Energy efficiency of Opportunistic and Efficient Resource Block Allocation Algorithms for LTE Uplink Networks

Fatima Zohra Kaddour, Vivier Emmanuelle, Mylène Pischella, Lina Mroueh, Philippe Martins

Energy efficiency of Opportunistic and Efficient Resource Block Allocation Algorithms for LTE Uplink Networks

Fatima Zohra Kaddour [1,2], Emmanuelle Vivier [2], Mylene Pischella [3], Lina Mroueh [2], Philippe Martins [1]
[1] Telecom ParisTech, 46 rue Barrault, Paris, France
[2] ISEP, 28 rue N-D des Champs, Paris, France
[3] CNAM, 292 rue Saint-Martin, Paris, France

***Abstract*—Actually, the energy efficiency in wireless network is the central concern of research. We propose in this paper, a new energy efficiency scheme which allocates the mobile's transmission power to users in function of the channel conditions on their allocated resource blocks. We focus on the energy efficiency of the Opportunistic and Efficient Resource Block Allocation (OEA) algorithm and its variant adapted to the Quality of Service (QoS) traffic, the QoS based OEA in LTE uplink network. The OEA and the QoS based OEA allocate the Resource Block (RB) to UEs efficiently and respecting the SC-FDMA constraints, such as, for one user, contiguous RB are allocated, and the same Modulation and Coding Scheme (MCS) are used over the whole allocated RBs. When the RB allocation is performed, the power control is applied to the mobile's transmission power considering the MCS used and the channel condition's. This energy efficiency allow users to achieve the same throughput than before the power control and do not affect the MCS selection established at the RB allocation step. This new scheme allow the transmission of a high number of bits per joule.**

Keywords: Energy Efficiency, Power control, Resource Block allocation, SC-FDMA, LTE.

I. Introduction

Nowadays, the whole world of telecommunications and information communities is facing a more and more serious challenge with the increasing traffic requirements in current and the next generation mobile networks and the popularity of smart terminals. Then the energy consumption of wireless communication networks and the relevant global CO2 emission shows continuous growth for several years. It has been pointed out that currently 3% of the world-wide energy is consumed by the Information and Communication Technology (ICT) infrastructure that causes about 2% of the world-wide CO2 emissions. Energy costs to the mobile's operators a half of the operating expenses. Moreover, improving the energy efficiency as the resource efficiency is not only beneficial for the global environment but also makes commercial sense for telecommunication operators supporting sustainable and profitable business.

Within the framework of Green Communications, a number of technical approaches are investigated in the literature. We focus on the energy efficient wireless transmission techniques on uplink 3rd Generation Partner Project (3GPP) Long Term Evolution (LTE) network. The 3GPP standard adopted, for the LTE networks, the Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiple Access (OFDMA) and the Single Carrier Frequency Division Multiple Access (SC-FDMA) for both downlink and uplink respectively. The relevance of the SC-FDMA on the uplink is that in addition to the OFDMA advantages, the SC-FDMA generates a low Peak to Average Power Ration (PAPR), by considering the whole allocated Resource Blocks (RB) as a single carrier. The reduction of the PAPR can be more than 25% compared to the OFDMA technique [1]. This advantage not only leads to the decrease of the equalizer complexity and the cost of the mobile terminal by the same way, but also to the decrease of the mobile energy consumption. Using the SC-FDMA technique on the uplink is an encouraging start but it can not increase much the mobile battery life. Therefore, solving the battery life of the mobile's terminal becomes the central concern of the researchers. Works on this scope focus on: (i) maximizing the available energy and (ii) minimizing the energy consumption. The available energy can be increased by (a) the battery capacity improvement which is, unfortunately, not sufficient and is limited due to design aspect, and (b) using the surrounding energy sources, such as kinetic, thermal, and solar energy [2]. The mobile's energy consumption can be minimized by first, optimizing the hardware energy consumption, such as choosing power efficient components and applying power management like performing sleep modes for inactive hardware [3] or the Discontinuous Reception (DRX) in idle mode [4]. The second solution to minimize the mobile's energy consumption is the adjustment of the mobile's parameter, like the brightness display and the processor speed for some applications. In the radio access network, the power consumption reduction is performed by a power control of the mobile's transmission power. Reduction on the mobile transmission power leads to low user's Signal to Interference plus Noise Ratio (SINR) and a low individual throughput. Therefore, the power control should take into account the required SINR which allows the User Equipement (UE) to use the same Modulation and Coding Scheme (MCS) and reach the same throughput. This study focuses on the energy efficiency of the mobile's terminals performed by a power control of the UE's transmission power considering the Resource Block (RB) allocation policy and the MCS used by each UE. We study the energy efficiency according to the



