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The study of the structural and magnetic properties of YFe$_2$D$_{4.2}$ under high pressure has been performed by combining energy dispersive x-ray diffraction at room temperature up to 5.5 GPa, neutron diffraction studies at low temperature up to 2.5 GPa, and magnetization measurements up to 1 GPa. The monoclinic structure of YFe$_2$D$_{4.2}$ at ambient temperature and pressure is related to a distortion of the initial cubic C15 structure of YFe$_2$ by the ordering of inserted D atoms. A structural transition from monoclinic to cubic structure is observed at 4 GPa. The high pressure cubic YFe$_2$D$_{4.2}$ has a 19% higher cell volume than that of YFe$_2$. The application of an external pressure leads to the progressive decrease of the transition temperature $T_{M0}$ (84 K at ambient pressure) and even to the suppression of the ferromagnetic ground state for pressures larger than 0.54 GPa. Moreover using the compressibility value determined by x-ray diffraction ($\kappa = 0.013$ GPa$^{-1}$), the decrease of volume at the critical pressure of 0.54 GPa (0.70%) is in very good agreement with the increase of volume observed at the transition from antiferromagnetic to ferromagnetic state at ambient pressure (0.65%), indicating the crucial role of volume effect. An antiferromagnetic structure was detected between $T_{M0}$ and $T_N$ and for pressures larger than 0.54 GPa in the whole temperature range below $T_N$. In addition, a large pressure effect on the spontaneous magnetization $M_S$ for pressures below 0.4 GPa, $\Delta \ln M_S/dP = -7.3 \times 10^{-2}$ GPa$^{-1}$ was discovered. This clearly proves the delocalized character of the iron 3$d$ band magnetism in YFe$_2$D$_{4.2}$ compound. The pressure evolution of the magnetic phase diagram of YFe$_2$D$_{4.2}$ has been determined.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Rare earth and transition metal compounds have been extensively studied as materials for hydrogen storage for the purpose of using hydrogen as a vector of energy, but hydrogen insertion is also a way to tune the magnetic properties of these compounds. 1 Hydrogen insertion leads to a cell volume increase, a lowering of the crystal symmetry, and a modification of the electronic structure, which can strongly influence the magnetic interactions. Several studies were already performed on RMn$_2$ hydrides, showing a strong interplay between magnetic and deuterium order in such systems. 2,3 Competition between ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic interactions have been reported in Laves hydrides. 4 The YFe$_2$D$_4$ system is particularly interesting since it is known to exhibit several phases depending on the deuterium content. 5 Seven different crystal structures have been reported starting from the simple C15 cubic structure of the YFe$_2$ intermetallic to the orthorhombic phase reported for the deuterium richer YFe$_2$D$_{5.3}$ compound. 6,7 Such rich structural phase diagram arises from the ordering of deuterium atoms in the tetrahedral interstitial sites of the intermetallic, thus leading to either lattice distortion and/or superstructures. The complex crystal structure of the YFe$_2$D$_{4.2}$ compound has only been recently solved by Ropka et al. 8 by combining synchrotron and neutron diffraction studies. They established that below 323 K YFe$_2$D$_{4.2}$ compound crystallizes in a fully ordered, monoclinic structure described in the P$c$ space group with $a = 5.5066$ Å, $b = 11.4823$ Å, $c = 9.4292$ Å, $\beta = 122.33^\circ$, and $V = 503.765$ Å$^3$ at 290 K. The relationship between this monoclinic crystal structure and the original cubic cell of the YFe$_2$ compound is illustrated in Fig. 1.

The thermal evolution of the structure and the stability of the YFe$_2$D$_{4.2}$ compound has been investigated by in situ neutron diffraction and differential scanning calorimetry 9 showing that the desorption process is dominated by phase transitions.

