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The potential of polarimetric Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) data for the soil surface characterization of bare agricultural soils was investigated in using airborne and spaceborne data acquired by RAMSES, SETHI and RADARSAT-2 sensors over several study sites in France. Fully polarimetric data at X-, C-, L-, P-, and UHF-band were compared. The results show that the main polarimetric parameters studied (entropy, α angle, and anisotropy) are not very sensitive to the variation of the soil surface parameters. Low correlations are observed between the polarimetric parameters and the soil parameters (moisture content and surface roughness). Thus, the polarimetric parameters are not very relevant to the characterization of the soil surface over bare agricultural areas.

Index Terms – Multi-frequency Polarimetric SAR data, bare agricultural soils, soil moisture, surface roughness.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) return signal over bare soils is affected mainly by the soil roughness and its dielectric constant ([1]). Numerous researches have shown that SAR sensors have a high potential to measure the surface soil moisture (e.g. [2]-[7]).

The benefits of radar polarimetry for the characterization soil moisture and surface roughness have been investigated in only few studies ([8]-[12]). Hajnsek et al. ([11]) proposed a method based on the small perturbation model (SPM) for the inversion of the fully polarimetric airborne L-band SAR data. The surface roughness was estimated directly from the anisotropy values and the dielectric constant from the diagram of entropy/α angle. However, the SPM model is valid for soils with surface roughness small compared to the radar wavelength (k rms<0.3, where k is the radar wave number and rms is the root mean square surface height). The typical rms-values of the agricultural bare soils range (0.5 to 4.5 cm) largely exceed the SPM validity domain for SAR data at high radar frequencies (X and C bands). At L-band (~1.25GHz; k=0.26cm⁻¹), this approach is not applicable in the case of rough soils (validity domain).

Baghdadi et al. ([13]) analyzed the sensitivity of C-band polarimetric SAR parameters to the soil moisture and surface roughness over bare agricultural fields. Results showed that the polarimetric parameters do not lead to a direct estimate of soil parameters, but they could help to improve the inversion approaches by adding a priori information. Indeed, the polarimetric parameter α angle could be used to discriminate two soil moisture classes (very wet soils and the remainder), while the anisotropy could be used to separate the smooth soils from the other soils.

The aim of this study is to investigate the sensitivity of polarimetric parameters to soil parameters (soil moisture and surface roughness) using multi-frequency and polarimetric SAR data (X, C, L, P, UHF bands). The polarimetric parameters: entropy, α angle and anisotropy obtained from the polarimetric decomposition theorem are used for soil surface characterization. Section 2 provides a description of study sites and available data set. The polarimetric parameters analysis is shown in Section 3, and finally, Section 4 presents the main conclusions.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DATA

A. Study sites

A database composed of fully polarimetric acquisitions from both airborne, spaceborne sensors and ground measurements over numerous flat agricultural study sites in France have been used (Figure 1, Table 1). The study sites are agricultural landscape composed mostly of bare soils, wheat fields, vineyards, grasslands, forest, and orchards of various fruit trees:

- Orgeval site “Org”: located to the East of Paris (long. 3°07'E, lat. 48°51'N). Soil composition is about 78% silt, 17% clay, and 5% sand.
- Bordeaux site “Bor”: located in the southwest of France (long. 0°50'W, lat. 45°17'N). The soil is composed of about 19% silt, 29% clay, and 51% sand.
- Thau site “Thau”: located near Montpellier in the South of France (long. 03°40'E, lat. 43°30'N). Soil composition is about 52% silt, 35% clay, and 12% sand.
- Garons site “Gar”: located near Nîmes in the South of France (long. 04°23'E, lat. 43°45'N). Soil composition is
54% silt, 40% clay, and 6% sand. The soil is stony.

- Avignon site “Avi”: located in the south of France (long. 4°53’E and lat. 43°55’ N). The soil is composed of about 53.0% silt, 31.6% clay and 15.4% sand.

Fig. 1. Location of study sites. (1) Orgeval, (2) Bordeaux, (3) Thau, (4) Garons, (5) Avignon.

B. Fully polarimetric data description

Airborne and spaceborne fully polarimetric data were acquired on study sites. Data set contains SAR images in X, C, L, P and UHF bands (Table 1):

- Orgeval: Four RADARSAT-2 images (RS2) were acquired (C-band) in polarimetric mode with spatial resolution of approximately 5 m and incidence angles of 20°, 25°, and 40°. These images correspond to March 2009 and April 2010. Moreover, one PALSAR/ALOS image was also acquired (L-band) with an incidence angle of about 20° and a spatial resolution about 10 m.

