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Abstract. The main goal of project DUNE was to estimate the impact of atmospheric deposition on an oligotrophic ecosystem based on mesocosm experiments simulating strong atmospheric inputs of eolian mineral dust.

Our mesocosm experiments aimed at being representative of real atmospheric deposition events onto the surface of oligotrophic marine waters and were an original attempt to consider the vertical dimension after atmospheric deposition at the sea surface.

This introductory paper describes the objectives of DUNE and the implementation plan of a series of mesocosm experiments conducted in the Mediterranean Sea in 2008 and 2010 during which either wet or dry and a succession of two wet deposition fluxes of 10 g m\textsuperscript{-2} of Saharan dust have been simulated based on the production of dust analogs from erodible soils of a source region. After the presentation of the main biogeochemical initial conditions of the site at the time of each experiment, a general overview of the papers published in this special issue is presented. From laboratory results on the solubility of trace elements in dust to biogeochemical results from the mesocosm experiments and associated modeling, these papers describe how the strong simulated dust deposition events impacted the marine biogeochemistry. Those multidisciplinary results are bringing new insights into the role of atmospheric deposition on oligotrophic ecosystems and its impact on the carbon budget. The dissolved trace metals with crustal origin – Mn, Al and Fe – showed different behaviors as a function of time after the seeding. The increase in dissolved Mn and Al concentrations was attributed to dissolution processes. The observed decrease in dissolved Fe was due to scavenging on sinking dust particles and aggregates. When a second dust seeding followed, a dissolution of Fe from the dust particles was then observed due to the excess Fe binding ligand concentrations present at that time. Calcium nitrate and sulfate were formed in the dust analog for wet deposition following evapocondensation with acids for simulating cloud processing by polluted air masses under anthropogenic influence. Using a number of particulate tracers that were followed in the water column and in the sediment traps, it was shown that the dust composition evolves after seeding by total dissolution of these salts. This provided a large source of new dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) in the surface waters. In spite of this dissolution, the typical inter-elemental ratios in the particulate matter, such as Ti/Al or Ba/Al, are not affected during the dust settling, confirming their values as proxies of lithogenic fluxes or of productivity in sediment traps. DUNE experiments have clearly shown the potential for Saharan wet deposition to...
modify the in situ concentrations of dissolved elements of biogeochemical interest such as Fe and also P and N. Indeed, wet deposition yielded a transient increase in dissolved inorganic phosphorus (DIP) followed by a very rapid return to initial conditions or no return to initial conditions when a second dust seeding followed. By transiently increasing DIP and DIN concentrations in P- and N-starved surface waters of the Mediterranean Sea, wet deposition of Saharan dust can likely relieve the potential P and/or N limitation of biological activity; this has been directly quantified in terms of biological response. Wet deposition of dust strongly stimulated primary production and phytoplanktonic biomass during several days. Small phytoplankton (< 3 µm) was more stimulated after the first dust addition, whereas the larger size class (> 3 µm) significantly increased after the second one, indicating that larger-sized cells need further nutrient supply in order to be able to adjust their physiology and compete for resource acquisition and biomass increase. Among the microorganisms responding to the atmospheric inputs, diazotrophs were stimulated by both wet and dry atmospheric deposition, although N₂ fixation was shown to be only responsible for a few percent of the induced new production. Dust deposition modified the bacterial community structure by selectively stimulating and inhibiting certain members of the bacterial community. The microbial food web dynamics were strongly impacted by dust deposition. The carbon budget indicates that the net heterotrophic character (i.e., ratio of net primary production to bacteria respiration < 1) of the tested waters remained (or was even increased) after simulated wet or dry deposition despite the significant stimulation of autotrophs after wet events. This indicates that the oligotrophic tested waters submitted to dust deposition are a net CO₂ source. Nonetheless, the system was able to export organic material, half of it being associated with lithogenic particles through aggregation processes between lithogenic particles and organic matter. These observations support the “ballast” hypothesis and suggest that this “lithogenic carbon pump” could represent a major contribution of the global carbon export to deep waters in areas receiving high rates of atmospheric deposition. Furthermore, a theoretical microbial food web model showed that, all other things being equal, carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus stoichiometric mismatch along the food chain can have a substantial impact on the ecosystem response to nutrient inputs from dusts, with changes in the biomass of all biological compartments by a factor of ~2–4, and shifts from net autotrophy to net heterotrophy. Although the model was kept simple, it highlights the importance of stoichiometric constrains on the dynamics of microbial food webs.

1 Context and objectives

Nutrient cycling controls in part the efficiency of the biological carbon pump through which CO₂ is consumed in ocean surface waters and transported to the deep sea as sinking particulate organic carbon (Moore et al., 2013). The interactions between atmosphere and ocean play a key role if we are to understand processes governing nutrient cycles in the ocean and to which extent climate change plays a role in this scheme. In this context, the scientific community pays special attention to atmospheric input of nutrients, which can alter community structure and nutrient cycling in the oceans and therefore modify the efficiency of the ocean to store atmospheric CO₂ (Law et al., 2013). Atmospheric deposition is now well recognized as a significant source of Fe and other nutrients for surface waters of the global remote ocean (Duce et al., 1991; Jickells et al., 2005; Moore et al., 2013) as well as of the Mediterranean (e.g., Loÿe-Pilot et al., 1990; Bergametti et al., 1992; Quétel et al., 1993; Ridame and Guieu, 2002; Bonnet and Guieu, 2006, Guieu et al., 2010a, Markaki et al., 2010). An unresolved issue in ocean and climate sciences is whether changes in the atmospheric input to the surface ocean can alter the flux of carbon to the deep ocean (Law et al., 2013). This question is of particular interest in the Mediterranean region, a regional hot spot of the global climate change (Giorgi et al., 2006), whereas for the global ocean, a positive trend in both sea surface and deep-water temperatures is being experienced (MERMEX Group 2011).

While the impact of Fe on productivity has been recognized in high-nutrient, low-chlorophyll (HNLC) oceanic regions through both bioassay experiments (Martin et al., 1994), mesoscale artificial Fe fertilization experiments (see the syntheses by de Baar et al., 2005, and Boyd et al., 2007) and natural Fe fertilization (Blain et al., 2007), the ecological and biogeochemical effects of atmospheric dust-derived Fe and macronutrients (N, P) in oligotrophic – i.e., low-nutrient, low-chlorophyll (LNLCl) – environments are still poorly understood and resulting C export via fertilization and aggregation processes is not quantified. And yet, these LNLCl oceanic regions represent 60 % of the global ocean (Longhurst et al., 1995) and over 50 % of the global oceanic carbon export (Emerson et al., 1997).

