Analog computing of control laws by periodic network of resistances

Nicolas Ratier

► To cite this version:

Nicolas Ratier. Analog computing of control laws by periodic network of resistances. Hardware and Software Implementation and Control of Distributed MEMS (DMEMS), Jan 2010, Besançon, France. pp.64-71, 10.1109/dMEMS.2010.17 . hal-00957607

HAL Id: hal-00957607

<https://hal.science/hal-00957607>

Submitted on 11 Apr 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution| 4.0 International License

Analog Computing of Control Laws by Periodic Network of Resistances

Nicolas Ratier

FEMTO-ST Institut

Time-Frequency department

32 avenue de l'Observatoire, 25044 Besançon Cedex, France

nicolas.ratier@femto-st.fr

Abstract—We show in this paper that a class of Periodic Networks of Resistances (called PNR) can be used to solve partial differential equations. More precisely, each cell of this periodic network computes locally a finite difference approximation of the partial differential equation. The PNR circuits are composed of current source, voltage-controlled voltage source and positive and negative resistances. An example of application of PNR could be the real time control of distributed MEMS.

Keywords—analog computing; partial differential equations; PDE; finite difference; periodic networks of resistances; PNR;

I. INTRODUCTION

Actuation, sensing and control of very large sized arrays of MEMS, says 1000×1000 MEMS or cells on the same chip, are out of reach of digital computing (microprocessor). The revival of analog computing might be the recourse for filling the gap of our computing power. Control laws are formulated in terms of ordinary or partial differential equations. The electronic analog computers used in the seventies were limited to solve ordinary differential equations. They were composed of operational amplifiers and capacitances. Unfortunately, the use of numerous capacitances renders them unsuitable for high density integration. Today, advent of nanotechnology could make conceivable molecular or quantum computing [1].

We propose in this paper a much simpler solution to bring back to life analog computing. Our method is based on the design of electronic circuits which “computes” locally the finite difference approximation of a partial differential equation. These unit cell circuits must have the properties of being possibly assembled then periodically recopied. The whole periodic network is then composed of the numerous repeated identical cells, except at the boundaries where the cell takes into account the boundary conditions. So we can say that this Periodic Network of Resistances (PNR) “solves” or “is described” by a PDE.

The unit cell involves only a current source, VCVSs (Voltage-Controlled Voltage Sources) and positive and negative resistances. The practical realization of a PNR is not an issue of this paper, but we can just say that the designs of negative resistances can be based on variety of techniques, for example negative input impedance [2][3][4], negative impedance converters and inverters [5] or translinear circuits [6]. The last reference is itself electronically tunable.

We now present how to construct an electronic circuit of a linear PDE up to the fourth order. The discrete solution at each voltage node of the center of each cell composing this circuit converges towards the solution of the PDE, with its boundary conditions, for a given input signal (the right hand side of the PDE) when the number of periodic cells increases. The choice of the finite difference scheme used to represent the PDE is a mathematical problem and is not relevant here. It is clear that if this finite difference scheme is stable and accurate, its electronic implementation will have a good behaviour too.

The 14+1 partial differential operators up to order 4 are summarized in table I. It is sufficient to study 9 of them (at the left side of the table), all the other ones can be deduced by symmetry.

TABLE I
PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS UP TO ORDER 4.

$\frac{\partial^0}{\partial x^0 \partial y^0}$				
$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$		$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$		
$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$		$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y}$	$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$	
$\frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^3}$	$\frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^2 \partial y}$	$\frac{\partial^3}{\partial x \partial y^2}$	$\frac{\partial^3}{\partial y^3}$	
$\frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^4}$	$\frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^3 \partial y}$	$\frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2}$	$\frac{\partial^4}{\partial x \partial y^3}$	$\frac{\partial^4}{\partial y^4}$

The following sections propose a study of eight unit circuits which “code” a finite difference (FD) approximation of these 8 partial differential operators. The section names “Molecule $\alpha\beta$ ” refers to the centered FD approximation of the derivative $\frac{\partial^{\alpha+\beta}}{\partial x^\alpha \partial y^\beta}$ with an error proportional to the step size of order 2. The calculation of the harmonic derivative (Molecule 20) is well known, all the other proposed circuits are originals. The study of each molecule is presented through an increasing difficulty and by gathering the similar solutions.