- Bordeaux: Fully polarimetric L- and P-bands radar data were acquired by the airborne RAMSES SAR ([14]) from the French Aerospace Research Center (ONERA) on January 21, 2004. The resolution of the SAR images in range and azimuth was 1 m for L band and 2.5 m for P band.

- Thau: Seven polarimetric RADARSAT-2 images were acquired between November 2010 and March 2011. These images have spatial resolutions of approximately 7 m and incidence angles of 34°, 45°, and 47°.

- Garons: Fully polarimetric radar data were acquired by the new ONERA multispectral airborne SAR system SETHI ([15]). SAR images were acquired in June 2009 at L-band, and in October 2011 at both L- and UHF-bands. The spatial resolution was about 0.75 m.

- Avignon: Fully polarimetric X-band radar data were acquired by the airborne RAMSES SAR on March 20, 2002. The spatial resolution was 0.66 m.

The PolSARPro v4.2.0 software (http://earth.eo.esa.int/polsarpro/) was used to process the polarimetric SAR data. The three main polarimetric parameters, entropy ($H$), $\alpha$ angle, and anisotropy ($A$) were calculated to analyze their behaviour with the soil surface parameters (moisture content and surface roughness). These parameters are computed by averaging several neighbouring pixels using a sliding window. For a correct retrieval of physical information, a 7x7 boxcar filter was applied to the RADARSAT-2 and PALSAR single-look complex data ([16],[17]). For RAMSES and SETHI data, a window of 15x15 was used.

Table I

CHARACTERISTICS OF THE DATASET USED IN THIS STUDY. MV IS THE SOIL MOISTURE, $K$ IS THE RADAR WAVE NUMBER AND RMS IS THE ROOT MEAN SQUARE SURFACE HEIGHT OF SOIL SURFACE ROUGHNESS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Sensor</th>
<th>Radar-freq. (GHz)</th>
<th>Incidence angle (°)</th>
<th>Number of fields</th>
<th>$mv$ (min-max)</th>
<th>$\lambda$ rms (min-max)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Org</td>
<td>2005-2006</td>
<td>RS2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>20-25-40-49</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>[12.5-39.0]</td>
<td>[1.54-1.19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>PALSAR</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>[16.8-27.6]</td>
<td>[0.33-0.66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thau</td>
<td>2010-2011</td>
<td>RS2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>43-43-47</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>[9.0-45.7]</td>
<td>[1.09-4.41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bor</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>RAMSES</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>[26.6-36.0]</td>
<td>[0.25-0.65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>SETHI</td>
<td>1.525</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>[3.5-14.9]</td>
<td>[0.33-1.42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>SETHI</td>
<td>1.525</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>[2.8-9.0]</td>
<td>[0.16-0.43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>SETHI</td>
<td>0.560</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>[2.8-9.0]</td>
<td>[0.04-0.12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avi</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>RAMSES</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>[18.2-35.3]</td>
<td>[1.09-4.60]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Field data

In-situ measurements of soil moisture and surface roughness were conducted simultaneously with the SAR acquisitions on several reference fields at least one hectare. Only bare soils or soils with few short herbs were selected.

Soil roughness measurements were made using 1 m or 2 m long needle profilometers with 2 cm sampling intervals. From ten roughness profiles measured on each reference field, the root mean square ($rms$) surface height and the correlation length were calculated using the mean of all correlation functions. The $rms$ surface heights range from 0.48 to 5.12 cm (Table 1), and the correlation length not used in this study varies from 1.67 to 8.63 cm.

Soil moisture measurements were collected from the top 5 cm of soil in using a calibrated TDR (Time Domain Reflectometry) probe or the gravimetric method. The volumetric water content at field scale was assumed to be equal to the mean value estimated from several samples (20 to 40 measurements per field). The soil moisture ($mv$) range from 2.8 to 46.9%.

The average $\alpha$ angle, $H$ and $A$ were then calculated for each reference field.

III. POLARIMETRIC SENSITIVITY

A. Polarimetric parameters Description

Using the eigenvectors and eigenvalues obtained from the decomposition of coherency matrix $T$, three main polarimetric parameters are used ([18]): entropy ($H$), $\alpha$ angle ($\alpha$), and anisotropy ($A$).

The entropy $H$ represents the randomness of scattering mechanisms. Low entropy ($H$<0) indicates a single scattering mechanism while high entropy ($H$>1) indicates a random mixture of scattering mechanisms and a depolarizing target:

$$H = -\sum_{i=1}^{2} P_i \log(P_i) ; \quad P_i=\lambda_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2} \lambda_j\right)^{-1}$$

where $\lambda_i$ are the eigenvalues of $T (\lambda_1>\lambda_2>\lambda_3>0)$.

