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Plasma turbulence described by the Hasegawa-Wakatani equations is simulated numerically for different models and values of the adiabaticity parameter $\epsilon$. It is found that for low values of $\epsilon$ turbulence remains isotropic, zonal flows are not generated and there is no suppression of the meridional drift waves and particle transport. For high values of $\epsilon$, turbulence evolves towards highly anisotropic states with a dominant contribution of the zonal sector to the kinetic energy. This anisotropic flow leads to a decrease of a turbulence production in the meridional sector and limits the particle transport across the mean isopycnal surfaces. This behavior allows to consider the Hasegawa-Wakatani equations a minimal PDE model which contains the drift-wave/zonal-flow feedback loop mechanism.

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the major experimental discoveries in nuclear fusion research was the observation of a low-to-high (LH) transition in the plasma confinement characteristics. This transition results in significantly reduced losses of particles and energy from the bulk of the magnetically confined plasma and, therefore, improved conditions for nuclear fusion. Since this discovery, LH transitions have been routinely observed in a great number of modern tokamaks and stellarators, and the new designs like ITER rely on achieving H-mode operation in an essential way. The physical parameters and scales of motion involved, as well as a complex magnetic field geometry. To accompany the theoretical investigations of the LH-transition, numerical simulations of the gyrokinetic Vlasov equations have become a popular tool. These simulations involve the computation of particle dynamics in a five-dimensional phase space (three space coordinates and two velocities) and, therefore, require vast computing resources.

Physical mechanisms to explain the LH transition have been suggested. One of these mechanisms is that small-scale turbulence, excited by a primary (e.g. ion-temperature driven) instability, drives a sheared zonal flow (ZF) via a nonlinear mechanism, through an anisotropic inverse cascade or a modulational instability. After this, the ZF acts to suppress small-scale turbulence by shearing turbulent eddies or/and drift wave packets, thereby eliminating the cause of anomalously high transport and losses of plasma particles and energy.

Importantly, such a possible scenario to explain the LH mechanism was achieved not by considering complicated realistic models but by studying highly idealised and simplified models. More precisely, generation of ZFs by small-scale turbulence was predicted based on the Charney-Hasegawa-Mima (CHM) equation very soon after this equation was introduced into plasma physics by Hasegawa and Mima in 1978, and even earlier in the geophysical literature. The scenario of a feedback in which ZFs act onto small-scale turbulence via shearing and destroying of weak vortices was suggested by Biglari et al. in 1990 using an even simpler model equation, which is essentially a 2D incompressible neutral fluid description (equation (1) in Ref.6). Probably the first instances where the two processes were described together as a negative feedback loop, turbulence generating ZF, followed by ZF suppressing turbulence, were in the papers by Balk et al. 1999. Balk et al. considered the limit of weak, wave-dominated drift turbulence, whereas the picture of Biglari et al. applies to strong, eddy-dominated turbulence. In real situations, the degree of nonlinearity is typically moderate, i.e. both waves and eddies are present simultaneously. It is the relative importance of the anisotropic linear terms with respect to the isotropic nonlinear terms in the CHM equation which sets the anisotropy of the dynamics. If the linear terms are overpowered by the nonlinearity, the condensation of energy does not give rise to ZFs, but generates isotropic, round vortices.

Models related to the model CHM, are the modified CHM, Hasegawa-Wakatani (HW) model and modified Hasegawa-Wakatani model. The HW model is given by equations (1) and (2) below. The term “modified” in reference to both the CHM and HW models means that the zonal-averaged component is subtracted from the electric potential to account for absence of the Boltzmann response mechanism for the mode which has no dependence in the direction parallel to the magnetic field.

