Observation of Bloch-point domain walls in cylindrical magnetic nanowires
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Topological protection is an elegant way of warranting the integrity of quantum and nanosized systems. In magnetism one example is the Bloch-point, a peculiar object implying the local vanishing of magnetization within a ferromagnet. Its existence had been postulated and described theoretically since several decades, however it has never been observed. We confirm experimentally the existence of Bloch points, imaged within domain walls in cylindrical magnetic nanowires, combining surface and transmission XMCD-PEEM magnetic microscopy. This opens the way to the experimental search for peculiar phenomena predicted during the motion of Bloch-point-based domain walls.

There is a rising interest for physical systems providing topological protection. The interest is both fundamental to elucidate the underlying physical phenomena, and applied as a mean to provide robustness to a state against external perturbations and decoherence pathways. For example, the peculiar topology of the band structure of carbon nanotubes and graphene forbids backscattering of charge carriers[1], an effect which is often invoked to explain the high mobilities up to room temperature[2]. A similar effect occurs at the surface of so-called topological insulators, together with a locking of the spin of charge carriers; this provides spin currents protected against depolarization[3]. A photonic analogue was also designed by combining helical wave guides on a lattice with a graphene-like honeycomb topology, removing time-reversal symmetry and thereby preventing backscattering of light[4].

In systems displaying a directional order parameter such as liquid crystals and ferromagnets, interesting phenomena are associated with the slowly-varying texture of the order field (magnetization for a ferromagnet). The requirement of local continuity of a vector field with fixed magnitude provides a topological protection against the transformation of the texture. A prototypical case in magnetism is skyrmions, which are essentially local two-dimensional chiral spin textures stabilized by the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction, embedded in an otherwise uniformly-magnetized surrounding. Despite these surroundings skyrmions cannot unwind continuously as explained by the above continuity constraints of the magnetization field, explaining their topological protection. Skyrmions have first been predicted theoretically[5], then confirmed experimentally in both bulk[6] and thin film forms[7].

Bloch points are yet another type of topologically-protected magnetic texture which cannot be unwound, however of a three-dimensional nature. Bloch points are such that given the distribution of magnetization set on a closed surface like a sphere, the enclosed volume cannot be mapped with a continuous magnetization field of finite magnitude. This occurs e.g. for hedge-hog configurations, or more generally whenever all directions of magnetization are mapped on the closed surface (Fig. 1a-b). Such boundary conditions imply the local cancellation of the modulus of magnetization on at least one location, which is a singularity for a ferromagnetic material. Although of nearly atomic size because of the cost in exchange energy, the Bloch point is topologically protected by its extended boundary conditions. Bloch points were predicted theoretically[8, 9], their existence being suspected from the examination of boundary conditions at the surfaces of three-dimensional samples such as former bubble-memory media[10].

The interest in Bloch points was revived in recent years. As a zero-dimensional object, they were predicted to be required in the transient state allowing magnetization reversal along one-dimensional objects, such as magnetic vortices[11]. Due to their atomic size Bloch points are thought to be subject to pinned at atomic sites on the underlying crystal[11–13]. Based on the interaction with these pinning sites, it was postulated that moving Bloch points emit THz waves. Bloch points have also been predicted to exist at rest in magnetic nanowires with a compact cross-section such as cylindrical. In nanowires two types of DWs have indeed been predicted to exist: the transverse wall (TW) and the Bloch-Point wall (BPW)[14, 15] (Fig. 1c-d). The former is topologically equivalent to the transverse and vortex walls in flat strips (Fig. 1e-f), in which a flux of induction runs across the structure. To the contrary, the latter has a distinct topology: magnetization remains mostly locally parallel the the surface at any location, allowing to decrease the magnetostatic energy. In other words, no flux line flows across the wire. As described above, these boundary conditions impose the existence of a Bloch point, which happens to sit on the axis of the wire. The wall of lowest energy should be the TW for wire diameter smaller than roughly seven times the dipolar exchange length $\Delta_A = \sqrt{2A/\mu_0 M_s^2}$, while the BPW should be the ground state for larger diameters. Nevertheless, each type of DW should exist as a metastable state over a significant range of diameters.
For some templates we modulated the voltage from 135 V to 150 V so as to vary the diameter of pores along their length[19]. We also made use of atomic layer deposition to reduce uniformly the diameter along their length. We then electropolished Fe$_{20}$Ni$_{80}$ (Permalloy) micrometers-long nanowires in these. The filled alumina templates were dissolved in a NaOH solution, followed by several rinsing steps in water and finally in isopropyl-alcohol. Drops of solution were deposited on doped Si wafers.

