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RATIONALE: The Amazon River is a huge network of long tributaries, and little is known about the headwaters. Here we present a study of one wet tropical Amazon forest side, and one dry and cold Atiplano plateau, originating from the same cordillera. The aim is to see how this difference affects the water characteristics.

METHODS: Different kind of water (spring, lake, river, rainfall) were sampled to determine their stable isotopes ratios (oxygen 18/16 and hydrogen 2/1) by continuous flow isotope ratio mass spectrometry (IRMS). These ratios coupled with chemical analysis enabled us to determine the origin of the water, the evaporation process and the water recycling over the Amazon plain forest and montane cloud forest.

RESULTS: Our study shows that the water flowing in the upper Madre de Dios basin comes mainly from the foothill humid forest, with a characteristic water recycling process signature, and not from higher glacier melt. On the contrary, the water flowing in the Altiplano Rivers is mainly from glacier melts, with a high evaporation process. This snow and glacier are fed mainly by Atlantic moisture which transits over the large Amazon forest.

CONCLUSIONS: The Atlantic moisture and its recycling over this huge tropical forest display a progressive isotopic gradient, as a function of distance from the ocean. At the level of the montane cloud forest and on the altiplano, respectively, additional water recycling and evaporation occur, but they are insignificant in the total water discharge. Copyright

The Amazon is the biggest river in the world. It originates in the Andes and travels westward about 6400 km to the river mouths on the Atlantic Ocean near the Equator. The surface area of the Amazon rainforest is so vast that it has its own specific physical properties. The first studies of the meteorology and hydrology of the Amazon measured fundamental climatological parameters such as temperature, precipitation, solar radiation, and winds.[1,2]

From various scale analyses, it was calculated that up to 50% of the Amazon precipitation evaporates into the atmosphere, while the remainder flows out via run-off. These results clearly indicate the importance of the forest in maintaining the water balance in the basin.[3] The Amazon’s hydrological recycling originates from non-fractionating sources for stable isotopes in transpiration and canopy re-evaporation. This moisture recycling within the Amazon basin leads to a seasonally averaged gradient of only 1.5‰/1000 km in δ18O values going inland from east to west (i.e. upstream). For comparison, the corresponding gradient is 2‰/1000 km in Europe and elsewhere.[4]

The Amazon basin recycles about half its rainfall, with a water recycling time of about 5.5 days.[7] Although field measurements of isotopes within the canopy[8,9] are somewhat contradictory, the most likely sources of the observed differences are different moisture sources[10] and the fate of water intercepted by the canopy.[11] In a complete simulation of the Amazon’s forest hydrology, the land-surface must correctly partition the moisture fluxes between water evaporation (fractionating), transpiration (non-fractionating), re-evaporated canopy-intercepted rainfall (non-fractionating if complete) and runoff.[12,14]

For the 1965–1990 period, Henderson-Sellers et al. detected statistically significant changes in the water isotopic signatures of central Amazonia.[15] The differences which were observed in deuterium excess were found to be consistent with recent global climate model simulations only if there had been a relative increase in evaporation from non-fractionating water sources over the investigated period.[15] The same authors found no significant change in dry season isotopic characteristics, which completely contradicts earlier predictions of the impact of such land-use changes. They concluded from this that the pre-1990 Amazonian stable isotope record was more consistent with the predictable effects of greenhouse warming (possibly combined with forest removal) than with the model-predicted effects of deforestation alone.

* Correspondence to: L. Lambs, Ecolab UMR 5245 CNRS-UPS-INPT, 118 route de Narbonne, F-31062 Toulouse Cedex, France.
E-mail: luc.lambs@univ-tlse3.fr; luclambs@aol.com
Recent stable water isotope records in the upper Amazon basin, at Izobamba (3058 m above sea level [ASL], Ecuador) and Bogotá (2640 m ASL, Colombia) have been analyzed by McGuffie and Henderson-Sellers.\[10\] In the 1990s and 2000s, significant enhanced depletions for heavy isotopes in wet months were found in both locations. At Izobamba, the largest depletions have recently become still greater, while the smallest depletions have tended to diminish. At Bogotá, wet season depletions have also increased while the dry season results show no change. Hydrological catchment model results compared with the Izobamba data suggest that the recently observed increased isotopic spread could be explained by less non-fractionating evaporation (transpiration and canopy re-evaporation) by the forest canopy. These very recent changes in $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta^{2}H$ signatures demonstrate the impact of deforestation: vegetation removal prompting less recycling and less re-insertion of heavy isotopes into the basin’s hydrological system. Longer records will be needed to distinguish between anthropogenic trends and natural climate variability.

