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Abstract

XMapTools is a MATLAB\textsuperscript{\textregistered}-based graphical user interface program for electron microprobe X-ray image processing, which can be used to estimate the pressure-temperature conditions of crystallization of minerals in metamorphic rocks. This program (available online at \url{http://www.xmaptools.com}) provides a method to standardize raw electron microprobe data and includes functions to calculate the oxide weight percent compositions for various minerals. A set of external functions is provided to calculate structural formulae from the standardized analyses as well as to estimate pressure-temperature conditions of crystallization, using empirical and semi-empirical thermobarometers from the literature. Two graphical user interface modules, Chem2D and Triplot3D, are used to plot mineral compositions into binary and ternary diagrams. As an example, the software is used to study a high-pressure Himalayan eclogite sample from the Stak massif in Pakistan. The high-pressure paragenesis consisting of omphacite and garnet has been retrogressed to a symplectitic assemblage of amphibole, plagioclase and clinopyroxene. Mineral compositions corresponding to \textasciitilde 165 000 analyses yield estimates for the eclogitic pressure-temperature retrograde path from 25 kbar to 9 kbar. Corresponding pressure-temperature maps were plotted and used to interpret the link between the equilibrium conditions of crystallization and the symplectitic microstructures. This example illustrates the usefulness of XMapTools for studying variations of the chemical composition of minerals and for retrieving information on metamorphic conditions on a microscale, towards computation of continuous pressure-temperature-and relative time path in zoned metamorphic minerals not affected by post-crystallization diffusion.
1. Introduction

Our understanding of the geodynamics and processes in orogens, subduction zones and the lower crust relies on estimations of the pressure-temperature ($P-T$) conditions of crystallization of mineral assemblages. Deriving reliable pressure and temperature information from a rock is critical to our knowledge of the thermal structure of the crust, whose variations can be recorded through time within individual samples via consecutive partial re-equilibration events. Thermobarometric tools such as multi-equilibrium thermobarometry (e.g. Berman, 1991), pseudosections (e.g. Holland and Powell, 1998; 2011) and empirical thermometers (e.g. Cathelineau and Nieva, 1985) provide these estimates from the nature and composition of minerals, even for high-variance assemblages (Vidal and Parra, 2000). To shed light on the recrystallization history of metamorphic rocks, chemical compositions of the minerals are required. This is commonly achieved using point mode analyses obtained with an electron probe microanalyser (EPMA). The use of X-ray images allows to identify the relationships between microstructures, variations of composition and variations of $P-T$ conditions of crystallization (e.g. Vidal et al., 2006). Since the first X-ray “dot maps” compositional image (Cosslett and Duncumb 1956), this technique has been developed (see Friel and Lyman 2006 for a review) using both energy-dispersive and wavelength dispersive X-ray spectrometers (EDS and WDS). For instance, previous work has used X-ray images for classification and modal analysis (Launeau et al.,
and to reconstruct $P-T$ paths (Kohn and Spear, 2000; De Andrade et al., 2006; Muñoz et al., 2006; Vidal et al., 2006; Yamato et al., 2007; Ganne et al., 2012; Fiannacca et al., 2012; Lanari et al., 2012; Plunder et al., 2012; Pourteau et al., 2013; Lanari et al., 2013). Quantitative electron microprobe analyses require an analytical standardization of the number of collected photons (X-ray intensity). The acquisition time for standardized point analysis for eight major elements (e.g. Si, Al, Mn, Mg, Fe, Na, Ca, K) under classical conditions (typically 10nA, 15keV, 40s) averages around two minutes. This approach is therefore difficult to apply to chemical mapping, where samples are typically heterogeneous on a ~10µm scale and maps typically contain about 150 000 pixels, that would correspond to ~200 days of measurements. X-ray maps for quantitative mapping can be obtained within a reasonable time frame by using a higher current intensity and a lower counting time (100nA, 15 KeV, 100-300ms, see De Andrade et al., 2006). In order to transform the X-ray intensities into calibrated weight percentages, Clarke et al. (2001) used a Bence-Albee approach (Bence and Albee, 1968), which has been later implemented in the program XRMapAnal (Tinkham and Ghent, 2005). However, the precision of this standardization procedure is subject to caution, because it can result in unreliable compositions for some geologically important phases (e.g. quartz, muscovite, plagioclase and garnet, compositions listed in the table 3 of Tinkham and Ghent, 2005). De Andrade et al. (2006) showed that standardization of X-ray intensities using point analyses as internal standards (Castaing, 1951) provides more reliable results.

In the present contribution, we present a $MATLAB^\text{©}$-based Graphical User Interface (GUI) program named XMapTools that can be used to: (1) classify mineral phases in the sample, (2) convert X-ray intensities into calibrated weight percentages using
Castaing’s approach, (3) calculate the structural formulae of the identified minerals, (4) plot minerals compositions using various chemical diagrams, and (5) calculate $P-T$ conditions of equilibration using various empirical and semi-empirical thermobarometers. An example of application of the program to a retrogressed eclogite is presented below.