Fig. 1. Energy efficiency scheme

Opportunistic and Efficient RB Allocation (OEA) algorithm proposed in our previous work [5].
The paper is organized as follows. Section II present the system model. In Section III, we define the RB allocation algorithm used and the energy efficiency method. Simulation parameters are summarized in Section IV. Section V shows the numerical results and a comparison in terms of energy efficiency with other reference RB allocation algorithms. Finally, Section VI concludes the paper.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

We consider an uplink LTE network composed of 19 hexagonal cells. Each cell is provided with a tri-sectored eNodeB (eNB). We allocate at each sector a different bandwidth B (i.e. $1 \times 3 \times 1$ frequency reuse pattern), corresponding to N_{RB} available RBs. The functionalities of our RB allocation depending energy efficiency is divided into two entities: (i) the RB allocation, and (ii) the power control, as shown in Figure 1. The RB allocation entity, allocates the RBs to the UEs in function of their Channel Quality Identifier (CQI). One RB consists of 84 Resource Element (RE) corresponding to $N_{sc}^{RB} = 12$ subcarrier and $N_{symb}^{RB} = 7$ SC-FDMA symbol in the normal prefix cyclic case [6]. On the uplink, the CQI is evaluated by dint of the Reference Signals (RS) which are sent at each time slot in the 4th SC-FDMA symbol [7]. The RS use 14% of the total number of RE. Then, the number of REs used for data transmission in one RB is equal to 72 and the real throughput (i.e. considering the MCS selection) that can be achieved by each user k can be computed as:

$$R_k = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{A}_k} \frac{72}{T_s} \mathrm{BRE}_k \mid \mathcal{A}_k \mid \quad (1)$$

where, T_s is the time slot duration equivalent to 0.5 ms, BRE_k denote the number of bits per RE allowed for UE k by the used MCS and $\mathcal{A}_k$ is the set of RBs allocated to UE k by the RB allocation entity, with $\mid \mathcal{A}_k \mid$ its cardinal. The MCS selection is based on the channel conditions (i.e. the SINR level experienced by each user in each RB c). The specificity of the SC-FDMA technique is that each UE should use the same MCS over the whole allocated RBs. Thus, the MCS selection is based on the minimum signal to interference plus noise ratio experienced by the concerned UE on the whole allocated RBs (i.e. $\forall c \in \mathcal{A}_k$).

In case of frequency and time correlated fast fading and for a given RB, the SINR level of each UE is computed at each RE (i, j) (with $1 \leq i \leq N_{symb}^{RB}$ and $1 \leq j \leq N_{sc}^{RB}$), as:

$$\mathrm{SINR}_k^{(i,j)} = \frac{P_{k_{Tx}}^{(i,j)} \ G_t \ G_r(\theta_k)\Lambda}{N + I^{(i,j)}} \quad (2)$$

where Λ is the total channel gain, expressed as:

$$\Lambda = G_c(r_k) \ \|A_f^{(i,j)}\|^2 A_s^{(i,j)} \quad (3)$$

$G_c(r_k)$ is the path loss depending of r_k, the distance between the user k and the eNB. $A_s^{(i,j)}$ is the random shadowing over one RE which follows a log-normal distribution with parameter σ_s and $A_f^{(i,j)}$ is the time-frequency correlated coefficients fast fading.

$P_{k_{Tx}}^{(i,j)}$ is the transmission power of user k over one resource element. Since the power is equally divided over all the resource elements of one RB, $P_{k_{Tx}}^{(i,j)}$ can be expressed as:

$$P_{k_{Tx}}^{(i,j)} = \frac{P_{k_{Tx}}}{N_{symb}^{UL} N_{sc}^{RB} \mid \mathcal{A}_k \mid} \quad (4)$$

with $P_{k_{Tx}}$ the mobile's transmission power, set at its maximum before the power control (i.e. $P_{k_{Tx}}$ equal to P_{max}). The mobile's transmission antenna gain and the eNB antenna reception gain is denoted respectively as G_t and G_r. The eNB antenna reception gain G_r depends on θ_k, angle between UE k and eNB antenna boresight. N is the thermal noise in the subcarrier and $I^{(i,j)}$ is the ICI level at each resource element (i, j) obtained by Monte Carlo simulations.

