been added to reconstruct the processing force. A good precision during the proposed processes have been obtained using the latter. This study contributes to solve the problem from the point of

view of accuracies during the machining processes.

INTRODUCTION

Manufacturing processes such as machining and welding are widely applied in production industry. This study focus on two special processes: machining of composite materials and the Friction Stir Welding (FSW) process. These two applications have an innovative character: the first one concerns an application of composite materials, and the second one is a new development of welding. Composite materials are used extensively for their high specific properties of strength and stiffness, however, these materials are difficult to machine due to non-homogeneous, anisotropic and reinforced by very abrasive components. The FSW is a solid state welding technology that can be used for many joining applications. The process uses a non-consumable rotation tool consisting of a pin extending below a shoulder, plunges into the work piece such that both the pin and the shoulder are in contact with the piece [1, 2]. The technical and economic performances of some manufacturing processes can be greatly improved by using a manipulator or a robotic system as holder of the production tooling. However, using robot to do these two processes is a challenge: the natural rigidities of industrial robots are not sufficient to perform the tasks in the requirements of the processes. Actually, those processes are carried out by some special developed machines which need a great

*THIS WORK IS SUPPORTED BY FRENCH NATIONAL RESEARCH AGENCY UNDER THE PROJECT NUMBER ANR-2010-SEGI-003-01-COROUSSO

[†]Address all correspondence to this author

investment. In the sector of aeronautic industry, these two processes need to be strongly applied. New technologies are demand to reduce the investment and to improve the quality of the products. Due to a strong external force during the operations, the deformations of the robot cannot be neglected, therefore, the real angular positions and velocities of links are different from those determined by the geometric model of the robot. Moreover, to make an accurate machining or welding, the forces exerted on the material should be measured. Unfortunately, most of the industrial robots have only motor side measurements, thus a new approach to estimate link side states as well as the external force is required. Nevertheless, in case of welding, a force sensor is added to get at least the axial effort to the work plan, but the path effort F_x and normal effort F_y are still unknown.

There are many control methods available for the flexible robots [3] such as *iterative learning control*, *adaptive control*, *backstepping*, *sliding mode control*, *neural networks*, *singular perturbations*, *composite control*, *pole placement*, *input shaping*, *passivity-based control*, *robustification by Lyapunov's second method*, *model-based feedforward control* [4]. A good description of these control methods can be found in [5–8].

Disturbance observer technique is widely used in mechanical servo systems and observers are often used for the state estimations [9, 10]. An adaptive robust control of FSW and an observer-based adaptive robust control (ARC) approach is discussed in [11] where it is proved that the axial force can be also estimated by an observer. An application of disturbance observers to nonlinear systems is reported in [12]. An acceleration-based state observer is presented in [13]. Subrahmanya and Shin [14] propose a method of state estimation. There are a lot of observer methods proposed by other researchers such as high-gain observers, sliding mode observers [15], extended state observers, Kalman Filter and the Luenberger observer. In order to realize these operations, we propose an improved observer which can estimate not only the unmeasured states but also the external force.

This paper is organized as follows: a simplified model of flexible manipulators and the model of processing force for the two processes that mentioned above will be presented in the first part. The second part proposes a new observer which uses motor side measurements to reconstruct the state of robot as well as the external force. In the third part, a simulation is carried out by Matlab/Simulink to verify the tracking performance with the proposed observer. And finally, a conclusion and some further applications of this study will be presented.

MODELING OF ROBOT AND PROCESSES

Robotic manipulators are highly nonlinear and coupled dynamic systems, there also subjected to different external disturbances. This study is a part of the project COROUSSO (see acknowledgment). The objective of this project is to realize ma-

TABLE 1. Model parameters of the robot IBM7545.