2. Description of the program

The XMapTools program (available at http://www.xmaptools.com) can be run with a MATLAB® version 7.5 release R2007b or later. It uses a graphical interface named XMapTools.fig (Fig. 1) built using the MATLAB® Graphical User Interface Development Environment (GUIDE) tool. Each of the GUI components dragged with the GUIDE is associated with a callback function in the program file XMapTools.p, corresponding to a content-obscured version (encrypted executable).

The program is structured into three parts: Xray, Quanti and Results (Fig. 2) corresponding to three different steps of the mapping process. The first step (Xray column in Fig. 2), starts by loading the map. From statistical analysis of their composition, pixels are grouped within mineral phases and possibly fractures or voids, and corresponding masks are created. The user then identifies the nature of the various groups. This steps ends with the standardization stage. In the second step, (Quanti column in Fig. 2), standardized maps are turned into maps of structural formulae and into $P-T$ maps. The last step (Results column in Fig. 2), allows the user to produce binary and ternary chemical diagrams with the Chem2D and TriPlot3D modules. All the functions used in these different stages are detailed below.

2.1 Raw data treatment (Xray)
Two types of datasets can be uploaded into XMapTools, namely the raw X-ray data in photon counts per pixel (matrixes corresponding to the number of collected photons per analyzed element per pixel) and the point analyses used as internal standards.

With both Cameca© and Jeol© EPMA, the raw data of chemical maps can be exported in ASCII format text files such as *.txt file. Typically, one file is created for each measured element, and contains header lines reporting information about analytical conditions and coordinates of the selected area, followed by a matrix of X-ray intensity data (see Appendix 1). After removal of the header lines by the user, the loading function of XMapTools reads the input files and creates X-ray intensity images. This function includes dead-time correction, where the time interval after the arrival of a pulse during which the spectrometer is unresponsive to further pulses (Reed 2005) is accounted for, and transforms the measured counting rates into true rates.

Point analyses, their coordinates and the map coordinates are the other required inputs to the standardization step. Usually, the point analyses are made along different transects at high angles to (mineral grain boundaries includes 'each other') mineral grain boundaries to capture the total extent of the minerals heterogeneity. Experience has shown that a minimum of 20 point analyses encompassing most of the chemical heterogeneity of each mineral phases in the selected area of the sample is necessary to reach optimum precision. The standard loading function reads a series of point analyses. The locations of the point analyses must be carefully reported from stage coordinates corrected for mechanical backlash and are then projected on the map with the EMPA map coordinate system. The user can compare the X-ray intensities along the profiles measured by point analyses to those of the map, which is useful to detect problems of location of profiles on the map, for example due to drifting of the sample.
stage during analysis or to a projection problem. The point analyses showing outlying
or unwanted compositions such as mixtures of fine minerals, inclusions, or grain
boundaries must be deleted before the standardization.

2.1.1 Classification: mask creating function

This function creates masks corresponding to entities identified in the map (e.g.
mineral, mineral boundaries, fractures), where each mask is a matrix of logical
numbers indexed on the coordinates of the composition map: the value of 1 is
attributed to the pixels belonging to a given mineral phase, and 0 to the other pixels.
This function allocates each individual pixel to one of the minerals phases. The mask
creating function uses the statistical analysis method K-means clustering to distribute
the pixels into groups of similar compositions. K-means identifies clusters and
allocates pixels to these clusters by minimization of the distance in compositional
space between the pixels and the gravity centre of each cluster (Saporta 1990).
The user selects one pixel of each phase on the chemical map as needed by the mask
creating function for initial guess. The compositions of these pixels are used as
starting cluster centroids. In an iterative loop, each pixel is assigned to the nearest
cluster and the centroids are recalculated until the sum of point-to-centroid
distances over all clusters is minimized (Seber, 1984; Spath, 1985). Two approaches
are available in XMapTools: the ‘normalized’ and the ‘classical’ approaches. Both of
them use a K-means clustering approach, but with different X-ray intensities inputs.
In the ‘normalized’ function, X-ray intensities of each element are normalized to their
mean values, with the result that all elements have the same weight and only the
variances are compared. In contrast, the X-ray intensities of each element in the
‘classical’ method depend on the absolute concentration in each element. This
‘classical’ method is therefore more appropriate for elements present in high concentration. Different masks may be derived using both methods, depending on the magnitude of the differences between the compositions of the phases. An example is shown in section 3.2. Other approaches for the classification of different mineral phases can be found in the PetroMod program (Cossio et al., 2002). Here the K-means algorithm was chosen for its straightforwardness and efficiency.

2.1.2 Standardization function

The analytical standardization consists in converting the measured X-ray intensities into oxide weight percent concentrations using standards (Reed, 2005). The standardization function performs this transformation for each mask where quantitative information is available from point analysis or using user-defined concentrations. The standardization of pixels requires calibration curves describing how X-ray intensities change with concentration (Castaing, 1951). One calibration curve is calculated for each element in each phase from the intensity versus concentration relations constrained with point analyses. The calibration curves for Si in the different phases of the studied sample are shown in Figure 3. For each mineral, the calibration curve is a straight line between the origin (0 intensity and concentration) and the central point of the cluster of the point analyses.