The YFe$_2$D$_x$ compounds are ferromagnets at low temperature for $x < 5$. 10 YFe$_2$D$_x$ displays a weak ferromagnetic behavior without any ordered Fe magnetic moment. 6 The Curie temperature of the YFe$_2$D$_x$ compounds decreases from 720 K for $x = 1.3$ to 360 K for $x = 3.5$. The situation is more complex for intermediate concentrations 3.5 $< x < 5$. YFe$_2$D$_{4.2}$ exhibits a ferromagnetic order below a temperature called $T_M$ [$T_{M0}(B = 0) = 84$ K]. 11 This transition temperature is found to increase linearly with the applied field. At $T_M$, a sharp decrease of the magnetization occurs, which was attributed to a transition from a ferromagnetic (FM) toward an antiferromagnetic (AFM) state accompanied by a 0.5% cell volume decrease. 12 This magnetic transition was explained by the itinerant electron metamagnetic (IEM) behavior of one particular Fe site among eight Fe sites of the monoclinic structure of YFe$_2$D$_ {4.2}$. This behavior shows a resemblance to that of C14 AFe$_2$ with nonmagnetic A element ($A = Nb, Ta, H$) in particular $H_{Fe_{1-x}} = 1$ Ta, Fe$_2$ ($x = 0.1$ and 0.2) for which the magnetic ground state have been reported to be at the verge of the onset of ferromagnetism. 13-16 IEM behavior has also been extensively studied in RCo$_2$ compounds and is very sensitive to a change of volume or to an internal or external magnetic field. 17-19

In YFe$_2$D$_{4.2}$, the AFM order above $T_{M0}$ is featured by a doubling of the magnetic cell along the $b$ axis. 12,20 The transition from the AFM order toward a paramagnetic state is observed at the Néel temperature $T_N = 131$ K in addition...
The magnetovolumic transition temperature \(T_\text{M} \) for \( \Delta S_M = 10.83 \, \text{J K}^{-1} \, \text{K}^{-1} \) for \( \Delta B = 5 \, \text{T} \). \cite{21}

Last but not least, a huge isotope effect on the magnetic properties has been reported for \( \text{YFe}_2(\text{D}_{1-x}\text{H}_x)_{4/2} \) compounds. \cite{1,12} The magnetovolumic transition temperature from the FM to the AFM structure is shifted from 84 to 131 K between the deuteride and the hydride, which corresponds to a 50% decrease of transition temperature. Such large influence of hydrogen by deuterium substitution was never observed before on the magnetic properties of a metal hydride. This difference of transition temperature was attributed to the difference of cell volume, which is 0.83% larger for the hydride compared to the deuteride. This large isotope volume effect was explained by the difference of amplitude of zero point vibration between D and H atom in the condensed phase.

In order to better understand the influence of the volume change on the structural and magnetic properties of the \( \text{YFe}_2\text{D}_{4/2} \) compound, we have focused this article on the investigation of the external pressure effect. After a short presentation of neutron powder diffraction measurements performed at ambient pressure above room temperature, the effect of an applied pressure on the crystal unit cell is investigated by energy dispersive x-ray diffraction up to 5 GPa. Then neutron diffraction study of the temperature and pressure dependence up to 4 GPa of the magnetic structure is presented. The isofield thermomagnetic curves as well as magnetic isotherms studied up to 0.85 GPa are used to determine detailed magnetic properties.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

The preparation and characterization of the intermetallic and the deuteride are described in Refs. \cite{22} and \cite{23}.

Neutron diffraction measurements performed above 300 K have been recorded on the D1B instrument operated by the CNRS at the Institut Laue Langevin (ILL, Grenoble, France) using a vanadium heating element in order to avoid extra Bragg reflection from the furnace and below 300 K in a cryostat. During the neutron diffraction measurements, the powder sample was contained in a vanadium cylindrical sample container of 8 mm in diameter. On the D1B instrument, the diffraction patterns have been recorded over an angular range of 80° (2\( \theta \)) using a multidetector with a step of 0.2° between each of the 400 \( ^3\)He detection cells. In this configuration D1B is operated with a wavelength of \( \lambda = 2.52 \, \text{Å} \) selected by a (002) Bragg reflection of a pyrolytic graphite monochromator, the take off angle being 44.2° in 2\( \theta \). Due to the high flux available on the instrument, a diffraction pattern has been recorded in situ every 2 K.

The x-ray diffraction patterns of \( \text{YFe}_2\text{D}_{4/2} \) were measured at room temperature with applied pressure up to 5 GPa by an energy dispersive-type x-ray diffraction system with pure Ge detector. The x-ray source was a W tube operated at 47.5 kV and 27.5 mA. The energy axis of energy spectrum and slit angle in diffraction were calibrated by using fluorescence lines and diffraction lines in cubic InAs patterns.