The Mediterranean Sea is a typical LNLCl region, particularly well suited to tackle the question of the role of atmospheric input: it is an oligotrophic, quasi-enclosed basin that receives a noticeable amount of desert dust considering its rather small surface (~2.5 × 10⁶ km²) compared to other oceanic areas (Table 1).

In the western Mediterranean region, mineral dust is mainly transported from the Sahara in the form of strong pulses (e.g., Loÿe-Pilot et al., 1986; Bergametti et al., 1989a and b; Moulin et al., 1998; Guerzoni et al. 1999). Loÿe-Pilot and Martin (1996) report annual mineral dust deposition fluxes from 4 to 26.2 g m⁻² (mean 12.5 g m⁻² yr⁻¹) between 1984 and 1994, with events larger than 0.5–1 g m⁻².
driving the annual fluxes and thus the interannual variability (Fig. 1). In 1984, 40% of the annual flux fell within three days, and 80% within three days in 1986. According to the same authors, this deposition is mainly (95%) associated with wet deposition and may occur only with a few drops of rain, meaning that a high amount of dust can be deposited in timescales of minutes (for example on 17 October 1993, they report a 2.4 g m⁻² flux coming with only a few drops of rain evaporating immediately). The same orders of magnitude were found in more recent observations reported in Ternon et al. (2010), with an average annual dust flux over 4 years (2003–2007) of 11.4 g² yr⁻¹ controlled by wet deposition. The major deposition events reported in the northwestern Mediterranean, amounting to about 22 g m⁻², were observed at Cap Ferrat, French Riviera, on 26 February 2004 (22.2 g m⁻² corresponding to 88% of the annual dust deposition that year; Bonnet and Guieu, 2006) and at Ostriconi in Corsica (21.9 g m⁻² corresponding to 80% of the annual dust deposition that year; Guieu et al., 2010a).

At the DYFAMED (DYnamique des Flux Atmosphériques en MEDiterranée) site in the remote northwestern Mediterranean, the sedimentation flux from surface waters of particulate manganese (Mn) and aluminum (Al) corresponds to the atmospheric deposition flux on an annual timescale (Davies and Buat-Ménard, 1990), and most of the Fe associated with organic matter sinking from surface waters is provided by atmospheric input (Quétel et al., 1993). Moreover, the Mediterranean Basin continuously receives anthropogenic aerosols from industrial and domestic activities from populated areas around the basin and other parts of Europe, as well as seasonal inputs from biomass burning (Remoudaki et al., 1991a, b; Mignon et al., 1991; Bergametti et al., 1992; Guieu et al., 2005, 2010a). As described earlier (MERMEX Group, 2011), the mixing of natural dust aerosols with anthropogenic aerosols might have a positive fertilization effect on biota by bringing both bioavailable N and P to the Mediterranean surface waters, particularly during the stratification period. Indeed, when the water column is stratified and characterized by low primary productivity, phosphate and nitrate concentrations are very low (under detection limits of standard methods, namely 20 and 30 nM, respectively) and biological activity in the surface waters encounters nitrate limitation and nitrate–phosphate co-limitation (Tanaka et al., 2011). Concerning dissolved Fe (DFe), it becomes depleted after the spring bloom and could also temporarily affect productivity with concentrations as low as 0.2 nM (Sarthou and Jeandel, 2001; Bonnet and Guieu, 2006). Throughout the strong thermal stratification period during summer and fall, a sharp thermocline (10–20 m deep; D’Ortenzio et al., 2005) associated with an efficient pycnocline acts as a physical barrier to vertical transfers, with extremely low diffusion. Moreover, horizontal advection has been shown to be weak in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea (i.e., Andersen and Prieur, 2000). The upwelling of dissolved elements from depth is thus reduced during the stratified period, and the atmosphere becomes the main pathway for supply to the surface layer of both dissolved N (as shown, for example, during the BOUM cruise in the western Mediterranean; Moutin and Prieur, 2012), P (e.g., Loïe-Pilot et al., 1990; Bergametti et al., 1992; Migon and Sandroni 1999; Herut et al., 1999; Kouvarakis et al., 2001; Ridame and Guieu, 2002; Markaki et al., 2003; Krom et al., 2004) and Fe (Guieu et al., 2002a, 2006). Using Quétel’s (1991) data, Dulac et al. (1996) illustrate the strong impact of a Saharan dust deposition event on the particulate Fe concentration profile in the surface layer at the DYFAMED station in the northwestern Mediterranean. Through theoretical calculation and/or bioassay experiments, it has been shown that those inputs can impact both heterotrophic (Thingstad et al., 2005; Pulido-Villena et al., 2008) and autotrophic (including diazotrophs) production in the Mediterranean Sea (Klein et al., 1997; Mignon and Sandroni 1999; Ridame and Guieu, 2002; Bonnet et al., 2005; Ridame et al., 2011; Ternon et al., 2011), underlining their capacity to relieve the macro- and micro-nutrient limitations encountered in this area. Other effects different from direct fertilization effects, such as particulate organic carbon (POC) fluxes’ mediation by organic–mineral aggregation, have been shown to be significant in the Mediterranean Sea: for example, the extreme Saharan event of February 2004, representing a dust flux on the order of

Table 1. Mineral dust deposition to the ocean.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Tg yr⁻¹</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Atlantic</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>Jickells et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Ocean</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>Jickells et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Pacific</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Jickells et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean Sea</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Guerzoni et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Pacific</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Jickells et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Atlantic</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Jickells et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arctic Ocean</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>Shevchenko and Lisitzin (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World ocean</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>Mahowald et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Ten-year time series of dust deposition in Corsica showing the contribution of events with dust deposition higher than 0.5 g m⁻² and 1 g m⁻² to the total annual Saharan dust deposition (reproduced from Loïe-Pilot and Martin, 1996).
22 g m$^{-2}$ in some locations in Corsica and the French Riviera (Bonnet and Guieu, 2006; Ternon et al., 2010), resulted in export at 200 m in the Ligurian Sea (DYFAMED station) representing 45% of the total annual POC, compared to an average of 25% for the whole bloom period that same year. This emphasizes the need to understand the role played by such high Saharan dust deposition in the POC export efficiency both thanks to increased production induced by the input of new nutrients and through organic–mineral aggregation and ballast effect in a dust-rich area such as the northwestern Mediterranean Sea. In this context, the main objective of DUNE was to follow the impacts of a well-characterized mineral dust atmospheric input on an oligotrophic ecosystem in order to answer the following questions:

- How does the introduction of atmospheric particles impact the cycle of chemical elements of major biogeochemical interest such as C, P, N and Fe?
- What is the response of viruses, bacteria, phytoplankton and zooplankton in terms of abundance, activity and diversity?
- How is the temporal pattern of the particulate export modified by an intense and brief introduction of atmospheric particles?
- Do dry and wet depositions act in the same way?
- Do deposition fluxes of similar magnitude and duration result in similar impacts, and if so, and why?