The standardization can be performed using one of the three methods available in XMapTools. The first method ‘Auto (median approach)’ is fully automatic, and the cluster centroids are the median values separating the higher half from the lower half of the data. The ‘Manual’ approach allows the user to define the center of the clusters and therefore the calibration curve. The last method ‘Manual (homogeneous phase)’ is adapted to minerals assumed to be homogeneous such as might be the case for quartz.
The calibration curve is then defined as the mean value of X-ray intensity for the selected mineral and the user enters the corresponding concentration, e.g. 100% for SiO$_2$ in the case of quartz.

2.2 Calculations from quantified data (Quanti)

Once the masks have been defined and element concentrations have been estimated, structural formulae may be calculated and equilibrium conditions derived using external functions (see below). Users can add new thermobarometry or structural formula functions. The file ‘List-thermometers.txt’ lists all information about these external functions (i.e. category, name, input and output variables) that are stored into the folder ‘Functions’. All these functions are MATLAB$^\text{©}$ script m-files that can be read and edited.

2.2.1 Structural formulae functions

Several structural formulae and atom site allocations models from the literature are implemented in XMapTools (Table 1). A general function is also available to calculate a structural formula normalized to a given number of oxygen atoms. This additional function is used to compute the number of moles of elements per formula unit (p.f.u.) for each pixel assuming that the total sums up to 100%.

2.2.2 Thermobarometry functions

XMapTools includes a large selection of thermobarometry functions based on empirical and semi-empirical calibrations. These methods are distributed into two groups: exchange reactions for thermometry (Table 2) and thermobarometer functions (Table 3).
In exchange reactions, cations such as Fe$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ are swapped between two minerals (e.g. Spear, 1995). P-T conditions of equilibrium may be derived from the cations partition between the two phases (e.g., Ravna, 2000a for garnet-clinopyroxene). XMapTools generates an image with the oxide weight percent compositions of the two minerals and allows the user to select pairs of pixels (spot mode) or pairs of groups of pixels (area mode).

In the spot mode, the selected compositions are used to estimate the equilibrium conditions. In the area mode, all the possible pairs of pixels are used to calculate an average equilibrium condition with associated uncertainty. This mode allows to propagate the effect of composition variation in any phase through the whole thermobarometer calculation.

For exchange reactions, $T$ is usually estimated for a given pressure. If the minerals exhibit metamorphic zoning preserving paleo-equilibrium conditions, and if the zoning pattern is not due to post-crystallization diffusion, the variations of $T$ conditions can be investigated using exchange reactions. A set of mineral pairs selected between the core and the rim of two minerals provides a trend for the evolution of the temperature conditions. This approach is essentially the same as commonly used with point analyses.

The thermobarometry functions provide information on the $T$ and/or $P$ of mineral crystallization for each pixel of the map, which can be turned into $P$, $T$, or $P$ and $T$ maps. Both $P$ and $T$ conditions are derived from only one mineral composition (one pixel) with fixed variables such as other mineral composition, or $P$ or $T$. Methods are listed in Table 3 in three groups: (1) thermometers, (2) barometers, and (3) thermobarometers where $P$-T conditions are derived from at least two reactions (one $T$-dependent and one $P$-dependent) within a given mineral assemblage. This is done
using groups of pixel compositions and assuming equilibrium between the groups. The use of thermobarometry functions should be restrained to cases where the relevant saturating assemblages are present in the studied sample. The functions implemented in XMapTools do not check the presence of such assemblages because some minerals may be outside the mapped area. This is then the responsibility of the user to decide whether the functions can be applied to the studied sample.

2.3 Chemical plots

The mineral compositions or end-member proportions can be plotted as maps or into chemical diagrams such as binary diagrams (Fig. 4a) using the Chem2D module, or ternary diagrams (Fig. 5a) using the Triplot3D module. Both modules have a graphical interface in which the user can select the plotted variables and manage the diagram axes. A density plot function is also available to contour the analyzed variables for density, which is useful when a large number of points is plotted as is the case when working with maps (see examples in Fig. 4b and 5b). This function displays a density map using the mineral composition data and grid spacing defined by the user for density counting. The unit of the output of the density map is a number of analyses per surface unit of the grid on the graph, which has the dimension of the $x$ axis multiplied to the $y$ axis.

Two selection functions, namely ‘identify pixels’ and ‘multi-groups’, may be used to select ranges of composition (rectangles) in the diagrams, as well as to identify the selected pixels on the corresponding map (Fig. 4c and Fig. 5c) and to calculate modal abundances.