The neutron powder diffraction patterns were collected on the G6.1 high-pressure diffractometer \cite{24} on the Orphée reactor of the Laboratoire Léon Brillouin (LLB, Saclay, France). The zero-pressure neutron diffraction patterns have been recorded previously on the G4.1 spectrometer from LLB. The powder was mixed with NaCl and introduced in high-pressure sapphire anvils. The applied pressure was calibrated using fluorescence of a ruby powder added as internal standard, and the measurements were done at 0.5, 1, 2.6, and 4 GPa. The high-pressure cell was introduced in an He cryostat in order to perform the neutron measurements at various temperatures between 1.5 and 180 K for each applied pressure. The neutron powder diffraction patterns were measured between 5 and 85° with a wavelength of 4.70 Å.

The magnetization measurements at high hydrostatic pressure up to 1 GPa were performed on a powder sample in a superconducting quantum interference device magnetometer (Quantum Design Co.) using a miniature piston-cylinder CuBe pressure cell. It was filled with a mixture of mineral oils as a pressure transmitting medium. The pressure was determined at low temperatures using the known pressure dependence of the critical temperature of the superconducting state of the Pb sensor placed inside the cell. \cite{25} The studies were performed at magnetic fields up to 7 T in the temperature range from 2 to 300 K. The evolution of the ordering temperature under different pressures was determined from temperature dependence of the low-field magnetization measured in a magnetic field of 0.03 T at a heating rate of 1 K per minute. It was defined as inflexion point on magnetization vs temperature curves. The saturation magnetization and critical magnetic fields at different pressures were determined from the isothermal magnetization curves.

III. DIFFRACTION RESULTS

A. Neutron diffraction investigation upon heating at ambient pressure

In order to probe the crystal structure changes occurring above room temperature, the \( \text{YFe}_2 \) compound has been heated and neutron diffraction patterns recorded in situ through the furnace. Figure 2 illustrates the different powder neutron
diffraction patterns recorded in each temperature range. In agreement with X-ray diffraction (XRD) data reported in Ref. 8, we observed two successive structural transitions. First, the monoclinic structure, observed at room temperature and below, transforms into the rhombohedral one at about 323 K. As can be seen from the Fig. 2, this manifests itself by the disappearance of small diffraction peaks, in particular the (−312) located slightly above 62°(2θ). Then the rhombohedral R-3m symmetry is preserved up to about 343 K where the crystal structure becomes more symmetric, exhibiting a cubic lattice. Some structural parameters derived from the Rietveld refinement of the neutron diffraction pattern plotted in Fig. 2 are summarized in Table I together with the agreement factors of the refinement as well as the percentage of each phase. More detailed information as well as the Rietveld refinement plots are given in the Supplementary Material.

The analysis of the powder diffraction patterns demonstrates the existence of a significant temperature range of coexistence of the rhombohedral and the cubic lattices. This is well illustrated by the evolution of the percentages of each phase plotted in Fig. 3. The thermal evolutions of the a and c lattice parameters of the rhombohedral structure are given in Fig. 4. It is remarkable that these parameters are first slowly evolving, but then exhibit a much sharper evolution close to the transition into cubic structure. It is worth recalling that the origin of these structural transitions is due to ordering of deuterium near room temperature within the metallic crystal structure.