Next, we present how to mix these elementary cells to construct the circuit of a linear partial differential equation, and finally we show how to implement the boundary conditions in a circuit form.

II. COMPUTATION OF ∂_{x^2} (MOLECULE 20)

$$\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} \approx \frac{1}{h^2} \left\{ \textcircled{1} \text{---} \textcircled{-2} \text{---} \textcircled{1} \right\} \quad (1)$$
$$\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} \approx \frac{1}{h^2} (v_{i-1,j} - 2v_{i,j} + v_{i+1,j})$$

The elementary cell which “calculates” (1) is represented on Fig. 2 with its two adjacent cells. We call ρ_1 the resistances

$$\frac{1}{\rho_1} (V_{i-1} - 2V_i + V_{i+1}) = -i_0 \quad (2)$$
$$\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} = \rho_0 i_0 \quad (3)$$

III. COMPUTATION OF ∂_{x^4} (MOLECULE 40)

$$\frac{\partial^4 v}{\partial x^4} \approx \frac{1}{h^4} \{ \textcircled{1} \text{---} \textcircled{-4} \text{---} \textcircled{6} \text{---} \textcircled{-4} \text{---} \textcircled{1} \} \quad (4)$$

The elementary cell which “calculates” (4) is represented on Fig. 3 with its four adjacent cells. The resistances ρ_1 connect the potentials V_i and $V_{i\pm 2}$ and the resistances ρ_2 connect the potentials V_i and $V_{i\pm 1}$. Applying KCL at node V_i and doing some algebraic manipulations leads to (5).

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2V_i \left(\frac{1}{\rho_1} + \frac{1}{\rho_2} \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{\rho_1} V_{i-2} - \frac{1}{\rho_2} V_{i-1} - \frac{1}{\rho_2} V_{i+1} - \frac{1}{\rho_1} V_{i+2} \\
= & i_0
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

$$\frac{\partial^4 v}{\partial x^4} = \rho_0 i_0 \quad (6)$$

The resistances of the cells can be taken as $r_1 = r_3 = r_4 = r_6 = \rho_1/4$ and $r_2 = r_5 = \rho_2/2$.

IV. COMPUTATION OF ∂_x (MOLECULE 10)

The molecule of the first derivative is represented by (7). This molecule is not symmetrical and thus cannot be represented by a symmetrical network.

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \approx \frac{1}{2h} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{---} (-1) \text{---} 0 \text{---} 1 \end{array} \right\} \quad (7)$$

To implement the molecule, we must construct an asymmetrical circuit. The proposed one uses the potential $-V_{i,j}$. It is obtained by a linear VCVS e_0 of value -1 . (VCVS stands for Voltage-Controlled Voltage Source). The circuit is drawn on Fig. 4.

Fig. 4. Network of molecule 10.

The resistances ρ_1 connect the potentials V_i and $V_{i\pm 1}$ and the resistance ρ_2 connects the potentials V_i and $-V_{i\pm 1}$. Applying KCL at node V_i and after some algebraic manipulations, one can obtain (8).

$$\begin{aligned} & V_i \left(\frac{1}{r_0} + \frac{2}{\rho_1} + \frac{1}{\rho_2} \right) \\ & - V_{i-1} \left(\frac{1}{\rho_1} \right) + V_{i+1} \left(\frac{1}{\rho_2} - \frac{1}{\rho_1} \right) \\ & = i_0 \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

If we choose $\rho_1 = 2h\rho_0$, $\rho_2 = 2h\rho_0/2$, and $r_0 = -2h\rho_0/4$, then the potential at node V_i estimates the first derivative of the function $v(x)$ at a given point (Eq. (9)).

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = \rho_0 i_0 \quad (9)$$

The resistances of the cells can be taken as $r_1 = r_3 = \rho_1/2$ and $r_2 = r_4 = \rho_2/2$.