Several functions may be used to create masks from user-defined chemical groups within a phase. These masks are either built from manual selections (with the tools
“identify pixel” and “multi-groups”) or automatically using a K-means clustering approach. The mask variable can be exported into ASCII format (*.txt file) and used in the Quanti stage to export the average oxide composition corresponding to a selection of pixels.

2.4 Other functions

All the results can be saved and previously saved projects can be loaded at any time using the functions ‘save’, ‘save as’ and ‘load’. The save functions store the data in a MATLAB© formatted binary file MAT-file (with .mat extension).

Functions in the ‘figure’ window are dedicated to the management of the color bar such as setting the minimum and maximum values, the auto and reset buttons, and the phase separator button (PhaseSep in Fig. 1). The user can also export the main figure in usual image formats.

Functions in the ‘sampling’ window are used to select a subset of the data into the main displayed image. These functions are available for any image (X-ray raw measurement, map of oxide compositions, structural formulae, equilibrium conditions maps). The selected data may be individual pixels, arrays or areas of pixels. This tool can be used for example to draw the composition variations of a mineral grain along a transect.

3. Tests and evaluation

Generating structural formulae and P-T maps from microprobe analysis is of interest for petrology and geodynamics studies. In this section, we present an example of the use of XMapTools on a metamorphic rock sample. Compositional maps were acquired on an eclogite sample from the Stak area, a high pressure (HP) continental massifs in
NW Himalaya (Guillot et al., 2008; Lanari et al., 2013). This sample contains a well-preserved eclogitic assemblage consisting of garnet and omphacite, which formed during continental subduction. Omphacite was subsequently retrogressed to a Na-poorer clinopyroxene + plagioclase + amphibole symplectite. The final metamorphic event is recorded in the sample as a foliation comprising large crystals of amphibole developed as a result of deformation and syntectonic hydration under mid-upper crustal conditions (Lanari et al., 2013).

3.1 Data acquisition

An area of 0.348 mm$^2$ (520µm × 670µm) located in a symplectite zone and containing garnet, clinopyroxene, amphibole, plagioclase, Ti- and Fe-oxides was mapped at the Institute of Earth and Environmental Science, University of Potsdam, using a JEOL JXA-8200 EMPA. Mapping conditions were 15 keV accelerating voltage and 100 nA beam current, beam diameter smaller than 1 µm, 200 ms dwell time and 1 µm step size (i.e. pixel size). X-ray intensities for Si, Ti, Al, Fe, Mg, Mn, Ca, Na and K were measured in two passes.

3.2 Classification

An image of the mineral phases created using the ‘mask creating function’ (see §2.1) is shown in Figure 6a. The two methods for the mask creating function (classical and normalized) were tested and lead to similar estimates of the mineral modal proportions except for garnet (Table. 4). The difference for garnet is due to the erroneous allocation of the contour pixels around amphibole to the garnet mask when using the classical approach. This artefact may be corrected by creating an additional mask corresponding to the borders of the mineral or by using the normalized method.
Additional differences between the ‘normalized’ and ‘classical’ approaches are shown in Figure 6b for a part of the map (dashed square in Fig. 6a). For instance, zone 1 in Fig. 6b shows that omphacite is identified in the core of clinopyroxene using the ‘normalized’ method only. This is due to the normalization procedure and originates from the small chemical differences between omphacite and clinopyroxene in their Na- and Mg-contents. These differences are not detected with the ‘classical’ method, which allocates more pixels to the clinopyroxene mask. The other examples (2 and 3 in Fig. 6) show that in some cases, the opposite effect is observed when variations occur in only one highly concentrated element, keeping effects of the variations in low-concentrated elements to a minimum. From this, we conclude that the two methods should be tested and we recommend to check the difference between the two results and to compute different mask-files with different number of phases (including the fractures and/or mineral boundaries).

3.4 Test of the standardization

Analytical standardization of the X-ray images was performed using the ‘standardization function’ (see §4.1) with the ‘Auto (median approach)’ method. Calibration curves (see §3.1 and graphical representation in Fig. 3) were calculated for clinopyroxene, garnet, amphibole and plagioclase. The quality and accuracy of the standardization can be investigated using the function ‘Test of standardization’. This function plots the composition difference between point analyses and the standardized composition on the same location on the maps. As an example, the results for the standardization of garnet are reported in Fig. 8, which shows that the pixel compositions derived from the standardized maps are in good agreement, within analytical uncertainties, with the corresponding point analyses for
elements showing homogeneous compositions such as SiO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$, FeO (Fig. 8a, 8b and 8c) and heterogeneous compositions due to zoning such as CaO, MgO and MnO (Fig. 8d, 8e and 8f). In contrast, the point analyses of low concentration elements TiO$_2$ and K$_2$O show trends not recorded in the standardized maps (Fig. 8g and 8h), indicating that the concentrations of TiO$_2$ and K$_2$O mapped in garnet are not reliable, because they are close to the detection limits for the used mapping analytical conditions.