In spite of previous efforts, answers to the question of the biological response in LNLC regions and, in particular, in the Mediterranean Sea to atmospheric inputs are still fragmented. To cover this gap, within the DUNE project, we proposed to study the effect of atmospheric input on the oligotrophic Mediterranean ecosystem through artificial mineral dust additions over large in situ mesocosms representative of a significant body of the surface waters in perfectly controlled conditions and taking into account the vertical dimension of the processes involved after dust deposition: such an approach is indeed the strength of the project and was possible thanks to the strong and effective partnership between atmospheric and oceanic scientist partners of DUNE (Guieu et al., 2010b).

2 The mesocosm strategy

Our experiments rely on seeding of northern African mineral dust in mesocosms in order to reproduce an intense deposition event and to follow its biogeochemical impact in marine surface waters over about a week. Microcosm experiments are commonly used to study the impact of atmospheric deposition on the biogeochemistry of surface waters (Guieu et al., 2014b, and references within). Because of the small water volumes involved in the microcosm approach (usually a few liters at maximum), confinement issues can rapidly occur, and thus the experimental duration is often a limit (usually 2 days and at most 6 days (Guieu et al., 2014b)). Such small volumes also limit the number of parameters that can be measured in stocks and fluxes. Another important limit is that microcosms rely on a homogeneously distributed concentration of added aerosols. Mesocosms present the advantage of enabling studies of processes both as a function of depth and time while the atmospheric particles are sinking. Indeed, due to the significant particulate flux entering the ocean (in particular, a desert dust deposition event), one can expect that there will be different processes such as adsorption/desorption, release of new nutrients, biological uptake and aggregation processes that will take place concurrently during the course of the particles’ descent. During DUNE, mesocosm sampling at different depths in a quick sequence allowed us to measure a number of stocks (including nutrients, trace metals, phytoplankton, zooplankton and heterotrophic bacteria biomass concentrations) and fluxes (primary production, bacterial respiration, N$_2$ fixation, export of particulate organic matter). Figure 2 summarizes all the measurements that were performed in the mesocosms at different depths of the captured column water thanks to the large volume considered (52 m$^3$ in this work).

2.1 Representativity of the simulated flux

As detailed in the introduction, the mean annual dust deposition flux in Corsica during the period 1984–1994 was 12.5 g m$^{-2}$ and was shown to be mainly attributed to pulses $> 1$ g m$^{-2}$ (Loïé-Pilot and Martin, 1996; Fig. 1). Strong events ($\sim 22$ g m$^{-2}$) with a short duration have been recorded in this region more recently in 2001 (Guieu et al., 2010a) and 2004 (Bonnet and Guieu, 2006). During DUNE, we chose to mimic a high, but still realistic, Saharan dust deposition event of 10 g m$^{-2}$ into the mesocosms.

2.2 Producing dust analog

In order to reproduce a real Saharan dust deposition by means of a controlled seeding in seawater, it was first necessary to produce hundreds of grams of particulate material identical to the aerosols being deposited at the surface of the ocean. This was achieved by experimental simulation of (i) the production of desert aerosols and (ii) chemical aging mimicking their transport and cloud processing in the atmosphere (Guieu et al., 2010b). Wet and dry depositions were mimicked during different experiments as there is so far no knowledge/evidence of potential differences regarding the impact of those different types of deposition on the biogeochemistry. The detailed methodology has been published in Guieu et al. (2010b) and only a short summary is presented here.

Mineral dust aerosol particles are mainly produced by sandblasting of clay-rich alluvial soils (Prospero et al., 2002).
Superficial soils were collected with clean plastic materials in a mineral dust source area of southern Tunisia, a region known to be a main source of mineral dust in the northwestern Mediterranean (Bergametti et al., 1989a, b). The bulk erodible soil material used to produce added dust in the DUNE experiments was collected at relatively close sites in the same dry river bed system southeast of the Chott el Djerid during two sampling campaigns in 2007 and 2009, and the dust produced has very similar characteristics. For elimination of the free sand fraction we used a 3 mm mesh, and the crusted clay pieces remaining were dried, crushed and sieved below 20 µm in order to reproduce the mechanical action of eolian sandblasting that breaks the clay aggregates to mobilize fine particles (Fig. 3). A total of more than 3 kg of fine mineral dust was produced. A fraction was further processed to reproduce chemical aging by cloud water processing. Dust was mixed with acidic model cloud water and evaporated in a clean room (Guieu et al., 2010b). Sulfuric, nitric and oxalic acids were used to simulate air masses under anthropogenic influence that encounter Saharan air masses in front of eolian situations typical of dust transport over the Mediterranean (Moulin et al., 1998). The so-called evapocondensed dust (EC dust) and original dust (non-EC dust) fractions were used to simulate wet and dry deposition, respectively. The size distribution of both fractions was quite similar, with a particle number distribution peak at ∼0.10 µm in diameter and a particle volume distribution peak at ∼10 µm (Guieu et al., 2010b). The volume distribution could be fitted with three modes at about 1.6, 6.2 and 12 µm that are observed to be produced by eolian erosion of arid soils (Alfaro et al., 1998). The mineralogical composition of the produced dust was found to be dominated by quartz (∼40 %), calcite (∼30 %) and clay (25 %), and the chemical composition was found to be typical of mineral dust aerosols from this region, with some enrichment in Si relative to Al, but with Fe and P concentrations typical for Saharan dust. Dust processing with model cloud water resulted in a significant addition of N compared to initial dust (from ∼0.1 to ∼1.4 %, Table 2), attributed to the reactivity of calcite with inorganic acids (Guieu et al., 2010b) as confirmed by a net loss of C (Table 2) that likely results from the reactivity of carbonates with inorganic acids. As discussed in further detail in Guieu et al. (2010b), the size distribution and chemical composition of both EC and non-EC dust analogs therefore appear appropriate to reproduce dry and wet deposition of mineral dust in the northwestern Mediterranean.