V. COMPUTATION OF ∂_{x^3} (MOLECULE 30)

The molecule of the third derivative is represented by (10). Like every odd order derivative, the third molecule is not symmetrical and thus, it cannot be represented by a symmetrical network. Moreover, it expands over two steps at each side.

$$\frac{\partial^3 v}{\partial x^3} \approx \frac{1}{2h^3} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{---} (-1) \text{---} 2 \text{---} 0 \text{---} -2 \text{---} 1 \end{array} \right\} \quad (10)$$

The proposed circuit is represented on Fig. 5. The circuit is a combination of the method used in molecule 40 to obtain the value of the potential, and those used in molecule 10 to get an asymmetrical value in the molecule. The paths 1 and 2 code the outer values of the molecule $\{-1, 1\}$, while the paths 3 and 4 code its inner values $\{2, -2\}$. Note that the minus sign is

inverted between these outer and inner values, this is translated into a direction change in the network (compare paths 2 and 4).

Fig. 5. Network of molecule 30.

The resistances ρ_1 connect the potentials V_i and $V_{i\pm 2}$ and the resistance ρ_2 connects the potentials V_i and $-V_{i\pm 2}$. In a similar way, the resistances ρ_3 connect the potentials V_i and $V_{i\pm 1}$ and the resistance ρ_4 connects the potentials V_i and $-V_{i\pm 1}$. Applying KCL at node V_i and after some algebraic manipulations, one can obtain (11).

$$\begin{aligned} & V_i \left(\frac{1}{r_0} + \frac{2}{\rho_1} + \frac{1}{\rho_2} + \frac{2}{\rho_3} + \frac{1}{\rho_4} \right) \\ & - V_{i-2} \left(\frac{1}{\rho_1} \right) + V_{i+2} \left(\frac{1}{\rho_2} - \frac{1}{\rho_1} \right) \\ & + V_{i-1} \left(\frac{1}{\rho_4} - \frac{1}{\rho_3} \right) - V_{i+1} \left(\frac{1}{\rho_3} \right) = i_0 \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

If we choose $\rho_1 = 2h^3\rho_0$, $\rho_2 = 2h^3\rho_0/2$, $\rho_3 = 2h^3\rho_0/2$, $\rho_4 = 2h^3\rho_0/4$ and $r_0 = -2h^3\rho_0/12$, then the potential at node V_i estimates the third derivative of the function $v(x)$ at a given point (Eq. (12)).

$$\frac{\partial^3 v}{\partial x^3} = \rho_0 i_0 \quad (12)$$

The resistances of the cells can be taken as $r_{\{1,3,7,9\}} = \rho_1/4$, $r_{\{4,6,10,12\}} = \rho_2/4$, $r_{\{2,8\}} = \rho_3/2$ and $r_{\{5,11\}} = \rho_4/2$.

VI. COMPUTATION OF ∂_{xy} (MOLECULE 11)

The molecule of the 11 derivative is represented by (13). This molecule is the tensor product of two asymmetrical molecules (the molecules 10 and 01) and is therefore symmetrical.

$$\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y} \approx \frac{1}{4h^2} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} (-1) & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{array} \right\} \quad (13)$$

The proposed circuit is given on Fig. 6. Following the same convention and notation as before, ρ_1 corresponds to the resistance values between the potentials $V_{i,j}$ and $V_{i\pm 1,j\pm 1}$, and ρ_2 are the resistance values between the potentials $V_{i,j}$ and $V_{i\mp 1,j\pm 1}$. The electronic network which evaluates the molecule 11 is drawn on the center cell of Fig. 6, its eight

adjacent cells are also represented. To facilitate the understanding and the analysis of the network, only the center cell is completely drawn. On all the other cells, only the resistances along the paths which link their cell center ($V_{i-1,j+1}$, $V_{i,j+1}$, etc) to $V_{i,j}$ have been represented.

Fig. 6. Network of molecule 11.

Applying KCL at node V_i and after some algebraic manipulations, one can obtain (14).

$$\begin{aligned} & V_{i,j} \left(\frac{2}{\rho_1} + \frac{2}{\rho_2} \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{\rho_1} V_{i+1,j+1} - \frac{1}{\rho_2} V_{i-1,j+1} \\ & - \frac{1}{\rho_1} V_{i-1,j-1} - \frac{1}{\rho_2} V_{i+1,j-1} \\ & = i_0 \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

If we choose $\rho_1 = -4h^2\rho_0$ and $\rho_2 = 4h^2\rho_0$, the potential at node V_i estimates the 1-1 partial derivative of the function $v(x)$ at a given point (Eq. (15)).

$$\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y} = \rho_0 i_0 \quad (15)$$

The actual resistances are represented by thick vertical and horizontal segments. The resistances represented by long segments can be taken as $r_{\text{long}} = \rho_1/2$, while the ones represented by short segments can be taken as $r_{\text{short}} = \rho_2/2$. For example, along the path from $V_{i,j}$ to $V_{i+1,j+1}$, there are 4 resistances (of the same value) in series which are in parallel with 4 others, so the equivalent resistance is $(4 \times \rho_1/2) / (4 \times \rho_1/2) = \rho_1$.

