

# The IgA system: a comparison of structure and function in different species

Veerle Snoeck, Iain Peters, Eric Cox

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Veerle Snoeck, Iain Peters, Eric Cox. The IgA system: a comparison of structure and function in different species. Veterinary Research, 2006, 37 (3), pp.455-467. 10.1051/vetres:2006010. hal-00903033

HAL Id: hal-00903033

https://hal.science/hal-00903033

Submitted on 11 May 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Vet. Res. 37 (2006) 455–467 © INRA, EDP Sciences, 2006 DOI: 10.1051/vetres:2006010

#### **Review article**

## The IgA system: a comparison of structure and function in different species

Veerle SNOECK<sup>a,b\*</sup>, Iain R. PETERS<sup>c</sup>, Eric COX<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Laboratory of Veterinary Immunology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ghent University, Salisburylaan 133, 9820 Merelbeke, Belgium

(Received 19 April 2005; accepted 16 September 2005)

Abstract – The predominant immunoglobulin isotype on most mucosal surfaces is secretory immunoglobulin A (SIgA), a polypeptide complex comprising two IgA monomers, the connecting J chain, and the secretory component. The molecular stability and strong anti-inflammatory properties make SIgA particularly well suited to provide protective immunity to the vulnerable mucosal surfaces by preventing invasion of inhaled and ingested pathogens. In contrast to SIgA, IgA in serum functions as an inflammatory antibody through interaction with FcαR on immune effector cells. Although IgA appears to share common features and protective functions in different species, significant variations exist within the IgA systems of different species. This review will give an overview of the basic concepts underlying mucosal IgA defence which will focus on the variations present among species in structure, antibody repertoire development, pIgR-mediated transport, colostral IgA content, hepatobiliary transport, and function with particular emphasis on the IgA system of the pig and dog. These interspecies variations emphasise the importance of elucidating and analysing the IgA system within the immune system of the species of interest rather than inferring roles from conclusions made in human and mouse studies.

#### IgA / polymeric IgR / Fc $\alpha R$ / mucosal antibodies / domestic animals

#### Table of contents

1.	Introduction	. 455
2.	Structure of IgA	. 456
3.	Transport of pIgA into the mucosal secretions by the pIgR	. 457
4.	Generation of secretory IgA	. 459
5.	Function of IgA in serum and mucosa	. 460
	Other antibodies present in the mucosa	
7.	Conclusion	. 463

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

IgA, in the form of secretory IgA (SIgA) is the major antibody isotype present in

mucosal secretions and has many functional attributes, both direct and indirect, serving to prevent infective agents such as bacteria and viruses from breaching the mucosal

b Present address: Department of Molecular Biomedical Research, Applied Molecular Bacteriology Unit, Ghent University and V.I.B, Technologiepark 927, 9052 Ghent (Zwijnaarde), Belgium
c Division of Veterinary Pathology, Infection and Immunity, School of Clinical Veterinary Science, University of Bristol, Langford House, Langford, Bristol, BS40 5DU, United Kingdom

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: veerle.snoeck@dmbr.UGent.be

barrier. The mucosal predominance of this antibody isotype depends on a cooperation between local plasma cells that produce polymeric IgA (pIgA) and mucosal epithelial cells that express the polymeric Ig receptor (pIgR). After release from the plasma cells and diffusion through the stroma, pIgA become bound to pIgR, after which it is transported across mucosal epithelial cells for extrusion into external secretions after cleavage of pIgR. IgA is also present in serum where it functions as an inflammatory antibody through interactions with Fc\alpha R on immune effector cells. This review details current understanding of the structural and functional characteristics of IgA, the antibody repertoire development, pIgR-mediated IgA transport into mucosal secretions, hepatobiliary IgA transport, colostral IgA content, and adhesion molecules and factors determining the homing of IgA+B cells to the mucosa and driving terminal differentiation into IgA+ plasma cells. Furthermore, the differences existing among domestic animals within the IgA system are highlighted, with particular emphasis on the IgA system of the pig and dog. In addition, attention is given to other antibody isotypes present in the mucosa.

#### 2. STRUCTURE OF IgA

Although IgA appears to share common protective functions in different species, variation in gene number, allotypes and molecular forms have been noted. In humans, two IgA heavy constant region  $(C\alpha)$  genes are present, giving rise to two IgA subclasses, IgA1 and IgA2. On the contrary, in pigs only one Ca gene [16] is present which occurs in two allelic forms that differ in hinge length [19]. Both forms appear well represented in swine populations and segregate as co-dominant Mendelian alleles. A single Ca gene has been described in dogs [78] which occurs in four allelic forms differing in the length of the hinge region [79]. The distribution of allelic forms within the dog population is breed specific since some types of pedigree dog had a single allelic form within them<sup>1</sup>. Whether or not the allelic forms of IgA present in pigs and dogs differ in function because of structural differences in their hinge region remains to be determined. The sequence of porcine IgA, with exception of the hinge, is most homologous with human IgA, followed by mouse and rabbit IgA [16].

Humans and mice generate the bulk of Ig diversity through the rearrangement of multiple V(D)J gene segments [2, 59]. Additional diversity can be generated junctionally and through terminal deoxynucleotidyltransferase additions [52]. Swine have < 20 V<sub>H</sub> genes, a single J<sub>H</sub> and perhaps a limited number of D<sub>H</sub> segments [21]. Newborn piglets show preferential V<sub>H</sub> and D<sub>H</sub> usage and may use gene conversion as a mechanism for expanding their antibody repertoire. Consequently, swine rather belong to the group of animals that includes rabbits, chickens, sheep and cattle when classified on the basis of B cell development, despite the close similarity of their Ig gene sequences to humans. This group, unlike rodents and humans, has a single V<sub>H</sub> family and uses lymphoid follicles associated with the lower gut early in life (rather than bone marrow throughout life) to diversify their antibody repertoire via gene conversion as well as somatic hypermutation [20, 21, 55, 94, 95]. Indeed, in the pig, different Pever's patches (PP) are present [10]. The jejunal PP (JPP) are distributed as discrete patches along the jejunum and proximal ileum and persist throughout life. On the contrary, the ileal PP (IPP) occurs as a continuous patch, commencing near the ileo-caecal junction and extending for up to 2 m along the terminal ileum but shrinks within a year to form discrete patches. In contrast to the JPP, the porcine IPP has characteristics of a primary lymphoid organ (in comparison with the JPP, low lymphocyte migration, smaller interfollicular regions,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Peters et al., unpublished data.

higher incidence of B cells [6, 10] and identification of the majority (>90) of follicular cells as apoptosis-sensitive immature B cells [3]). However, the use of gut-associated lymphoid tissues as a site of repertoire diversification in swine has been a subject of debate in the literature. Despite the characteristics of a primary lymphoid organ, no direct evidence is available that the swine use the IPP as the site of repertoire diversification. On the contrary, it has been shown that the porcine IPP behaves in a manner distinct from the IPP of sheep and cattle during the fetal B cell lymphogenesis [23]. Furthermore, it is suggested to be a secondary lymphoid tissue [8] that is a part of the mucosal immune system [22, 69]. This demonstrates that neither phylogeny nor anatomical homology is a reliable predictor of repertoire diversification in higher vertebrates.