The water fluxes between land and atmosphere are important facets in the spatially and temporally complex hydrological cycle of the Amazon Basin. This cycle also extends to the global scale, as condensational energy (the heat needed to put together droplets in a cloud) released by convective precipitation within the basin has been shown to be of sufficient magnitude to have an impact upon global climate patterns.\[6,17,18]\)

Useful information can also be gained in the tropical glaciers surrounding the Amazon headwaters.\[19\] Highly resolved quantified climate proxies in the tropics can be obtained from the Andean ice cores. This is mainly because the convectively active areas in the tropics are key regions for the global hydrological cycle. In addition, there are fewer long-term meteorological stations at low latitudes than at higher northern latitudes. Determining the causes of ongoing climate shifts is therefore particularly difficult in this part of the world.\[20\] The variability of the isotopic composition of precipitation over tropical South America, with a view to interpreting the Andean ice core records properly, has been discussed by several authors.\[21–23]\) As so many factors affect the isotopic composition there is a real need to obtain information about the isotopic variation on the lower altitude orographic obstacles.

We have studied isotopic water characteristics in the confluence between the Urubamba/Apurimac Rivers upper basin on the Ucayali upper watershed on the Altiplano, and the Madre de Dios River with its tributaries on the Amazon tropical forest side. This area is where continuous wet conditions in western Amazonia (up to 4000 mm/year), and the notable variation in air moisture, occur at the contact zone with the eastern Andes, giving rise to montane cloud forest between 1500 and 3600 m. This climatic phenomenon produces a high diversity hotspot,\[24\] and strongly affects the isotopic composition of the water vapor, as is seen in the local rainfall and river outflows.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Field sites**

Water samples along the Upper Madre de Dios River were taken during an ‘ecoSystems in the Paleogene of AMazonia’ program (SPAM) boat cruise in August 2009, i.e. dry season with low water levels. River samples were gathered from both the Madre de Dios River and its main tributaries, from the village of Atalaya (542 m ASL) down to the city of Puerto Maldonado (196 m ASL). A few river samples were also taken on the way through the Cordillera de Vilcanota, i.e. from Cusco (3040 m ASL) down to Bosque Nublaco (1470 m ASL).

Other water samples were taken on the Altiplano (from 1860 to 3850 m ASL), in order to investigate the waters of (i) the Urubamba and Apurimac valleys, and the Titicaca Lake area (Amazon headwaters), and (ii) the Arequipa area (western Andes: rivers flowing westward down to the Pacific Ocean). The locations are given in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), and the details in Table 1.

**Climatic patterns**

The rainfall data samples used to calculate the local meteoric water line (LMWL) were collected between April and October 2009 along the upper Madre de Dios basin from nine rainfall gauges installed between 190 and 3640 m ASL (see Fig. 1(b)).

The general climatic features of the upper Madre de Dios, in the form of mean annual values, were taken from various weather stations located close to our sampling areas; SENHAMI, Servicio Nacional de Meteorología e Hidrología,\[25\] ABERG, Andes Biodiversity and Ecosystem Research Group,\[26\] Atrium is a database provided by ‘The Botanical Research Institute of Texas’\[27\]. The Peruvian climate has two main seasons: a pronounced dry period during the austral winter (May to September) and a rainy period in the austral summer where 75% of the annual rainfall occurs. The mean annual maximum rainfall (3086 mm) is observed at 950 m, just before the cloud immersion zone from 1500 to 3600 m (MAP: Fig. 2(a)). There is almost no variation in temperature over the season (data not shown), but a mean decrease in temperature of 0.6 °C per 100 m of elevation (MAT: Fig. 2(a)). The high level of air moisture, close to saturation, explains this low value. The mean annual relative humidity (MARH: Fig. 2(b)) remains high at all elevations. By contrast, the mean annual vapor pressure deficit (MAVPD: Fig. 2(b)) clearly delineates the two climatic zones: the lower basin (200–950 m) with high VPD values, and the upper basin (2000–3600 m) with low VPD values and a permanent cloud immersion zone. The 1500 m high zone with a medium VPD value is an intermediate area with no permanent fog or cloud presence.