To identify the nature of the DWs we applied element-sensitive X-ray circular magnetic dichroism photoemission electron microscopy measurements (XMCD-PEEM), carried out using the setup[20] operating at the undulator beamline Nanospectroscopy at Elettra, Sincrotrone Trieste. The photons impinge on the supporting surface with a grazing angle of 16°. In this Letter, we present data based on secondary photoelectron emission at the Fe L$_3$ edge using mostly circularly polarized radiation as a probe. Magnetic contrast was obtained by difference of images with opposite helicities $\sigma_+$ and $\sigma_-$ of the photon beam, normalized to their sum XPEEM. The XMCD image intensity is thus given by $I_{XMCD} = (I_{\sigma_+} - I_{\sigma_-})/(I_{\sigma_+} + I_{\sigma_-})$, with the convention of positive contrast for magnetization parallel to the incoming beam. The spatial resolution in XMCD-PEEM mode is $\approx 30$ nm. Micromagnetic simulations were performed using feelgood, a home-built code based on the temporal integration of the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation in a finite element scheme, i.e. using tetrahedra to discretize the nanowires[21]. Only exchange and magnetostatic interactions were taken into account, to deal with the present case of magnetically-soft wires. The parameters for bulk permalloy were used: $A = 10^{-11}$ J/m and $\mu_0M_s = 1$ T. The tetrahedron size was about 4 nm.

Whereas magnetic nanowires gave rise to a numerous literature[22], essentially macroscopic and full magnetization reversal was probed[23]. One reason is that magnetization is constrained to remain essentially along the wire due to magnetostatics. Magnetization switching thus proceeds under a significant applied magnetic field through nucleation of a domain wall at one end, followed by its fast motion towards its other end, leading to its annihilation. To stabilize domain walls in nanowires we make use of local protrusions placed every other several micrometers, to act as potential barriers for domain walls motion. While these barriers are not sufficient to pin domain walls when the magnetic field is applied along the wire[24], we found that they are fit to prevent motion of domain walls upon dc oscillatory demagnetization with the magnetic field applied perpendicular to the wafer plane.

The type of domain walls thus created was examined by XMCD-PEEM. Thanks to the three-dimensional nature of nanowires we could collect both direct photoemission as usually done, and also transmission data as shown on Fig. 2. Direct photoemission provides magnetic contrast on the wires. As the secondary electrons collected by the microscope have a mean free path of a few
Fig. 2: Schematic of the principle of the dual surface transmission-projection PEEM, with magnetic sensitivity based on XMCD. The grey level stands for the dichroic signal (normalized difference with photons of opposite helicity).

nanometers only, this contrast informs us on surface magnetization only. We also analyzed the magnetic contrast formed in the shadow by the light partially transmitted through the wire\[25\]. This allows us to gain information about the magnetization arrangement in the bulk of the wires, integrated along the path of light. Gathering this information is crucial as identification of the DW type may otherwise be ambiguous if relying solely from the map of surface magnetization. Besides, this allows us to check the arrangement of magnetization on the wire axis, where Bloch points are expected. Finally, notice that thanks to the rather grazing incidence $\alpha = 16^\circ$ the shadow is inflated with respect to the wire diameter by a factor $1/\sin\alpha \approx 3.6$, thereby potentially bringing the spatial resolution of the microscope in this projection mode around 10 nm.

In a first step the wires were aligned along the photon beam so as to identify longitudinal domains, and thus highlight the location of domain walls. In a second step the sample is rotated by 90° so that the wires are aligned in the direction transverse to the photon beam. Under this configuration contrast solely arises from domain walls (Fig. 3a-b). We observed two well-defined families of DWs, typical examples being shown in Fig. 3c-d. The first family is characterized by an orthoradial curling of magnetization as identified from the shadow, and it is symmetric with respect to a plane perpendicular to the wire axis. Notice the absence of contrast on the axis, as expected for the presence of a BP. The second type of DW breaks the above-mentioned symmetry, and is now characterized by a monopolar contrast at the center of the DW on the axis of the wire. This is expected for TWs, the contrast on the axis arising from the transverse component of magnetization with respect to the beam. Consistently with predictions\[15\], the family ascribed to BPWs were found in wires with larger diameter than for TWs.