The network has been designed in such a way that one gets a maximum number of resistances having the same value, up to the sign. It seems that such resistances are easier to integrate

Fig. 7. Path linking $V_{i,j}$ and $V_{i+1,j+1}$.

in practical circuits. It is not difficult to derive an equivalent network, from the proposed one, with a much lower number of resistances.

VII. COMPUTATION OF $\partial_{x^2 y^2}$ (MOLECULE 22)

The molecule 22 derivative is represented by (16). This molecule is the tensor product of two symmetrical molecules (the molecules 20 and 02) and is therefore symmetrical.

$$\frac{\partial^4 v}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} \approx \frac{1}{h^4} \begin{Bmatrix} \begin{matrix} (1) & (-2) & (1) \\ (-2) & (4) & (-2) \\ (1) & (-2) & (1) \end{matrix} \end{Bmatrix} \quad (16)$$

The proposed circuit is given on Fig 8. As shown in the network, ρ_1 correspond to the resistance values between the potentials $V_{i,j}$ and $V_{i\pm 1,j}$ and between $V_{i,j}$ and $V_{i,j\pm 1}$, and ρ_2 are the resistance values between the potentials $V_{i,j}$ and $V_{i\pm 1,j\pm 1}$ and between $V_{i,j}$ and $V_{i\mp 1,j\pm 1}$. The electronic network which evaluates the molecule 22 is drawn on the center cell of Fig. 8, its eight adjacent cells are also represented. To facilitate the understanding and the analysis of the network, only the center cell is completely drawn.

Fig. 8. Network of molecule 22.

Applying KCL at node V_i and after some algebraic manipulations, one can obtain (17).

$$\begin{aligned}
& V_{i,j} \left(\frac{4}{\rho_1} + \frac{4}{\rho_2} \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{\rho_1} V_{i+1,j} - \frac{1}{\rho_1} V_{i,j+1} - \frac{1}{\rho_1} V_{i-1,j} - \frac{1}{\rho_1} V_{i,j-1} \\
& - \frac{1}{\rho_2} V_{i+1,j+1} - \frac{1}{\rho_2} V_{i-1,j+1} \\
& - \frac{1}{\rho_2} V_{i-1,j-1} - \frac{1}{\rho_2} V_{i+1,j-1} \\
& = i_0
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

If we choose $\rho_1 = h^4 \rho_0 / 2$ and $\rho_2 = -h^4 \rho_0$, the potential at node V_i estimates the 2-2 partial derivative of the function $v(x)$ at a given point (Eq. (18)).

$$\frac{\partial^4 v}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} = \rho_0 i_0 \tag{18}$$

The actual resistances are represented by thick vertical and horizontal segments. The eight resistances represented by very long segments can be taken as $r_{\{\text{very long}\}} = \rho_1/2$, while all the other ones (i.e. resistances represented by long and short segments) can be taken as $r_{\{\text{long,short}\}} = \rho_2/2$. The explanation of this choice is identical to that of the molecule 11.

VIII. COMPUTATION OF $\partial_{x^2 y}$ (MOLECULE 21)

The molecule 21 derivative is represented by (19). This molecule is the tensor product of one symmetrical molecule and one asymmetrical molecule (the molecules 20 and 01) and is therefore asymmetrical.

$$\frac{\partial^3 v}{\partial x^2 \partial y} \approx \frac{1}{2h^3} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} (1) & (-2) & (1) \\ (0) & (0) & (0) \\ (-1) & (2) & (-1) \end{array} \right\} \tag{19}$$

To define its electronic circuit, the molecule is splitted into 3 smaller molecules crossing the point (i, j) , the vertical one $(-2, 0, 2)$, and the molecules along the two diagonals $(-1, 0, 1)$. Each submolecule can then be implemented by the same method as the one used for the molecule 10.