Name	Description (unit)	Value
l_1, l_2	length of link (m)	0.40 ; 0.25
m_1, m_2	mass of link (kg)	12.70 ; 4.35
m_l	mass of the spindle (kg)	1.34
N_1, N_2	gear transmission factor	157 ; 80
K_1, K_2	elasticity constante (N m ⁻¹)	3.67 10 ⁴ ; 8.90 10 ³
r_1, r_2	distance between axis and center of gravity (m)	0.153 ; 0.084
K_{e1}, K_{e2}	motor torque constant (Nm V ⁻¹)	0.1099 ; 8.90 10 ³
J_{m1}, J_{m2}	motor inertia (kg m ²)	1.50 10 ⁻⁴ ; 0.0496
f_{m1}, f_{m2}	coefficients of viscous friction on the motor side (Nm s rad ⁻¹)	7.18 10 ⁻⁵ ; 2.58 10 ⁻⁵
f_{q1}, f_{q2}	viscous friction of the joints (Nm s rad ⁻¹)	10 ; 10

chining process and FSW process with industrial robots. In a first step, in order to simplify the approach, the tool is considered to stay in a horizontal plan during the machining process, the considered robot is a Scara robot which has two joint axis in parallel. The necessary parameters are taken from a robot IBM7545 that presents in [16]. It is a robot not well adapted to this task, but we will study firstly the problems addressed.

Hereafter, the flexibility of joints, the efforts applied by the robot, the gravity and the frictions are taken into account.

A. Model of two axis flexible joint robot

The model parameters of two-link flexible manipulator used in the simulations are given in Table 1. It is supposed that the tool is fixed at the end of the second axis and its rotation axis is assumed perpendicular to the work plan (x,y), and the tool moves only in the plan (see Figure 1). Assuming that the links are rigid and only the joints have torsional stiffness due to the gearbox that are taken into account.

The position of the tool (x_2, y_2) is calculated with the articular positions of each link $q = [q_1 \ q_2]^T$ by using the geometric model:

$$\begin{cases} x_2 = l_1 \cos(q_1) + l_2 \cos(q_1 + q_2) \\ y_2 = l_1 \sin(q_1) + l_2 \sin(q_1 + q_2) \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

FIGURE 1. Geometric model of robot

FIGURE 2. Flexible gearbox model

According to [6], a flexible joint robot can be modeled as follows:

$$D(q)\ddot{q} = K(q_m - q) - H(q, \dot{q}) - f_q\dot{q} - J^T(q)F \quad (2)$$

$$J_m\ddot{\theta} = \Gamma_m - N_v\Gamma - f_m\dot{\theta} \quad (3)$$

where $J^T(q)$ is the Jacobian matrix of the tool axis position, F represents the effort applied by robot on external process, Γ_m is the vector of motor torques, θ represents rotor positions and q_m is defined as the positions after the gear reduction, i.e. $q_m = N_v\theta$, $N_v = \text{diag}[1/N_1, 1/N_2]$, $D(q)$ is the symmetric, uniformly positive definite and bounded inertia matrix. $H(q, \dot{q})$ represents the contribution due to centrifugal, Coriolis and gravitational forces, f_q , f_m and J_m are diagonal matrices with $f_q = \text{diag}[f_{q1}, f_{q2}]$, $f_m = \text{diag}[f_{m1}, f_{m2}]$ and $J_m = \text{diag}[J_{m1}, J_{m2}]$.

It is supposed that the links are rigid and the flexibilities are only localized at the reduction gears and are represented by a stiffness $K = \text{diag}[K_1, K_2]$. Therefore, the torque due to the flexibility can be expressed:

$$\Gamma = K(N_v\theta - q) \quad (4)$$

B. Modeling of machining process

The machining process concerned in this part is called a contour milling of composite materials, Dumas discussed this operation in her thesis [17]. The piece is machined within one pass which means that the end of the tool is out of material and the

helicoidal angle of the tooth is small, so the axial force F_z can be considered as null. The model of cutting force developed by Tlustý and Macneil [18] can be applied in this case:

There are three assumptions:

1. The tangential cutting force F_t due to the passage of one tooth is proportional to the cut section:

$$F_t = K_t h a_p \quad (5)$$

where h is the thickness of the chip in mm, depth of cut a_p in mm and the specific cutting force K_t expressed in N mm^{-2} . The cut surface is equal to $h a_p$.

2. The radial cutting force F_r due to the passage of one tooth is proportional to the tangential cutting force.

$$F_r = K_r \| F_t \| \quad (6)$$

where K_r is a dimensionless coefficient of proportionality essentially depends of the friction effect on the tool.