The signal to interference plus noise ratio over one RB c of user k is computed using the mean instantaneous capacity method defined in [8]. It is denoted the effective SINR ($\mathrm{SINR}_{\mathrm{eff}_k}$) and is computed as follows:

$$\mathrm{SINR}_{\mathrm{eff}_k}^c = 2^{C_k / N_{symb}^{UL}} - 1 \quad (5)$$

with C_k the theoretical Shannon capacity over the whole RB, computed as:

$$C_k = \frac{1}{N_{sc}^{RB}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{symb}^{UL}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{sc}^{RB}} \log_2 \left(1 + \mathrm{SINR}_k^{(i,j)}\right) \quad (6)$$

The effective signal to interference plus noise ratio computed for each user k and over each RB c ($\mathrm{SINR}_{\mathrm{eff}_k}^c$) will be used as a metric for the RB allocation algorithm detailed in the next section.

III. RESOURCE BLOCK ALLOCATION DEPENDING ENERGY EFFICIENCY

The LTE uplink radio resource management includes the RBs and the power allocation, which can be performed: (i) conjointly, or (ii) separately. The conjoint manner is proposed in [9], where the Binary Integer Programming (BIP) is used to optimally minimize the total power expenditure subject to the rate constraints. The authors consider the contiguity constraint directed by the SC-FDMA technique but compute the total mobile throughput using the theoretical upper bound (i.e. the shannon capacity). The BIP is commonly proposed as an optimal solution for resource allocation in wireless network, but this method is NP hard. Since the radio resource management occurs at each transmission time interval (which is equal to 1 ms), using the optimal method becomes irrelevant, which motivates us to use a heuristic in allocating the RBs and the mobile's transmission power.

The proposed energy efficiency method allocates the mobile's transmission power after the RB allocation. The power control applied to the mobile's transmission power on the allocated RBs ensures the throughput maximization while minimizing the mobile's power consumption without disrupting the RB allocation, the Quality of Service (QoS) or the MCS selected. The two entities: (i) RB allocation and (ii) the power control, are described as follows:

A. RB allocation

For RBs allocation, we use the Opportunistic and Efficient RB Allocation (OEA) algorithms that we proposed in our previous work [5]. We consider that there are N_{UE} user able to transmit their data. Thus, we define $\mathcal{K}$ the set of users able to be scheduled $\mathcal{K} = \{1, \cdots, k, \cdots, N_{UE}\}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ the set of free RBs $\mathcal{C} = \{1, \cdots, c, \cdots, N_{RB}\}$. The OEA algorithm aims to maximize the aggregate throughput of the network by allocating efficiently the resource blocks.

$$\max \sum_{k=1}^{N_{UE}} R_k \quad (7)$$

where R_k is the total throughput of user k, over the whole allocated RBs and computed using Equation 1. The maximization problem is subject to:

1) the exclusivity of the allocated RBs:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N_{UE}} x_k^c(t) = 1 \quad \forall c \in \mathcal{C} \quad (8)$$

2) the contiguity constraints:

$$x_k^j(t) = 0 \; \forall j > c+2 \text{ if } x_k^c(t) = 1 \text{ and } x_k^{c+1}(t) = 0 \quad (9)$$

3) the MCS robustness:

$$\text{BRE}_k = \min_{c \in A_k} \text{BRE}_k^c \quad (10)$$

where BRE_k^c is the number of bit per RE allowed for UE k on each allocated RB c.