2.3 Large clean mesocosms

The second part of the methodological development concerned the actual conception of seeding experiments in large clean mesocosms. With a list of specifications (for example, those systems should be transportable and entirely consist of plastic material to avoid any contamination regarding the expected low concentrations of nutrients, etc.), the DUNE team worked on the concept of holding structures, an enclosure (52 m³), sampling systems and anchoring. The detailed methodological approaches have been published in Guieu et al. (2010b). The mesocosms consisted of large bags made of two 500 µm thick films of polyethylene mixed with vinyl acetate (EVA, 19 %) with nylon meshing in between to allow maximum resistance and light penetration (produced by HAikonene KY, Finland). They were 2.3 m in diameter and 12.5 m in height for the cylindrical part, and 2.2 m height for the conical part at the bottom (surface is 4.15 m² and total volume 52 m³). In order to preserve the structure of the surface waters and work in clean condition as much as possible while filling the bags, the bags consisted of two parts: (1) a main cylinder (2.3 m diameter) ending with a diameter tapering down to 1.5 m and (2) a bottom cone (Fig. 4).
Table 2. Composition of some key elements in the dust used for seedings during DUNE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Non-processed representative of dry deposition used for DUNE-1-Q exp. (1)</th>
<th>Evapocondensed representative of wet deposition used for DUNE-1-P exp. (1)</th>
<th>Evapocondensed representative of wet deposition used for DUNE-2-R1 and DUNE-2-R2 exp. (2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P (%)</td>
<td>0.044 ± 0.009</td>
<td>0.045 ± 0.015</td>
<td>0.055 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe (%)</td>
<td>2.28 ± 0.19</td>
<td>2.31 ± 0.04</td>
<td>2.26 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.19 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C %</td>
<td>6.75 ± 0.01</td>
<td>5.35 ± 0.06</td>
<td>5.08 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Guieu et al. (2010b)
(2) Desboeufs et al. (2014)

Fig. 4. Pictures of the mesocosms underwater during DUNE-1 and DUNE-2 illustrating the different aspects of the specific mesocosm design developed during DUNE. The cone at the bottom is installed underwater by two divers 24 h after the top piece has been deployed from the surface: the two PVC sandwiches are joined with plastic screws (photo: D. Luquet, OOV).

In order to strengthen the main cylinder and to adequately maintain the cylindrical shape for the duration of the experiment, the whole structure was rigidified thanks to large rings made from 40 mm diameter PE tubes: for the DUNE-1 experiment (in 2008), five such rings were installed at different levels inside horizontal tunnels of the main part of the bag and kept in place by PVC parts inside the bag. To improve the design, for DUNE-2 (in 2010), no more PVC parts were placed in the interior of the bag and instead two additional PE tube rings were put over the body of the bag (Fig. 4).

At the bottom of the main cylinder and at the top of the cone, two PVC circles (8 cm in width) were installed, thereby sandwiching the plastic (Fig. 4, bottom-left panel). The main cylindrical part of the mesocosms was deployed first: the bags, secured by three small elastic ropes, had four small ballasts temporarily attached to the PVC circle. The elastic ropes were released simultaneously, allowing the main cylinder to gently, but rapidly (~10 min), deploy vertically with the assistance of one diver, who remained away from inside areas of the bag at the level of the PVC circle. The entire operation of successively deploying the six bags took less than two hours. Once the main cylinder was deployed, it was left open for 24 h in order to stabilize the water mass inside. This step was also a way to remove possible particles that could have been stuck to the plastic from the time of its fabrication. After 24 h, divers attached the conical bottom of the cylinder by screwing together (plastic screws) the two PVC sandwiches. During the entire installation, the divers followed instructions to remain away from the inside areas of the bags in order to minimize disturbance of the captured waters, particularly from air bubbles. At the base of the mesocosm, a very simple sediment trap system allowed the divers to rapidly change the traps (Fig. 4). The PE structures holding the bags, and on which the mooring was attached, were also made with PE tubes 40 mm in diameter) (Fig. 5). Each bag was held at several points at the level of the upper ring and at the level of the ring just below the surface of the sea: this allowed us to avoid tension being applied directly to the bags.

The structures were moored using only non-metallic material (except for the screw anchors installed at the sea floor 25–30 m deep). Each group of three structures was moored using three anchor screws installed 120° from each other and connected to sub-surface buoys, which were themselves linked to surface buoys.

In order to exclude the possibility that a “real” deposition event could disturb the experiment, the mesocosms were covered (Fig. 5e). The cover made of transparent PVC material was designed to let the most light possible reach the body of water inside the mesocosm. Measurements of the absorption spectrum (J. Ras, personal communication, 2007)
have indicated that this PVC material absorbs light in the UV wavelengths but not in the visible wavelengths. These covers were elevated to 10 cm above the top of the mesocosms, allowing air to circulate so as to avoid a confinement effect in the trapped water. Indeed, comparison of the temperature measured continuously inside and outside the mesocosm showed that temperature inside the mesocosms was 3% higher than outside at maximum and 2% lower at minimum (Fig. 6).

2.4 Seeding the mesocosm

The dust was spread at the surface of the mesocosms using entirely plastic, 4 L (high-density polyethylene) sprayers (only the sprayer extension was made of a carbon tube): these devices were acid-cleaned (HCl 5%) and rinsed thoroughly (with ultra-pure water) before use in the field. In the field, and just before the seeding, the evapocondensed dust was mixed with 2 L of ultra-pure water (wet deposition experiment: DUNE-1-P and DUNE-2-R), and the non-processed dust was mixed with 2 L of seawater (dry deposition experiment: DUNE-1-Q). Overall, the time required to prepare the dust solution and to seed the three mesocosms was 40 min.

In conclusion, the complete setup was a solid mooring capable of absorbing the sea swell while maintaining a supple and strong structure and ensuring that no tension was applied directly to the bags. Great precaution was taken to avoid any type of contamination (material used, designs, filling, sampling, etc.), making the DUNE mesocosm an ideal setup to study the effect of atmospheric deposition in a LNLC ecosystem. Indeed, as shown by Guieu et al. (2010b), statistical analyses on the parameters measured during DUNE-1 gave strong evidence for the representativeness of the water trapped inside the mesocosms, indicating the suitability of the applied methodology as the presence of the bag did not modify the natural biogeochemical conditions. Statistical analyses (Guieu et al., 2010b) show that data from the three DUST mesocosms that received the same treatment are consistent.
Sampling the mesocosms. Each cluster of three mesocosms is simultaneously sampled on a plastic platform by a team of two scientists. Overall a sampling sequence duration was less than two hours (photo: D. Luquet OOV).

Fig. 7. Sampling the mesocosms. Each cluster of three mesocosms is simultaneously sampled on a plastic platform by a team of two scientists. Overall a sampling sequence duration was less than two hours (photo: D. Luquet OOV).

highly reproducible (variation coefficient < 30%) and that there is no significant difference between data obtained from CONTROL mesocosms and data obtained outside the mesocosms. Another very important aspect is that there was no observed chemical contamination.

2.5 Two mesocosm experiments

Artificial seedings over large mesocosms have been realized during two campaigns in the Scandola preservation area in Corsica: the DUNE-1 experiment in 2008 and the DUNE-2 experiment in 2010. The experimental site in the Scandola preservation area – a typical LNLC area – (where the deployments took place) is also described in Guieu et al. (2010b). The implementation for the two experiments is summarized in Fig. 8.