On the Altiplano, the climate is drier with a mean annual rainfall of 671 mm in Cusco and 576 mm in Puno (Lake Titicaca). Only about 7% of the annual rainfall is received in the dry season (May to September). The mean annual temperature is also low, respectively, 12.3 °C and 9.8 °C. The Pacific coast at the level of Arequipa is the driest part, with less than 100 mm rainfall per year and with a mean temperature of 14.9 °C.

**Stable isotopes**

The waters for isotopic analysis were collected in 10 mL glass vials with secure caps ready to be sent to the isotopic analysis laboratory. The stable isotope composition of water is reported with reference to the Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (V-SMOW) and the Vienna Standard Light Antarctic Precipitation (V-SLAP), in parts per thousand. The definition for oxygen is:
$\delta^{18}O_{\text{v-smow}}(\%) = \left( \frac{^{18}O/^{16}O_{\text{sample}}}{^{18}O/^{16}O_{\text{standard}}} - 1 \right) \times 1000$;

and for deuterium:

$\delta^{2}H_{\text{v-smow}}(\%) = \left( \frac{^{2}H/^{1}H_{\text{sample}}}{^{2}H/^{1}H_{\text{standard}}} - 1 \right) \times 1000$.

These river water measurements were carried out at the Iso-analytical Laboratory, Crewe, UK. For the saltwater samples (e.g., in Maras Spring; Table 1), vacuum distillation was carried out prior to the isotopic analysis. The water samples were measured by continuous flow on a classical Geo20-20 isotope ratio mass spectrometer, after equilibration on an ANCA-GSL preparation module. Both instruments are from PDZ Europa, Crewe, UK. The mass spectrometer is fitted with a long spur flight tube which separates hydrogen from helium in the mass spectrometer. Three working laboratory standards were used: IA-R052, IA-R053 and IA-R054. All are traceable to V-SMOW2/V-SLAP2, the international standards provided by the IAEA, Vienna, Austria. Each water sample was measured in triplicate for each isotope ratio. The overall mean standard deviation (sd) for the $\delta^{18}O$ values was around $\pm 0.1\%$, and the mean sd for the $\delta^{2}H$ values was $\pm 1.0\%$.

The rainfall water measurements were conducted at the Godwin Laboratory, Department of Geosciences, University of Cambridge (Cambridge, UK) using a L1102-I near-infrared laser isotope ratio spectrometer, (Picarro Inc., Sunnyvale, CA, USA). The 2 mL water sample was injected into the vaporization chamber and then passed
into an infrared absorbance cavity. Both isotope ratios can be obtained simultaneously on the same measurement. The isotope ratios were calculated from the spectral absorbance at specific wavelengths using wavelength-scanned cavity ring-down spectroscopy (WS-CRDS). Each sample was injected nine times into the vaporizer. Memory effects from previous samples were avoided by rejecting the first three analyses. Values for the final six injections were averaged with in-run precision of less than ±0.1% for the δ18O values and ±1.0% for the δ2H values (1-standard deviation). Calibration of results to V-SMOW was achieved by analyzing internal standards before and after each set of seven or eight samples. Internal standards were calibrated against V-SMOW2.

The deuterium excess was calculated using the Global Meteorological Water Line (GMWL) as defined by Craig and completed by Dansgaard:

\[
d\text{-excess} = \delta^2H - 8 \times \delta^{18}O.\]

### Ion analysis

The conductivity was measured with a 531 portable ion-meter (Consort, Turnhout, Belgium). The cation and anion concentrations, as well as the organic acids, were determined on a Dionex system (Dionex/Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Sunnyvale, CA, USA) on a separate 0.5 mL sample. For the cations, a DX-500 model was used with a Dionex CS16 5 x 250 mm column. For the anions a DX-120 model was used with a Dionex AS11-HC 4 x 250 mm column.

The alkalinity was obtained from a titration against HCl with a 716 DMS Titrimo titrimeter (Metrohm, Villebon Courtaboeuf, France).