A careful drawing of the location of resistances to obtain a periodicity of the cells leads to the network of Fig. 9. Only the center cell is completely drawn to facilitate the reading of the network. The resistance between one of the 9 paths linking the potentials of adjacent cell to $V_{i,j}$ are called ρ_α . The bold index α on the scheme indicates their corresponding path.

Applying KCL at node V_i and after some algebraic manip-

Fig. 9. Network of molecule 21.

ulations, one can obtain (20).

$$\begin{aligned}
& V_{i,j} \left(\frac{1}{r_0} + \frac{2}{\rho_1} + \frac{1}{\rho_2} \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{2}{\rho_3} + \frac{1}{\rho_4} + \frac{2}{\rho_5} + \frac{1}{\rho_6} \right) \\
& + V_{i,j-1} \left(\frac{1}{\rho_2} - \frac{1}{\rho_1} \right) - V_{i,j+1} \left(\frac{1}{\rho_1} \right) \\
& + V_{i-1,j+1} \left(\frac{1}{\rho_4} - \frac{1}{\rho_3} \right) - V_{i+1,j-1} \left(\frac{1}{\rho_3} \right) \\
& + V_{i+1,j+1} \left(\frac{1}{\rho_6} - \frac{1}{\rho_5} \right) - V_{i-1,j-1} \left(\frac{1}{\rho_5} \right) \\
& = i_0
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

If we choose $r_0 = -2h^3/12$ and the sets of ρ_α so that,

$\rho_1 = 2h^3 \rho_0 / 2$	$\rho_2 = 2h^3 \rho_0 / 4$
$\rho_3 = 2h^3 \rho_0$	$\rho_4 = 2h^3 \rho_0 / 2$
$\rho_5 = 2h^3 \rho_0$	$\rho_6 = 2h^3 \rho_0 / 2$

the potential at node V_i estimates the 2-1 partial derivative of the function $v(x)$ at a given point (Eq. (21)).

$$\frac{\partial^3 v}{\partial x^2 \partial y} = \rho_0 i_0 \tag{21}$$

The actual resistances are represented by segments between two dots. The 6 resistances along the paths 1 and 2 can be taken as $r_{\langle 1 \rangle, \langle 2 \rangle} = \rho_1/2$, while the resistances along the paths 3, 4, 5 and 6 can be taken as $r_{\langle 1 \rangle, \langle 2 \rangle, \langle 3 \rangle, \langle 4 \rangle, \langle 5 \rangle, \langle 6 \rangle} = \rho_2/3$. The value of the actual resistances along the paths define, by periodicity, the resistances in the elementary cell.

IX. COMPUTATION OF $\partial_{x^3 y}$ (MOLECULE 31)

The molecule 21 derivative is represented by (22). This molecule is the tensor product of two asymmetrical molecules

(the molecules 30 and 01) and is therefore symmetrical.

$$\frac{\partial^4 v}{\partial x^3 \partial y} \approx \frac{1}{4h^4} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccccc} -1 & 2 & 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -1 \end{array} \right\} \quad (22)$$

The molecule is separated into two submolecules around the center point (i, j) . The first submolecule is the inner one $(-2, 2, -2, 2)$, it can be defined under a circuit form from the circuit of the molecule 11 by inverting and scaling the resistance ρ_α . The circuit of the second molecule, the outer one $(1, -1, 1, -1)$ is drawn on Fig 10. As indicated in the network, ρ_1 correspond to the resistances between the potentials $V_{i,j}$ and $V_{i\pm 2,j\pm 1}$ and ρ_2 are the resistances between the potentials $V_{i\mp 2,j\mp 1}$.

Fig. 10. Network of outer submolecule of molecule 31.