We developed simulations to allow for a quantitative analysis of the experimental contrasts, and strengthen

Fig. 3: Identification of the Bloch-point and transverse domain walls based on XMCD-PEEM compared with simulations. (a-b) In each column are three views of the same wire, at the same location and with a field of view $3 \times 1 \mu$m. From top to bottom total absorption, and XMCD contrast parallel and across the wires. (c-d) From left to right: X-PEEM (sum of images for the two polarizations), XMCD-PEEM (enlargement of the square areas in (a-b) and simulations of two DWs at the Fe L3 edge. The photons arrive from the upper part of the images. (e) A wire of diameter 95 nm lifted 80 nm above the surface, with a DW identified as of Bloch-point type. (d) A wire of diameter 70 nm lifted 25 nm above the surface, with a DW identified as of transverse type. (e-f) Top-view and open view of the micromagnetic state used in the right parts of c-d.
the symmetry arguments provided above. Starting from crude micromagnetic distributions similar those two depicted in Fig. 1c-d, the system is let to evolve and dissipate energy to finally reach a local minimum in either the TW or BPW state (Fig. 3)c-f. These configurations are then post-processed to deliver a simulation of XMCD-PEEM contrast. To do this the wire is intercepted with a dense beam of parallel lines, each acting as the trace of a photon. First, for each photon helicity the probabilistic absorption of any trace is integrated along its path through the nanowire. The absorption coefficient per unit length is calculated depending on the local direction of magnetization with respect to the propagation vector, and is different for the two photon helicities. Second, the photoemission process is simulated. Given the small mean free path of the low-energy electrons collected in the microscope, we considered only those electrons emitted at the very surface, proportionally to the local absorption. At the surface of the magnetic wire we computed $I_{\sigma^-}$ and $I_{\sigma^+}$, as the intensity of each beam helicity multiplied by the magnetization-dependent absorption, for both incoming and outgoing photons. However, at the surface of the non-magnetic supporting surface where the shadow is projected, the difference signal only reflects the imbalance of the photon intensities.

The simulations reproduce all features of the experimental contrast, thereby confirming the observation of both TW and BPW in nanowires. While TWs were imaged independently recently[26], this is the first experimental confirmation of the existence of BPWs. The agreement of simulations with experiments is quantitative, see for example the rapid increase of width of DW with the diameter of the nanowire (Fig. 3c), or the exact shape across the BPW (Fig. 4) with zero contrast at the expected location of the Bloch point. As regards the TW, the black and white features at the surface of the wire is shown to result from the curling of magnetization around the transverse core.

The experimental demonstration of the existence of BPWs opens the way for the investigation of its peculiar behavior of motion, predicted numerically. We already mentioned its expected steady motion and high velocity, limited only by the crossing of the spin-wave dispersion curve[16]. This regime has never been evidenced in any type of domain wall, as domain walls investigated so far undergo periodic transformations or have a too low mobility to reach this regime. The interaction of the Bloch point with the underlying lattice may also provide a nano-source of THz, with frequency tuneable through the DW speed, itself controlled by the applied magnetic field. Other features have been predicted, such as the once-only switch of the chirality of its orthoradial curling, selected depending on the two parameters: charge of the domain wall (head-to-head or tail-to-tail) and direction of motion[15]. Tackling these phenomena requires that domain walls are not too much pinned on local defects. We have investigated the response of both types of DWs to quasistatic pulses of magnetic field, inspecting the DWs with magnetic force microscopy. The propagation field remains moderate, in the range 1–10 mT. This is comparable to e.g. that of perpendicularly-magnetized strips, where DW motion could be extensively investigated[27, 28]. These wires should therefore be already suitable to confirm the predictions for motion of BPWs[15, 29], while progress in material control are expected after this first demonstration. Besides fundamental studies, this should also redraw attention to the proposal of a three-dimensional race-track memory based on 2D arrays of parallel cylindrical nanowires[30, 31].
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