### Table 1. Detail on the water sampling, isotope results and δ-excess calculation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water type</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Altitude (m ASL)</th>
<th>Conductivity (μS)</th>
<th>δ18O V-SMOW (%)</th>
<th>δ2H V-SMOW (%)</th>
<th>d-excess</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>rivelet</td>
<td>Bosque Nublaco</td>
<td>1470</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>-10.47</td>
<td>-76.05</td>
<td>7.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>main river</td>
<td>Rio Madre de Dios 1</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>-10.40</td>
<td>-65.90</td>
<td>17.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>tributary river</td>
<td>Rio Carbone</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>-9.21</td>
<td>-59.14</td>
<td>14.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>tributary river</td>
<td>Rio Aquacaliente</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>-10.19</td>
<td>-66.48</td>
<td>15.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>main river</td>
<td>Rio Madre de Dios 2</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>-9.55</td>
<td>-67.33</td>
<td>9.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>tributary river</td>
<td>Rio Manu</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>-6.14</td>
<td>-50.17</td>
<td>-1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>tributary river</td>
<td>Rio Colorado</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-8.69</td>
<td>-57.94</td>
<td>11.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>tributary river</td>
<td>Rio Los Amigos</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>-5.88</td>
<td>-42.31</td>
<td>4.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>tributary river</td>
<td>Rio Inambari</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>-9.08</td>
<td>-58.07</td>
<td>14.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>tributary river</td>
<td>Rio Tambopata</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>-7.77</td>
<td>-49.49</td>
<td>12.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>main river</td>
<td>Rio Madre de Dios 3</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-8.32</td>
<td>-55.81</td>
<td>10.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>rivelet</td>
<td>Contamana</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>-7.18</td>
<td>-45.44</td>
<td>12.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>main river</td>
<td>Vilcanato (Urubamba)</td>
<td>3040</td>
<td>1249</td>
<td>-14.33</td>
<td>-116.42</td>
<td>-1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>tributary river</td>
<td>tributary of Rio Mapacho</td>
<td>2920</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>-15.21</td>
<td>-119.65</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>tributary river</td>
<td>Rio Yavero</td>
<td>2904</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>-15.71</td>
<td>-122.38</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>tributary river</td>
<td>Rio Ollantaytambo</td>
<td>2862</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>-14.15</td>
<td>-105.88</td>
<td>7.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>tributary river</td>
<td>salty spring</td>
<td>3360</td>
<td>225 per mil</td>
<td>-14.93</td>
<td>-106.33</td>
<td>13.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>main river</td>
<td>Rio Apurimac</td>
<td>1860</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>-15.60</td>
<td>-121.25</td>
<td>3.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>tributary river</td>
<td>rivelet Of Rio Apurimac</td>
<td>1870</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>-13.67</td>
<td>-106.79</td>
<td>2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>spring</td>
<td>spring Isla del Sol</td>
<td>3850</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>-16.04</td>
<td>-119.57</td>
<td>8.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>lake</td>
<td>Titicaca Isla del Sol</td>
<td>3812</td>
<td>1301</td>
<td>-3.91</td>
<td>-51.74</td>
<td>-20.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>river</td>
<td>Rio Chili</td>
<td>2438</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>-15.64</td>
<td>-125.86</td>
<td>-0.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS

Figure 3(a) shows the isotopic pattern of the rainfall during the dry season itself (June to August 2009) and both the interesting transitions of wet to dry (April-May) and dry to wet (September-October). The slope of these three seasons taken in chronological order decreases from 7.2 to 5.0, whereas the intercept increases from 5.5 to 13.4. Nearly all the points are above the Global Meteoric Water Line (GMWL), and these points shift as a function of the season. The overall regression line for these 151 points gives a slope of 7.92 and an intercept of 15.45 with good correlation ($R^2 = 0.99$). This line could be taken as the Local Meteoric Water Line (LMWL) during April to October, which corresponds to 32 to 58% of the total annual rainfall as a function of the altitude. By plotting these points according to altitude strata, we can distinguish the characteristics of the different precipitation regimes, such as those coming from the Amazon basin (190–300 m), and its modification by the cloud forest (1500–3600 m), with a transition zone (950–1500 m). The values found for the lower altitude, i.e. a slope of 7.66 and an intercept of 9.06, are very similar to those of the GMWL, whereas the upper strata values display slightly higher slopes (8.4 and 8.2), but substantially higher intercept values (19.34 and 20.31).