Quantitative investigations of the LH transition physics are presently carried out, using realistic modelling, such as gyrokinetic simulations, drawing inspiration from the qualitative results obtained by these idealised models. However, the understanding of the dynamics generated by these idealised models remains incomplete. It was only recently that the scenario of the drift-wave/ZF feedback loop for the CHM model, in 1990 proposed theoretically, was confirmed and validated by numerical simulations by Connaughton et al. In their work, the system was forced and damped by adding a
II. PHYSICAL MODEL

The model we will consider is based on the HW equations:

\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \nabla \psi \cdot \mathbf{z} \cdot \nabla \right) \nabla^2 \psi = \mathcal{C} (\psi - n) - \nu \nabla^4 (\nabla^2 \psi), \tag{1}
\]

\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \nabla \psi \cdot \mathbf{z} \cdot \nabla \right) (n + \ln(n_0)) = \mathcal{C} (\psi - n) - \nu \nabla^4 n, \tag{2}
\]

where \( \psi \) is the electrostatic potential, \( n \) is the density fluctuation. The variables in Eq. (1) and Eq. (2) have been normalized as follows,

\[
x/\rho_s \rightarrow x, \quad \omega_{ci} t \rightarrow t, \quad \epsilon \psi/\Gamma_e \rightarrow \psi, \quad n_1/n_0 \rightarrow n,
\]

where \( \rho_s = \sqrt{T_e/m \omega_{ci}^{-1}} \) is the ion gyroradius, \( n_0 \) and \( n_1 \) are the mean and the fluctuating part of the density, \( \epsilon \), \( m \) and \( T_e \) are the electron charge, mass and temperature respectively and \( \omega_{ci} \) is the ion cyclotron frequency. \( \mathcal{C} \) is the adiabaticity parameter which we will discuss below. The last terms in Eq. (1) and Eq. (2) are \( 4^{th} \)-order hyperviscous terms that mimic small scale damping. The role of these terms is to ensure the possibility of a steady state and to prevent a spurious accumulation of energy near the smallest resolved scales. We chose the dissipation terms proportional to \( \nu k^4 \), but the qualitative picture is expected to be largely insensitive to the particular choice of the dissipation function.

The physical setting of the HW model may be considered as a simplification of the edge region of a tokamak plasma in the presence of a nonuniform background density \( n_0 = n_0(x) \) and in a constant equilibrium magnetic field \( \mathbf{B} = B_0 \mathbf{e}_z \), where \( \mathbf{e}_z \) is a unit vector in the \( z \)-direction. The assumption of cold ions and isothermal electrons allows one to find Ohm’s law for the parallel electron motion:

\[
\mathbf{n}_\parallel \mathbf{J}_\parallel = -n e \mathbf{v}_\parallel = E_\parallel + \frac{1}{n e} \nabla \parallel p = \frac{T_e}{n e} \nabla \parallel n - \nabla \parallel \mathbf{\psi}, \tag{3}
\]

where \( \mathbf{n}_\parallel \) is the parallel resistivity, \( E_\parallel \) is the parallel electric field, \( v_\parallel \) is the parallel electron velocity and \( p \) is the electron pressure. This relation gives the coupling of Eq. (1) and Eq. (2) through the adiabaticity operator \( \mathcal{C} = -T_e/(n_0 \rho_s \omega_{ci}^2) \partial^2/\partial z^2 \). We will show in the following that the HW model describes the growth of small initial perturbations due to the linear drift-dissipative instability leading to drift wave turbulence evolving to generate ZFs via an anisotropic inverse cascade mechanism followed by suppression of drift wave turbulence by ZF shear.

The important, relevant quantity in fusion research is the particle flux in the \( x \) direction due to the fluctuations,

\[
\Gamma_n = \kappa \int n \frac{\partial \mathbf{\psi}}{\partial y} dV, \tag{4}
\]

where \( \kappa = \rho_s |\nabla \ln(n_0)| \) is the normalized density gradient. Another quantity that we will monitor is the total energy,

\[
E^T = E + E_n = \frac{1}{2} \int (|\nabla \psi|^2 + n^2) dV. \tag{5}
\]

We will be interested in particular in the velocity field, the ZFs and their influence on turbulent fluctuations. We therefore focus on the kinetic energy. Since one of the main subjects of the present work is the investigation of the ZF generation, we will quantify the energy contained in these ZFs by separating the energy into the kinetic energy \( E_z \) contained in a zonal sector, defined as \( |k_x| > |k_y| \), and the kinetic energy \( E_m \) contained in a meridional sector, \( |k_x| \leq |k_y| \).