Like other immunoglobulin classes, IgA consists of two heavy (H) and two light (L) chains, but for IgA, this H<sub>2</sub>L<sub>2</sub> monomeric unit can polymerise further. In dimeric IgA, two monomer units are arranged in an endto-end configuration stabilised by disulphide bridges and incorporation of the J (joining) chain, a 15 kDa polypeptide. Polymerisation is regulated by incorporation of the J chain since its presence stimulates polymerisation [42] and is directed by the COOH-terminal domains of the heavy chains [13]. The J chain is synthesised along with IgA in plasma cells and its incorporation is an early event in IgA polymerisation. Consequently, this peptide is found in all polymeric forms of IgA [96, 99]. Polymerisation of two or more IgA molecules with the J chain occurs late in the secretory pathway, just before release from plasma cells [61].

Mucosal IgA consists predominantly of dimers and some larger polymers (trimers and tetramers), collectively called pIgA [15], and is the major source of IgA present in the serum of most animals including pigs and dogs [64]. In contrast, serum IgA of primates, including humans, is chiefly mono-

meric (mIgA) and is the result of synthesis in the bone marrow [64].

#### 3. TRANSPORT OF pIgA INTO THE MUCOSAL SECRETIONS BY THE pIgR

The central role of pIgA in protecting the mucosal surface relies on the existence of an active mechanism used to transport pIgA across the epithelial barrier into mucosal secretions. The translocation mechanism depends on the interaction with a 110-kDa transmembrane glycoprotein expressed on the basolateral surface of mucosal epithelial cells, termed the pIgR. The pIgR is internalised by endocytosis from the basolateral membrane into basolateral endosomes and subsequently sorted for transcytosis across the epithelial cell [4]. At the apical membrane, the receptor is cleaved by a cell-surface associated serine protease at the junction between the extracellular domain and the membrane-spanning region, after which the extracellular part of the receptor, termed the secretory component (SC), is released into secretions [15, 71]. Consequently, SC is an integral part of the pIgA molecule released into the secretions and is referred to as SIgA. The SC stabilises SIgA by making it more resistant to proteases [26] and through its glycosylated residues, it improves the protective capacity of SIgA by anchoring the antibody within the mucus [81]. Since pIgR transport occurs continuously and independently of binding of pIgA, free SC is released when unoccupied receptors are cleaved. This free SC exhibits scavenger properties with respect to enteric pathogens [80].

The extracellular part of the pIgR contains 5 Ig-like domains (D1 to D5). In humans, the binding of pIgA is initiated by non-covalent interaction at D1, further non-covalent interactions with D2 and/or D3 allow stable binding, after which this complex is stabilised by covalent interactions with D5 by means of disulfide bonds [72]. Both the J chain [42] and the COOH-terminal

domain of the heavy chains [13] are essential for binding of pIgA to the pIgR.

The signals controlling basolateral targeting, endocytosis and sorting of the pIgR for transcytosis in basolateral endosomes involve tyrosine-containing tight beta turns and phosphorylation sites in the receptor's cytoplasmic tail [4, 39].

For most species pIgR has been cloned. The pig pIgR has been cloned from a porcine small intestine cDNA library [104] and from mammary epithelial cells present in pig colostrum [51]. The cDNA sequence shows a high similarity to the pIgR of other species [51]. Alignment of the rat, mouse, human, rabbit and cattle predicted amino acid sequences demonstrated that pIgR is highly conserved both in amino acid sequence and structural organisation [82, 103]. Subsequent alignment of the cytoplasmic tail of pIgR from cattle, men, rabbits, and rats reveals highly conserved areas that may reflect the importance of these regions for intracellular sorting of the receptor [5, 50, 103].

It has been shown that the liver is involved in the SIgA system of the intestine as in addition to pIgR-mediated transepithelial transport, SIgA can be delivered into the intestinal lumen through secretion into bile. However, the amount of pIgA transported and the mechanisms involved vary widely among species [17]. In some animals (rats, mice, rabbits, chickens) large amounts of pIgA are efficiently cleared from the plasma by the liver and transported into bile by a receptor-mediated vesicular pathway across hepatocytes, which synthesise pIgR [17, 18]. On the contrary in humans, dogs, pigs, guinea pigs and sheep, biliary epithelial cells, not hepatocytes, express pIgR and perform the transcytosis and secretion of pIgA into bile [17, 18, 27, 28]. In these species, there is much less hepatic transport of circulating pIgA and much of the SIgA that reaches bile is synthesised locally by plasma cells that populate the biliary tree [17, 18]. This transport of locally produced pIgA is analogous to the release of SIgA on the surface of various mucosae in the body. The major biological functions ascribed to the secretion of SIgA into bile are the enhancement of immunological defence of the biliary and upper intestinal tracts and the clearance of harmful antigens from the circulation as pIgA-antigen complexes [18].

Although SIgA is the predominant Ig in the majority of mucosal secretions in most mammals, the proportion of SIgA in colostrum and milk varies between species, reflecting the development of differing modes of antibody transfer from mother to offspring. In primates and rodents, IgG is transferred selectively across the placenta (involvement of the neonatal Fc receptor (FcRn) has been suggested [33]), and the chief Ig in colostrum is SIgA. The serum antibody of the newborn is supplemented further in rodents with IgG derived from the colostrum (FcRn is involved in transfer of IgG across the rodent yolk sac, despite the absence of yolk sac cell surface expression of FcRn [25, 33]) that is actively transported across the neonatal gut by FcRn. In contrast, in pigs no transplacental transfer of IgG takes place [23]. Instead, IgG, derived from maternal serum, is the major immunoglobulin class in colostrum and is absorbed across the neonatal gut in a brief time period prior to closure at around 12 to 24 h after birth [41, 90]. In porcine colostrum, the SIgA content is low, whereas it becomes the major antibody in milk [90]. During lactation, pIgA is secreted by mammary gland recruited plasma cells after which they are excreted in milk via pIgR transport [91]. These pIgA exhibit specificity for the antigens present in the maternal digestive tract, the so-called entero-mammary link, which is due to the migration of lymphocytes form the gut to the mammary gland [91].