3.5 Structural formulae and chemical study

Clinopyroxene structural formulae were calculated on a 6 oxygen-basis by distributing elements on tetrahedral (T1) and octahedral (M1, M2) sites. End-members proportions of jadeite, diopside, hedenbergite, acmite and Ca-tschermak (Table 1) were estimated according to the atom site allocation model of Spear (1995) and Warren and Waters (2006). The amphiboles structural formulae were calculated on a 23 oxygen-basis and elements were distributed on tetrahedral (T1, T2), octahedral (M2, M13, M4), and 10 to 12-fold coordinated (A) sites. End-members proportions of glaucophane, tremolite, tschermakite, pargasite, cumingtonite (Table 1) were calculated according to the atom site allocation model of Dale et al. (2000; 2005). Structural formulae for garnet and plagioclase were respectively calculated on a 12 and 8 oxygen-basis following classical atom-site allocation models (Table 1). Structural formulae maps highlight the relationship between atom-site composition and microstructures. The two chemical modules Chem2D and Triplot3D were used to investigate the variations of clinopyroxene structural formulae (i.e. varying end-member proportions). In Figure 4a, Na-rich clinopyroxene compositions were selected (red dots) using the ‘identify pixel’ tool (§2.3) in the Na vs. Mg diagram. The
pixels belonging to the selected composition range are plotted in red on the map (Fig. 4c). These Na-rich clinopyroxene compositions are omphacitic and make up to ~25% of the total clinopyroxene pixels. Then, clinopyroxene compositions were divided into three groups using the ‘multi-groups’ tool within the jadeite-diopside-hedenbergite ternary diagram (groups 1, 2 and 3 in Fig. 5a). The pixels belonging to the three composition ranges are plotted on the map with corresponding colors (Fig. 5c). The clinopyroxene compositions into the symplectite have lower Na contents, corresponding to lower jadeite contents. Clinopyroxene-amphibole-plagioclase symplectites are known to nucleate on grain boundaries between two omphacite grains and to grow into the grain on one side, when the rock is sufficiently out of equilibrium to nucleate the product (Joanny et al., 1991; Waters, 2002, 2003). In the mapped area (Fig. 7), Na-rich clinopyroxene previously identified as omphacite shows high Na contents (up to 0.4 p.f.u, zone 1 in Fig. 7). This primary omphacite (width > 100µm) is destabilized into a first symplectite containing Na-poorer clinopyroxene, plagioclase and amphibole. Clinopyroxene in this first symplectite (zone 2 in Fig. 7) crystallizes as broad lamellae (30-40µm width) preserving the original shape of omphacite, but with a lower Na-content (0.35 to 0.28 p.f.u.) than the original omphacite grains. A second symplectite with the same minerals is observed in zone 3 of figure 7, which shows smaller-size clinopyroxene lamellae (10-20µm width) and Na-content (0.28 to 0.18 p.f.u.). Clinopyroxene lamellae are even smaller in the last symplectite (width < 10µm) and also have lower Na-content (<15 p.f.u.).

3.6 Precision and resolution

In the previous section, maps of structural formulae highlight variations in the clinopyroxene composition according to the symplectite microstructures. Na-content
in clinopyroxene decreases with decreasing size of the symplectite (i.e. with time).

The clinopyroxene solid solution includes jadeite while diopside hedenbergite and Ca-
tschermak are calcic end-members. As the multiplicity of the M2 site is one and
XFe$^{3+} = 0$ (corresponding to $X_{acmite} = 0$), the jadeite proportion is equal to the Na-
content in clinopyroxene. Estimating the uncertainties associated with the
compositional values of the structural formulae is needed before discussing the
implications linked to the chemical zoning.

The precision of the electron microprobe measurement with our experimental protocol
can be estimated using a Poisson law (De Andrade et al., 2006):

$$p = \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}$$

with $p$ the precision (in % at 2σ), and $n$ the number of recorded counts. The mean
intensities and precision measurements for each element (Si, Ti, Al, Fe, Mg, Ca, Na,
K) of clinopyroxene pixels are listed in Table 4. The precision measurements range
from 1.8% for Si to 20% for Ti. Uncertainties in the structural formula originating
from analytical errors were estimated using a Monte Carlo simulation where a total of
100 000 random clinopyroxene compositions were computed with a normal
distribution around the mean intensity compositions (Table 4) within 2σ of the
measured precisions. The standardization procedure was carried out for all the
compositions using the calibration curves estimated above. The average oxide
concentrations structural formulae and the associated standard deviations are listed in
Table 4. The average Na-content is estimated at 0.28 ± 0.03 p.f.u., which indicates
that the compositional variations identified above (ranging from 0.41 to 0.13) are
significant. It is emphasized that the uncertainties reported here are valid for the
present EPMA settings and range of clinopyroxene composition, and can be decreased
by increasing the dwell time.
3.7 Combined $P$ and $T$ functions: $P$-$T$ maps of Cpx

$P$-$T$ maps were built using combined $P$ and $T$ functions available in XMapTools (description in §4.2 and list in Table 2). At the thin section scale, two assemblages involving clinopyroxene are in equilibrium: the HP assemblage made of garnet, omphacite and phengite (as inclusions in garnet, not present in the mapped area) and the retrogressed assemblage represented by the clinopyroxene-plagioclase-amphibole symplectite. These two parageneses were treated separately.