The evolution equation for the total kinetic energy is given by

\[
\frac{dE}{dt} = P - \epsilon, \tag{6}
\]

in which the production \( P \) is related to the interaction term (first term on the right-side of Eq. (1)) and the
destruction of kinetic energy $\epsilon$ is due to the hyperviscous dissipation. More precisely,

$$P = \int \psi \epsilon (\psi - n) dV, \quad \epsilon = -\int \psi \nu \nabla^4 (\nabla^2 \psi) dV, \quad (7)$$

where $\epsilon \geq 0$, but $P$ can be both positive and negative. It will be shown that the source term is dominated by the meridional sector of wavevector space and that, for large adiabaticity, the kinetic energy is then transferred to the zonal flows. To quantify this we will show the evolution of the flux of kinetic energy $T_{m\parallel}$ from the meridional sector (denoted by symbol "m") to zonal sector (denoted by symbol "z"). The evolution equations for the meridional and zonal kinetic energy are, respectively,

$$\frac{dE_m}{dt} = P_m - \epsilon_m - T_{m\parallel}, \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{dE_z}{dt} = P_z - \epsilon_z + T_{m\parallel}. \quad (9)$$

Different possibilities to determine the adiabaticity parameter will now be discussed. The first one is based on a linear stability analysis. In order to understand the dependence of the HW model on its constituent parameters it is useful to solve the linearised system. Linearisation of Eq. (11) and Eq. (2) around the zero equilibrium ($\psi = 0$ and $n = 0$) and considering a plane wave solution, $\psi(k, t) \sim \psi_0 e^{i(k \cdot \mathbf{x} - \omega t)}$ and $n(k, t) \sim n_0 e^{i(k \cdot \mathbf{x} - \omega t)}$, yields the dispersion relation for a resistive drift wave:

$$\omega^2 + i\omega (b + 2\nu k^2) - \nu^2 \omega_s = 0, \quad (10)$$

where $\omega_s = k_y k_z / (1 + k^2)$ is the drift frequency, $b = \mathcal{C}(1 + k^2) / k^2$ and $k^2 = k_{\|}^2 + k_{\perp}^2$. Let us introduce the real frequency $\omega_R$ and the growth rate $\gamma$ as

$$\omega = \omega_R + i\gamma \quad (11)$$

The dispersion relation (10) has two solutions, a stable one, with $\gamma_{max} = \max \gamma(k) > 0$, and an unstable one, with $\gamma(k) \leq 0$. The Fig. I(a) shows the behaviour of $\gamma$ for $k_{\perp} = 0$ and $\nu = 10^{-5}$ as a function of the wavenumber. The behaviour of $\gamma_{max}$ as a function of $\mathcal{C}$ is shown in Fig. I(b).

For the inviscid case, if $\nu$ is ignored, the solution of Eq. (10) is

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2} \left[ -ib \pm i b (1 - 4i\omega_s / b)^{0.5} \right]. \quad (12)$$

The maximum growth rate corresponds to $b \simeq 4 \omega_s$ and

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{4k^2 k_y k_z}{(1 + k^2)^2}. \quad (13)$$

The adiabaticity parameter $\mathcal{C} = -T_{\omega_\|}/(n_0 \rho_0 \omega_{\perp} \epsilon^2) \partial^2 / \partial z^2$ in Fourier space becomes an adiabaticity parameter via the replacement $\partial^2 / \partial z^2 \rightarrow -k_z^2$, where $k_z$ is a wavenumber characteristic of the fluctuations of the drift waves along the field lines in the toroidal direction. Recall that we assume the fluctuation length scale to satisfy the drift ordering, $k_{\|} \ll k_{\perp}$. It is natural to assume that the system selects $k_z$ which corresponds to the fastest growing wave mode. In this case one should choose the parallel wavenumber $k_z$ which satisfies Eq. (13) for each fixed value of perpendicular wavenumber $k_{\perp} = (k_{\|}, k_y)$. This approach is valid provided that the plasma remains collisional for this value of $k_z$. Note that according to Eq. (13) such a choice gives $\mathcal{C} = 0$ for the modes with $k_y = 0$. Since the modes $k_y = 0$ correspond to the zonal averaged contributions, this choice of $\mathcal{C}$ bares some similarity to the modified HW system (Ref.11). The choice of the value $\rho_s$ is determined from $\rho_s k_{\|} \approx 1$, which correspond to the maximum instability of the drift waves, Fig. II(a).