During DUNE-1 in June 2008 we performed two distinct 8-day experiments: first, a simulation of a Saharan wet deposition event (named “DUNE-1-P”), and second, a simulation of a Saharan dry deposition event (named “DUNE-1-Q”). Mesocosms were emptied and redeployed between the two experiments: for that purpose, at the end of the first experiment, the bottom cones were unscrewed by divers, leaving the base of the mesocosms open. Thanks to lift bags installed at the base of the bags, the main cylinder could be completely lifted to the surface. This resulted in the entire volume of water trapped inside rapidly emptying out of the cylinder, while the top of the mesocosms remained attached to the holding structure. The bags were then ballasted to fill them again, and 24 h after this operation, the bottom cones were reattached underwater by divers. To eliminate any possibility of a memory effect between DUNE-1-P and DUNE-1-Q, the groups of seeded mesocosms were alternated. Indeed, as shown by the statistical tests in Guieu et al. (2010b), initial conditions inside and outside the mesocosms were identical.

DUNE-1-P and DUNE-1-Q consisted in the deployment of three mesocosms, named “CONTROL mesocosms”, not subjected to any dust addition and used as a reference, and three mesocosms, hereafter named “DUST mesocosms”, receiving a dust addition corresponding to a dust flux of 10 g m\(^{-2}\). The wet deposition event during DUNE-1-P (11–18 June 2008) was mimicked by seeding EC dust over the DUST mesocosms, and the dry deposition event during DUNE-1-Q (20–27 June 2008) was mimicked by seeding non-EC dust.

During DUNE-2 in 2010 (26 June–9 July 2010): we performed a single 16-day experiment (named “DUNE-2-R”) that consisted of two successive dust wet deposition simulations (using the same amount of EC dust) with 7 days between each seeding (i.e., “R1” and “R2”). DUNE-2-R consisted in the deployment of three CONTROL mesocosms (no dust addition) and four DUST mesocosms (each with dust addition corresponding to a dust flux of 10 g m\(^{-2}\)), three of them being devoted to biogeochemical studies like in DUNE-1-P and DUNE-1-Q, the additional mesocosm being dedicated to optical measurements. This strategy of two successive seedings was decided following DUNE-1 results. Indeed, Wagener et al. (2010) showed that dust addition during DUNE-1-P was followed by a decrease of DFe concentration likely due to DFe scavenging on settling dust particles, giving evidence that large dust deposition events may be a sink for surface ocean dissolved iron. Such an effect has also been reported for dissolved thorium (Lambert et al., 1991). Combining the mesocosm experiment with a batch dissolution experiment, Wagener et al. (2010) then showed that after biological activity was enhanced following dust addition and Fe-binding ligands have been produced, then DFe increased. Although two successive deposition events of this importance have, to our knowledge, not been reported, this strategy was planned in order to explore how dust deposition impacts biogeochemistry under different in situ biogeochemical initial conditions.

In addition, water outside of the mesocosms was sampled during the course of all the experiments for reference.
The bulk erodible soil material used to produce added dust was collected in 2007 (and used for the DUNE-P and Q experiments) and 2009 (used for the DUNE-R experiment) for R experiment. The dust used during DUNE-1 and DUNE-2 had similar chemical composition for P, Fe and C and was different for N (see Table 2 and Desboeufs et al., 2014). Indeed, the difference of one order of magnitude in the N content between the composition of the non-EC dust (used as a proxy for dry deposition) and the EC dust (used as a proxy for wet deposition) is due to the addition of HNO$_3$ in the simulated cloud water used to process dust for wet deposition simulation (Guieu et al., 2010b). As shown in Ridame et al. (2014), this difference in composition regarding N led to very different dissolution from the two analogs, with nitrogen from the EC dust being 100% released into water almost instantaneously.

The sampling was done at the same time everyday. The schedule for sampling the mesocosm during DUNE-1 and DUNE-2 is reported in Table 3. During DUNE-1, for the discrete sampling, three depths were sampled: sub-surface, 5 m and 10 m. Following the results obtained for DFe (Wagener et al., 2010), it was decided during DUNE-2 to increase the number of sampled depths for two of the DUST mesocosms and 2.5, 7.5 and 12.5 m depths were also sampled for trace elements. During DUNE-2, the sediment traps were changed everyday instead of every two days during DUNE-1.

3 Main environmental initial conditions and evolution

3.1 Solar radiation and temperature

Because of its weak cloud coverage, the Mediterranean Sea is subject to stronger solar radiation in comparison with oceanic areas of similar latitude (i.e., Vasilkov et al., 2001), with the consequence that the percentage of sunshine duration over the whole day is close to 100%, particularly during summer. The period to perform the experiments was chosen in order to have such summer conditions with well stratified column water characterized by very poor concentration of nutrients. DUNE-1 and DUNE-2 were thus scheduled in June–July. Continuously monitored seawater temperature (see above) along with the air temperature (recorded by Météo-France at the nearby station in Calvi) are shown in Fig. 9a. The gradient between the temperature recorded at surface and 10 m is also presented in order to represent the thermal stratification in a first approximation (Longhurst et al., 1995) (Fig. 9b).