The algorithm abides by the SC-FDMA constraints. It allocates exclusively adjacent RBs to the same UE (i.e. translated by Formulas 8 and 9) and respects the MCS robustness (i.e. Formula 10). To maximize the aggregate throughput, the algorithm uses the channel conditions the effective SINR experienced by each user over each RB as a metric. It searches first the pair (UE-RB) which maximizes the metric, then extends the allocation to the adjacent RBs. The final RBs expansion allocation is done if and only if the individual throughput of the UE increases. To adapt the OEA algorithm to the QoS required by users, we fix a maximum number of allocated RBs per UE which satisfy its QoS requirement. The RB allocation expansion is performed as long as this maximum number of allocated RBs per UE is not reached. More important step, which is neglected on the literature, is the update of the metric before each RBs allocation expansion. The update of the metric considering the update of the mobile's transmission power per RB (using Equation 4), allows us to compute the correct value of individual throughput, the parameter which is based on the expansion decision of the RB allocation.

B. Power control

Since each mobile user k has its set of allocated RBs $\mathcal{A}_k$, the second entity allocates them the appropriate transmission power which allows the UE to use the same MCS as before the power control and reach the same throughput. To use a definite MCS, the UE must experience in the whole allocated RB a SINR level higher than the minimum SINR range of the used MCS. To ensure the use of the same MCS by user k, we define an SINR target (SINR_{Tg}) level which is an SINR margin Δ_{SINR} added to the minimum SINR range of the required MCS ($\text{SINR}_{MCS,k}$), as:

$$\text{SINR}_{Tg} = \text{SINR}_{MCS,k} + \Delta_{\text{SINR}} \quad (11)$$

Then, the new mobile's transmission power per RB P_{e_k} allocated to UE k and which allows it to use the target MCS and achieve the same throughput than the ones achieved before the power control, is expressed as:

$$P_{e,k} = \frac{P_{k_{Tx}}}{| \mathcal{A}_k |} \frac{\text{SINR}_{Tg}}{\text{SINR}_{\text{eff}_k,min}} \quad (12)$$

where, $\text{SINR}_{\text{eff}_k,min}$ is the minimum effective SINR experienced by the UE k on the whole allocated RBs:

$$\text{SINR}_{\text{eff}_k,min} = \min_{c \in \mathcal{A}_k} \text{SINR}_{\text{eff}_k}^c \quad (13)$$

The MCS robustness is guaranteed by the $\text{SINR}_{\text{eff}_k,min}$ based power control.

IV. Simulation parameters

To evaluate the performance of the RB allocation depending energy efficiency, we evaluate the mobile's energy consumption saving obtained thanks to power control. Our study focus on the RB and power allocation to one sector of the central cell users. We compare the OEA algorithm performance with the literature reference's RB allocation algorithms, such as: the Heuristic Localized Gradient Algorithm (HLGA), the Frequency Domain Packet Scheduling - Largest Metric value First algorithm (FDPS-LMF) and the Recursive Maximum Expansion algorithm (RME) proposed respectively in [10], [11] and [12].

The simulation parameters are taken from the LTE standards. The performance evaluation is studied in low and high loaded network. Then, the total number of user per sector varies between 5 to 80 UEs while a bandwidth B = 5 MHz is allocated to each sector. This correspond to $N_{RB} = 25$ available RBs per sector. We consider an infinite backlogged traffic, in which, for each user, there is always available data for transmission. The target Bit Error Rate (BER) is equal to 10^{-6} and the throughput is computed using the MCS lookup table respecting the MCS robustness. The path loss is modeled by the Okumura Hata model [13] where the carrier frequency is set to 2.6 GHz, the eNB height is at 40 m and the UE height at 1.5 m. The remaining simulation parameters are summarized in Table I.

Cellular layout	Hexagonal grid,19 tri-sector cells.
Max/ Min UE-BS distance	1000 m/30 m
Carrier frequency	2.6 GHz
System bandwidth	$B = 5$ MHz per sector $\Rightarrow N_{RB} = 25$
FFT size	512
Subcarrier spacing	15kHz
Time slot duration	$T_s = 0.5\ ms$
Target Throughput	300 kbps
the SINR margin Δ_{SINR}	0.3
Radio channel gain	Okumura Hata for urban areas: $G_c(r_{jc}) = 10^{-a/10} * r_{jc}^{-b/10}$. $a = 136.7$ and $b = 34.4$.
BS antenna pattern	$G_r(\theta_{jc}) = -min[12 * (\frac{\theta_{jc}}{\theta_{3dB}})^2, \beta]$. $\theta_{3dB} = 70°$, $\beta = 20$ dB
User power class	$P_{max} = 21$ dBm (125 mW)
User antenna gain	$G_t = 0$ dBi
Rayleigh fading	coef corr $= 0.5$, UE velocity $= 3$ km/h
Log-normal shadowing	$\sigma_s = 5$ dB
MCS setting	QPSK $1/2, 2/3, 3/4$ 16 QAM $1/2, 2/3, 3/4$ 64 QAM $1/2, 2/3, 3/4$