B. X-ray diffraction investigation under applied pressure

In order to probe the pressure dependence of the crystal structure, the energy dispersive x-ray diffraction patterns have been recorded for several applied pressures ranging from 0 to 5 GPa. The corresponding diffraction patterns are compared in Fig. 5(a). For comparison, Fig. 5(b) presents a simulation of the x-ray diffraction patterns for the three different types of crystal structure. A broad line width has been used to match the experimental pattern. It is worth noticing that due to the limited resolution of these diffraction experiments, the monoclinic distortion of the YFe2D4.2 could not be resolved. Consequently, the x-ray diffraction patterns have been analyzed in the rhombohedral approximant related cell. The relation between the monoclinic volume and the rhombohedral volume (in hexagonal setting) is as follows: $V_{\text{mono}}(C2/m) = \frac{4}{3} V_{\text{hexa}}(R-3m)$. A relationship between the cubic, rhombohedral, and monoclinic cells are given in Table II (see Ref. 8 for more details). The corresponding crystal structures are compared in Fig. 1. The rhombohedral structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T (K)</th>
<th>Struct.</th>
<th>$a$ (Å)</th>
<th>$b$ (Å)</th>
<th>$c$ (Å)</th>
<th>$\beta$ (°)</th>
<th>$V$ (Å³)</th>
<th>Wt%</th>
<th>R_Bragg%</th>
<th>R_wp%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>Mono.</td>
<td>5.487(1)</td>
<td>11.4264(23)</td>
<td>9.3960 (14)</td>
<td>122.48 (11)</td>
<td>496.98 (16)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330</td>
<td>Rhomb.</td>
<td>5.7053(7)</td>
<td>13.2407(18)</td>
<td>373.24 (82)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>335</td>
<td>Rhomb</td>
<td>5.688(1)</td>
<td>13.3307(2)</td>
<td>373.483(96)</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cubic</td>
<td>7.923(2)</td>
<td>13.3307(2)</td>
<td>497.37(24)</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td>Cubic</td>
<td>7.9161(6)</td>
<td>496.061(70)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6.85</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 2. (Color online) Neutron diffraction patterns recorded at ambient pressure and the indicated temperatures for YFe2D4.2. The corresponding crystal structure lattices are also indicated.

FIG. 3. (Color online) Thermal evolution of the phase content along the structural transition from rhombohedral (square) to cubic (circle) transformation of YFe2D4.2 derived from neutron diffraction pattern refinement.

TABLE I. Structural parameters as derived from the Rietveld refinement of the neutron powder diffraction patterns plotted on Fig. 2 and recorded at the indicated temperatures.
is described in the corresponding hexagonal triple cell, which is related to the cubic one by the following relations:

\begin{align}
\alpha_{\text{hexa}} & \approx \sqrt{2} \alpha_c, \\
\alpha_{\text{hexa}} & \approx \sqrt{3} \alpha_c,
\end{align}

where the index \( \text{hexa} \) and \( c \) refer to the hexagonal and cubic cell, respectively.

The XRD patterns under pressure [Fig. 5(a)] show that the ambient pressure crystal structure of \( \text{YFe}_2\text{D}_{4.2} \) is maintained up to 4 GPa with a progressive decrease of the cell parameters. This decrease is linear, and values of \( \Delta \alpha/\alpha = 1.91\% \) and \( \Delta \beta/\beta = 1.46\% \) have been obtained from the fit from 0 to 4 GPa of the data given in Fig. 6. This indicates an anisotropic change of the lattice with a larger compression in the basal plane. The cell volume decrease in the monoclinic range at 4.76 \( \text{Å}^3 \), corresponding to a relative volume change of \(-1.26\% \).

These values correspond to a cell volume decrease up to 4 GPa of 5.2\% with a compressibility value of \( \kappa = 0.013 \text{ GPa}^{-1} \). This value is slightly larger but well comparable with compressibility value of \( \text{YFe}_2 \) compound (\( \kappa = 0.011 \text{ GPa}^{-1} \)) and \( \text{YFe}_2\text{H}_5 \) (\( \kappa = 0.010 \text{ GPa}^{-1} \)). It is worth mentioning that up to 5 GPa, other \( \text{ErFe}_2\text{D}_{x} \) compounds with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Cubic</th>
<th>Rhombohedral (hexagonal)</th>
<th>Monoclinic nuclear cell</th>
<th>Monoclinic magnetic cell AFM (2b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>( Fd-3m )</td>
<td>( R-3m )</td>
<td>( P_c )</td>
<td>( P_c )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \bar{a} )</td>
<td>( \bar{a}_1 )</td>
<td>( \approx \frac{1}{2}(\bar{a}_1 - \bar{c}_1) )</td>
<td>( \approx \frac{1}{2}(\bar{a}_1 + \bar{b}_1) )</td>
<td>( \approx \frac{1}{2}(\bar{a}_1 + \bar{b}_1) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \bar{b} )</td>
<td>( \bar{b}_2 )</td>
<td>( \approx \frac{1}{2}(-\bar{a}_2 + \bar{b}_2) )</td>
<td>( \approx \bar{a}_1 - \bar{b}_1 )</td>
<td>( \approx 2(\bar{a}_1 - \bar{b}_1) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \bar{c} )</td>
<td>( \bar{c}_1 )</td>
<td>( \approx \bar{a}_1 + \bar{b}_1 + \bar{c}_1 )</td>
<td>( \approx -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{a}_1 + \bar{b}_1 + 2\bar{c}_1) )</td>
<td>( \approx -\frac{1}{4}(\bar{a}_1 + \bar{b}_1 + \bar{c}_1) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V )</td>
<td>( \bar{a} \bar{b} \bar{c} )</td>
<td>( \frac{\sqrt{3}}{8} \bar{a}^2 \bar{b} \bar{c} )</td>
<td>( a_M b_M c_M \sin \beta )</td>
<td>( 2a_M b_M c_M \sin \beta )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z )</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
intermediate interstitial contents also exhibit a linear pressure dependence of the unit cell volume\(^2\) with a similar slope, indicating that the compressibility of these compounds is similar. At 4.2 GPa \(YFe_2D_4\) undergoes a transition from a monoclinic to a cubic structure (Fig. 6). Such remarkable change of crystal symmetry is not surprising if one recalls:

(i) that the \(YFe_2\) intermetallic compound is of cubic \(C15\) symmetry, and

(ii) that the \(YFe_2D_x\) compounds undergo a transition toward the cubic \(C15\) structure above a transition temperature which depends upon the \(D\) content \((1.2 < x < 4.2)\).\(^3,11\)

For comparison purposes, some unit cell parameters of the \(YFe_2D_4\) compound derived from fit at the different temperature and pressures are listed in Table III. At room temperature and 4 GPa, the phase transition observed could be interpreted as reminiscent of the hydrogen order-disorder transition known to occur at 345 K at ambient pressure. In this case, the effect of an applied pressure can be the lowering of the order-disorder transition temperature below room temperature.\(^4\) Note that this behavior is different from that of \(YMn_2D_{3.5}\), where an increase of the rhombohedral distortion is observed upon pressure.\(^2\)

C. Neutron diffraction study under pressure

At ambient pressure, the neutron powder diffraction pattern of \(YFe_2D_4\) displays a ferromagnetic structure below \(T_{M0}\) and an AFM structure between \(T_{M0}\) and \(T_N\). The low-angle part of the neutron diffraction patterns recorded at ambient pressure are compared in Fig. 7(a) for 1.5, 90, and 180 K. The AFM structure can be described by doubling the nuclear cell along the \(b\) axis and is characterized by an intense peak at \(d = 23.5\) \(\text{Å}\) and a smaller one at \(d = 5.51\) \(\text{Å}\). In this new cell \((b' = 2b)\), the AFM peaks are indexed as \((010)\) and \((031)\), respectively, whereas the main FM peak is indexed as \((100)\). Due to the large wavelength \((\lambda = 4.7\) \(\text{Å}\)) and also the weak signal-to-noise ratio, the neutron patterns measured on G61 display mainly the \((010)\) AFM line and is characterized by an intense peak at \(d = 10.8\) \(\text{Å}\) and the \((100)\) and \((002)\) nuclear lines at \(d = 60'\) \(\text{Å}\) and at \(d = 72'\) \(\text{Å}\), respectively [Fig. 7(b)]. An additional FM contribution can be observed on the \((100)\) peak as seen on the difference curve. The contribution at \(2\theta = 19'\) is not a Bragg peak but a background contribution. The evolution of the FM and AFM magnetic contributions in Figs. 8 and 9 was determined by subtracting the pattern at 180 K, which is above the Néel temperature, and normalizing the patterns to the nuclear contribution. The values at 0 GPa were those measured previously on the G41 spectrometer of the LLB. At 0 GPa, the FM-AFM transition temperature \(T_{M0}\) is \(84\) K and the Néel temperature \(T_N\) is \(131\) K. At 0.5 GPa, the FM component decreases with \(T_{M0}\) close to 36 K. The AFM line is observed at 1.5 K, decreases slightly with a minimum at 50 K, increases again with a maximum at 70 K and disappears above \(T_N = 125\) K. This behavior can be explained considering a mixture of two phases, one where the AFM is formed at low temperature and a second one with \(T_{M0} = 36\) K and \(T_N = 125\) K. For \(P = 1, 2.6,\) and 4 GPa, there is no ferromagnetic contribution, and the AFM Bragg peak decreases upon increasing temperature from 125 K to \(T_N = 90\) K. \(T_N\) is not very sensitive to the pressure above 1 GPa. The AFM line intensity versus temperature can be fitted according to:

\[
I = I_0 + A^*(T - T_{M0})^\tau.
\]  