The seawater temperature during the P experiment was lower, with smaller daily amplitude than during the other experiments. Indeed, while the seawater temperature during the whole DUNE-P experiment was in the range 17–21.5°C and the stratification of the water column inside the mesocosms was not marked, temperature significantly increased during the following DUNE-Q experiment with a range of 18.5–26°C. The air temperature during daytime was stable during DUNE-P, with an average value of 21.7 ± 1.8°C, while the percentage of sunshine duration could be as low as ~5 and ~25% for two days (Fig. 9c); the air temperature increased rapidly at the beginning of the DUNE-Q experiment, reaching an average value during the day of 26.3 ± 2.6°C with light duration close to the maximum, typical of summer conditions in that area. This rapid increase in air temperature led to the establishment of a thermal stratification of the surface.
Table 3. Outline of sampling for all the DUNE dust seeding experiments. Sampling type OUT: all the mesocosms and a position at mid-distance between the two mesocosm clusters are sampled at the same depths. Sampling type IN: all the mesocosms are sampled. Time indicates the beginning of the sampling; the average total sampling time was less than two hours. Net sampling was performed at the beginning of experiments outside the mesocosms and both inside and outside after the last sampling of the experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Sample label</th>
<th>Time (local time)</th>
<th>Type of work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June-10</td>
<td>p1</td>
<td>4 p.m.</td>
<td>sampling type OUT + trap installation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June-11</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>seeding D1 D2 D3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June-12</td>
<td>p2</td>
<td>4 p.m.</td>
<td>sampling type IN and net (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June-13</td>
<td>p3</td>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>sampling type IN and traps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June-14</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10 a.m.</td>
<td>traps; no sampling of the mesocosms: bad weather conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June-15</td>
<td>p5</td>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>sampling type IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June-16</td>
<td>p6</td>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>sampling type OUT and traps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June-17</td>
<td>p7</td>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>sampling type IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June-18</td>
<td>p8</td>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>sampling type OUT, traps and nets (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DUNE-1-Q experiment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Sample label</th>
<th>Time (local time)</th>
<th>Type of work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June-19</td>
<td></td>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>trap installation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June-20</td>
<td>q1</td>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>sampling type OUT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June-20</td>
<td></td>
<td>12 a.m.</td>
<td>seeding D1 D2 D3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June-21</td>
<td>q2</td>
<td>6 p.m.</td>
<td>sampling type IN and net (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June-22</td>
<td>q3</td>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>sampling type IN and traps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June-23</td>
<td>q4</td>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>sampling type OUT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June-24</td>
<td>q5</td>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>sampling type IN and traps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June-25</td>
<td>q6</td>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>sampling type IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June-26</td>
<td>q7</td>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>sampling type OUT and traps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June-27</td>
<td>q8</td>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>sampling type IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June-27</td>
<td>q9</td>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>sampling type OUT, traps and nets (3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DUNE-2-R experiment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Sample label</th>
<th>Time (local time)</th>
<th>Type of work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June-26</td>
<td>r1</td>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>sampling type OUT and net (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June-26</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>11 a.m.</td>
<td>trap installation + seeding D1 D2 D3 optic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June-26</td>
<td>r2</td>
<td>9 p.m.</td>
<td>sampling type IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June-27</td>
<td>r3</td>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>sampling type IN and traps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June-28</td>
<td>r4</td>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>sampling type OUT and traps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June-29</td>
<td>r5</td>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>sampling type IN and traps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June-30</td>
<td>r6</td>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>sampling type OUT and traps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July-1</td>
<td>r7</td>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>sampling type IN and traps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July-2</td>
<td>r8</td>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>sampling type OUT and traps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July-3</td>
<td>r9</td>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>traps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July-3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>seeding D1 D2 D3 optic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July-4</td>
<td>r10</td>
<td>2 a.m.</td>
<td>sampling type IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July-4</td>
<td>r11</td>
<td>6 p.m.</td>
<td>sampling type OUT and traps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July-5</td>
<td>r12</td>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>sampling type IN and traps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July-6</td>
<td>r13</td>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>sampling type OUT and traps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July-7</td>
<td>r14</td>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>sampling type IN and traps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July-8</td>
<td>r15</td>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>sampling type IN and traps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July-9</td>
<td>r16</td>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>sampling type OUT, traps and nets (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Only outside the mesocosms; (2) zooplankton sampling: “outside”, C2, C3, D2, D3; (3) zooplankton sampling: “outside”, C1, C2, D1, D2; (4) zooplankton sampling: “outside”, C1, C2, C3, D1, D2, D3.
waters. The in situ data illustrate well that there was a shift during DUNE-1 from spring to summer conditions between P and Q experiments.

During DUNE-2, the seawater temperature during the whole experiment was in the range 20.0–27.3 °C, with a significant increase in surface temperature and well-stratified waters toward the ends of both seeding periods. According to seawater temperature data, the period after the R1 seeding experiment was representative of a transition between spring and summer conditions, whereas the second seeding was performed while the surface layer was well stratified. During the course of the second seeding period, a destratification followed by a restratification was observed with a strong increase in sea surface temperature typical of summer conditions. Photosynthetically available radiation (PAR) was measured both in the air and at the sub-surface of the mesocosms during DUNE-2, and even if some variation in the percentage of sunshine duration was noticed (Fig. 9c), the light flux was high during the whole experiment (Fig. 9c), with average daily flux at the sub-surface of the mesocosms of 20 and 19 mol photons m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) during R1 and R2, respectively, and maxima close to 900 µmol photons m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\). For P and R experiments, no strong and established stratification over the course of the experiment could be observed. For Q, the sharp thermocline at ~5 m depth could have acted as a physical barrier, preventing dissolved nutrient exchange because of low diffusion between the waters above and below the thermocline but also likely preventing the export of the lithogenic particles introduced by the seeding, as the established thermocline layer acts to limit the downward transport of atmospheric material (Migon et al., 2002). Such features of the surface waters, which are well captured by the mesocosm, are important for the interpretation of biogeochemical data acquired during the experiments.

3.2 Chlorophyll

According to satellite data, the same type of situation regarding chlorophyll (Chl \(a\)) concentrations was encountered in 2008 and 2010 experiments: the area where the experiments took place (red circles in Fig. 10) are typical of very “blue” waters because of the uplift of the Ligurian Current along the Corsican coast that isolates the coastal area from more productive waters of the center of the Ligurian Sea: indeed, during both experiments, the tested waters were typical of oligotrophic conditions with Chl \(a\) concentrations in the range 0.07–0.11 µg L\(^{-1}\) (Table 4).

Because dust inputs to seawater have mainly been interpreted as a “fertilizer” for phytoplankton in oligotrophic systems, Chl \(a\) is the parameter that has been targeted in most attempts to understand the impact of dust deposition on marine ecosystems, either considering satellite data (see, for example, Dulac et al., 1996, 2004, and Volpe et al., 2009) or microcosm experiments with dust addition (see, for example, Bonnet et al., 2005). At least a doubling of Chl \(a\) was observed after the DUNE-P and DUNE-R1 seedings (Fig. 11).

The second dust addition during DUNE-2 (R2) stimulated an additional Chl \(a\) increase (up to +160% compared to CONTROL-MESO). Interestingly, no significant Chl \(a\) increase was observed after deposition of non-EC dust (DUNE-Q). In spite of significant increase observed for DUNE-P and DUNE-R, the Chl \(a\) concentrations remained low (maximum values 0.22 µg L\(^{-1}\)), maintaining the oligotrophic status of the tested waters.

| Table 4. Initial conditions of the tested waters during DUNE-P and DUNE-R. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                | DUNE-1-P         | DUNE-1-Q         | DUNE-R           |
| Chl \(a\) (µg L\(^{-1}\)) | 0.11 ± 0.03      | 0.08 ± 0.02      | 0.07 ± 0.02      |
| NO\(_3^−\), nM   | nd               | nd               | < dl\(^a\)       |
| DIP, nM          | 5 ± 2\(^b\)      | 2 ± 0\(^c\)      | 5 ± 3\(^d\)      |
| DFe, nM          | 2.4 ± 0.3\(^e\)  | 2.3 ± 0.3\(^f\)  | 3.3 ± 0.8\(^g\)  |

\(^a\) Ridame et al. (2014), \(^b\) Pulido-Villena et al. (2010), \(^c\) Pulido-Villena et al. (2014), \(^d\) Wagener et al. (2010),
\(^e\) Wagener et al. (personal communication, 2013), \(^f\) Wuttig et al. (2013), nd: non determined.