The circuit of the molecule 31 is the “schematic sum” of the network of Fig. 10 and the network of molecule 11 (with resistances renamed ρ'_1 and ρ'_2). A “schematic sum” means that the two circuits must be superposed with separated dots in the cell border, and that these two circuits have the same current source i_0 . Applying KCL at node V_i and after some algebraic manipulations, one can obtain (23).

$$\begin{aligned} V_{i,j} & \left(\frac{2}{\rho_1} + \frac{2}{\rho_2} + \frac{2}{\rho'_1} + \frac{2}{\rho'_2} \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{\rho_1} V_{i+2,j+1} - \frac{1}{\rho_2} V_{i-2,j+1} \\ & - \frac{1}{\rho_1} V_{i-2,j-1} - \frac{1}{\rho_2} V_{i+2,j-1} \\ & - \frac{1}{\rho'_1} V_{i+1,j+1} - \frac{1}{\rho'_2} V_{i-1,j+1} \\ & - \frac{1}{\rho'_1} V_{i-1,j-1} - \frac{1}{\rho'_2} V_{i+1,j-1} = i_0 \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

If we choose $\rho_1 = -4h^4\rho_0$, $\rho_2 = 4h^4\rho_0$ and the corresponding resistances ρ'_1 and ρ'_2 of the molecule 11 so that $\rho'_1 = 4h^2\rho_0/2$ and $\rho'_2 = -4h^2\rho_0/2$, the potential at node V_i estimates the 3-1 partial derivative of the function $v(x)$ at a

given point (Eq. (24)).

$$\frac{\partial^4 v}{\partial x^3 \partial y} = \rho_0 i_0 \quad (24)$$

The actual resistances of Fig. 10 are represented by segments between two dots. The 4 resistances along the paths 1 and 2 can be taken as $r_{\langle 1 \rangle, \langle 2 \rangle} = \rho_1/4$. The value of the actual resistances along the paths define, by periodicity, the resistances in the elementary cell.

X. COMPUTATION OF A PDE

A linear PDE up to the order four can be written under the general form (25). The coefficient ρ_{ij} in front of each derivative is the factor ρ_0 defined in the study of the circuit of the corresponding molecule. The coefficient a_{ij} is simply a multiplicative term. For the PDEs coming from physics (Poisson's equation, deflection of plates, etc...) the ratio a_{ij}/ρ_{ij} is usually an integer.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{a_{00}}{\rho_{00}} V + \frac{a_{10}}{\rho_{10}} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{a_{01}}{\rho_{01}} \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} + \dots + \frac{a_{04}}{\rho_{04}} \frac{\partial^4 V}{\partial y^4} \\ & = i_0(x, y) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

The elementary cell of a linear PDE is obtained by superposing the corresponding cell of each of its terms. This superposition is carried out in the following way:

- The nodes on the boundaries of each collected cell must remain disjoint.
- The voltage nodes $V_{i,j}$, as the current sources i_0 , of all the collected cell must be merged.
- The resistances of each assembled cell must be scaled with the corresponding factor a_{ij} .

In the case of nonlinear PDE, the parts under the form of a product of derivative, could be implemented by multiplying the voltage nodes $V_{i,j}$ of each term and then by connecting the result to the current source i_0 .

XI. BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

Consider for example the deflection of a square clamped plate (26–28) to illustrate the implementation of the boundary conditions. The Dirichlet boundary conditions (27) impose the value of the function along the boundary. The Neumann boundary conditions (28) impose the normal derivative along the boundary.

$$\frac{\partial^4 V}{\partial x^4} + 2 \frac{\partial^4 V}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^4 V}{\partial y^4} = i_0(x, y), \quad (26)$$

$$V(x, y) = V_B \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad (27)$$

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial n}(x, y) = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \quad (28)$$

The cell of the boundary conditions is constructed by doing a “schematic sum” of the boundary cells of each term of the PDE. The cell which implements the Dirichlet boundary conditions is built by simply replacing the current source i_0 of each elementary cell by a constant voltage source v_0 of value V_B . ($v_0 = V_{i,j}$ with i and j null or equal to N in Fig. 11) This imposes the voltage V_B along the boundaries. The cell

of the Dirichlet boundary conditions for the fourth derivative term is given in Fig. 11. These cells are located around a filled square on Fig. 1.

Fig. 11. Cell of the Dirichlet boundary conditions for the fourth derivative term.