3. The chip thickness (see Figure 3) can be expressed as follow:

$$h(\varphi) = f_z \sin(\varphi) \quad (7)$$

with φ , the angle between the tooth and the normal of the advance direction (between F_r and F_y), then :

$$\delta F_t = K_t a_p f_z \sin(\varphi) d\varphi \quad (8)$$

Because the rotation speed of the tool is high, the harmonics of the force spectrum acted on the robot has little influence. Therefore, the effort F_t and F_r is calculated as the average effort of each period of rotation. The projection of the radial and tangential forces in the (x, y) frame gives the following equations [17]:

$$\begin{cases} F_x = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\varphi_s}^{\varphi_e} [\| \delta F_t \| \cos(\varphi) + \| \delta F_r \| \sin(\varphi)] d\varphi \\ F_y = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\varphi_s}^{\varphi_e} [-\| \delta F_t \| \sin(\varphi) + \| \delta F_r \| \cos(\varphi)] d\varphi \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Figure 3 shows the geometric model of clipping and defines the angles φ_e and φ_s . x_m and y_m are denoted as the position of the piece edge, and (x_2, y_2) is the axis position of the rotation axis of the tool.

The machining process can be divided into 3 phases:

Phase 1: Relative tool position to the piece with $0 < (x_m - x_2) \leq R_t$ and $(x_m - x_2)^2 + (y_m - y_2)^2 > R_t^2$:

$$\varphi_e = \frac{\pi}{2} - \cos^{-1} \left[\frac{x_m - x_2}{R_t} \right] \quad (10)$$

$$\varphi_s = \frac{\pi}{2} + \cos^{-1} \left[\frac{x_m - x_2}{R_t} \right] \quad (11)$$

Phase 2: Relative tool position to the piece with $(x_m - x_2)^2 + (y_m - y_2)^2 \leq R_t^2$ and $(x_m - x_2) > 0$:

FIGURE 3. Geometric position of the tool during machining process.

$$\varphi_e = \frac{\pi}{2} - \sin^{-1} \left[\frac{y_m - y_2}{R_t} \right] \quad (12)$$

$$\varphi_s = \pi - \sin^{-1} \left[\frac{x_m - x_2}{R_t} \right] \quad (13)$$

The efforts during phase 1 and phase 2 can be written as follows:

$$F_x = \frac{K_t a_p f_z}{2\pi} [-\cos(2\varphi_s) + 2K_r \varphi_s - K_r \sin(2\varphi_s) + \cos(2\varphi_e) - 2K_r \varphi_e + K_r \sin(2\varphi_e)] \quad (14)$$

$$F_y = \frac{K_t a_p f_z}{2\pi} [\sin(2\varphi_s) - 2\varphi_s - K_r \cos(2\varphi_s) - \sin(2\varphi_e) + 2\varphi_e + K_r \cos(2\varphi_e)] \quad (15)$$

Phase 3: Relative tool position to the piece with $(x_m - x_2) < 0$, supposing that displacement on direction y is small enough that the angle φ_s can be considered as constant during the process:

$$\varphi_e = \pi/2 - \sin^{-1} \left[\frac{y_m - y}{R_t} \right] \quad (16)$$

$$\varphi_s = \pi \quad (17)$$

$$F_x = \frac{K_t a_p f_z}{2\pi} [2K_r(\pi - \varphi_e) + \cos(2\varphi_e) - 1 + K_r \sin(2\varphi_e)] \quad (18)$$

$$F_y = \frac{K_t a_p f_z}{2\pi} [2(\varphi_e - \pi) - \sin(2\varphi_e) + K_r \cos(2\varphi_e) - K_r] \quad (19)$$

This cutting force model can simulate the change of the effort during the operation. Note that the parameters K_r and K_t need to be identified from the measurements of the average force obtained during a machining operation.

FIGURE 4. Efforts on the tool during the FSW operation

C. Modeling of FSW process

The advantage of FSW is to avoid the problems met in classical welding by melting the metals. For this reason, FSW process has been implemented, primarily in the transportation industries that use aluminum to reduce the weight of mechanical structures [2].