The river water isotopic results are given in Table 1 and in Fig. 3(b). The isotope values decrease with altitude both for the Madre de Dios ($\delta^{18}O = -10.5$ up to $-8.3\%$) and its Andean tributaries ($\delta^{18}O = -10.2$ up to $-7.8\%$), whereas lower altitude tributaries display lower absolute values ($\delta^{18}O = -6.1$ up to $-5.9\%$). From these relatively moderate isotopic values, it is clear that the water feeding these river systems is mainly rainfall. On the other hand, in the dry area of the Altiplano rivers, the more depleted $\delta^{18}O$ values show that more water is coming from snow and glacier melt. For example, the rivers originating in the glacier area of the Cordillera de Vilcanota (Paucartambo and Vilcanoto) and the Cordillera de Vilcabamba (Apurimac) show $\delta^{18}O$ values ranging from $-15.7$ to $-14.3\%$. Some very negative values are also found in two other high altitude sites: a spring on the Lake Titicaca island ($\delta^{18}O = -15.6\%$), which is fed by the Misti glacier.

As seen in Fig. 3(b), most of the Madre de Dios waters are located between the Global Meteoric Water Line (GMWL) and the Local Meteoric Water Line (LMWL) as defined by our rainfall data with a similar slope (7.9 instead of 8.0), but with a higher intercept value (15.5 instead of 10). This can also be seen in Table 1, where the d-excess values range from +12.7 up to +17.3, which is typical of water vapor recycling by the forest. The rivers close to the LMWL are those which originate in higher altitude areas: Madre de Dios1, Rio Carbone, Rio Aqualiente, and Rio Inambari. By contrast, the only two rivers with lower values than the GMWL are tributaries from the left bank of the Madre de Dios River (the Manú and Los Amigos...
rivers). These tributaries come from lower elevation basins and may be subject to evaporation processes.

On the other hand, the rivers from the Altiplano display higher and more depleted values in heavy isotopes due to glacier melts and high altitude precipitations ($\delta^{18}O = -15.7$ up to $-13.7\%$) but with a high evaporation rate due to altitude dryness ($d$-excess $= -1.8$ up to $+7.3$). No river water in this area has conductivity values below 300 $\mu$S/cm. Here, only three points are close to the GMWL and these come from springs or small rivers before any evaporation process can occur: the Río Ollantaytambo, a small tributary of the Urubamba coming from the Vilcanota cordillera, the salty spring in Maras and the spring of Isla del Sol on Lake Titicaca, 470 km further to the south-east. These three values close to the GMWL indicate that the moisture coming from the Amazon forest arrives with almost no evaporation process. The two main tributaries of the Ucayali River (which will become the Amazon River), i.e. the Vilcanota (Urubamba) and Apurimac Rivers, display both high evaporation rates and a high ion load. The water from the Apurimac River is more depleted in heavy isotopes certainly due to the melting water from the Vilcanota (Urubamba) and Apurimac Rivers, displaying higher and more depleted values in heavy isotopes due to the evaporation process. The two main tributaries of the Ucayali River (which will become the Amazon River), i.e. the Rio Madre de Dios sub-basin rivers display the typical low and moderate conductivity of tropical rivers (39–244 $\mu$S/cm), due to both heavy rainfall and weathered bedrocks. By contrast, nearly all the rivers on the Altiplano have moderate to high conductivity values (193–1301 $\mu$S/cm), originating from both soil, evaporation and agricultural activity. Some of the sampled areas contain salt-rich evaporites of marine origin, i.e. halite (NaCl) and gypsum (CaSO₄)[30] and there are also salty springs, such as in Maras, which have been exploited since Inca times. The ion analysis (see Table 2) shows that the Altiplano rivers display an alcaline and a gypsite profile, whereas in the low altitude rivers, calcium carbonate is the predominant form. Different kinds of watersheds can be described using the ternary plots of cations and anions (see Figs. 4(a) and 4(b)), depending on soil geochemistry and anthropogenic activity. The Altiplano river waters plot