The cell which implements the Neumann boundary conditions is built by simply replacing the current source i_0 of each elementary cell by a voltage-controlled voltage source v_{-1} , in the case of condition (28), the VCVS has the value of the voltage node V_1 at the inner side of the boundary. This is the PNR-circuit counterpart of how the finite difference method imposes a null derivative of a function along the boundaries. Other Neumann conditions are built in the same way. The cell of this Neumann boundary conditions for the fourth derivative term is given in Fig. 12. These cells are located around a cross on Fig. 1. Notice that for the cell at the left part of the boundary the resistances r_1 , r_2 and r_3 are not connected and could be removed.

Fig. 12. Cell of the Neumann boundary conditions for the fourth derivative term.

XII. SIMULATION AND COMMENTS

We have developed a program which generates the Spice network corresponding to the chosen finite difference discretisation scheme from a (linear) partial differential equation. The generated file contained the N or N^2 cells of the domain, and the cells at the boundaries to take account of the boundary conditions. All the circuits defined in the previous sections have been independently checked by simulating the PDE:

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha+\beta} v}{\partial x^\alpha \partial y^\beta} = \alpha! \beta!,$$

with $\alpha + \beta \leq 4$ on the domain $\Omega = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, with the right boundary conditions. The Spice solution for the potential $V_{i,j}$ has been compared with the PDE solutions $v(x, y) = x^\alpha y^\beta$ for different mesh sizes. On these academic examples, there is no significant difference between the solutions.

The case $\alpha = \beta = 0$ corresponds to the Molecule 00, which is the trivial molecule $\textcircled{1}$. The unit circuit which “codes” the equation $V_i = \rho_0 i_0$ is given in Fig 13. This case is important, it allows to manage the terms of PDE which involves the function itself (or derivative of order 0 of a function to justify the terminology Molecule 00).

Fig. 13. Network of molecule 00.

XIII. CONCLUSION

We have proposed a concept of periodic network of resistances (PNR) to define electronic circuits which are able to solve PDE. We adopt an electronician point of view, i.e. designing the electronic circuits and simulating them. The matrix equations of our periodic circuit are the same as the corresponding set of finite difference equations to resolve a given PDE. However, the accuracy and the stability of the numerical schemes have to be carefully studied.

By doing a “schematic sum” of some elementary networks defined in the previous sections, it is possible to build the 2D electronics circuit which is a solution of a given linear partial differential equation, for example the plate equation:

$$\frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^4} + 2 \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial y^4} = \frac{P}{D}.$$

Moreover, generalization to non constant coefficient PDE, non-elliptic PDE or PDE with an arbitrary function in the right hand side is faisable by the same method. By using multiplier, even nonlinear PDE could be manageable. All these generalizations suppose implicitly that the finite difference equations relative to the periodic network are mathematically valid.

An example of application of PNR could be the real time control of a system, with a PDE control law implemented under the form of an analog integrated circuit instead of a numerical one. It is difficult to quantify the gain in speed, that may be of a factor 1000.

Of course, a practical implementation of the method described in this paper under the form of integrated circuits is challenging, some of the numerous problems are the integration of the many NIC (Negative Impedance Convertor) to simulate the negative resistances: How to choose the magnitude of the elements ? Should the scaling operate on the resistances or on the current sources ? What is the effect of the scattering of the component values (some finite difference schemes are very sensitive to it, but finite difference schemes deriving from physical equations have “generally” a good behavior).

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Shukla and R. I. Bahar, *Nano, Quantum and Molecular Computing*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2004.
- [2] K. Lehouecq and R. Zuleeg, "Negative resistance of a modified insulated-gate field-effect transistor," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 62, pp. 1163–1165, Aug. 1974.
- [3] H. Takagi and G. Kano, "Complementary jfet negative resistance devices," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuit*, vol. SC-10, pp. 509–515, Dec. 1975.
- [4] L. Chua, "Bipolar-jfet-mosfet negative resistance devices," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst.*, vol. CAS-32, no. 1, pp. 46–61, Jan. 1985.
- [5] C. Toumazou and F. Lidgley, "Current-conveyor basic and applications," in *Proc. IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems (ISCAS 1994)*, London, England, May 30–June 2 1994, pp. 569–585.
- [6] W. Kiranon, C. Loescharataramdee, N. Kiatwarin, and P. Wardkein, "Electronically controlled negative resistance based on translinear circuits," in *Proc. of ISPACS'99*, Phuket, Thailand, Dec. 1999, pp. 645–648.