More recently, porcine FcRn was cloned [92, 106] and its presence was detected by RT-PCR in the porcine mammary gland three days prepartum and on the day of farrowing [92]. This result further supports the theory that in these animals, like in mice,

FcRn is involved in mammary gland IgG transport during collostrogenesis and milk production [25, 33]. However, in the lactating mammary gland FcRn acts primarily as a recycling IgG receptor in a mode that appears to be similar to that proposed for FcRn trafficking during the maintenance of constant serum IgG levels, i.e. FcRn binds and returns its ligands to the vascular space [25]. In addition to FcRn-mediated transcytosis, other pathways are involved in IgG transport into milk [25].

Transplacental transfer of maternal IgG occurs in dogs during the last third of pregnancy [48, 97]. The majority of IgG (90–95%) transfer occurs from the colostrum across the neonatal gut, particularly during the first 12 h [24]. The importance of colostrum as a source of IgG is reflected in the predominance of this immunoglobulin in canine colostrum [37, 84]. The concentration of IgG in colostrum declines over the three days following birth after which SIgA is the major immunoglobulin class [37].

## 4. GENERATION OF SECRETORY IgA

IgA represents the main element of the humoral immune response which provides protection against pathogens at mucosal surfaces. The predominance of IgA at mucosal sites reflects a combination of a high rate IgA isotype switching among precursor cells in mucosal inductive sites, their selective homing to mucosal effector tissues and their vigorous proliferation after extravasation and differentiation towards IgA-producing plasma cells.

Of all mucosal sites, the IgA+B cell development is best studied in the gut. The major inductive sites of gut-associated lymphoid tissues are PP and mesenteric lymph nodes (MLN). The PP are specialised for the induction of antigen-specific IgA lymphoblasts. Within the PP, the specialised germinal centre (GC) microenvironment (TGF- $\beta$ , IL-10) and the presence of follicular den-

dritic cells (DC) and CD4+T cells promote specific class-switching to IgA [15]. The IgA+B cells home from PP to the draining MLN and, via the thoracic duct lymph and blood, to the lamina propria (LP) of the gut. However, there is a major difference in lymphocyte circulation in the pig compared with other species. Whereas in other species, the lymphocytes exit the lymph nodes via the efferent lymphatics, the porcine efferent lymph contains very few lymphocytes. Instead, the lymphocytes in the lymph nodes directly re-enter the circulation via the high endothelial venules (HEV) [75]. The preferential homing of IgA+ B cells to the mucosal tissues implies the existence of special adhesion molecules as well as factors derived from local environments that selectively attract the circulating precursors of mucosal IgA+ plasma cells. The key-receptor ligand pair involved is  $\alpha 4\beta 7$  integrin, expressed by lymphocytes, and MADCAM1 (mucosal vascular addressin cell adhesion molecule 1), expressed by LP high endothelial venules [7]. A functional lymphotoxin  $\beta$  receptor (LT $\beta$ R) on LP stromal cells is further absolutely necessary for the presence of B cells in the LP [46, 70]. Impaired LTβR signalling might result in a decreased local concentration of adhesion molecules and chemokines, causing absence of B cells [29]. However, the molecular mechanisms by which LT-LTBR interactions selectively affect B cell homing to the gut LP remain unresolved. The preferential homing of circulating precursors of IgA+, but not IgM+ or IgG+ plasma cells to the gut LP is explained by the selective response of IgA+B cells to CCL25, also known as the thymus expressed chemokine (TECK), a chemokine expressed by the small intestinal epithelium [12]. The ability of IgA+ cells to migrate to mucosal tissues other than the gut appears to be due to their responsiveness to CCL28, also called mucosal-associated epithelial chemokine MEC, a CCR10 ligand that selectively attracts IgA+ but not IgM<sup>+</sup> or IgG<sup>+</sup> plasma cell precursors [53].

Terminal differentiation of the IgA<sup>+</sup> plasma cell precursors occurs in the effector

sites, especially the LP which is the major site of IgA production. This terminal differentiation is driven by factors in the mucosal environment, including cytokines, especially IL-6 and IL-5 [15].

Peritoneal cavity B1 cells also generate large amounts of gut pIgA [49]. Unlike the pIgA generated in the PP, pIgA production by these cells appears to be independent of T-cell help and GC, and B1-cell derived pIgA are important for preventing systemic invasion by intestinal bacteria [57]. However, evidence of gut pIgA production by B1 cells in species other than mice is not available.

In addition to the PP, other organised lymphoid tissues have been described. Isolated lymphoid follicles (ILF) in the mucosa and submucosal lymphocyte aggregations have been described in humans, mice, rats, rabbits and guinea pigs and are lymphoid tissues representing solitary PP follicles, which play a role in the production of antigen-specific intestinal IgA [35, 54, 62, 65, 77]. Aggregations of 1 000 lymphocytes in the LP crypt of the small and the large intestine of mice, the cryptopatches (CP), were first described by Kanamori et al. [45]. Although these sites are widely accepted as primary lymphoid organs where intraepithelial T lymphocytes expressing the CD8 $\alpha\alpha$ homodimer develop de novo from bonemarrow-derived precursors [73, 88], the origin and differentiation of IEL are still a matter of debate [34, 77]. In contrast to mice, cryptopatches could not be found in humans, rats and porcine small intestines [77]. Moreover, in the mouse, rat and human, specialised intestinal villi, called the lymphocyte-filled villi, have been described [65]. In rodents, they are hypothesised to be extra-thymic sites of primary T-cell differentiation, where luminal antigens may play a role in repertoire expansion and/or selection. In humans, they are not the functional homologues of those in mice and rats, but are probably secondary lymphoid tissues, involved in presenting antigens to memory T cells. Until now, organised lymphoid

structures other than PP have not been described in the pig and dog [76].

### 5. FUNCTION OF IgA IN SERUM AND MUCOSA

In addition to mucosal secretions, IgA is also found in the serum [74]. Whereas SIgA exerts its function in mucosal secretions as the first line of defence by limiting invasion of pathogens, serum IgA may function as a second line of defence by eliminating pathogens that have breached the mucosal surface [74].

Receptors specific for the Fc region of IgA, FcαR, are key mediators of IgA effector function, providing a link between antibody-antigen complexes and the cellular effector machinery. However, these receptors function as a second line of antibacterial defence utilising serum IgA rather than secretory IgA [66]. Human FcαR (termed Fc $\alpha$ RI or CD89) was the first to be cloned and characterised and is the most likely candidate for initiation of inflammatory responses involving IgA. It binds poorly to SIgA but vigorously triggers potent effector functions upon binding to serum IgA [74]. The variety of effector mechanisms triggered by ligation of the FcaR by IgAcontaining immune complexes includes antibody-dependent-cell-mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC), phagocytosis by cells of the myeloid lineage including monocytes, macrophages, neutrophils and eosinophils, respiratory burst activity by polymorphonuclear leukocytes and degranulation of eosinophils and basophils [66, 102]. Furthermore, FcaRI ligation facilitates antigen presentation on human DC [32]. On the contrary, earlier data suggested a noninflammatory function of IgA. This is accentuated by the fact that IgA is a poor activator of complement although IgA can trigger, under certain conditions, the alternative complement pathway [40], it cannot bind C1q, therefore it cannot activate the classical pathway [47]. However, the ability of IgA to induce phagocytosis has now

been confirmed by several laboratories [74]. While information on human Fc\(\alpha\)R has amassed steadily, the FcαR systems in other species are not yet fully understood. The search for FcαR orthologues centred initially on the mouse, but despite efforts, no mouse equivalent has been found to date [74]. However, alternative receptors for IgA have been identified in mice. Indeed, on murine B cells and macrophages, a receptor specific for both IgA and IgM Fc (Fco/u) has been characterised [89, 93]. It is only recently that the first true orthologues of human FcaR have been described in rats [58], cattle [67] and macaques [87]. To date, FcRα has not been described in pigs or dogs.