TABLE I
SIMULATION PARAMETERS

V. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

The objective of the proposed energy efficiency method is to allocate both RBs and the mobile's transmission power efficiently, which allows users to benefit from a higher throughput without wasting RB while radiating at a lower power and increase the mobile's battery life. Figure 2 shows the aggregate throughput of the central cell while varying the network's load (i.e. varying the number of UE from 5 to 80, which correspond to a number of UE per number of RBs ratio of 20% to 320%). Since the number of UEs per sector and the number of the served UE increase, the aggregate throughput per sector increase whatever the used RB allocation algorithms. We notice that the OEA algorithms reach its objective and maximizing the aggregate throughput. Its achieves more than 2 Mbps at low load network (i.e. when there are only 5 UE per sector) and 6.5 Mbps at hight load network (I.e. 80 UE per sector). The QoS based OEA achieve a lower aggregate throughput than the OEA, due to the low target throughput fixed at 300 kbps. Then, the QoS based OEA allocates an adequate number of RBs to UE which allow them to achieve the target throughput unlike the OEA algorithm, where the UE can be allocated all the RBs that



Fig. 2. Aggregate throughput

maximize its individual throughput depending on its channel conditions even it takes all the available RBs allocated to the sector N_{RB}. The HLGA and the FDPS-LMF achieve a lower aggregate throughput than QoS based OEA. Since their RB allocation policy is similar instead the allocation of the remaining RBs, we note a small difference between their total aggregate throughput. The aggregate throughput gap between HLGA and FDPS-LMF is notable at low load because their are more remaining RBs and the HLGA, by allocating the remaining RBs to users which satisfy the contiguity constrains whatever their SINR level, decrease the aggregate throughput. The lower aggregate throughput is given by the RME since its allocate more RBs per UE. The HLGA, FDPS and RME achieve a lower aggregate throughput than the OEA and the QoS based OEA due to their negligence of the metric update at each RB allocation expansion and the MCS robustness, while computing the throughput by the theoretical upper bound capacity (i.e. Shannon capacity formula).

Figure 3 and 4 represent respectively, the energy efficiency of the data transmitted before and after the power control. The energy efficiency of the transmitted data in bit per joule is defined by the ratio between the number of data transmitted in one second and the energy consumed in one second to transmit this data. Before the power allocation, all the mobiles transmit at their maximum power P_{max}. Since the OEA and the QoS based OEA achieve a higher throughput, then they achieve a higher energy efficiency. They reach respectively $3.5\ 10^6$ and $2.4\ 10^6$ bits/J at low load, while the RME, FDPS-LMF and the HLGA do not exceed $8\ 10^5$ bits/J. At high load network, the energy efficiency decrease for the five algorithms to reach $7.5\ 10^5$ bits/J by the OEA and $7\ 10^5$ bits/J by the QoS based OEA, $5\ 10^5$ bits/J for both HLGA and FDPS-LMF algorithms and $2\ 10^5$ bits/J by the RME.
Unlike the maximum transmission power case, the energy efficiency curves increase when the network load increase after



Fig. 3. Average energy efficiency before the Power allocation



Fig. 5. Average mobile's transmission power per UE



Fig. 4. Average energy efficiency after the power allocation



Fig. 6. Saved power (W)

applying the power control. The number of bits transmitted per one joule achieves 180Mbits and 170 Mbits for the QoS based OEA and the OEA respectively. The curves are not smoothed as before the power control curves, because the power allocation depend on the minimum SINR range of the used MCS which is not linear.