This process can be divided into three phases: diving, welding and removing the tool. This paper focus on the welding phase which is similar to our machining process. To simplify the process, it is supposed that the tool is always perpendicular to the plan during the operation. In order to improve the tracking performance, the axial effort F_z , path effort F_x and normal effort F_y (see Figure 4) are needed. Control of the external weld force is desirable to improve the weld quality. However, not all the external forces are measured in industrial applications due to the high price of these force sensors and some of them are not measurable.

The axial force in FSW process is the pressure produced by the pin and shoulder of the tool. The path force is the force imposed on the tool along the welding direction. The normal force is the force imposed on the tool in the plane of the part and perpendicular to the path direction, this force is typically directed from the weld retreating side to the weld advancing side and is caused by the unbalance of the material flow on the two sides.

Based on static model of [19], the expression of efforts can be written as follows:

$$F_z = K_z d^{\alpha_z} v^{\beta_z} \Omega^{\lambda_z} \quad (20)$$

$$F_x = K_x v^{\beta_x} \Omega^{\lambda_x} \quad (21)$$

$$F_y = K_y d^{\alpha_y} v^{\beta_y} \Omega^{\lambda_y} \quad (22)$$

where Ω is the spindle rotation speed, v is the travel speed along the path, d is the plunge depth, and the unknown parameters $K_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i, \lambda_i; i \in [x, y, z]$ can be estimated using the Least Squares method.

FIGURE 5. Diagram of the observer

OBSERVER DESIGN

Disturbance observer technique is widely used in control for improving disturbance rejection and robust performance [20]. The unknown input observer (UIO) method is one of the most well known approach to estimate states [21]. Hereafter, assuming that the articular position and velocity of motor axis and the effort F_z are measured by sensors. A reduced order high-gain observer is then proposed to reconstruct the articular position and velocity of links and the process efforts F_x and F_y . The new observer proposed in this paper, is based on a nonlinear observer introduced in [9], which reconstructs the articular position and velocity of links thanks to the measures of the position and velocity of motor axis. Observer described in [9] is then modified in order to get also an estimation of efforts by adding more state variables function of this force.

If the state variables x_i are defined as: $x_1 = q = [q_1 \ q_2]^T$, $x_2 = \dot{q} = [\dot{q}_1 \ \dot{q}_2]^T$, $x_3 = q_m = [q_{m1} \ q_{m2}]^T$, $x_4 = \dot{q}_m = [\dot{q}_{m1} \ \dot{q}_{m2}]^T$, $q_m = N_v \theta$ and the gear factor $N = \text{diag}[N_1, N_2]$ the following state space model can be obtained:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = x_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 = \ddot{q} = D(q)^{-1} [K(q_m - q) - H(q, \dot{q}) - f_q \dot{q} - J^T(q)F] \\ \dot{x}_3 = x_4 \\ \dot{x}_4 = \ddot{q}_m = (J_m N^2)^{-1} [N \Gamma_m - \Gamma - (f_m N^2) \dot{q}_m] \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

The measured variables are now denoted y and the following change of coordinates is considered:

$$\begin{cases} z_1 = [(J_m N^2)^{-1} K]^{-1} x_4 = K^{-1} (J_m N^2) x_4 \\ z_2 = x_1 = q \\ z_3 = x_2 = \dot{q} \\ z_4 = J^T(z_2) F \\ y_1 = x_3 = q_m \\ y_2 = x_4 = \dot{q}_m \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

Moreover, if $u = N \Gamma_m$ and $f_m N^2 = F_m$, then:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{z}_1 = z_2 + K^{-1} (J_m N^2) x_4 = K^{-1} u - y_1 - K^{-1} F_m y_2 \\ \dot{z}_2 = z_3 \\ \dot{z}_3 = \ddot{q} = z_4 + \psi(z_2, z_3, y_1, F) \\ \dot{z}_4 = \frac{d}{dt} [J^T(z_2) F] \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

where ψ is equal to:

$$\psi = D(z_2)^{-1} [K(y_1 - z_2) - H(z_2, z_3) - f_q z_3 - J^T(z_2)F] - z_4 \quad (26)$$

If A and C are defined as following (I is the 2 order identity matrix and 0 is the 2×2 zero matrix):

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } C = (I \ 0 \ 0 \ 0) \quad (27)$$

then:

$$\dot{z} = Az + g(z, y, u) + d(z, F, \dot{F}) \quad (28)$$

with

$$g = \begin{cases} K^{-1} u - y_1 - K^{-1} F_m y_2 \\ 0 \\ \psi(z_2, z_3, y_1, F) \\ 0 \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

and $d(z, F, \dot{F}) = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \frac{d}{dt} (J^T(z_2)F)]^T$.