In contrast to serum IgA, SIgA is incapable of triggering phagocytosis by either neutrophils in vitro or Kuppfer cells in vivo which is presumably due to (partial) blockage of FcRαRI binding site on IgA (both pIgA and mIgA) by SC [38, 101]. This may be of importance in the maintenance of integrity of mucosal surfaces. Indeed, activation of the complement induces local inflammatory reactions, including the influx of polymorphonuclear leukocytes and the release of substances including cytokines that enhance the permeability of mucosal membranes.

SIgA antibodies contribute to the protection of the mucosal epithelial barrier through a variety of mechanisms. The first mechanism of protection by SIgA is active at the stromal side of the epithelium since SIgA can complex with antigens present locally in the underlying tissue. These immune complexes can either be taken up by phagocytic cells, be absorbed into the vascular system, or be transported across the epithelium into the lumen by the same pIgR-mediated pathway utilised by pIgA [44, 85]. By doing so, antigens that leak through the epithelial barrier can be cleared back into the lumen, and monomeric IgA or IgG antibodies, which themselves are not ligands for the pIgR, can be transported as part of these immune complexes [44]. This immune elimination role of pIgA might provide an effective means of ridding the mucosal tissues of (excessive) immune complexes. Furthermore, during the pIgRmediated transport process, specific pIgA can bind to newly synthesised viral proteins inside the epithelial cells, preventing virion assembly and neutralising viral replication [11, 31, 60]. Prevention of virion assembly and budding by pIgA acting intracellularly may potentially forestall cytopathic effects, thus sparing the cell and may be a mechanism of recovery from infection. This preservation of mucous membrane integrity helps maintain the epithelial barrier and retards systemic dissemination of viral antigens. Moreover, using an in vitro model of polarised intestinal epithelial cells, an antiinflammatory mechanism of pIgA-mediated protection against intracellular bacterial components that are involved in the proinflammatory activation of the intestinal epithelial cells has been demonstrated. Specific pIgA colocalises to LPS in the apical recycling endosome compartment, preventing LPS-induced NF-kB-translocation and subsequent proinflammatory response [30]. Consequently, intracellular neutralisation by pIgA limits the acute local inflammation induced by proinflammatory pathogenassociated molecular patterns such as LPS. Finally, SIgA can interact with antigens at the luminal side after epithelial transcytosis. SIgA antibodies can thereby interfere with the ability of antigens, including viruses, bacteria and bacterial toxins and enzymes, to adhere to and penetrate the mucosa, a phenomenon called "immune exclusion" [14]. Because of the polymeric nature of SIgA, it displays greater avidity than monomeric Ig and can efficiently crosslink target macromolecules and micro-organisms, thereby inhibiting motility and facilitating entrapment in the mucus and clearance by peristalsis [83]. Furthermore, SIgA is a hydrophilic, negatively charged molecule because of the predominance of hydrophilic amino acids in the Fc region of IgA, and abundant glycosylation of both IgA and SC [47]. Consequently, micro-organisms surrounded by SIgA will be repelled by the mucosal surface. Terminal mannose-containing oligosaccharide side chains, particularly those on human IgA2 heavy chains, are recognised by mannose-specific lectins present on type 1 fimbriae. Consequently, these carbohydrate-specific interactions represent an important protective anti-adherence function of SIgA against bacteria, regardless of the specificity of the pIgA molecule [63]. In other cases, SIgA can directly block the microbial sites that mediate epithelial attachment, either by binding to specific adhesins or by sterically hindering their interaction with epithelial cells.

## 6. OTHER ANTIBODIES PRESENT IN THE MUCOSA

Although IgA is the most abundant immunoglobulin in mucosa, the other isotypes (IgM, IgG or IgE) are also locally produced in relatively small amounts (< 10%) but their proportion may increase in inflamed or diseased tissues [56] and in cases of IgA deficiency where mucosal IgM production and secretion partly compensates for the lack of IgA [36]. However, in the pig, IgM is a more important mucosal isotype compared with other species and both IgM and IgA are important in the mucosal lymphoid organs, especially in the young pig. Bianchi et al. [9] have studied the postnatal development of the isotype specific Ig-secreting cell response in various lymphoid organs of specific pathogen free (SPF) pigs between 1 and 40 weeks of age. In these SPF-pigs, the shift from IgM to IgA as the predominant mucosal isotype was first observed in the duodenum and jejunum (12 weeks) and later in the ileum (40 weeks). Since antigen exposure may influence the development of the Ig-secreting cell repertoire, the frequencies of Igsecreting cells in the mucosal lymphoid organs were compared between SPF-pigs and conventional pigs at the age of 40 weeks. At that age, no differences were found between SPF-pigs and conventional pigs concerning the amount of IgM and IgA in the intestine [9]. Like IgA, IgM has the ability to polymerise, mainly to pentamers with incorporated J chain and to hexamers without the J chain [96]. As for IgA, only the J chain containing IgM polymers binds the pIgR and is transported across the epithelium. However, the mode of pIgR binding differs between pIgM and pIgA and in some species, most notably the rabbit, the ability of pIgR to bind pentameric IgM has been selectively lost [86]. On the contrary, pIgM and pIgA are bound by human pIgR with similar affinity [68]. However, the external transport of pIgA is normally favoured over pentameric IgM because of its dominant local production and its better access to pIgR by enhanced diffusion through the extracellular matrix and epithelial basement membranes [68].

IgG cannot be transported by pIgR. However, it may provide mucosal protection by paracellular passive transfer [71]. Furthermore, a bidirectional transport mechanism for IgG via FcRn is demonstrated, by which IgG is secreted onto mucosal surfaces and scavenges luminal antigens for recognition by the immune system [105].