Figure 5 shows the average mobile's transmission power in dBm. The thick line correspond to the maximum transmission power of the mobiles set to 21dBm (i.e. 125 mW). We notice that the RME do not decrease a lot the mobile's transmission power. The algorithms which allow a low mobile's transmission power is the HLGA, the FDPS-LMF and the QoS based OEA. This power reduction is explained by the number of RBs allocated to each UE. Since if $| \mathcal{A}_k |$ is low, $P_{k_{Tx}}$ is high and the power control according to the $SINR_{Tg}$ is more efficient. In Figure 6, we represent the ratio of saved energy after the power control. The RME save from 2% to 25% of the mobile's transmission power according to the network load, where the QoS based OEA save from 28% to 65% of the mobile's transmission power, which allow it to increase more the mobile's battery life.

VI. Conclusion

In this paper we investigate the energy consumption problem of the wireless network and more specifically the energy efficiency on the LTE uplink network, which allow the increase of the LTE mobile's battery's life. We proposed a new energy efficiency scheme which depend on the resource block allocation algorithms. The proposed radio resource allocation allocates first the resource block to UE using, the opportunistic and efficient RB allocation algorithm and the Quality of Service based opportunistic and efficient RB allocation algorithm, to allocate the RB to the active users. The RBs are first, allocated to UEs according to their channel conditions and respecting the SC-FDMA constraints (i.e. the RB contiguity and the MCS robustness constraints); then it apply a power control to the mobile's transmission power which reduce the mobile's radiated power without affecting the MCS selection or the individual reached throughput. To evaluate the performances of the proposed energy efficiency scheme obtained by the OEA and the QoS based OEA, we compare the saved energy after the power control when the RME, FDPS-LMF and the HLGA algorithms are used for the RB allocation. The numerical results show that the OEA algorithms achieve the higher Energy efficiency and in case of QoS service, the QoS based OEA allow to save more than half of the mobile's energy. In future work, we aims to compare the proposed energy efficiency scheme with the optimal one, using the integer programming method.

REFERENCES

[1] "Lte- single carrier frequency division multiple access," New Jersey Institute Of Technology, Newark, USA 07102, 2007.

[2] A. Joseph, "Energy harvesting projects," *Pervasive Computing, IEEE*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 69–71, 2005.

[3] A. Lioumpas and A. Alexiou, "Uplink scheduling for machine-to-machine communications in lte-based cellular systems," in *GLOBECOM Workshops (GC Wkshps), 2011 IEEE*, 2011, pp. 353–357.

[4] S. Fowler, "Study on power saving based on radio frame in lte wireless communication system using drx," in *GLOBECOM Workshops (GC Wkshps), 2011 IEEE*, 2011, pp. 1062–1066.

[5] "Opportunistic and efficient resource block allocation algorithms for lte uplink networks," in *Wireless Communications and Networking Conference - WCNC, IEEE*, 2013.

[6] *Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA); User Equipment (UE) radio transmission and reception*, 3GPP TS 36.101 , V10.3.0 Std., June 2011.

[7] Y.-H. Nam, Y. Akimoto, Y. Kim, M. il Lee, K. Bhattad, and A. Ekpenyong, "Evolution of reference signals for lte-advanced systems," *Communications Magazine, IEEE*, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 132–138, 2012.

[8] K. Ramadas and R. Jain, "Wimax system evaluation methodology," *Technical report*, January 2007.

[9] F. I. Sokmen and T. Girici, "Uplink resource allocation algorithms for single-carrier fdma systems," in *European Wireless Conference*, Lucca, Tuscany, Italy, April 2010.

[10] M. Al-Rawi, R. Jantti, J. Torsner, and M. S. gfors, "Opportunistic Uplink Scheduling for 3G LTE Systems," in *Innovations in Information Technology*, Dubai, UEA, November 2007.

[11] S.-B. Lee, L. Pefkianakis, A. Meyerson, S. Xu, and S. Lu, "Proportional Fair Frequency-Domain Packet Scheduling for 3GPP LTE Uplink," in *INFOCOM*, April 2009, pp. 2611 – 2615.

[12] L. Á. M. R. de Temiño, G. Berardinelli, S. Frattasi, and P. E. Mogensen, "Channel-aware scheduling algorithms for sc-fdma in lte uplink," in *PIMRC*, September 2008.

[13] Y. Okumura, E. Ohmori, and K. Fukuda, "Field Strength and its Variability in VHF and UHF Land-Mobile Radio Service," *Review of the Electrical communication Laboratory*, pp. 825–873, 1968.