Another common approach is to define the parameter $\mathcal{C}$ simply as a constant. This approach makes sense if the maximum growth rate corresponds to values of $k_z$ which are smaller than the ones allowed by the finite system, i.e. $k_{\|,min} = 1/R$, where $R$ is the bigger tokamak radius. In this case the HW model has two limits: adiabatic weak collisional limit ($\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \infty$) where the system reduces to the CHM equation, and the hydrodynamical limit ($\mathcal{C} \rightarrow 0$) where the system of Eqs. (11) and (2) reduces to the system of Navier-Stokes equations and an equation for a passive scalar mixing.

In our simulation we will try and compare both approaches: choosing constant $\mathcal{C}$ and choosing $\mathcal{C}$ selected by the maximum growth condition (13).

### III. NUMERICAL METHOD

Numerical simulations were performed using a pseudo-spectral Fourier code on a square box with periodic boundary conditions. The number of the modes varied from $256^2$ (with the lowest wavenumber $\Delta k = 0.042$ and the size of the box $L_x = L_y = 150$) to $1024^2$ (with the lowest wavenumber $\Delta k = 0.02$ and the box size $L_x = L_y = 314$), the viscosity coefficient was taken $\nu = 5 \cdot 10^{-4}$ and $\nu = 5 \cdot 10^{-5}$, respectively. The time integration was done by the third-order TVD Runge-Kutta scheme.
Kutta method. The integration time step was taken to be $\Delta t = 5 \cdot 10^{-4}$ and $\Delta t = 10^{-4}$.

IV. RESULTS

In the following we will present our results for the evolution of HW turbulence for different choices of the form and values of the adiabaticity parameter.

a. Constant adiabaticity. In this section we will consider the case where the adiabaticity parameter is taken a constant. We present the results obtained with different values of $\mathcal{C}$ corresponding to the hydrodynamic regime ($\mathcal{C} \to 0$, strongly collisional limit), adiabatic regime ($\mathcal{C} \to \infty$, weakly collisional limit) and transition regime ($\mathcal{C} \approx 1$). The simulation parameters are presented in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\mathcal{C}$</th>
<th>0.01</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$L_x \times L_y$</td>
<td>$314 \times 314$</td>
<td>$314 \times 314$</td>
<td>$150 \times 150$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_x \times N_y$</td>
<td>$1024^2$</td>
<td>$1024^2$</td>
<td>$256^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\kappa$</td>
<td>0.3491</td>
<td>0.3491</td>
<td>0.0418</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2 shows the typical time evolution of the total kinetic energy, kinetic energy contained in the zonal sector $|k_x| > |k_y|$, kinetic energy contained in the meridional sector $|k_x| \leq |k_y|$ and the particle flux $\Gamma_n$ (see Eq. (3)), for the adiabaticity parameter values $\mathcal{C} = 0.01, 1$ and $40$, respectively. From Fig. 3 we see that the small initial perturbations grow in the initial phase. In this phase the amplitudes of the drift waves grow. Then, these drift waves start to interact nonlinearly. For the case $\mathcal{C} = 0.01$ and $\mathcal{C} = 1$ the resulting saturated state seems close to isotropic as far as can be judged from the close balance between $E_z$ and $E_m$. For the simulation with $\mathcal{C} = 40$ it is observed that the meridional energy strongly dominates until $t \approx 4000$. After this, the zonal energy rapidly increases and becomes dominant for $t > 6000$. This picture is in agreement with the scenario proposed in Connaughton et al.\textsuperscript{12} for the CHM system. For the different values of $\mathcal{C}$ we can observe distinct types of behaviour in the evolution of the kinetic energy. The initial phase always agrees with the linear stability analysis (section II). The speed at which the system enters the saturated state is strongly dependent on $\mathcal{C}$. The slowness of the transition of the system to a saturated level has limited the maximum value of the adiabaticity parameter to $\mathcal{C} = 40$ and the maximum number of modes for such $\mathcal{C}$ to $256^2$. This slowness can be understood from the linear growth rate dependence which decreases rapidly with $\mathcal{C}$, see Fig. 3(b). For the value $\mathcal{C} = 0.01$ and $\mathcal{C} = 1$ we observe monotonous growth of the zonal, meridional and the total energies, as well as the particle flux $\Gamma_n$ until these quantities reach saturation. We see that for $\mathcal{C} = 40$
the initial growth of the meridional energy and the particle flux $\Gamma_n$ is followed by a significant (between one and two orders of magnitude) suppression of their levels at the later stages. This is precisely the type of behavior previously observed in the CHM turbulence (Ref.12), and which corresponds to LH-type transport and drift-wave suppression. Recall that $\Gamma_n$ is the particle flux in the $x$-direction which corresponds to the radial direction of the physical system that we model, the edge region of the tokamak plasma.