The angle $\alpha$ represents the mean scattering mechanism and it is calculated from the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of $T$:

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_i P_i$$
where $\alpha_i$ are the scattering angles corresponding to the three
eigenvalues. Low values ($\alpha = 0^\circ$) indicates a surface scattering,
$\alpha = 45^\circ$ indicates a volume scattering, and $\alpha = 90^\circ$ indicates a
double bounce scattering.

The anisotropy $A$ is defined as the relative importance of the
secondary scattering mechanisms (second and third
eigenvalues). $A$ becomes 0 if both of these secondary
scattering mechanisms are of equal proportion, while the larger
values of $A$ ($A > 1$) indicates that the third mechanism is weak
compared to the second one:

$$A = \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_3}{\lambda_2 + \lambda_3}$$

D. Polarimetric parameters analysis

1) $\alpha$-angle

Figure 2 displays the dependence of $\alpha$ angle for the whole
of the data set (X to UHF bands) with respect to soil moisture
($mv$), surface roughness ($k_{rms}$) and incidence angle. Results
indicate that the $\alpha$-angle is independent of the radar frequency
(Fig. 2a). Moreover, the $\alpha$-angle is constant for $mv$ between
5% and 25-30%, and increases slightly for $mv$ values between
30% and 47%. The observed $\alpha$-values correspond mainly to
surface scattering ($\alpha$ lower than 45°), except for data in
UHF band where $\alpha$-angle is about 45°. The high penetration of
the radar wave in the UHF band for dry soil conditions
($mv < 5\%$) explains this volume scattering in this radar
wavelength. Moreover, such an alpha angle could result from
either volume scattering or noise dominated scattering. In this
data set, the noise floor was estimated to be at least 3dB lower
than the HV return, indicating that the most likely explanation
for a 45° alpha angle is volume scattering.

Figure 2b shows that the $\alpha$-angle is independent of $k_{rms}$. Indeed,$\alpha$-angle is constant with $k_{rms}$ over the full dataset for
$mv < 25\%$ (mainly X, C, L and UHF bands). For $mv > 25\%$
(mainly C, L and P bands), the $\alpha$-angle is also constant with $k_{rms}$
except for data corresponding to $k_{rms}$ higher than 2
where $\alpha$-angle is slightly lower than the values observed for
lower $k_{rms}$. Note that they correspond mainly to the Orgeval
data set acquired at low incidence angles of 20°-25° and C-
band.

Finally, $\alpha$-angle depends slightly of the radar incidence
angle (Fig. 2c). Indeed, $\alpha$-angle increases slightly when the
incidence angle increases. The lower $\alpha$-values correspond to
Orgeval dataset acquired at C-band and incidence angles of
20°-25° (Fig. 2a). Moreover, $\alpha$-angle seems less to depend on
the incidence angle for $mv < 25\%$ than for $mv > 25\%$, except for
UHF data (volume scattering) and one reference field in P-
band (soil moisture near 50%) where $\alpha$-angle is higher than
40°. Hajnsek et al. ([11]) confirmed that the dependence between
$\alpha$-angle and incidence angle is higher for $mv > 25\%$
than for $mv < 25\%$.

Allain et al. ([8]) suggested inversion models based on the
Integral Equation Model for estimating soil moisture and
surface roughness. For high frequency bands (C to K), they
propose the use of $\alpha$-angle in the inversion process of soil
moisture. Moreover, their results show that the estimation of
surface roughness is not possible in using only high frequency
bands and that SAR data acquired at low frequency band (P to
S) are also necessary. However, a low frequency alone will
allow estimating both soil moisture and surface roughness in
using the three polarimetric descriptors: anisotropy, $\alpha$-angle
and the eigenvalue relative difference (ERD). Our results show
limited contribution of $\alpha$-angle for the soil moisture estimation.
Moreover, our analysis showed that the single-bounce
Eigenvalue Relative Difference (SERD) is constant with $k_{rms}$.
The dependence between $\alpha$-angle and $mv$ shows that $\alpha$-angle
is constant with $mv$ for $mv > 30\%$ and decreases slightly with
$mv$ for $mv > 30\%$. The double-bounce Eigenvalue Relative
Difference (DERD) is almost constant with $mv$ and decreases
slightly with $k_{rms}$ for $k_{rms}$ values lower than 1 and became
constant afterwards. These parameters, as suggested in
Baghdadi et al. ([13]), could not be used easily for the estimation of soil parameters.