3.3 Nutrients

DIP and DFe concentrations were measured along all experiments and data for these in regard to DUNE-1-P have been reported by Pulido-Villena et al. (2010) and Wagener et al. (2010), respectively. Initial conditions for DIP indicate low and similar concentrations for all P, Q and R experiments (averages 2 to 5 nM) (Table 4). DFe concentrations were on the same order of magnitude as DIP. Initial total DFe concentrations were similar for P and Q; respectively 2.4 ± 0.3 (Wagener et al., 2010) and 2.3 ± 0.3 nM (Wagener, personal communication, 2013) and higher for R (3.3 ± 0.8 nM; Wuttig et al., 2013). Such nanomolar concentrations of DFe are quite typical of a coastal area (Johnson et al., 1997); for example they are on the same order of magnitude as those recently measured at 5 m depth in the Villefranche Bay (3.7, 3.6, and 3.8 nM in May, October, and February, respectively; Bressac and Guieu, 2014a). Initial DIN concentrations were not measured due to analytical issues during DUNE-P and Q, and were found below the detection limit (< 30 nM; Ridame et al., 2013) for DUNE-R. According to our analysis during DUNE-R, as well as to other recent measurements performed using a nanomolar technique in a similar environment in summer at the STARESO station (http://www.stareso.com) near Calvi in northwestern Corsica within the framework of the EU project MedSeA (http://medsea-project.eu) (NO\(_3^−\) = 18 ± 3 nM; J. Louis, personal communication, 2013), we can assume that the initial NO\(_3^−\) concentrations were below 30 nM also for P and Q experiments, in agreement with the concentrations typical of oligotrophic Mediterranean waters.
measured at that time of the year (see, for example, Pujo-Pay et al., 2011).

These data indicate overall that relatively similar in situ characteristics were encountered at the beginning of each of the three experiments DUNE-1-P, DUNE-1-Q and DUNE-2-R. These characteristics do confirm the oligotrophic character of the experimental site. The perturbations induced by the simulated dust wet deposition (DUNE-P) or dry deposition (DUNE-Q) or two successive wet deposition (DUNE-R) can thus be (1) compared to each other and (2) taken as representative of actual changes that take place in the Mediterranean Sea (MERMEX Group, 2011). Moreover, as previously emphasized in Guieu et al. (2010b), such nanomolar concentrations of nutrients imply a specific care taken at all the different experimental steps (building, deployment, mooring, seeding, sampling, chemical analysis, etc.) in order to avoid any type of contamination while using mesocosms. It is thus important to make it clear that initial conditions (Table 4) both inside and outside the mesocosms were not significantly different ($p > 0.05$ for P, Q, Guieu et al., 2010). Our methodology indeed allowed working in those delicate conditions providing for the first time a large panel of solid/reliable biogeochemical data including trace elements.

4 Illustration of results

In the following we illustrate results described in the DUNE special issue. Our “large clean mesocosm” approach allowed us to follow, as a function of time and taking into account the vertical dimension, a number of key parameters involved when a strong atmospheric deposition event impacts the sea surface. Chemical and biological changes in the mesocosms and in the material exported below the surface layer, along with modifications of the dynamics of particles following a wet or dry or two successive wet dust deposition events, have been followed thanks to the three experiments conducted. The multidisciplinary results described in this special issue are bringing new insights regarding the role of atmospheric deposition on oligotrophic biogeochemistry, ecosystem and carbon export.

Because DUNE was a transdisciplinary project between scientists from the fields of atmospheric and oceanic sciences, an important focus of the project was to study the adsorption/desorption/dissolution processes before and after the deposition. In their paper, Aghnatiou et al. (2014) report on the solubility of atmospheric nutrients from experiments conducted in the laboratory using the fine fraction of soils including the one used for the artificial seeding during DUNE. The solubility of major and trace elements was shown to mainly depend on the chemical composition – in particular on the calcium carbonate content – and on pH conditions.

The temporal changes in the biogeochemistry of crustal metals in the water column following dust events have been explored by Wagener et al. (2010) and Ye et al. (2011) during DUNE-1 and by Wuttig et al. (2013) during DUNE-2. The dissolved trace metals such as Mn, Al and Fe showed different behavior. In the case of Mn and Al, a clear increase in dissolved concentration was observed directly after both seeding R1 and R2 due to dissolution processes. However, the first seeding (R1) resulted in a decrease of DFe due to scavenging on sinking dust particles, in agreement with what was observed and modeled during DUNE1-P (Wagener et al., 2010; Ye et al., 2011), whereas the second seeding (R2) induced dissolution of Fe from the dust particles due to the excess Fe-binding ligand concentrations measured following the first seeding (Wuttig et al., 2013).

A number of particulate tracers were followed in the water column and in the sediment traps during the three experiments. When comparing the dust deposited with the particles in the mesocosms and those transiting below (sediment trap), Desboeufs et al. (2014) have shown that the dust composition evolves after seeding by total dissolution of calcium nitrate and calcium sulfate, which have been formed in the dust by evapocondensation processing, providing a large source of...
new nitrogen (nitrate) in the surface waters. In spite of this dissolution, the typical inter-elemental ratio, such as Ti/Al or Ba/Al, are not affected during the dust settling, confirming their values as proxies of lithogenic fluxes or of productivity in sediment traps. A clear difference of dust settling and lithogenic material export is observed for the experiment DUNE-Q in comparison with the experiments DUNE-P and DUNE-R. Even if the stratification conditions were different for DUNE-Q, the preferential settling observed for the experiments simulating wet deposition of dust seems to be associated with biota response to the seeding. This conclusion supports the ballast effect of organic matter proposed by Bressac et al. (2014).