For a better understanding of the anisotropic energy distributions, on Fig. 4 we show the 2D kinetic energy spectra normalized by their maxima for the cases $\mathcal{C} = 1$ and $\mathcal{C} = 40$. In the initial phase, for both cases, one can observe a concentration of the kinetic energy in the region corresponding to the characteristic scales of the drift wave instability, see Figs. 4(a) and 4(b). Such a linear mechanism generates energy mainly in the meridional sector. For the saturated state, one can observe the distinct features of the energy distribution in the 2D $k$-space. We can see that for large values of $\mathcal{C}$ there is a domination of concentration of the kinetic energy in the zonal sector, which absorbs energy from the meridional drift waves, see Fig. 4(c). These computations for $\mathcal{C} \gg 1$ are extremely long. Even though in the limit we should obtain the dynamics governed by the CHM equations, this may only be approached for very high value $\mathcal{C}$. Also the increase of $\mathcal{C}$ decreases the growth rate instability

For a better understanding of the anisotropic energy distributions, on Fig. 4 we show the 2D kinetic energy spectra normalized by their maxima for the cases $\mathcal{C} = 1$ and $\mathcal{C} = 40$. In the initial phase, for both cases, one can observe a concentration of the kinetic energy in the region corresponding to the characteristic scales of the drift wave instability, see Figs. 4(a) and 4(b). Such a linear mechanism generates energy mainly in the meridional sector. For the saturated state, one can observe the distinct features of the energy distribution in the 2D $k$-space. We can see that for large values of $\mathcal{C}$ there is a domination of concentration of the kinetic energy in the zonal sector, which absorbs energy from the meridional drift waves, see Fig. 4(c). These computations for $\mathcal{C} \gg 1$ are extremely long. Even though in the limit we should obtain the dynamics governed by the CHM equations, this may only be approached for very high value $\mathcal{C}$. Also the increase of $\mathcal{C}$ decreases the growth rate instability

FIG. 4. Snapshots of the kinetic energy spectrum normalized by its maximum value. (a) $\mathcal{C} = 1$, transition regime; (b) $\mathcal{C} = 40$, transition regime; (c) $\mathcal{C} = 1$, saturated regime; (d) $\mathcal{C} = 40$, saturated regime.

FIG. 5. Evolution of the flux of kinetic energy from the meridional sectors to the zonal sector, $T_{mx}$. (a) $\mathcal{C} = 0.01$, (b) $\mathcal{C} = 1$ and (c) $\mathcal{C} = 40$. 

Fig. 3(top) and Fig. 3(bottom) show instantaneous visualisations of the electrostatic potential $\psi$ for values $\mathcal{C} = 1$ and $\mathcal{C} = 40$, respectively. The structure of $\psi$ is strongly dependent on the regime: for low values of $\mathcal{C}$ the structure of $\psi$ is isotropic, whereas for the high values of $\mathcal{C}$ the structure of $\psi$ is anisotropic and characterised by formation of large structures elongated in the zonal direction.