It has been demonstrated in mice that, the capillaries in the PP mucosa are non-fenestrated and relatively impermeable to serum antibodies [1], making the PP functionally isolated from blood-borne factors. Whether differences in permeability of capillaries exist between the porcine jejunal and ileal PP has not been investigated. On the contrary, the villous capillaries are highly fenestrated allowing diffusion of serum proteins into the LP. Consequently, serumderived antibodies can be found in the LP. Like locally produced IgA, serum-derived IgA can be transported into the intestinal lumen via pIgR. In the pig, 22.7-29.5% of IgA in the gut lymph comes from serum, versus 77.3 to 70.5% from local production in the intestine [100]. Conversly, 31% of the total plasma IgA originates from local intestinal synthesis, reaching the blood via mesenteric lymph [100]. The majority of serum IgA in the dog originates from the plasma cells in the intestinal lamina propria since the daily output of IgA from mesenteric lymph into the circulation is greater than the quantity present in the serum and is sufficient to maintain the circulating pool of IgA [43, 98].

#### 7. CONCLUSION

The function of IgA in immunological responses is not yet completely elucidated. However, from studies in humans and mice, it has become clear that SIgA has more antiinflammatory properties, whereas serum IgA should be defined as an inflammatory antibody through interactions with FcaRI on effector immune cells. Very little information is available on the function of IgA in immunological responses in large farm and companion animals. Although there is an obvious general similarity to human and mouse counterparts, differences in structure, antibody repertoire development, pIgR-mediated transport, colostral IgA content, hepatobiliary transport, and function do exist among species. This diversity justifies the quest of veterinary immunologists to define the IgA system for their species of interest rather than making extrapolations from human and mouse immune systems.

#### REFERENCES

- Allan C.H., Trier J.S., Structure and permeability differ in subepithelial villus and Peyer's patch follicle capillaries, Gastroenterology 100 (1991) 1172–1179.
- [2] Alt F.W., Blackwell T.K., Yancopoulos G.D., Development of the primary antibody repertoire, Science 238 (1987) 1079–1087.
- [3] Andersen J.K., Takamatsu H., Oura C.A., Brookes S.M., Pullen L., Parkhouse R.E., Systematic characterization of porcine ileal Peyer's patch, I. apoptosis-sensitive immature B cells are the predominant cell type, Immunology 98 (1999) 612–621.
- [4] Apodaca G., Bomsel M., Arden J., Breitfeld P.P., Tang K.C., Mostov K.E., The polymeric

- immunoglobulin receptor. A model protein to study transcytosis, J. Clin. Invest. 87 (1991) 1877–1882.
- [5] Banting G., Brake B., Braghetta P., Luzio J.P., Stanley K.K., Intracellular targetting signals of polymeric immunoglobulin receptors are highly conserved between species, FEBS Lett. 254 (1989) 177–183.
- [6] Barman N.N., Bianchi A.T., Zwart R.J., Pabst R., Rothkötter H.J., Jejunal and ileal Peyer's patches in pigs differ in their postnatal development, Anat. Embryol. 195 (1997) 41–50
- [7] Berlin C., Berg E.L., Briskin M.J., Andrew D.P., Kilshaw P.J., Holzmann B., Weissman I.L., Hamann A., Butcher E.C., Alpha 4 beta 7 integrin mediates lymphocyte binding to the mucosal vascular addressin MAdCAM-1, Cell 74 (1993) 185–185.
- [8] Bianchi A.T., Zwart R.J., Jeurissen S.H., Moonen-Leusen H.W., Development of the B- and T-cell compartments in porcine lymphoid organs from birth to adult life: an immunohistological approach, Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. 33 (1992) 201–221.
- [9] Bianchi A.T.J., Scholten J.W., Moonen Leusen B.H.W.M., Boersma W.J.A., Development of the natural response of immunoglobulin secreting cells in the pig as a function of organ, age and housing, Dev. Comp. Immunol. 23 (1999) 511–520.
- [10] Binns R.M., Licence S.T., Patterns of migration of labelled blood lymphocyte subpopulations: evidence for two types of Peyer's patch in the young pig, Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 186 (1985) 661–668.
- [11] Bomsel M., Heyman M., Hocini H., Lagaye S., Belec L., Dupont C., Desgranges C., Intracellular neutralization of HIV transcytosis across tight epithelial barriers by anti-HIV envelope protein dlgA or IgM, Immunity 9 (1998) 277–287.
- [12] Bowman E.P., Kuklin N.A., Youngman K.R., Lazarus N.H., Kunkel E.J., Pan J., Greenberg H.B., Butcher E.C., The intestinal chemokine thymus-expressed chemokine (CCL25) attracts IgA antibody-secreting cells, J. Exp. Med. 195 (2002) 269–275.
- [13] Braathen R., Sørensen V., Brandtzaeg P., Sandlie I., Johansen F.E., The carboxyl-terminal domains of IgA and IgM direct isotype-specific polymerisation and interaction with the polymeric immunoglobulin receptor, J. Biol. Chem. 277 (2002) 42755–42762.
- [14] Brandtzaeg P., Role of secretory antibodies in the defence against infections, Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 293 (2003) 3–15.

- [15] Brandtzaeg P., Farstad I.N., Johansen F.E., Morton H.C., Norderhaug I.N., Yamanaka T., The B-cell system of human mucosae and exocrine glands, Immunol. Rev. 171 (1999) 45–87.
- [16] Brown W.R., Butler J.E., Characterization of a C alpha gene of swine, Mol. Immunol. 31 (1994) 633–642.
- [17] Brown W.R., Kloppel T.M., The role of the liver in translocation of IgA into the gastrointestinal tract, Immunol. Invest. 18 (1989) 269–285.
- [18] Brown W.R., Kloppel T.M., The liver and IgA: immunological, cell biological and clinical implications, Hepatology 9 (1989) 763– 784.
- [19] Brown W.R., Kacskovics I., Amendt B.A., Blackmore N.B., Rothschild M., Shinde R., Butler J.E., The hinge deletion allelic variant of porcine IgA results from a mutation at the splice acceptor site in the first Cα intron, J. Immunol. 154 (1995) 3836–3842.
- [20] Butler J.E., Immunoglobulin diversity, B-cell and antibody repertoire development in large farm animals, Rev. Sci. Tech. Off. Int. Epizoot. 17 (1998) 43–70.
- [21] Butler J.E., Sun J., Kacskovics I., Brown W.R., Navarro P., The V<sub>H</sub> and C<sub>H</sub> immunoglobulin genes of swine: implication for repertoire development, Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. 54 (1996) 7–17.
- [22] Butler J.E., Sun J., Weber P., Navarro P., Francis D., Antibody repertoire development in fetal and neonatal piglets. III. Colonization of the gastrointestinal tract selectively diversifies the pre-immune repertoire in mucosal lymphoid tissues, Immunology 100 (2000) 119–130.
- [23] Butler J.E., Sun J., Weber P., Ford S.P., Rehakova Z., Sinkora J., Lager K., Antibody repertoire development in fetal and neonatal piglets. IV. Switch recombination, primarily in fetal thymus, occurs independent of environmental antigens and is only weakly associated with repertoire diversification, J. Immunol. 167 (2001) 3239–3249.
- [24] Chappuis G., Neonatal immunity and immunisation in early age: lessons from veterinary medicine, Vaccine 16 (1998) 1468–1472.
- [25] Cianga P., Medesan C., Richardson J.A., Ghertie V., Ward E.S., Identification and function of neonatal Fc receptor in mammary gland of lactating mice, Eur. J. Immunol. 29 (1999) 2515–2523.
- [26] Crottet P., Corthesy B., Secretory component delays the conversion of secretory IgA into antigen-binding competent F(ab')2: a possible implication for mucosal defense, J. Immunol. 161 (1998) 5445–5453.