Define now the following matrix of high gains, with G a constant ≥ 1 :

$$\Gamma_G = \begin{pmatrix} GI & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & G^2 I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & G^3 I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & G^4 I \end{pmatrix} \quad (30)$$

and matrix L such as $(A - LC)$ has all its eigenvalues in the left half of the complex plan, then the following new observer is proposed:

$$\dot{\hat{z}} = (A - \Gamma_G LC) \hat{z} + g(\hat{z}, y, u) + \Gamma_G \bar{K} y_2 \quad (31)$$

where $\bar{K} = LK^{-1} (J_m N^2)$ and

$$\begin{cases} \bar{z}_2 = y_1 - \frac{y_1 - \hat{z}_2}{\|y_1 - \hat{z}_2\|} N_s \text{sat}(\frac{\|y_1 - \hat{z}_2\|}{N_s}) \\ \bar{z}_3 = \frac{\hat{z}_3}{\|\hat{z}_3\|} M_s \text{sat}(\frac{\|\hat{z}_3\|}{M_s}) \\ \bar{z}_4 = \frac{\hat{z}_4}{\|\hat{z}_4\|} F_s \text{sat}(\frac{\|\hat{z}_4\|}{F_s}) \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

with $\text{sat}(\cdot)$ is the saturation function:

$$\text{sat}(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } |x| \leq 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } |x| > 1 \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

and M_s , N_s , F_m , F_d , J_m and F_s are known positive physical constant bounds:

$$\begin{cases} \|x_2\| < M_s \\ \|x_1 - x_3\| < N_s \\ \|F\| < F_m \\ \|\dot{F}\| < F_d \\ \|J^T(z_2)\| < J_m \\ F_s = J_m F_m \end{cases} \quad (34)$$

Figure 5 shows the diagram of the proposed observer where $A_c = A - \Gamma_G LC$. For only one axis, $L = [L_1 L_2 L_3 L_4]^T$ and:

$$\det[\lambda I - (A - LC)] = \lambda^4 + L_1 \lambda^3 + L_2 \lambda^2 + L_3 \lambda + L_4 \quad (35)$$

where λ is an eigenvalue of matrix $A - LC$. A possible choice for L is then: $L_1 = 4a$, $L_2 = 6a^2$, $L_3 = 4a^3$ and $L_4 = a^4$. In this case, matrix $A - LC$ has four stable eigenvalues in $\lambda = -a$, where a is a positive real fixing the dynamics of the proposed observer.

The estimation error $e = z - \hat{z}$ satisfies the following differential equation:

$$\dot{e} = A_c e + g(z, y, u) - g(\hat{z}, y, u) + d(z, F, \dot{F}) \quad (36)$$

Because of the form of disturbance $d(z, F, \dot{F})$ the following stability theorem can be proven for the proposed observer:

Theorem 1 : There exists a constant G^0 such that error $e(t)$ is bounded for any gain $G > G^0$.

The proof is given in Appendix A.

This observer is compatible with the FSW and machining processes mentioned before.

OBSERVER PERFORMANCE AND ROBUSTNESS ANALYSIS

A simulation is carried out with Matlab/Simulink to analyze the performance and robustness of the observer. The essential parameters are taken from a robot IBM7545 as shown in Table 1. The results estimated by observer are compared with the results of the system. The Figure 6 shows the block diagram of industrial control system dividing in two parts: the first part is an internal loop with a PI controller for the velocity of the rotor and the second part is an external loop with a PID controller for the position of the motor [22]. The flexibility and external force are also added in this control system as showed in Figure 6. The controllers of IBM robot are designed as independent axis. The controllers are solved axis by axis, e.g. for axis 1: PI and PID are tuned in order to fix the bandwidth of velocity loop to $\omega_{01} = 1800 \text{ rad/s}$ and the bandwidth of position loop to $\omega_1 = 600 \text{ rad/s}$, the corresponding tuning rules can be found in [22], and are remained hereafter. The gains of PI controller:

$$\begin{cases} K_{i1} = \omega_{01}^2 J_{m1} / K_{e1} \\ K_{p1} = (2\xi \omega_{01} J_{m1} - f_{m1}) / K_{e1} \end{cases} \quad (37)$$

and the gains of PID controller:

$$\begin{cases} K_{ip1} = \frac{N_1 \omega_1^4}{\omega_{01}^2} \\ K_{dp1} = \frac{6\omega_1^2}{\omega_{01}^2} - 1 \\ K_{pp1} = \frac{4\omega_1^3}{\omega_{01}^2} \end{cases} \quad (38)$$

the numerical values are presented as follows:

FIGURE 6. Principal block diagram of an industrial robot control

$$\begin{aligned} K_i &= \text{diag} [4.42 \cdot 10^3, 3.00 \cdot 10^3] \\ K_p &= \text{diag} [3.93, 2.67] \\ K_{ip} &= \text{diag} [6.28 \cdot 10^6, 3.2 \cdot 10^6] \\ K_{pp} &= \text{diag} [2.67 \cdot 10^2, 2.67 \cdot 10^2] \\ K_{dp} &= \text{diag} [-0.33, -0.33] \end{aligned}$$

For observer gains, $a = 2000$ and $G = 1$ are sufficient to guarantee the stability of proposed observer (31), (32). Moreover, a noise of quantization is added to the measures of the proposed observer. The quantum size $h = 2\pi/4096$ is implemented since sampled data are stored as 12 integers. The workpiece was milled along about 500 mm linear path with the machining conditions of the simulation presented in Table 2.

TABLE 2. Machining conditions of the simulation.

Name	Value	Unit
material	Aluminium alloy	
tool diameter	20	mm
cut depth (a_p)	2	mm
cut width (a_e)	5	mm
specific cutting coefficient (K_t)	1.9	GPa
forward speed ($\dot{y}$)	0.06	m/s
rotation speed (N_r)	18000	rpm
number of teeth	4	

The machining force in direction y is about 60 N. Simulation results are presented in the Figures 7 to 17, where it could be seen that the observer can overcome process disturbances and model errors. Figures 7 and 8 present the tracking performance of the system, e.g. for a given desired trajectory in Figure 7, the tracking error is about 10^{-4} rad in the machining direction and 10^{-6} rad in its normal direction. Then, Figures 9 to 14 present the evaluations of q , $\dot{q}$ and F during the process and the estimate error of our observer. Finally, Figures 15 to 17 demonstrate the

obtained errors with adding quantization on the measured position θ .

The results show that observer is fast enough to follow the system and has a good accuracy and sensibility. It can realize not only the state reconstruction but also the effort estimation. Even with a big disturbance at the beginning, when the tool is entering the material, an precision of $10^{-7}rad$ for the joint position, $10^{-4}rad/s$ for the joint velocity and $1N$ for the effort is obtained. Even with a noise of quantization, the results still show good performances with errors of about $10^{-5}rad$, $10^{-2}rad/s$ and $5 N$.

FIGURE 7. Desired trajectory X_d and real trajectory X_l [m]

CONCLUSION

In this paper, two models of machining and FSW are presented and a non-linear disturbance observer is proposed for the control of flexible joints industrial robots. A simulation has also been carried out with Matlab/Simulink for a machining process which demonstrates that the proposed observer is fast enough to follow the system and shows a good accuracy and sensibility. Even with a noise of quantification, our observer still provides satisfactory results in both state and force estimations.

This method can also be applied to FSW process. In any case, the robot parameters are needed to implement the proposed observer. For instance, in our laboratory, numerous experiments have been carrying out to identify all the parameters of a KUKA KR500-2MT in order to weld pieces by using FSW process, meanwhile, the same characterization on another robot KUKA KR270 used for machining of composites materials has been carrying out by some partners of COROUSSO project [17].

FIGURE 8. Error of tracking trajectory [m]

FIGURE 9. Joint position [rad]

Moreover, using the measured state of motors and the estimated state of robot links in the control of flexible robots can lead to more stable and robust feedback control as shown in [6, 22].