(3)

For all the curves, the exponent \(\tau\) is very close to \(3/2\) and \(A\) is negative, which means that the behavior is close to a Bloch function. In a previous study,\(^{12}\) it was found that the

---

**TABLE III.** Refined unit cell parameters of the \(YFe_2D_{4.2}\) compound measured at the indicated temperatures and pressures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Cubic</th>
<th>Rhombohedral (hexagonal)</th>
<th>Monoclinic nuclear cell</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>(Fd-3m)</td>
<td>(R-3m)</td>
<td>(Pc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure (GPa)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient pressure</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) ((\text{Å}))</td>
<td>7.95</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>5.710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) ((\text{Å}))</td>
<td>7.95</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>5.710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) ((\text{Å}))</td>
<td>7.95</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>13.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\beta) ((°))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>372.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V (\text{Å}^3))</td>
<td>502.5</td>
<td>483.7</td>
<td>496.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalent (V (\text{Å}^3)) in monoclinic</td>
<td>502.5</td>
<td>483.7</td>
<td>496.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AFM structure is constituted by FM layers of Fe with moments parallel to the \((a, c)\) plane and with an inversion of the direction of the Fe moment below and above the layer which contains the Fe atom which loses its moment at \(T_{M0}\) through an IEM behavior.\(^{12}\) The variation of the AFM intensity can be an indication that the Fe moments belonging to these intermediate FM layers are decreasing according to the Bloch function.

In Fig. 10, are reported the evolution of \(T_{M0}\) and \(T_N\) as a function of the applied pressure. In this figure, we observe a continuous and progressive decrease of \(T_{M0}\) with the pressure. This transition has already disappeared at 1 GPa since the ferromagnetic contribution to the Bragg peak has vanished. \(T_N\) decreases also up to 1 GPa and is not very sensitive to the pressure above. At a given temperature, the intensity of the ferromagnetic Bragg reflections decreases upon increasing the applied pressure. Even if \(T_N\) remains the same, this is a clear indication that the Fe magnetic moments are decreasing, whereas the AFM coupling is preserved up to pressure as high as 4 GPa, that is to say in the whole monoclinic domain. It is worth noticing that \(T_N\) and \(T_{M0}\) both decrease under pressure, and \(T_N\) saturates when \(T_{M0}\) goes to zero. At low temperature, the decrease of \(T_N\) and \(T_{M0}\) are clearly correlated, indicating that the appearance of ferromagnetic order at low temperature

\((T < 100 \text{ K})\) and pressure \((P < 1 \text{ GPa})\) does favor larger ordering temperature, even in the AFM state. For \(P \geq 1 \text{ GPa}\), where only the AFM structure remains, \(T_N\) is not sensitive to the applied pressure. The FM-AFM transition at \(T_{M0}\) is clearly sensitive to the volume change induced by the applied pressure due to its IEM character.

This behavior is similar to that of \(\text{Hf}_{0.9}\text{Ta}_{0.1}\text{Fe}_2\) under pressure,\(^{14}\) which has been reported to present a first-order phase transition from ferromagnetic to antiferromagnetic states above 0.86 GPa. Nishihara has also reported similar phase transition in related \(\text{AFe}_2\) systems\(^{29}\) and pointed out the importance of the spin fluctuation in such systems.