The Lake Titicaca water, sampled far from the coast on the Isla del sol (Bolivia), shows the highest evaporation rate. An arrow has been drawn on Fig. 3(b) to display the huge enrichment in heavy isotopes. Although it is coming from the Amazon forest arrives with almost no evaporation process. The pH ranges from 6.5 for the Puerto Maldonado area and 7.0 for the foothills, up to 7.7 for the Altiplano. The Madre de Dios sub-basin rivers display the typical low and moderate conductivity of tropical rivers (39–244 $\mu$S/cm), due to both heavy rainfall and weathered bedrocks. By contrast, nearly all the rivers on the Altiplano have moderate to high conductivity values (193–1301 $\mu$S/cm), originating from both soil, evaporation and agricultural activity. Some of the sampled areas contain salt-rich evaporites of marine origin, i.e. halite (NaCl) and gypsum (CaSO₄)[30] and there are also salty springs, such as in Maras, which have been exploited since Inca times. The ion analysis (see Table 2) shows that the Altiplano rivers display an alcaline and a gypsite profile, whereas in the low altitude rivers, calcium carbonate is the predominant form. Different kinds of watersheds can be described using the ternary plots of cations and anions (see Figs. 4(a) and 4(b)), depending on soil geochemistry and anthropogenic activity. The Altiplano river waters plot

### Table 2. Concentration of anions and cations in mg/L

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>F⁻</th>
<th>Cl⁻</th>
<th>NO₃⁻</th>
<th>SO₄²⁻</th>
<th>NO₂⁻</th>
<th>HCO₃⁻</th>
<th>Na⁺</th>
<th>NH₄⁺</th>
<th>K⁺</th>
<th>Mg²⁺</th>
<th>Ca²⁺</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bosque Nublaco</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.429</td>
<td>0.435</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>21.650</td>
<td>4.460</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.668</td>
<td>1.137</td>
<td>8.491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Río Madre de Dios 1</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>1.384</td>
<td>3.481</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>22.387</td>
<td>6.037</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>2.883</td>
<td>1.750</td>
<td>10.445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Río Carbone</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>2.510</td>
<td>2.908</td>
<td>1.150</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>81.153</td>
<td>9.003</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>2.595</td>
<td>3.465</td>
<td>31.367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Río Aquacaliente</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>1.361</td>
<td>3.720</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>32.348</td>
<td>9.588</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>1.282</td>
<td>9.588</td>
<td>61.727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Río Madre de Dios 2</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>1.394</td>
<td>4.189</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>36.969</td>
<td>4.757</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.199</td>
<td>1.647</td>
<td>14.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Río Manu</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>1.333</td>
<td>3.064</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>143.033</td>
<td>8.380</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>1.203</td>
<td>1.241</td>
<td>11.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Río Colorado</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>1.371</td>
<td>0.907</td>
<td>0.822</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>32.775</td>
<td>9.454</td>
<td>0.681</td>
<td>1.203</td>
<td>1.241</td>
<td>11.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Río Los Amigos</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>1.451</td>
<td>6.079</td>
<td>0.608</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>62.741</td>
<td>7.430</td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td>2.250</td>
<td>1.617</td>
<td>23.965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Río Inambari</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>1.544</td>
<td>3.592</td>
<td>0.641</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>20.138</td>
<td>5.349</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>1.217</td>
<td>1.497</td>
<td>9.679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Río Tambopata</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>1.318</td>
<td>1.421</td>
<td>1.163</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>29.889</td>
<td>5.019</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>1.010</td>
<td>1.736</td>
<td>9.696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Río Madre de Dios 3</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>1.381</td>
<td>2.839</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>47.124</td>
<td>6.775</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.585</td>
<td>2.291</td>
<td>19.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contamana</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>3.542</td>
<td>10.862</td>
<td>0.916</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>165.105</td>
<td>31.998</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>3.953</td>
<td>6.379</td>
<td>69.758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villcanato</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>213.860</td>
<td>1.110</td>
<td>111.289</td>
<td>1.065</td>
<td>79.567</td>
<td>185.200</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>12.403</td>
<td>24.145</td>
<td>142.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colquepata</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>25.710</td>
<td>1.120</td>
<td>22.786</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>80.666</td>
<td>46.974</td>
<td>0.258</td>
<td>2.741</td>
<td>15.366</td>
<td>21.855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paucartambo</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>11.429</td>
<td>4.232</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>50.551</td>
<td>18.524</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>2.773</td>
<td>9.080</td>
<td>54.163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Río Ollantaytambo</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.564</td>
<td>21.430</td>
<td>1.477</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>133.588</td>
<td>105.917</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td>4.537</td>
<td>9.359</td>
<td>87.579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Río Apurimac</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>118.003</td>
<td>0.444</td>
<td>38.423</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>106.009</td>
<td>31.856</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>3.294</td>
<td>11.897</td>
<td>67.437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rivelet of Río Apurimac</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>1.873</td>
<td>22.824</td>
<td>1.310</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>90.302</td>
<td>8.870</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>1.282</td>
<td>9.588</td>
<td>61.727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spring Isla del Sol</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>5.943</td>
<td>0.238</td>
<td>4.688</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>121.098</td>
<td>294.768</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>24.948</td>
<td>38.967</td>
<td>89.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titicaca Isla del Sol</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>313.480</td>
<td>1.479</td>
<td>109.690</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>294.768</td>
<td>375.070</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>5.154</td>
<td>4.538</td>
<td>19.150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
values, depending on the recycling/evaporation process along the elevation. Water samples from the Altiplano are located along the decreasing curve, coinciding with the increased chloride concentration in relation with the decreased d-excess. The springs and small rivers are located on the upper left side (i.e. high d-excess values and low chloride concentrations), whereas the bigger rivers with higher evaporation process are on the lower right side (lower d-excess values and high chloride concentrations).