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research is sponsored by the French National Agency of Research as a part of the program ANR-ARPEGE, project ANR-2010-SEGI-003-01-COROUSSO.

FIGURE 10. Observer error of angular position [rad]

FIGURE 12. Observer error of angular velocity [rad/s]

FIGURE 11. Joint velocity [rad/s]

FIGURE 13. Effort applied by robot on external process [N]

Appendix A: Proof of theorem 1

Proof of theorem: Consider the linear change of coordinates $\eta = \Gamma_G^{-1}e$, then differential equation (36) becomes :

$$\dot{\eta} = G(A - LC)\eta + \Gamma_G^{-1}(g(z, y, u) - g(\bar{z}, y, u)) + \Gamma_G^{-1}d(z, F, \dot{F}) \quad (39)$$

For this new system, define Lyapunov function $V(\eta) = \eta^T P \eta$ where P is the positive definite solution of Lyapunov matrix equation:

$$(A - LC)^T P + P(A - LC) = -I \quad (40)$$

Taking the derivative of $V(\eta)$, one can obtain:

$$\dot{V} \leq -G\|\eta\|^2 + 2\|\eta\|\|P\|(G^{-3}\|\psi(z) - \psi(\bar{z})\| + G^{-4}\|d(z, F, \dot{F})\|) \quad (41)$$

From relation (32) and (34) by using [9], the saturation condition can be written:

$$\|z_2 - \bar{z}_2\| \leq \|z_2 - \hat{z}_2\| \quad (42)$$

$$\|z_3 - \bar{z}_3\| \leq \|z_3 - \hat{z}_3\| \quad (43)$$

Moreover, as D^{-1} , H , J^T , z_3 , $\bar{z}_3$, F , z_4 and $\bar{z}_4$ are bounded then:

FIGURE 14. Observer error of external force [Nm]

FIGURE 15. Observer error of angular position with quantization noise [rad]

$$\|\psi(z) - \psi(\bar{z})\| \leq \alpha_2 \|z_2 - \hat{z}_2\| + \alpha_3 \|z_3 - \hat{z}_3\| + \|z_4 - \bar{z}_4\| \quad (44)$$

where α_2 and α_3 are positive constants. As:

$$\|z_4 - \bar{z}_4\| \leq \|z_4\| + \|\bar{z}_4\| \leq 2F_s \quad (45)$$

and $\eta = \Gamma_G^{-1}e$ then the following inequalities hold:

$$\|\psi(z) - \psi(\bar{z})\| \leq \alpha_2 G^2 \|\eta_2\| + \alpha_3 G^3 \|\eta_3\| + 2F_s$$

FIGURE 16. Observer error of angular velocity with quantization noise [rad/s]

FIGURE 17. External force observer error with quantization noise [N]

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq 2G^3 \sup(\alpha_2 G^{-1}, \alpha_3) \|\eta\| + 2F_s \\ &\leq 2G^3 \alpha_m \|\eta\| + 2F_s \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

with $\alpha_m = \sup(\alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ as $G \geq 1$. Now:

$$\|d(z, F, \dot{F})\| = \left\| \frac{d}{dt} (J^T(z_2)F) \right\|$$

$$= \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} (J^T(z_2)) \dot{z}_2 + J^T(z_2) \dot{F} \right\|$$

as $J^T(z_2)$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}(J^T(z_2))$ depend on z_2 only through sine and cosine functions and $\dot{F}$ and $\dot{z}_2$ are bounded, one can find a positive bound d_m for $\|d(z, F, \dot{F})\|$:

$$\|d(z, F, \dot{F})\| \leq d_m \quad (47)$$

Now reporting (46) and (47) in (41) provides:

$$\dot{V} \leq (-G + G_0) \|\eta\|^2 + 2\|\eta\| \|P\| (2G^{-3}F_s + G^{-4}d_m) \quad (48)$$

where $G_0 = 4\|P\|\alpha_m$.