- [27] Delacroix D.L., Hodgson H.J.F., McPherson A., Dive C., Vaerman J.P., Selective transport of polymeric immunoglobulin A in bile. Quantitative relationships of monomeric and polymeric IgA, IgM, and other proteins in serum, bile and saliva, J. Clin. Invest. 70 (1982) 230–241.
- [28] Dooley J.S., Potter B.J., Thomas H.C., Sherlock S., A comparative study of the biliary secretion of human dimeric and monomeric IgA in the rat and in man, Hepatology 2 (1982) 323–327.
- [29] Fagarasan S., Honjo T., Intestinal IgA synthesis: regulation of front-line body defences, Nat. Rev. Immunol. 3 (2003) 63–72.
- 30] Fernandez M.I., Pedron T., Tournebize R., Olivo-Marin J.C., Sansonetti P.J., Phalipon A., Anti-inflammatory role for intracellular dimeric immunoglobulin A by neutralization of lipopolysaccharide in epithelial cells, Immunity 18 (2003) 739–749.
- [31] Fujioka H., Emancipator S.N., Aikawa M., Huang D.S., Blatnik F., Karban T., DeFife K., Mazanec M.B., Immunocytochemical colocalization of specific immunoglobulin A with sendai virus protein in infected polarized epithelium, J. Exp. Med. 188 (1998) 1223–1229.
- [32] Geissmann F., Launay P., Pasquier B., Lepelletier Y., Leborgne M., Lehuen A., Brousse N., Monteiro R.C., A subset of human dendritic cells expresses IgA Fc receptor (CD89), which mediates internalization and activation upon cross-linking by IgA complexes, J. Immunol. 166 (2001) 346–352.
- [33] Ghetie V., Ward E.S., Multiple roles for the major histocompatibility complex class Irelated receptor FcRn, Annu. Rev. Immunol. 18 (2000) 739–766.
- [34] Guy-Grand D., Azogui O., Celli S., Darche S., Nussenzweig M.C., Kourilsky P., Vassalli P., Extrathymic T cell lymphoiesis: ontogeny and contribution to gut intraepithelial lymphocytes in athymic and euthymic mice, J. Exp. Med. 197 (2003) 333–341.
- [35] Hamada H., Hiroi T., Nishiyama Y., Takahashi H., Masunaga Y., Hachimura S., Kaminogawa S., Takahashi-Iwanaga H., Iwanaga T., Kiyono H., Yamamoto H., Ishikawa H., Identification of multiple isolated lymphoid follicles on the antimesenteric wall of the mouse small intestine, J. Immunol. 168 (2002) 57–64.
- [36] Harriman G.R., Bogue M., Rogers P., Fine-gold M., Pacheco S., Bradley A., Zhang Y., Mbawuike I.N., Targeted deletion of IgA constant region in mice leads to IgA deficiency with alteration in expression of other immunoglobulin isotypes, J. Immunol. 162 (1999) 2521–2529.

- [37] Heddle R.J., Rowley D., Dog immunoglobulins. I. Immunochemical characterization of dog serum, parotid saliva, colostrum, milk and small bowel fluid, Immunology 29 (1975) 185–195.
- [38] Herr A.B., Ballister E.R., Bjorkman P.J., Insights into IgA-mediated immune responses from the crystal structures of human FcalphaRI and its complex with IgA1-Fc, Nature 423 (2003) 614–620.
- [39] Hirt R.P., Hughes G.J., Frutiger S., Michetti P., Perregaux C., Poulain-Godefroy O., Jeanguenat N., Neutra M.R., Kraehenbuhl J.P., Transcytosis of the polymeric Ig receptor requires phosphorylation of serine 664 in the absence but not the presence of dimeric IgA, Cell 74 (1993) 245–255.
- [40] Janoff E.N., Fasching C., Orenstein J.M., Rubins J.B., Opstad N.L., Dalmasso A.P., Killing of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* by capsular polysaccharide-specific polymeric IgA, complement, and phagocytes, J. Clin. Invest. 104 (1999) 1139–1147.
- [41] Jensen A.R., Elnif J., Burrin D.G., Sangild P.T., Development of intestinal immunoglobulin absorption and enzyme activities in neonatal pigs is diet dependent, J. Nutr. 131 (2001) 3259–3265.
- [42] Johansen F.E., Braathen R., Brandtzaeg P., The J chain is essential for polymeric Ig receptor-mediated epithelial transport of IgA, J. Immunol. 167 (2001) 5185–5192.
- [43] Kaartinen M., Imir T., Klockars M., Sandholm M., Makela O., IgA in blood and thoracic duct lymph: concentration and degree of polymerization, Scand. J. Immunol. 7 (1978) 229–232.
- [44] Kaetzel C.S., Robinson J.K., Chintalacharuvu K.R., Vaerman J.P., Lamm M.E., The polymeric immunoglobulin receptor (secretory component) mediates transport of immune complexes across epithelial cells: a local defence function for IgA, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88 (1991) 8796–8800.
- [45] Kanamori Y., Ishimaru K., Nanno M., Maki K., Ikuta K., Nariuchi H., Ishikawa H., Identification of novel lymphoid tissues in murine intestinal mucosa where clusters of c-kit+ IL-7R+ Thy1+ lympho-hemopoietic progenitors develop, J. Exp. Med. 184(1996) 1449–1459.
- [46] Kang H.S., Chin R.K., Wang Y., Yu P., Wang J., Newell K.A., Fu Y.X., Signaling via LTβR on the lamina propria stromal cells of the gut is required for IgA production, Nat. Immunol. 3 (2002) 576–582.
- [47] Kerr M.A., The structure and function of human IgA, Biochem. J. 271 (1990) 285– 296.