For the HP assemblage, $P$-$T$ conditions for clinopyroxene pixels were estimated using the XMapTools function ‘Cpx-P-T Rav (Omp-Gar-Phg)’. $P$ was estimated using the garnet, omphacite and phengite geobarometer of Waters and Martin (1993) and Waters (1996). $T$ was estimated using the garnet and omphacite geothermometer of Ravna (2000a). The function estimates first $T_i$ at a given $P$ ($P_{\text{input}}$), and recalculates $T_{n+1}$ and $P_{n+1}$ until convergence (respectively 5°C and 0.1 kbar) between $T_n$ and $T_{n+1}$ and $P_n$ and $P_{n+1}$. As the compositions of garnet show a slight zoning (Alm$_{48}$ Prp$_{32-33}$ Grs$_{17-19}$ Sp$_{4}$), two average garnet compositions were defined, one for the core and one for the rim (Lanari et al., 2013). In both groups, garnet compositions are homogeneous within errors. Each pixel of omphacite was assumed in equilibrium with one garnet average composition (core-core, rim-rim). Then, $P$-$T$ estimates were calculated for all omphacite compositions.

For the symplectite, $T$ was first estimated using the edenite-richterite calibration (Holland and Blundy, 1994) with the composition of amphibole pixels for a fixed composition of plagioclase (function ‘Amp-T, Holland and Blundy 1994a’). Crystallization $T$ for amphibole were found to vary from 680±6°C in contact with Jd$_{30\%}$ clinopyroxene to 640±8°C in contact with Jd$_{10\%}$ clinopyroxene. This
relationship was used to calculate the $T$ of clinopyroxene. $P$ for clinopyroxene crystallization were subsequently calculated using the calibration of Waters (2003) for the equilibrium reaction: jad + trem = alb + ed.

About 165 000 $P$-$T$ calculations (one for each Cpx pixels) have been obtained and the results have been plotted into binary diagrams (Fig. 9a and 9b) using the module Chem2D, and into $P$ and $T$ maps (Fig. 9c and Fig. 9d). The density $P$-$T$ diagram option (Fig. 9b) plotted using the Chem2D module shows that the apparent deviation in $P$ is composed of a small proportion of points (< 1%). In contrast, the density diagram shows a significant trend for $T$ and $P$ corresponding to the prograde evolution from 650°C to 750°C and from 24 kbar to 25 kbar. This increase in $T$ and the slight increase of $P$ along the prograde path is confirmed by the spatial distribution of the obtained $T$ and $P$ when plotted on a map: omphacite grains show high $P$ at ~25kbar, and are zoned in $T$ from 650°C in the old grain cores to 750°C in the old grain rims. Clinopyroxene in the symplectite shows a different trend with a decrease of both $P$ and $T$ with the decreasing size of the intergrowths (Fig. 9). This interpretation on the preservation of $P$ and $T$ in symplectite during the exhumation is in good agreement with the reported high cooling rates (Guillot et al., 2009). This example typifies the importance of the mapping approach, which allows to test for relationships between $P$-$T$ conditions and the textural information from metamorphic microstructures.

4. Concluding remarks

This paper describes XMapTools, a MATLAB©-based GUI program to quantify raw X-ray electron microprobe data using internal standards, plot chemical diagrams and calculate $P$-$T$ conditions of crystallization for metamorphic parageneses. The XMapTools program includes 15 user-friendly main functions for the different steps
to the procedure, from the loading of the raw data to calculating $P-T$ maps, and two
eexternal modules Chem2D and TriPlot3D with independent graphical user interfaces
to plot chemical diagrams. Beside the treatment of compositional maps, XMapTools
offers the possibility of estimating thermobarometric conditions, which can be linked
to the observed deformation features. For the study of metamorphic and magmatic
rocks, a set of external functions specific to geothermobarometry is also included.
This set comprises a range of structural formulae functions for usual rock-forming
silicate minerals as well as empirical and semi-empirical geothermobarometers from
the literature. Application of XMapTools to an eclogite sample shows that the
accuracy of the mapped minerals composition is good enough to discuss the
compositional-structural-$P-T$ relationships based from Na distribution in
clinopyroxene. A strong link between metamorphic textures and composition has
been found, and the retrieved $P-T$ information gives a detailed reconstruction of the
metamorphic history of the sample.
A more detailed thermobarometric study can be made using independent programs
such as e.g. Theriak-Domino (de Capitani and Petrakakis 2010) or Tweeq (Berman,
2007) with the whole range of mineral compositions derived from XMapTools, which
can be easily exported to the required formats. Moreover, an interesting feature of
XMapTools is the possibility to calculating local bulk rock compositions from
selected parts of the 2D maps. Such compositions can be used to calculate the stable
mineral assemblages, compositions and abundance by free energy minimizing (e.g.
Powell, 2008), and to compare them with the observed features. This approach,
illustrated in Lanari et al. (2013) for the example discussed in the present
contribution, can provide valuable information on the degree of achievement of
thermodynamic equilibrium, the link between deformation and reequilibration, and
possibly the extend of mass transfer controlled by deformation. Future release of XMapTools will incorporate energy minimizing and multi-equilibrium modules to facilitate advanced thermobarometric studies.
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Figure Caption

**Fig. 1:** *XMapTools* v1.5.2 graphic user interface. The displayed image is the raw Al-content map of the sample ‘Eclogite’ (see text for details) unit: number of recorded counts.