At this point, it is worth recalling that in several other densely packed iron compounds, drastic change of the magnetic state has been observed around a critical value of Fe-Fe distance.\(^{30-33}\) A slight increase of such distance in a narrow range around the critical value \((\sim 2.5 \text{ Å})\) leads to change from AFM to FM ground state with respectively low volume and low spin moment and high volume and high spin moment. Such change of the magnetic state has, for example, been induced by pressure in the \(\text{La(Fe}_{0.8}\text{Al}_{0.12})_{13}\) compound,\(^{33}\) triggering an instability of the Fe magnetic moment. Fujita et al.\(^{34}\) reported recently that the critical pressure for the disappearance of the FM state is about 1.3 GPa in the \(\text{La(Fe}_{0.8}\text{Si}_{0.11})_{13}\) compound, a critical pressure value close to the 1 GPa found here for \(\text{YFe}_2\text{D}_{4.2}\).
The magnetic ordering temperature is completely suppressed by a pressure of about 0.54 GPa. Using the compressibility value determined in this work, \( \kappa = 0.013 \) GPa\(^{-1} \), it can be determined that a relative volume decrease larger than 0.70% (0.23% of lattice parameter) changes the ground state of YFe\(_2\)D\(_{4.2} \) deuteride from FM to AFM state.

Figure 13 presents a set of magnetization isotherms as a function of magnetic field at atmospheric pressure. These results are in agreement with those published in Refs. 12 and 21. The critical field \( (H_c) \) rapidly increases with temperature with slope \( dH_c/dT = 0.17 \) T K\(^{-1} \), reaching our maximum attainable field of 7 T around 125 K, about 40 K above the low field transition temperature. Note that this value is slightly larger than the slope \( dH_c/dT = 0.14 \) T K\(^{-1} \) reported in Ref. 12. A detailed analysis of this phenomenon will be presented elsewhere.

Sets of magnetization isotherms as a function of magnetic field at several pressures are displayed in Figs. 14(a), 14(b) and 14(c), respectively. Due to the large pressure effect on \( T_m \) and remarkable changes of the shape of magnetic isotherms, the determination of the pressure effect on spontaneous magnetization \( M_s \) can be determined only at pressures below 0.4 GPa. The pressure induced decrease of \( dM_s \), \( d\ln(M_s)/dP = -7.3 \times 10^{-2} \) GPa\(^{-1} \) is remarkably higher than the value for pure \( \alpha \)-Fe \( (-4.2 \times 10^{-3} \) GPa\(^{-1} \) experimental value, close to the theoretical value of \( -5 \times 10^{-3} \) GPa\(^{-1} \)) but compares well with the values of other binary Fe-based intermetallic compounds \( d\ln(M_s)/dP = -8.2 \times 10^{-2} \) GPa\(^{-1} \) for Y\(_2\)Fe\(_{17} \) \( 35 \)–37. The pressure induced decrease of \( M_s \) observed here for YFe\(_2\)D\(_{4.2} \) is about one order of magnitude higher than what has been reported for the starting YFe\(_2\) compound by Armitage et al.\( 38 \) \( d\ln(M_s)/dP = -8.4 \times 10^{-2} \) GPa\(^{-1} \).

The critical field \( (H_c) \) at a given temperature rapidly increases with increasing pressure, as expected due to the large negative pressure-induced shift of the transition temperature.

Magnetic measurements indicate that \( T_m \) reaches zero for a pressure of 0.54 GPa, whereas the neutron diffraction shows that part of the sample is still a ferromagnetic with \( T_m = 36 \) K at 0.5 GPa. This discrepancy can be related to the fact that the pressure is better calibrated in the range studied by magnetic measurements. In addition, the neutron pattern evolution showed a mixture of two phases: one which already has an AFM ground state, whereas the second one undergoes the FM-AFM transition at 36 K. It can, however, reveal a first-order behavior (coexistence of two phases with a large hysteresis effect) or an inhomogeneity in the applied pressure inside the sample. But even if the precision on the
Unlike the starting LaFe$_2$ intermetallic, the IEM transition at zero applied pressure being even sharper than for the parent hydrides, YFe$_2$ is known to exhibit a classic ferromagnetic behavior up to its ordering temperature. The strong reduction of the Curie temperature from 540 K down to about 84 K (for YFe$_2$ and YFe$_2$D$_{1.2}$, respectively) upon deuterium absorption bears witness to the evolution of the Fe magnetism towards more delocalized character in the sense of Rhodes and Wolfarth plots. This is in excellent agreement with the observed hydrogen-induced metamagnetic transition typical of an itinerant electron behavior. The fact that the ferromagnetic order disappears upon applying pressure on YFe$_2$D$_{1.2}$, whereas the opposite has been reported for the YFe$_2$ compound with $dT_C/dP = 5$ K GPa$^{-1}$ (Ref. 44) is a further proof of the large evolution of the magnetic character of the iron sublattice upon deuterium insertion.