For a better understanding of the anisotropic energy distributions, on Fig. 4 we show the 2D kinetic energy spectra normalized by their maxima for the cases $\mathcal{C} = 1$ and $\mathcal{C} = 40$. In the initial phase, for both cases, one can observe a concentration of the kinetic energy in the region corresponding to the characteristic scales of the drift wave instability, see Figs. 4(a) and 4(b). Such a linear mechanism generates energy mainly in the meridional sector. For the saturated state, one can observe the distinct features of the energy distribution in the 2D $k$-space. We can see that for large values of $\mathcal{C}$ there is a domination of concentration of the kinetic energy in the zonal sector, which absorbs energy from the meridional drift waves, see Fig. 4(c). These computations for $\mathcal{C} \gg 1$ are extremely long. Even though in the limit we should obtain the dynamics governed by the CHM equations, this may only be approached for very high value $\mathcal{C}$. Also the increase of $\mathcal{C}$ decreases the growth rate instability
of the drift waves. Thus, comparison with Connaughton et al.’s simulation of CHM is not straightforward, since they artificially added a forcing term in order to mimic a HW-type instability and in the limit of \( \mathcal{C} \to \infty \) the HW system tends to the unforced CHM system. For \( \mathcal{C} = 40 \) the zonal flows are not yet very pronounced in the physical space visualization Fig. 4(b), but very clear in Fourier space. Indeed, while in Fig. 4(c) we see that the saturated 2D energy spectrum isotropic for \( \mathcal{C} = 1 \), on Fig. 4(d) we can see that the spectrum is strongly anisotropic and mostly zonal for the \( \mathcal{C} = 40 \) case. We note here that one can distinguish between ZFs with \( k_y = 0 \) and the energy contained in the zonal sector. We will come back to this distinction in Section V.

In Fig. 5 we show the behavior of the \( T_{mz} \) in Eq. (8) and (9). It is observed that for \( \mathcal{C} = 0.01 \) everything is isotropic and hence there is no mean energy flux to the zonal sector, which is in a good agreement with Fig 4(a) where we can observe equilibrium of the kinetic energy contained in the zonal and the meridional flows in the saturated state. For the intermediate case with \( \mathcal{C} = 1 \), Fig. 5(b), we start to see a preferential direction of the flux of energy from the meridional to the zonal sector. This flux goes to a nonzero asymptote at large \( t \) which means that there is no suppression of the meridional scales. For large value \( \mathcal{C} = 40 \), Fig. 5(c), we see the energy flux \( T_{mz} \) is increasing initially but then suppressed and goes to zero. This is because the meridional scales are suppressed by the zonal flows at large \( t \), so that the forcing term \( P_m \) is reduced, and hereby the flux \( T_{mz} \) decreases strongly.

b. Wavenumber dependent \( \mathcal{C} \). Now we will consider the case when the parameter \( \mathcal{C} \) is defined according to the relation (4) for \( \kappa = 0.3491 \). For the given parameters the maximum value of \( \mathcal{C} \) is equal to 0.453. Note that this case has in common with the MHW model 6 that the coupling term in Eq. (3) and (2) is zero for the mode \( k_y = 0 \). The numerical simulations were performed for \( \nu = 5 \cdot 10^{-5} \) with 1024\(^2 \) modes, box size \( L = 314 \) and \( \Delta t = 10^{-4} \). Time evolution of the total, the zonal and the meridional kinetic energies, as well as the particle flux \( \Gamma_n \), are shown on Fig. 6. We observe a similar picture as before in the simulation with large constant adiabaticity parameter \( \mathcal{C} = 40 \). Namely, the total and the zonal energies grow monotonously until they reach saturation, whereas the meridional energy and the transport initially grow, reach maxima, and then get reduced so that their saturated levels are significantly less than their maximal values. This is because the ZFs draw energy from the drift waves, the same kind of LH-transition type process that we observed in the constant adiabaticity case with \( \mathcal{C} = 40 \). In the final saturated state, there is a steady state of transfer of the energy from the drift waves to the ZF structures, so that the waves in the linear instability range in the meridional sector do not get a chance to grow, which can be interpreted as a nonlinear suppression of the drift-dissipative instability.

The physical space structure of the streamfunction \( \psi \) is shown in Fig. 7(top) and Fig. 7(bottom) for an early moment and for the saturated state. One can see formation of well-formed ZFs. Figs 7(a), (b) and (c) show the snapshots of the 2D energy spectrum evaluated at time \( t = 100 \), \( t = 190 \) and \( t = 800 \). We can see that initially meridional scales are excited via the linear instability mechanism, see Fig 7(a). This is followed by the nonlinear redistribution of the energy into the zonal sector, so that the spectrum for \( t = 190 \) looks almost isotropic, Fig 7(b). The process of transfer to the zonal scales continues, and for \( t = 800 \) we observe a very anisotropic spectrum which is mostly zonal, see Fig 7(c).