- [48] Krakowka S., Long D., Koestner A., Influence of transplacentally acquired antibody on neonatal susceptibility to canine distemper virus in gnotobiotic dogs, J. Infect. Dis. 137 (1978) 605–608.
- [49] Kroese F.G., Butcher E.C., Stall A.M., Herzenberg L.A., A major peritoneal reservoir of precursors for intestinal IgA plasma cells, Immunol. Invest. 18 (1989) 47–58.
- [50] Kulseth M.A., Krajci P., Myklebost O., Rogne S., Cloning and characterization of two forms of bovine polymeric immunoglobulin receptor cDNA, DNA Cell Biol. 14 (1995) 251–256.
- [51] Kumura B.H., Sone T., Shimazaki K., Kobayashi E., Sequence analysis of porcine polymeric immunoglobulin receptor from mammary epithelial cells present in colostrum, J. Dairy Res. 67 (2000) 631–636.
- [52] Landau N.R., Schatz D.G., Rosa M., Baltimore D., Increased frequency of N-region insertion in a murine pre-B-cell line infected with a terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase retroviral expression vector, Mol. Cell. Biol. 7 (1987) 3237–3243.
- [53] Lazarus N.H., Kunker E.J., Johnston B., Wilson E., Youngman K.R., Butcher E.C., A common mucosal chemokine (mucosae-associated epithelial chemokine/CCL28) selectively attracts IgA plasmablasts, J. Immunol. 170 (2003) 3799–3805.
- [54] Lorenz R.G., Newberry R.D., Isolated lymphoid follicles can function as sites for induction of mucosal immune responses, Ann. NY Acad. Sci. 1029 (2004) 44–57.
- [55] Lucier M.R., Thompson R.E., Waire J., Lin A.W., Osborne B.A., Goldsby R.A., Multiple sites of V lambda diversification in cattle, J. Immunol. 161 (1998) 5438–5444.
- [56] Macpherson A., Khoo U.Y., Forgacs I., Philpott-Howard J., Bjarnason I., Mucosal antibodies in inflammatory bowel disease are directed against intestinal bacteria, Gut 38 (1996) 365–375.
- [57] Macpherson A.J., Gatto D., Sainsbury E., Harriman G.R., Hengartner H., Zinkernagel R.M., A primitive T cell-independent mechanism of intestinal mucosal IgA responses to commensal bacteria, Science 288 (2000) 2222–2226.
- [58] Maruoka T., Nagata T., Kasahara M., Identification of the rat IgA Fc receptor encoded in the leukocyte receptor complex, Immunogenetics 55 (2004) 712–716.
- [59] Max E.E., Immunoglobulins: molecular genetics, in: Paul W.E. (Ed.), Fundamental Immunology, 3rd ed., Raven Press, New York, 1993, pp. 315–382.

- [60] Mazanec M.B., Coudret C.L., Fletcher D.R., Intracellular neutralization of influenza virus by immunoglobulin A anti-hemagglutinin monoclonal antibodies, J. Virol. 69 (1995) 1339–1343.
- [61] McCune J.M., Fu S.M., Kunkel H.G., J chain biosynthesis in pre-B cells and other possible precursor B cells, J. Exp. Med. 154 (1981) 138–145.
- [62] McDonald K., McDonough J.S., Newberry R.D., Adaptive immune responses are dispensable for isolated lymphoid follicle formation: antigen-naive, lymphotoxin-sufficient B lymphocytes drive the formation of mature isolated lymphoid follicles, J. Immunol. 174 (2005) 5720–5728.
- [63] McGhee J.R., Mestecky J., Dertzbaugh M.T., Elgridge J.H., Hirasawa M., Kiyono H., The mucosal immune system: from fundamental concepts to vaccine development, Vaccine 10 (1992) 75–88.
- [64] Mestecky J., Moro I., Underdown B.J., Mucosal immunoglobulins, in: Ogra P.L., Mestecky J., Lamm M.E., Stober W., Bienenstock J., McGhee J.R. (Eds.), Mucosal Immunology, 2nd ed., Academic, London, 1998, pp. 133–152.
- [65] Moggaddami M., Cummins A., Mayrhofer G., Lymphocyte-filled villi: comparison with other lymphoid aggregations in the mucosa of the human small intestine, Gastroenterology 115 (1998) 1414–1425.
- [66] Monteiro R.C., Van De Winkel J.G., IgA Fc receptors, Annu. Rev. Immunol. 21 (2003) 177–204
- [67] Morton H.C., Pleass R.J., Storset A.K., Dissen E., Williams J.L., Brandtzaeg P., Woof J.M., Cloning and characterization of an IgA Fc receptor from cattle (bFcαR), Immunology 111 (2004) 204–211.
- [68] Natvig I.B., Johansen F.E., Nordeng T.W., Haraldsen G., Brandtzaeg P., Mechanism for enhanced external transfer of dimeric IgA over pentameric IgM: studies of diffusion, binding to the human polymeric Ig receptor, and epithelial transcytosis, J. Immunol. 159 (1997) 4330–4340.
- [69] Navarro P., Christensen R.K., Weber P., Rothschild M., Ekhardt G., Butler J.E., Porcine IgA allotypes are not equally transcribed or expressed in heterozygous swine, Mol. Immmunol. 37 (2000) 653–664.
- [70] Newberry R.D., McDonough J.S., McDonald K.G., Lorenz R.G., Postgestational lymphotoxin/lymphotoxin beta receptor interactions are essential for the presence of intestinal B lymphocytes, J. Immunol. 168 (2002) 4988– 4997
- [71] Norderhaug I.N., Johansen F.E., Schjerven H., Brandtzaeg P., Regulation of the forma-

- tion and external transport of secretory immunoglobulins, Crit. Rev. Immunol. 19 (1999) 481–508.
- [72] Norderhaug I.N., Johansen F.E., Krajči P., Brandtzaeg P., Domain deletions in the human polymeric Ig receptor disclose differences between its dimeric IgA and pentameric IgM interaction, Eur. J. Immunol. 29 (1999) 3401–3409.
- [73] Oida T., Suzuki K., Nanno M., Kanamori Y., Saito H., Kubota E., Kato S., Itoh M., Kaminogawa S., Ishikawa H., Role of gut cryptopatches in early extrathymic maturation of intestinal intraepithelial T cells, J. Immunol. 164 (2000) 3616–3626.
- [74] Otten M.A., van Egmond M., The Fc receptor for IgA (FcαRI, CD89), Immunol. Lett. 92 (2004) 23–31.
- [75] Pabst R., Binns R.M., Heterogeneity of lymphocyte homing physiology: several mechanisms operate in the control of migration to lymphoid and non-lymphoid organs in vivo, Immunol. Rev. 108 (1989) 83–109.
- [76] Pabst R., Rothkötter H.J., Postnatal development of lymphocyte subsets in different compartments of the small intestine of piglets, Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. 72 (1999) 167–173.
- [77] Pabst O., Herbrand H., Worbs T., Friedrichsen M., Yan S., Hoffmann M.W., Körner H., Bernhardt G., Pabst R., Förster R., Cryptopatches and isolated lymphoid follicles: dynamic lymphoid tissues dispensable for the generation of intraepithelial lymphocytes, Eur. J. Immunol. 35 (2005) 98–107.
- [78] Patel M., Selinger D., Mark G.E., Hickey G.J., Hollis G.F., Sequence of the dog immunoglobulin alpha and epsilon constant region genes, Immunogenetics 41 (1995) 282–286.
- [79] Peters I.R., Helps C.R., Calvert E.L., Hall E.J., Day M.J., Identification of four allelic variants of the dog IGHA gene, Immunogenetics 56 (2004) 254–260.
- [80] Phalipon A., Corthesy B., Novel function of the polymeric Ig receptor: well beyond transport of immunoglogbulins, Trends Immunol. 24 (2003) 55–58.
- [81] Phalipon A., Cardona A., Kraehenbuhl J.P., Edelman L., Sansonetti P.J., Corthesy B., Secretory component: a new role in secretory IgA-mediated immune exclusion in vivo, Immunity 17 (2002) 107–115.
- [82] Piskurich J.F., Blanchard M.H., Youngman K.R., France J.A., Kaetzel C.S., Molecular cloning of the mouse polymeric Ig receptor. Functional regions of the molecule are conserved among five mammalian species, J. Immunol. 154 (1995) 1735–1747.