Previous band structure calculations have shown that, in YFe$_2$ hydrides, there is a large competition between the volume effect and the chemical effect related to Fe-H bondings. Increasing the volume leads to a better localization of the Fe 3d bands and therefore an increase of the Fe moment. On the contrary, the H insertion weakens the Fe-Fe interactions ($T_C$ decrease) and the Fe moment for $x > 3.5$. In this paper, it is clearly observed that for a given $D(H)$ content, decreasing the volume by applying an external pressure lowers both the Fe-Fe interaction ($T_{M0}$ decrease) and the Fe moment. This effect is most probably enhanced by the IEM behavior observed for YFe$_2$D$_{1.2}$.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have established the pressure evolution of the YFe$_2$D$_{1.2}$ crystal structure up to 5.5 GPa, showing a structural phase transition at 4 GPa from the monoclinic symmetry (retained at ambient pressure) to the cubic one. The magnetic phase diagram has been determined from 2 to 300 K and 0 to 4 GPa by a combination of a high-pressure neutron diffraction experiment and high-pressure magnetization measurement. At ambient pressure, a ferromagnetic order is observed at low temperature as a ground state, upon increasing it gives rise to antiferromagnetic ordering above $T_N = 84$ K an order that disappears at $T_N = 136$ K. Both $T_N$ and $T_{M0}$ are decreasing upon applying an external pressure. The ferromagnetic order disappears at a pressure of 0.54 GPa, the pressure induced decrease of the ordering temperature is huge, $dT_{M0}/dP = -156$ K GPa$^{-1}$. The crucial role of the volume effect was demonstrated by the close agreement of the decrease of volume at the critical pressure ($-0.70\%$ at 0.54 GPa) with the increase of volume observed at the transition from antiferromagnetic to ferromagnetic state at ambient pressure $-0.65\%$. We consequently can conclude that pressure destabilizes the ferromagnetic order in YFe$_2$D$_{1.2}$ a behavior similar to what has been reported in R$_2$Fe$_7$ or R$_2$Fe$_{17}$H$_x$ compounds. This paper has demonstrated the large coupling between magnetic and structural properties of YFe$_2$D$_{1.2}$ and in particular the high pressure sensitivity of the physical properties. The Fe magnetic behavior of the Fe sublattice is clearly of itinerant electron type here and exhibits a large delocalized character which contrasts the well-known localized behavior of pure iron or the rather localized behavior of the starting YFe$_2$ intermetallic.
compound. The itinerancy observed here is closer to the behavior previously reported for some other AFe$_2$ compounds $A = \text{Ta, Hf}^{3-16,29}$ as well as that of other Fe rich compounds such as the La(Fe$_{0.89}$Si$_{0.11}$)$_{13}$ compound.\textsuperscript{34}

As discussed above, the delocalized character of Fe in the hydride contrasts with the localized character in YFe$_2$. This result differs from the behavior in the YMn$_2 \rightarrow$ YMn$_2$$_x$.$_2$. A comparison between the two systems has been published previously\textsuperscript{45} on the basis of experimental results. The hydrogen insertion in YMn$_2$ increases the cell volume and stabilizes the Mn moment, which becomes more localized due to the increase of Mn-Mn distances. The bonding between Mn and H atoms tend to shift the Fermi level to larger values, i.e. in a range where the density of states (DOS) will increase.\textsuperscript{46} Therefore, both volume and electronic effect tend to stabilize the Mn moment. In YFe$_2$, the ferromagnetic state is stable, and the DOS at $E_F$ is elevated. Upon H absorption in this compound, there is a competition between two effects. The increase of cell volume stabilizes the Fe moment, but the Fe-H bonding has a destabilization effect.\textsuperscript{10} For 5 H/f.u., the energy of the nonpolarized state is lower than the spin polarized state. For $x = 4.2$, the hydride is at the frontier where the volume and Fe-H bonding are in balance: a small volume change has a large influence on the stability of the Fe moment as observed experimentally. Spin polarized band structure calculations on these compounds in particular YFe$_2$H$_x$ compounds would be very useful to go deeper in the interpretation.
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