In this case the evolution of the flux \( T_{mz} \) from the meridional to the zonal sector is similar to the \( \mathcal{C} = 40 \) case: the flux initially rises, reaches a maximum and then
the assertion made by Numata et al. that zonal flows (ZFs) do not form in the original (unmodified) HW model and the clear observation of the ZFs by Connaughton et al. within the Charney-Hasegawa-Mima model which is a limiting case of the HW system for large $\xi$.

In our simulations for large values of $\xi$, namely for $\xi = 40$, we do observe formation of a strongly anisotropic flow, dominated by kinetic energy in the zonal sector, followed by the suppression of the short drift waves, drift-dissipative instability and the particle flux, as originally proposed in the drift-wave/ZF feedback scenario put forward by Balk et al. in 1990. This result suggests the original HW model to be the minimal nonlinear PDE model which contains the drift-wave/ZF feedback loop, consistent with LH transition observations. Note that even though the drift-wave/ZF loop was also observed in the CHM simulation, it cannot be considered a minimal model for this mechanism because the CHM model itself does not contain any instability, and it had to be mimicked by an additional forcing term.

Furthermore, like in Numata et al., we see neither formation of ZFs nor suppression of the short drift waves and the transport for low values of $\xi$, 0.1 and 1. This is quite natural because in the limit of low $\xi$ the HW model becomes a system similar to the isotropic 2D Navier-Stokes equation. At this point we would like to come back to the statement of Numata et al. that ZFs are not observed in the unmodified HW model and we will reconcile this with the current results. It is important to distinguish between ZFs determined by the energy in the modes with $k_y = 0$, and the energy contained in the zonal sector $|k_x| > |k_y|$. In the unmodified and MHW model, energy is transferred to the zonal sector of wave-vector space if the value of $\xi$ is large enough. However, in the original HW model a strong damping of the small $k$ modes is present. This is most clearly seen by writing Eq. (11) in terms of vorticity in Fourier space,

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\omega}}{\partial t} = -C\hat{\omega} k^2 - C\hat{\nu} - \hat{A} - \hat{D}. \quad (14)$$

in which $\hat{\wedge}$ denotes a Fourier transform, $A$ and $D$ are the advection and hyperviscous diffusion terms in Eq. (1), respectively. The first term on the RHS of Eq. (14) is a damping term with characteristic time scale $k^2/\xi$. This time scale tends to zero for $\|k\| \to 0$, so that the damping of the small $k$ vectors is very strong. In the MHW model this damping is removed for the modes with $k_y = 0$, so that they will increase their energy more efficiently, compared to the unmodified HW model. This explains the relatively rapid emergence of the ZF topology in the MHW model and the same explanations holds for the behavior of the HW model with wave-number dependent value of $\xi$. Since the damping is a function of $k^2$, ZFs with a small $k_x$ and $k_y = 0$ will be strongly damped whereas in the unmodified HW model ZFs with large $k_x$ and $k_y = 0$ will be left unaffected by the damping. It is observed in our simulations that very large values of $\xi$ are needed to see the drift-waves/ZF feedback loop and we note that these large $\xi$ simulations are extremely demanding computationally because of the slow character of the linear instability in this case.

Of course, a decision which case is more relevant, constant or wave-number-dependent $\xi$, or the modified Hasegawa-Wakatani model should be decided based on the plasma parameters and the physical dimensions of the fusion device. Namely, the wave-number-dependent $\xi$ should only be adopted if the fastest growing modes have wave-numbers allowed by the largest circumference of the tokamak; otherwise one should fix the parallel wave-number at the lowest allowed value. The three-dimensional
simulations, which are available these days, can also answer this question. That is, if we observe domination of ZFs with low parallel wavenumbers, we must choose $C = \text{const}$, and on the contrary for ZFs with large parallel wave numbers $C$ must be depended on $k$.

In this paper once again we have demonstrated the usefulness of the basic PDE models of plasma, like CHM and HW, for predicting and describing important physical effects which are robust enough to show up in more realistic and less tractable plasma setups. Recall that the HW model is relevant for the tokamak edge plasma. As a step toward increased realism, one could consider a nonlinear three-field model for the nonlinear ion-temperature gradient (ITG) instability system, which is relevant for the core plasma; see eg. Leboeuf et al. This model is somewhat more complicated than what we have considered so far but still tractable by similar methods. This is an important subject for future research.
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