



# The degrees of freedom of the group Lasso for a general design

Samuel Vaïter, Gabriel Peyré, Jalal M. Fadili, Charles-Alban Deledalle,  
Charles Dossal

► **To cite this version:**

Samuel Vaïter, Gabriel Peyré, Jalal M. Fadili, Charles-Alban Deledalle, Charles Dossal. The degrees of freedom of the group Lasso for a general design. SPARS'13, Jul 2013, Lausanne, Switzerland. 1 page, 2013.

**HAL Id: hal-00926929**

**<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00926929>**

Submitted on 10 Jan 2014

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# The degrees of freedom of the group Lasso for a general design

Samuel Vaïter and Gabriel Peyré

CEREMADE, CNRS-U. Paris-Dauphine  
Place du Maréchal De Lattre De Tassigny,  
75775 Paris Cedex 16, France.

Jalal M. Fadili

GREYC, CNRS-ENSICAEN-U. Caen  
6, Bd du Maréchal Juin  
14050 Caen Cedex, France.

Charles Deledalle and Charles Dossal

IMB, CNRS-U. Bordeaux 1  
351, cours de la Libération  
33405 Talence Cedex, France.

**Abstract**—In this paper, we are concerned with regression problems where covariates can be grouped in nonoverlapping blocks, from which a few are active. In such a situation, the group Lasso is an attractive method for variable selection since it promotes sparsity of the groups. We study the sensitivity of any group Lasso solution to the observations and provide its precise local parameterization. When the noise is Gaussian, this allows us to derive an unbiased estimator of the degrees of freedom of the group Lasso. This result holds true for any fixed design, no matter whether it is under- or overdetermined. Our results specialize to those of [1], [2] for blocks of size one, i.e.  $\ell^1$  norm. These results allow objective choice of the regularisation parameter through e.g. the SURE.

## I. GROUP LASSO AND DEGREES OF FREEDOM

Consider the linear regression problem  $Y = X\beta_0 + \varepsilon$ , where  $Y$  is the real  $n$ -dimensional response vector,  $\beta_0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$  is the unknown vector of regression coefficients to be estimated,  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$  is the design matrix whose columns are the  $p$  covariate vectors, and  $\varepsilon$  is the error term. In this paper, we do not make any specific assumption on  $n$  with respect to  $p$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a disjoint union of the set of indices i.e.  $\bigcup_{b \in \mathcal{B}} = \{1, \dots, p\}$  such that  $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}, b \cap b' = \emptyset$ . For  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , for each  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\beta_b = (\beta_i)_{i \in b}$  is a subvector of  $\beta$  whose entries are indexed by the block  $b$ , and  $|b|$  is the cardinality of  $b$ . The group Lasso amounts to solving

$$\hat{\beta}(y) \in \underset{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p}{\text{Argmin}} \frac{1}{2} \|y - X\beta\|^2 + \lambda \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \|\beta_b\|, \quad (\mathcal{P}_\lambda(y))$$

from an observation  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  of the regression model, where  $\lambda > 0$  is the regularization parameter and  $\|\cdot\|$  is the  $\ell^2$ -norm.

Let  $y \mapsto \hat{\mu}(y) = X\hat{\beta}(y)$  be the response or the prediction associated to  $\hat{\beta}(y)$ , and let  $\mu_0 = X\beta_0$ . We recall that  $\hat{\mu}(y)$  is always uniquely defined (by strict convexity of the fidelity term), although  $\hat{\beta}(y)$  may not as is the case when  $X$  is a rank-deficient or underdetermined design matrix. Suppose that  $\varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 \text{Id}_n)$ . Following [3], the DOF is given by  $df = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\text{cov}(Y_i, \hat{\mu}_i(Y))}{\sigma^2}$ . The well-known Stein's lemma asserts that, if  $y \mapsto \hat{\mu}(y)$  is a weakly differentiable function with an essentially bounded gradient, then an unbiased estimator of  $df$  is  $\hat{df}(Y) = \text{div} \hat{\mu}(Y) = \text{tr}(\partial \hat{\mu}(Y))$  and  $\mathbb{E}_\varepsilon(\hat{df}(Y)) = df$ , where  $\partial \hat{\mu}(\cdot)$  is the Jacobian of  $\hat{\mu}(\cdot)$ .

In the sequel, we define the  $\mathcal{B}$ -support  $\text{supp}_{\mathcal{B}}(\beta)$  of  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$  as  $\text{supp}_{\mathcal{B}}(\beta) = \{b \in \mathcal{B} \mid \|\beta_b\| \neq 0\}$ . The size of  $\text{supp}_{\mathcal{B}}(\beta)$  is  $|\text{supp}_{\mathcal{B}}(\beta)| = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} |b|$ . The set of all  $\mathcal{B}$ -supports is denoted  $\mathcal{I}$ , and  $X_I$ , where  $I$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -support, is the matrix formed by the columns  $X_i$  where  $i$  is an element of  $b \in I$ . We also introduce the following block-diagonal operators

$$\delta_\beta : v \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{I}|} \mapsto (v_b / \|\beta_b\|)_{b \in \mathcal{I}} \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{I}|}$$

and  $P_\beta : v \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{I}|} \mapsto (\text{Proj}_{\beta_b^\perp}(v_b))_{b \in \mathcal{I}} \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{I}|}$ ,

where  $\text{Proj}_{\beta_b^\perp} = \text{Id} - \beta_b \beta_b^\top$  is the orthogonal projector on  $\beta_b^\perp$ .