If $G > G_0$, $\dot{V} < 0$ for $\|\eta\| > r(G)$ where:

$$r(G) = \frac{2\|P\|(2G^{-3}F_s + G^{-4}d_m)}{G - G_0} \quad (49)$$

what means after a finite time τ , $\|\eta\| \leq r(G)$ and:

$$\|e\| \leq G^4 \|\eta\| \leq \frac{2\|P\|(2F_s + G^{-1}d_m)}{1 - G^{-1}G_0} \quad (50)$$

which proves that $e(t)$ is bounded if $G > G_0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Zimmer, S., 2009. "Contribution à l'industrialisation du soudage par friction malaxage". Phd thesis, Ecole Nationale Supérieure d'Arts et Métiers, Metz, France.
- [2] Bres, A., Monsarrat, B., Dubourg, L., Birglen, L., Perron, C., Jahazi, M., and Baron, L., 2010. "Simulation of friction stir welding using industrial robots". *Industrial Robot: An International Journal*, **37**(1), pp. 36–50.
- [3] Moberg, S., 2007. "On modeling and control of flexible manipulators". Phd thesis, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden.
- [4] Grotjahn, M., and Heimann, B., 2002. "Model-based feed-forward control in industrial robotics". *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, **21**(1), pp. 45–60.
- [5] Khalil, W., and Dombre, E., 2004. *Modeling, Identification and Control of Robots*. Elsevier Ltd, Oxford.
- [6] Spong, M., Hutchinson, S., and Vidyasagar, M., 2005. *Robot Modeling and Control*. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., Berlin Heidelberg.
- [7] Siciliano, B. and Khatib, O., 2008. *Springer Handbook of Robotics*. Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg.
- [8] Siciliano, B., Sciavicco, L., Villani, L., and Oriolo, G., 2010. *Robotics: Modelling, Planning and Control*. Springer Verlag, London.
- [9] Jankovic, M., 1995. "Observer based control for elastic joint robots". *IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation*, **11**(4), pp. 618–623.
- [10] Besançon, G., 2007. *Nonlinear Observers and Applications*, 1 ed. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg.
- [11] Davis, T. A., Shin, Y. C., and Yao, B., 2011. "Observer-based adaptive robust control of friction stir welding axial force". *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*, **16**(6), pp. 1032–1039.
- [12] Kravaris, C., Sotiropoulos, V., Georgiou, C., Kazantzi, N., Xiao, M. Q., and Krener, A. J., 2007. "Nonlinear observer design for state and disturbance estimation". *Systems Control Letters*, **56**(11–12), pp. 730–735.
- [13] De Luca, A., Schröder, D., and Thümmel, M., 2007. "An acceleration-based state observer for robot manipulators with elastic joints". In *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pp. 3817–3823.
- [14] Subrahmanya, N., and Shin, Y. C., 2009. "Adaptive divided difference filtering for simultaneous state and parameter estimation". *Automatica*, **45**(7), pp. 1686–1693.
- [15] Spurgeon, S. K., 2008. "Sliding mode observers: a survey". *International Journal of Systems Science*, **39**(8), pp. 751–764.
- [16] Yao, Y. L., and Cheng, W. Y., 1999. "Model-based motion planning for robotic assembly of non-cylindrical parts". *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, Springer-Verlag London Ltd, **15**, pp. 683–691.
- [17] Dumas, C., 2011. "Développement de méthodes robotisées pour le parachèvement de pièces métalliques et composites". Phd thesis, Université de Nantes, Nantes, France.
- [18] Tlustý, J., and Macneil, P., 1975. "Dynamics of cutting forces in end milling". *Annals of the CIRP*, **24**(1), pp. 21–25.
- [19] Zhao, X., Kalya, P., Landers, R. G., and Krishnamurthy, K., 2008. "Design and implementation of a nonlinear axial force controller for friction stir welding processes". *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering, Transactions of the ASME*, **130**(6), pp. 5553–5558.
- [20] Park, S. K., and Lee, S. H., 2007. "Disturbance observer based robust control for industrial robots with flexible joints". In *International Conference on Control, Automation and Systems 2007, ICCAS '07*, pp. 584 – 589.
- [21] Xiong, Y., and Mehrdad, S., 2003. "Unknown disturbance inputs estimation based on a state functional observer design". *Automatica*, **39**(8), pp. 1389–1398.
- [22] Qin, J., Léonard, F., and Abba, G., 2011. "Comande robuste d'un robot d'usinage flexible: analyse de la précision". In *20ème Congrès Français de Mécanique*, CdRom 395.pdf.