Wet deposition during DUNE-1-P yielded a transient increase of DIP followed by a very rapid return to initial conditions (Pulido-Villena et al., 2010). The same was observed during DUNE-2 after the first dust pulse. The second dust input also induced an increase in DIP concentration, although no return to initial conditions was observed (Pulido-Villena et al., 2014). Also during DUNE-R, both simulated wet deposition events induced a strong increase in nitrate concentration (up to ~10 µM at the surface) (Ridame et al., 2014). By transiently increasing DIP and DIN concentrations in P- and N-starved surface waters of the Mediterranean Sea, wet deposition of Saharan dust can likely relieve the potential P and/or N limitation of biological activity. Those results have all clearly shown the potential for Saharan wet deposition to modify the in situ concentrations of elements of biogeochemical interest such as Fe, P and N. An important focus of DUNE was to quantify the impact in terms of biological response. Wet deposition of dust strongly stimulated primary production (PP), and this during several days, indicating that dust was able to relieve the ambient nutrient limitation(s) of PP. Based on the new production (NP) estimates, a switch from a regenerated-production-based system (NP~15%PP) to a new-production-based system (NP~65%PP) is evidenced 24h after P and R seedings (Ridame et al., 2014). This new production was supported by different size class organisms depending on conditions. Indeed, Giovagnetti et al. (2013) showed that during DUNE-R small phytoplankton (<3 µm) was better stimulated after the first dust addition (R1), whereas the larger size class (>3 µm) significantly increased after the second addition (R2). The regulation of photobiological processes was distinctly affected by nutrient availability in both phytoplanktonic size classes. Picophytoplankton is the first group responding to dust additions in terms of both ecophysiological state of cells and community composition. However, larger-sized cells need further nutrient supply in order to be able to adjust their physiology and compete for resource acquisition and biomass increase. Among microorganisms responding to the atmospheric inputs, a specific focus was put on abundance, diversity and N₂-fixing activity of diazotrophs following dry and wet deposition. Ridame et al. (2013) show that N₂ fixation, although only responsible for a few percent of the induced new pro-duction, is strongly stimulated by both wet and dry atmospheric deposition. The response of the picoplanktonic unicellular diazotrophic cyanobacteria (UCYN), which dominate the community of diazotrophic cyanobacteria over the Mediterranean Sea (Le Moal et al., 2011), was more contrasted. Dry deposition (Q experiment.) led to a strong increase in the UCYN abundance, while simulated wet events induced a slight increase in UCYN during DUNE-R and no response over DUNE-P (I. Biegala, personal communication, 2013). Changes in bacterial community structure have been evidenced during DUNE-1 as Saharan dust was shown to induce changes in the active community of particle-attached bacteria as a higher contribution of Alteromonas macleodii to the active bacterial community was found at the end of the experiment (Laghdass et al., 2011). The authors hypothesized that small dust particles, by providing a source of Fe, might favor the activity of specific heterotrophic bacteria like A. macleodii due to siderophore production. Similarly, during DUNE-2, dust deposition modified bacterial community structure by selectively stimulating and inhibiting certain members of the bacterial community. These modifications, however, did not translate into changes in bacterial diversity, which remained constant over the duration of the experiment (Pulido-Villena et al., 2014). The microbial food web dynamics were strongly impacted by dust deposition, as shown by Pulido-Villena et al. (2014). The first dust pulse in DUNE-2 stimulated bacterial activity (i.e., respiration processes) more than abundance and/or community structure. This pronounced stimulation of bacterial respiration appeared to be bottom-up-controlled after the first dust pulse R1. The second dust pulse (R2) enhanced viral production, which may have top-down-controlled bacterial activity during the second part of R2. The observed rapid C remineralization due to microbial food web processes may question the nature of the link between dust deposition and carbon cycling, which may not directly involve an increase in CO₂ sequestration by the ocean. Indeed, the tested waters during DUNE-1 and DUNE-2 were representative of the oligotrophic Mediterranean Sea, i.e., characterized by a strong net heterotrophy (i.e., ratio of net primary production to bacteria respiration <1) (Guieu et al., 2014a). The carbon budget indicates that the net heterotrophy character remained (or was even increased) after the dust addition despite the significant stimulation of autotrophs. This indicates that the atmospheric input does not have a simple fertilization effect, as one could have concluded from studies where the carbon budget was not possible to quantify. The view that atmospheric deposition does not just result in a simple, universal fertilization effect on phytoplankton and that heterotrophic processes may be more stimulated than autotrophic ones, was also shared by Maranon et al. (2010) for the Atlantic Ocean. Our numbers indeed indicate that the system is a net CO₂ source after dust deposition. Nonetheless, the system was able to export organic material, likely thanks to sufficient DOC stock in the surface waters: the importance of aggregation processes
between organic material and dust was evidenced by a series of optical measurements performed inside a single seeded mesocosm during DUNE-2 (Bressac et al., 2012). A clear link has been established between the lithogenic fluxes and the POC fluxes as (1) the lithogenic fluxes explained more than 80 % of the variance in the POC fluxes (Bressac et al., 2014; Desboeufs et al., 2014), and (2) 42–50 % of the POC flux was strictly associated with lithogenic particles through aggregation (Bressac et al., 2014). These observations support the “ballast” hypothesis and suggest that this “lithogenic carbon pump” could represent a major contribution of the global carbon export in areas receiving high rates of atmospheric deposition.

In parallel, we used a stoichiometric microbial food web model to investigate how ecological stoichiometric mismatches within the food web – that is, resources and consumers have distinct elemental composition – result in differential consumer-driven nutrient recycling (CNR; Sterner and Elser, 2002) and how, in turn, CNR feedbacks on the magnitude of the ecosystem respond to the addition of nutrients from dust (Pondaven et al., 2014). This model was used as a theoretical tool; that is, it was not optimized to fit observations from DUNE experiments. However, observations were used to constrain key model parameters (e.g., total amount of nutrient available in the system before nutrient addition) and to evaluate the overall reliability of the transient model behavior after an instance of nutrient addition mimicking the observed input from dusts. All other things being equal, the model suggested that stoichiometric mismatch along the food chain induced substantial variations in the biomass of all biological compartments (for example, total POC ranged from 3.5 to 8.5 mg C m\(^{-3}\)), as well as variations in primary production and respiration of the whole community (from 1.5 to 5.5 mg C m\(^{-3}\) d\(^{-1}\), and from 1.5 to 6.5 mg C m\(^{-3}\) d\(^{-1}\), respectively). Higher biomass and PP rates, and lower respiration rates, were predicted when resources had lower C : P or N : P elemental ratios than their consumers, meaning that P was in excess in the resource compared to the consumer’s requirement. This led to higher rates of P recycling, which fueled the mostly P-limited primary production; that is, predicted PP was strongly correlated with P recycling rates (nMP d\(^{-1}\) \((r^2 \sim 0.70, p < 0.001)\), and only moderately correlated with N recycling (nMN d\(^{-1}\) \((r^2 \sim 0.10, p < 0.001)\). Additionally, the model suggested that bacteria were the major contributors to the supply rate of P in the dissolved pools. This contribution was triggered by the strength of the stoichiometric mismatch between the resource (dissolved organic matter) and bacteria. Although the model was kept simple, it highlights how stoichiometric mismatch between producers and consumers can influence the response of a planktonic food web to nutrient addition (Pondaven et al., 2014).

Results from the DUNE project have shown well that the atmospheric input result is not a simple fertilization effect. Taking into account the vertical dimension in studies on the impact of atmospheric deposition in seawater is absolutely necessary. In doing so, it also permitted showing how difficult respective effects of biotic and abiotic processes are to distinguish, and thus new developments are ongoing to strictly quantify both (Bressac and Guieu, 2013).
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