DISCUSSION

Circulation of the air masses over the Amazon basin

The marine intertropical convergence zone (ITCZ) brings moisture over the Amazon basin via the north-east trade winds. During the wet austral summer time, the moisture transport between the North Amazon basin and the South La Plata basin is enriched by evaportranspiration from the Amazon forest. During the dry autumn, however, moisture can come from the regions such as subtropical South America-Atlantic sector with less moisture content than the Amazon moist tropical air mass.\textsuperscript{[32,33]} Air parcels supplying precipitation to the Cordillera regions for around 10 days in the wet season usually travel over many thousands of kilometers of tropical forest, over which continental recycling of precipitation occurs. This transition time reaches 14 days or more during the dry season, and as such is more affected by this recycling process. The proportion of precipitation recycled to the atmosphere or lost by runoff has been shown to control continental gradients in $\delta^{18}$O values\textsuperscript{[32]} and its variation could affect the rain, snow, and ice composition.\textsuperscript{[33]} Moreover, it has been suggested that processes by which precipitation is recycled (transpiration or evaporation from open water or soil) strongly affect d-excess gradients over the Amazon\textsuperscript{[7,14,16]} and thus possibly the Andean ice core d-excess.

The mechanism of this vapor transit is still in debate as so many factors and different scales can affect it. Nevertheless, some homogeneity can be found between the isotopic rainfall compositions over the central Amazonian Basin at Manaus.\textsuperscript{[5]}

![Figure 5. Relationship between the chloride concentration and the d-excess values in Amazon head river waters.](image)
Equation (1) is really very similar to the GMWL, and Eqns. (2) to (5) have similar higher d-excess values resulting from water recycling through evapo-transpiration and altitudinal effects.

Effect of altitude

The fog forest growing between 1200 and 3600 m also has a clear influence on the water recycling and isotopic values. The hydrology of the tropical montane cloud forest is of a specific character and it is very sensitive to climate change and deforestation. The isotopic signature of fogs tends to be more enriched in the heavier isotopes than that of rain due to differences in condensation temperature and history. In Fig. 6(a), we can see how the d-excess values calculated from our rainfall data in the upper Madre de Dios upper basin increased just below the cloud forest zone from 200 to 1500 m, and after reaching a plateau for the higher wet conditions. Gonfiantini et al.,[36] who studied the effects of altitude on the isotopic composition of tropical rain, found similar results in Bolivia, with d-excess values ranging from 10 to 20, but with a more linear progression between 500 and 5000 m due to the absence of cloud forest.