A comparison between the results of Hajnsek et al. [11] and
our dataset was carried out using only the data of our dataset
within the validity domain of the small perturbation model
(SPM) ($k_{rms} < 0.3$) and which have a low noise level (L and
P bands). For incidence angles ($\theta$) of 42°-43° and 51°, the $\alpha$-
angle values simulated in [11] are slightly lower by 7° than the
$\alpha$-angle of our real dataset. With low incidence angle (20°),
high underestimation of the $\alpha$-angle was observed by the SPM
($\alpha = 5\%$ from SPM and 25° from our real data, for $mv = 20\%$).

![Fig. 2: $\alpha$-angle versus soil moisture (a), surface roughness (b), and incidence angle (c). The ranges of $mv$ (in %) are [18.2-25.5], [9.0-39.0], [2.8-46.9], [26.9,46.9], and [2.8-9.0] for X, C, L, P and UHF bands respectively.](image-url)
the radar frequency for a same incidence angle and a same soil moisture value (see Avi_X_27°, Org_C_20°-25°, and Thau_C_34°; Fig. 3a). Entropy decreases slightly with the soil moisture for $mv<$25% and increases then quickly with $mv$ for $mv>$25% (Fig. 3a). Moreover, entropy increases slightly with the incidence angle (see Thau_C_45° and Thau_C_34°; Fig. 3a). For higher radar wavelengths (L, P, and UHF bands), Figure 3b does not show a clear behaviour of entropy in function of $mv$. For a given soil moisture range, the entropy values are of the same order for all SAR frequencies available in this study, except for UHF data where high entropy values are observed (Figs 3a and 3b). These UHF data correspond to fields with volume scattering (very dry soil, penetration effect). In this case, the polarimetric decomposition identifies three mechanisms of similar strength, resulting in high entropy.

Moreover, Figure 3c shows that the entropy does not seem to depend of $mv$ for $k_{rms}<1$ (case of high radar wavelengths). For $k_{rms}>1$ (C and X bands), entropy is slightly higher for $mv>$25% than that for $mv<$25% (Fig. 3c). Finally, the data set do not allow determining the behaviour of entropy with $k_{rms}$. The first results of this study show that the entropy does not seem to depend of $k_{rms}$ (Fig. 3c).

The entropy values encountered in Hajnsek et al. [11] (maximum value for $H$ is 0.45) are lower than those of our real dataset ($H$ between 0.4 and 0.8).

4) Anisotropy

The distribution of data in the $H/A$ and $H/\alpha$ planes is shown in Figure 5. The low-medium entropy values ($<0.8$) and low $\alpha$-angle values ($<40^\circ$) correspond to the present of one dominant scattering mechanism, which is the surface scattering. For UHF data, the high entropy values (about 0.85) and $\alpha$-angle values around 45° are the consequences of the volume scattering mechanisms and depolarizing effects due to the penetration of the radar wave in the soil at higher wavelengths and dry soil conditions (Fig. 4a).

The H/A plane show a majority of data with low-medium entropies and low anisotropies (Fig. 4b). These data
corresponds to the presence of a single dominant scattering process. However, some points have low-medium entropies and high anisotropies. They correspond to data acquired in L and P bands, and in very wet soil conditions (Bordeaux, mv>30%). These points illustrate the presence of two scattering mechanisms with a dominant process and a second one which is not negligible. UHF data with high entropy and low anisotropy corresponds to a random scattering process, resulting probably from a very weak backscatter from the bare surfaces, close to the noise floor of the system. Indeed, when the backscattering levels are close to the noise floor, the radar response has two major contributions: the ground return and the noise return. The noise return has an entropy of 1. Therefore, the effect of the noise on the radar return will be an increase in the entropy and a drop in the anisotropy (equivalent levels for the second and the third eigenvalues).

This study examined the sensitivity of multi-frequency (X, C, L, P and UHF bands) polarimetric SAR data to soil moisture and surface roughness over bare agricultural soils. The results of the study show that the last spatial SAR sensors (X-band RADARSAT-2 data (SOAR-E Project 5032). Polarimetric parameters in order to estimate surface roughness.

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

This study examined the sensitivity of multi-frequency (X, C, L, P and UHF bands) polarimetric SAR data to soil moisture and surface roughness over bare agricultural soils. The results of the study show that the last spatial SAR sensors (X-band RADARSAT-2 data (SOAR-E Project 5032). Polarimetric parameters in order to estimate surface roughness.
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