- [83] Renegar K.B., Jackson G.D., Mestecky J., In vitro comparison of the biologic activities of monoclonal monomeric IgA, polymeric IgA, and secretory IgA, J. Immunol. 160 (1998) 1219–1223
- [84] Reynolds H.Y., Johnson J.S., Quantitation of canine immunoglobulins, J. Immunol. 105 (1970) 698–703.
- [85] Robinson J.K., Blanchard T.G., Levine A.D., Emancipator S.N., Lamm M.E., A mucosal IgA-mediated excretory immune system in vivo, J. Immunol. 166 (2001) 3688–3692.
- [86] Røe M., Norderhaug I.N., Brandtzaeg P., Johansen F.E., Fine specificity of ligandbinding domain 1 in the polymeric Ig receptor: importance of the CDR2-containing region for IgM interaction, J. Immunol. 162 (1999) 6064–6052.
- [87] Rogers K.A., Scinicariello F., Attanasio R., Identification and characterization of macaque CD89 (IgA Fc receptor), Immunology 113 (2004) 178–186.
- [88] Saito H., Kanamori Y., Takemori T., Nariuchi H., Kubota E., Takahashiiwanaga H., Iwanaga T., Ishikawa H., Generation of intestinal T cells from progenitors residing in gut cryptopatches, Science 280 (1998) 275– 278.
- [89] Sakamoto N., Shibuya K., Shimizu Y., Yotsumoto K., Miyabayashi T., Sakano S., Tsuji T., Nakayama E., Nakauchi H., Shibuya A., A novel Fc receptor for IgA and IgM is expressed on both hematopoietic and non-hematopoietic tissues, Eur. J. Immunol. 31 (2001) 1310–1316.
- [90] Salmon H., The mammary gland and neonate mucosal immunity, Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. 72 (1999) 143–155.
- [91] Salmon H., Mammary gland immunology and neonate protection in pigs. Homing of lymphocytes into the MG, Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 480 (2000) 279–286.
- [92] Schnulle P.M., Hurley W.L., Sequence and expression of the FcRn in the porcine mammary gland, Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. 91 (2003) 227–231.
- [93] Shibuya A., Sakamoto N., Shimizu Y., Shibuya K., Osawa M., Hiroyama T., Eyre H.J., Sutherland G.R., Endo Y., Fujita T., Miyabayashi T., Sakano S., Tsuji T., Nakayama E., Phillips J.H., Lanier L.L., Nakauchi H., Fc α/μ receptor mediates endocytosis of IgM-coated microbes, Nat. Immunol. 1 (2000) 441–446.
- [94] Sinkora M., Sun J., Butler J.E., Antibody repertoire development in fetal and neonatal piglets. V. VDJ gene chimeras resembling gene conversion are generated at high frequency by PCR in vitro, Mol. Immunol. 37 (2000) 1025–1034.

- [95] Sinkora M., Sinkorova J., Butler J.E., B cell development and VDJ rearrangement in the fetal pig, Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. 87 (2002) 341–346.
- [96] Sørensen V., Rasmussen I.B., Sundvold V., Michaelsen T.E., Sandlie I., Structural requirements for incorporation of J chain into human IgM and IgA, Int. Immunol. 12 (2000) 19–27.
- [97] Stoffel M., Friess A., Hartmann S., Ultrastructural evidence of transplacental transport of immunoglobulin G in bitches, J. Reprod. Fertil. 118 (2000) 315–326.
- [98] Vaerman J.P., Heremans J.F., Origin and molecular size of immunoglobulin-A in the mesenteric lymph of the dog, Immunology 18 (1970) 27–38.
- [99] Vaerman J.P., Langendries A., Vander Maelen C., Homogenous IgA monomers, dimers, trimers and tetramers from the same IgA myeloma serum, Immunol. Invest. 24 (1995) 631–641.
- [100] Vaerman J.P., Langendries A., Pabst R., Rothkötter H.J., Contribution of serum IgA to intestinal lymph IgA, and vice versa, in minipigs, Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. 58 (1997) 301–308.
- [101] Van Egmond M., van Garderen E., van Spriel A.B., Damen C.A., van Emersfoort E.S., van Zandberen G., van Hattum J., Kuiper J., van de Winkel J.G., FααRI-positive liver Kupffer cells: reappraisal of the function of immunoglobulin A in immunity, Nat. Med. 6 (2000) 680–685.
- [102] Van Egmond M., Damen C.A., van Spriel A.B., Vidarsson G., van Garderen E., van de Winkel J.G.J., IgA and the IgA Fc receptor, Trends Immunol. 22 (2001) 205–211.
- [103] Verbeet M., Vermeer H., Warmerdam G.C.M., de Boer H.A., Lee S.H., Cloning and characterization of the bovine polymeric immunoglobulin receptor-encoding cDNA, Gene 164 (1995) 329–333.
- [104] Wintero A.K., Fredholm M., Davies W., Evaluation and characterization of a porcine small intestine cDNA library: analysis of 839 clones, Mamm. Genome 7 (1996) 509–517.
- [105] Yoshida M., Claypool S.M., Wagner J.S., Mizoguchi E., Mizoguchi A., Roopenian D.C., Lencer W.I., Blumberg R.S., Human neonatal Fc receptor mediates transport of IgG into luminal secretions for delivery of antigens to mucosal dendritic cells, Immunity 20 (2004) 769–783.
- [106] Zhao Y., Kacskovics I., Zhao Z., Hammarstrom L., Presence of the di-leucine motif in the cytoplasmic tail of the pig FcRn α chain, Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. 96 (2003) 229–233.