**Fig. 2:** *XMapTools* operating diagram, schematizing the structure of the program. All steps marked with a star require user action. Light arrows indicate the way forward, bold-arrows the transition between the different sub-programs (*Xray, Quanti, Results*, see text), and the dashed arrows the available feedback.

**Fig. 3:** Intensity recorded on the map versus oxide weight percent concentrations for Si in the studied sample. Blue crosses show point analyses, lines are regressed calibration curves obtained using the median approach described in text. The precision is given depending on the intensity (% at 2σ) following equation 1 (see text).

**Fig. 4:** Results from the *XMapTools Chem2D* module. (A) The clinopyroxene compositions are plotted in a binary diagram Na vs Mg. Unit is per formula unit (p.f.u.). The pixels displayed in the map are colored in red. (B) Density map calculated from the binary diagram (see text for details). (C) Map of the analyzed area in which clinopyroxene pixels selected in A are in red and the unselected pixels in blue. Black pixels do not belong to the clinopyroxene mask. Selected Na-rich pixels correspond to 25% of the total clinopyroxene pixels.

**Fig. 5:** Results from the *XMapTools TriPlot3D* module. (A) Clinopyroxene compositions plotted in a ternary diagram jadeite-diopside-hedenbergite. Unit is the end-member proportion. The selected pixel groups displayed into a map in C are colored according to their group (blue: group 1, cyan: group 2, yellow: group 3). (B)
Density map calculated from the ternary diagram. (C) Map of the analyzed area, in which the selected groups of clinopyroxene pixels in A are plotted with the same colors as in A.

**Fig. 6:** Phase masks for the “eclogite” sample (A) computed using the ‘normalized’ method (see text). The part used to compare the two available methods is marked using a dashed rectangle. (B) Comparison between the “classical” and “normalized” methods with a difference image in which black pixels are the pixels not allocated to the same groups with both methods.

**Fig. 7:** Na-content of clinopyroxene (including omphacite). The different stages of crystallization (labeled 1 to 4) are discussed in the text.

**Fig. 8:** Diagrams showing the difference between point analyses composition (standard) and the standardized composition on the same location on the X-ray maps for garnet and different elements. (A) SiO$_2$, (B) Al$_2$O$_3$, (C) FeO, (D) CaO, (E) MgO, (F) MnO, (G) TiO$_2$, (H) K$_2$O, (I) Na$_2$O. BDL: below detection limit.

**Fig. 9:** P-T path and P-T maps of the Stak sample estimated from the compositions of clinopyroxenes (see text for details). The interpreted $P$-$T$ path is from Lanari et al. (2013).
Table captions

**Table 1**: List of solid-solution models and associated end-members included in XMapTools.

**Table 2**: List of exchange reaction calibrations included in XMapTools.

**Table 3**: List of empirical thermometers, barometers and multi-equilibrium functions implemented in XMapTools.

**Table 4**: Phase proportions (volume %) estimated with XMapTools using the available methods ‘normalized’ and ‘classical’. The difference in percentage is an absolute difference.

**Table 5**: Uncertainties resulting from microprobe acquisition on raw data and error-propagation using Monte-Carlo techniques on quantified data and structural formulae for clinopyroxene. The precision at 2σ-level on raw data (in %) was estimated using the equation 2 (see text) on the average intensity of all the pixels of clinopyroxene. This uncertainty was propagated on the quantification process using a Monte-Carlo simulation with n=100 000 analyses. The uncertainty was similarly propagated on the structural formulae calculation process, including atom-site distribution.
Figure 3