Figure 6. (a) Patterns of d-excess in rainfall in function of altitude along the upper Madre de Dios basin. The grey area corresponds to the cloud forest zone. (b) West-east transect from Arequipa (Peru) to Macapa (Brazil): evolution of the d-excess values of the river waters. The isotope results from October 2003 (Lambs et al.[38]) are also reported for Obidos, Santarem and Macapa, as well as the glaciers values (Hall Birkos[34]). Graphic not to scale.
Temperature then becomes the major factor. At temperatures below zero, the isotopic fractionation in the subsequent vapor condensation on the ice surface deviates from the equilibrium value because the light water molecules may have higher thermal diffusivity. This effect tends to offset the thermodynamic equilibrium by which the isotopically heavy molecules are preferentially fixed in the condensed phase, and may be responsible for the significant increase of the d-excess values.

As we found good correlation between our rainfall data and river sampling over the Madre de Dios upper basin (see Figs. 3(a) and 3(b)), we attempted to obtain an overview of the isotopic gradient along the east–west gradient (see Fig. 6(b)), as suggested from the moisture circulation patterns of Garreau et al. The δ18O values and d-excess are plotted from Macapa (Atlantic Ocean) to Arequipa (Pacific Ocean) in dry season conditions, more or less along the axis of the Madeira River (see Fig. 6(b)). Our own values on the lower Amazon basin from October 2003 were also added, as well as the characteristics of the Quelccaya and Coropuna glacier areas taken from Hall Birkos. These isotopic glacier data are average values over the 1900–2003 period. From east to west, we can see the δ18O value decreasing from −3.5 down to −8.3‰, showing in turn the increasing inflow of altitude water. The d-excess is close to the GMWL values of 10 from Obidos up to Puerto Maldonado. In the upper part of the Madre de Dios basin, the Madre de Dios River itself and its tributaries display δ18O values around 10% and d-excess values ranging between +14.5 and +17.3 (see Table 1). Surprisingly, the isotopic ice composition from the two glaciers located on both edges of the Altiplano plateau is similar. Their high δD/δ18O slope could indicate the lack of any evaporation/sublimation process during the snow deposit issued from the water vapor coming over the Amazon basin. In fact, the sublimation removes the top ice layer, and thus the enrichment is not seen. However, more recent work has shown that in the Coropuna glacier area, although most of the moisture originates from the tropical Atlantic Ocean, some sporadic marine aerosol can input from the Pacific Ocean. It is also worth noting the high d-excess value (+13.1) found for the Maras Spring in the Vicabamba foothills. All of this suggests a relative homogeneity for the vapor air mass over the Altiplano plateau. Accordingly, the high evaporation rates found for the Apurimac and Vilcanota/Urubamba rivers do not originate from rain or snowfall itself, but from later snow melting and water circulation. By contrast, the low d-excess value (~0.7) from the Chili River, sampled above Arequipa, shows how distinctive is the dry and warm climate along the Pacific coast.

Lake Titicaca is a special case due to its high rate of evaporation (up to 2000 mm/year) with less negative isotopic values (δ18O = −3.9‰) and high d-excess values (over 20). In comparison at a similar altitude, the rainfall over the city of La Paz in Bolivia (3660 m) displays a mean value over 10 years of δ18O = −12‰ and d-excess = +12.40 Paredes and Gonfaudiatin report that 95% of the water from Lake Titicaca is lost by evaporation, and that the nearby groundwater displays a δ18O value of around −15‰, not far from our values on the Isla del Sol spring (~16‰).

CONCLUSIONS

In spite of contrasting conditions between the two watersheds, the post-evaporation processes of the Altiplano do not really influence the isotopic composition of the overall Amazon River as the discharge from this dry altitude plateau (200 to 800 mm/year) is almost completely overshadowed by the rainfall (2000 to 6000 mm/year), the large Andean foothills and low latitude basin, where the numerous Amazon tributaries become large stream rivers. The wide Amazon basin is where the Atlantic humid air masses move and mix with the evaporated vapor of the “varzeas” (flooded low-land) and the vapor recycling by the evapo-transpiration process of the huge tropical forest. Most of the heavy precipitation falls on the Andean foothills, and here also the vegetation plays a key role with the montane cloud forest and its permanent fog conditions. The remaining moisture falls on the arid Altiplano plateau, where severe evaporation processes occur due to altitude and coldness.
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