## II. MAIN CONTRIBUTIONS

The first difficulty we need to overcome when  $X$  is not full column rank is that  $y \mapsto \hat{\beta}(y)$  is set-valued. Toward this goal, we are led to impose the following assumption on  $X$  with respect to the block structure.

**Assumption** ( $\mathbf{A}(\beta)$ ): Given a vector  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$  of  $\mathcal{B}$ -support  $I$ , we assume that the finite subset of vectors  $\{X_b \beta_b \mid b \in I\}$  is linearly independent.

It is important to notice that  $\mathbf{A}(\beta)$  is weaker than imposing that  $X_I$  is full column rank, which is standard when analyzing the Lasso. The two assumptions coincide for the Lasso, i.e.  $|b| = 1, \forall b \in I$ .

*Definition 1:* Let  $\lambda > 0$ . The transition space  $\mathcal{H}$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{I \subset \mathcal{B}} \bigcup_{b \notin I} \mathcal{H}_{I,b}, \quad \text{where } \mathcal{H}_{I,b} = \text{bd}(\pi(\mathcal{A}_{I,b})),$$

where we have denoted

$$\pi : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{I,*} \times \mathbb{R}^{I,*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \mathbb{R}^{I,*} = \prod_{b \in I} (\mathbb{R}^{|b|} \setminus \{0\})$$

the canonical projection on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (with respect to the first component),  $\text{bd } C$  is the boundary of the set  $C$ , and

$$\mathcal{A}_{I,b} = \left\{ (y, \beta_I, v_I) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{I,*} \times \mathbb{R}^{I,*} \mid \|X_b^\top (y - X_I \beta_I)\| = \lambda, \right. \\ \left. X_I^\top (X_I \beta_I - y) + \lambda v_I = 0, \forall g \in I, v_g = \frac{\beta_g}{\|\beta_g\|} \right\}.$$

We are now equipped to state our main sensitivity analysis result.

*Theorem 1:* Let  $\lambda > 0$ . Let  $y \notin \mathcal{H}$ , and  $\hat{\beta}(y)$  a solution of  $(\mathcal{P}_\lambda(y))$ . Let  $I = \text{supp}_{\mathcal{B}}(\hat{\beta}(y))$  be the  $\mathcal{B}$ -support of  $\hat{\beta}(y)$  such that  $\mathbf{A}(\hat{\beta}(y))$  holds. Then, there exists an open neighborhood of  $y$   $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , and a mapping  $\tilde{\beta} : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$  such that

- 1) For all  $\tilde{y} \in \mathcal{O}$ ,  $\tilde{\beta}(\tilde{y})$  is a solution of  $(\mathcal{P}_\lambda(\tilde{y}))$ , and  $\tilde{\beta}(y) = \hat{\beta}(y)$ .
- 2) the  $\mathcal{B}$ -support of  $\tilde{\beta}(\tilde{y})$  is constant on  $\mathcal{O}$ .
- 3) the mapping  $\tilde{\beta}$  is  $C^1(\mathcal{O})$  and its Jacobian is such that  $\forall \tilde{y} \in \mathcal{O}$ ,

$$\partial \tilde{\beta}_{I^c}(\tilde{y}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial \tilde{\beta}_I(\tilde{y}) = d(y, \lambda)$$

$$\text{where } d(y, \lambda) = (X_I^\top X_I + \lambda \delta_{\tilde{\beta}(y)} \circ P_{\tilde{\beta}(y)})^{-1} X_I^\top \\ \text{and } I^c = \{b \in \mathcal{B} \mid b \notin I\}.$$

The next theorem provides a closed-form expression of the local variations of  $y \mapsto \hat{\mu}(y)$ . In turn, when  $\varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 \text{Id})$ , this will yield an unbiased estimator of the degrees of freedom and of the prediction risk of the group Lasso.

*Theorem 2:* Let  $\lambda > 0$ . For all  $y \notin \mathcal{H}$ , there exists a solution  $\hat{\beta}(y)$  of  $(\mathcal{P}_\lambda(y))$  with  $\mathcal{B}$ -support  $I = \text{supp}_{\mathcal{B}}(\hat{\beta}(y))$  such that  $\mathbf{A}(\hat{\beta}(y))$  is fulfilled. The mapping  $y \mapsto \hat{\mu}(y) = X\hat{\beta}(y)$  is  $C^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{H})$  and,

$$\text{div}(\hat{\mu}(y)) = \text{tr}(X_I d(y, \lambda))$$

where  $\hat{\beta}(y)$  is such that  $\mathbf{A}(\hat{\beta}(y))$  holds. Moreover, The set  $\mathcal{H}$  has Lebesgue measure zero. If  $Y = X\beta_0 + \varepsilon$  where  $\varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 \text{Id}_n)$ , then  $\text{tr}(X_I d(Y, \lambda))$  is an unbiased estimate of the DOF of the group Lasso.

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Zou, T. Hastie, and R. Tibshirani, "On the "degrees of freedom" of the Lasso," *The Annals of Statistics*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 2173–2192, 2007.
- [2] C. Dossal, M. Kachour, J. Fadili, G. Peyré, and C. Chesneau, "The degrees of freedom of penalized  $\ell_1$  minimization," to appear in *Statistica Sinica*, 2012. [Online]. Available: <http://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00638417>
- [3] B. Efron, "How biased is the apparent error rate of a prediction rule?" *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, vol. 81, no. 394, pp. 461–470, 1986.