![Graph showing the relationship between number of recorded counts (intensity) and weight percent (point mode analyses). The graph includes data from this study and from Lanari et al. (2012).](image-url)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mineral (*)</th>
<th>End-members (**)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chain silicates</strong></td>
<td>Amphibole (23)</td>
<td>glaucophane (gl)</td>
<td>Holland &amp; Blundy, 1994;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>tremolite (tr)</td>
<td>Dale et al. (2000, 2005);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>f-tremolite (ftr)</td>
<td>Spear (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clinopyroxene (6)</td>
<td>jadeite (jd)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>diopside (di)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>hedenbergite (hed)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ca-tschermak (cats)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acmite (acm)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Orthopyroxene (6)</td>
<td>Enstatite (en)</td>
<td>Holland and Powell,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ferrosilite (fs)</td>
<td>(1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mg-Tschermak (mgi)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chloritoid (6)</td>
<td>Mg-chloritoid (mcd)</td>
<td>Vidal et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mn-chloritoid (mncd)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Staurolite (48)</td>
<td>Fe-staurolite (fst)</td>
<td>Holland and Powell,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mg-staurolite (mst)</td>
<td>(1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mn-staurolite (mnst)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Epidote (12.5)</td>
<td>zoizite (zo)</td>
<td>Holland and Powell,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>epidote (ep)</td>
<td>(1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fe-epidote (fep)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cordierite (18)</td>
<td>cordierite (crd)</td>
<td>Holland and Powell,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fe-cordierite (fcrd)</td>
<td>(1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mn-cordierite (mncrd)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ortho- &amp; ring</strong></td>
<td>Garnet (12)</td>
<td>almandine (alm)</td>
<td>Spear (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>silicates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>pyrope (pyr)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>spessartine (spe)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>grossular (gro)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Olivine (4)</td>
<td>forsterite</td>
<td>Spear (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>fayalite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phyllosilicates</strong></td>
<td>Chlorite (14)</td>
<td>amesite (ames)</td>
<td>Holland et al. (1998);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>daphnite (daph)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sudoite (sud)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>chlorite-Mg (afch)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>chlorite-Fe (fach)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Micas (11)</td>
<td>celadonite (cel)</td>
<td>Coggon and Holland (2002);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>f-celadonite (fcel)</td>
<td>Parra et al. (2002);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>muscovite (ms)</td>
<td>Dubacq et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>paragonite (par)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>margarite (marg)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>phillogopite (phl)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>f-phillogopite (fphl)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pyrophyllite (prl)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Framework</strong></td>
<td>Feldspar (8)</td>
<td>albite (ab)</td>
<td>Spear (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>silicates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>anorthite (an)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>microcline (mic)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Oxygen basis; ** abbreviation
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Calibrations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amphibole-Plagioclase</td>
<td>Blundy and Holland (1990); Holland and Blundy (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorite-Chloritoid</td>
<td>Vidal et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garnet-Biotite</td>
<td>Thompson (1976); Goldman and Albee (1977); Holdaway and Lee (1977)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garnet-Muscovite</td>
<td>Green and Hellman (1982); Krogh and Råheim (1978)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garnet-Chlorite</td>
<td>Dickenson and Hewitt (1986); Grambling (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garnet-Amphibole</td>
<td>Ravna (2000b); Perchuk et al. (1985); Graham and Powell (1984)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garnet-Cpx</td>
<td>Ravna (2000a); Ai (1994); Sengupta et al. (1989); Pattisson and Newton (1989); Krogh (1988); Powell (1985); Dahl (1980); Ganguly (1979); Ellis and Green (1979); Mori and Green (1978); Råheim and Green (1974); Mysen and Heier (1972)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral</td>
<td>Thermometers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-White mica</td>
<td>P; Massone and Schreyer (1987)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garnet</td>
<td>T; Creighton (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T; Kawasaki and Motoyoshi, (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rutile</td>
<td>T; Zack et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Fixed composition
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normalized</th>
<th>Classical</th>
<th>Difference (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ti-oxide</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garnet</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.70</td>
<td>9.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-Oxide</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphibole</td>
<td>13.20</td>
<td>12.77</td>
<td>3.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
<td>21.26</td>
<td>21.52</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omphacite</td>
<td>30.97</td>
<td>29.88</td>
<td>3.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cpx</td>
<td>26.04</td>
<td>26.57</td>
<td>1.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Mean Intensity</th>
<th>Precision (% at 2σ)</th>
<th>Oxide</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Error (2σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>11086</td>
<td>0.950</td>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>54.718</td>
<td>0.528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>10.35</td>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>2529</td>
<td>1.988</td>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>9.690</td>
<td>0.194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>631</td>
<td>3.981</td>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>4.529</td>
<td>0.176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>1381</td>
<td>2.689</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>10.211</td>
<td>0.276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>6486</td>
<td>1.242</td>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>16.379</td>
<td>0.206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>4.609</td>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>4.050</td>
<td>0.188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>8.889</td>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Structural formula

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Error (2σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Si_T₁</td>
<td>1.962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al_T₁</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al_M₁</td>
<td>0.371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg_M₁</td>
<td>0.546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe_M₁</td>
<td>0.136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca_M₂</td>
<td>0.629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na_M₂</td>
<td>0.281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XMg</td>
<td>0.801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XFe</td>
<td>0.199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xjd</td>
<td>0.281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xdi</td>
<td>0.546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xhed</td>
<td>0.136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xcats</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 1

Ca.txt (file)

Ca.txt chemical image for the high-pressure Himalayan eclogite sample from the Stak massif in northern Pakistan displayed using XMapTools (unit number of recorded counts, auto-contrast).