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Abstract — The present paper describes a comparative study of the gelation properties of whey protein concentrate (WPC) and whey protein isolate (WPI). Penetration measurements as well as rheological studies revealed that the strength of heat-induced gels prepared with WPI was higher than with WPC. In addition, gels with WPI were clearly more elastic than WPC gels. The storage modulus of WPI and the storage and loss moduli of WPC increased with increasing frequency, while the loss modulus of WPI revealed no clear dependence on the frequency, resulting in a greater decrease in the loss angle of WPI with increasing frequency. The superior gelation properties of WPI were mainly due to the higher β-lactoglobulin content as well as to lower fat, lactose and phospholipid contents. However, in this paper it is also discussed whether the low contents of glycomacropeptide, non-protein-nitrogen and proteose peptone in WPI may partly explain the superior gelation properties of these protein products. WPI were more sensible to an increase in the ionic strength (0.1–0.3% NaCl) than WPC, resulting in clearly stronger gels with WPI than with WPC. In the pH ranges of 2–3 and 7–8, elastic and translucent gels could be prepared using WPI, while their gel-forming properties were low between pH 4 and 5. The strongest gels, turbid, but still elastic, were prepared with WPI at pH 6.
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Résumé – Présentation d’une étude comparative sur les propriétés de gélification du concentré protéique de lactosérum WPC et de l’isolat protéique de lactosérum WPI. Des mesures de la pénétration ainsi que des études rhéologiques révèlent que la solidité des gels obtenus par gélification thermique est plus élevée en utilisant WPI que WPC. De plus, les gels avec WPI sont nettement plus élastiques que des gels avec WPC. Le module de stockage de WPI et les modules de stockage et de perte de WPC augmentaient avec une fréquence croissante, tandis que les modules de perte de WPI n’étaient pas influencés par la fréquence, et menaient ainsi à une forte réduction de l’angle de perte de WPI avec une fréquence croissante. Les propriétés supérieures de gélification de WPI sont principalement dues à une teneur élevée en β-lactoglobuline et à une teneur réduite en matière grasse, lactose et phospholipide. Dans l’étude présente, il est également discuté si les faibles teneurs en glycomacropeptide, en azote non-protéique de WPI et en protéine peptone dans WPI expliquent partiellement les propriétés supérieures de gélification de ces produits protéiques. WPI réagissait plus sensiblement à une augmentation de la teneur ionique (0.1–0.3% NaCl) que WPC, aboutissant à des gels ostensiblement plus solides avec WPI qu’avec WPC. Avec un pH entre 2–3 et 7–8, il a été possible de préparer des gels élastiques et translucides en utilisant WPI, tandis que les propriétés gélifiantes étaient faibles avec un pH entre 4–5. Les gels les plus solides, d’un aspect trouble mais encore élastiques, étaient préparés avec WPI à un pH 6.

1. INTRODUCTION

The consistency of foods, often characterized by the water-holding and/or fat crystallization properties of the ingredients, is of particular importance in food processing. The gelling ability of proteins provides important textural and water-holding properties in many foods. In particular, gelatine, the only protein among the hydrocolloids, is used as a gelling agent. Apart from gelatine, other food proteins, such as whey proteins, possess gelation properties [13, 31, 41].

The mechanisms of heat-induced gelation of whey proteins are not yet completely understood. Former investigations interpreted the gelation as a two-phase process consisting of unfolding of the globular structure, and subsequent aggregation of protein chains into a three-dimensional network. Today, gelation of whey proteins is basically considered as a four-phase process [36] consisting of unfolding of the native structure (I), aggregation of the unfolded protein molecules (II), string formation of the aggregates (III), and linkage of the strings to a three-dimensional network (IV). Partially stable intermediates of the three-dimensional structure of whey proteins, called the “molten globule state”, are of particular importance during gelation [16, 31]. The formation of heat-induced whey protein gels, mainly due to disulfide bridges and hydrophobic interactions, is irreversible [9, 10, 36]. The structure and consistency of the gels depend on protein concentration, ion strength and type as well as on pH value, temperature and degree of denaturation [7–10, 17, 36]. Furthermore, the origin of the whey (rennet casein, cheese or acid casein production) as well as the operations used for concentrating, isolating and/or fractionating proteins are particularly relevant for the resulting properties of the whey protein products [27, 36]. Cold set whey protein gels can be prepared by heating the proteins at neutral pH and low ionic strength. Keeping the substrate concentration below the concentration which is required to create a network. The gelation itself is induced by adding salt (transparent gels) or acid (turbid gels) to the heat-treated protein solutions after cooling [1, 4, 33, 38]. An enzyme-induced gelation of whey proteins can be obtained by partial hydrolysis with an endopeptidase from Bacillus licheniformis [29]. A pressure-induced gelation of milk proteins can be obtained by applying high hydrostatic pressure [26].

A number of papers are concerned with the mechanisms of whey protein gelation e.g. [6, 9, 10, 14, 17, 34, 39]. Comparative studies on the compositional, physicochemical and functional properties of whey protein concentrate (WPC) and whey protein isolate (WPI) are also described in the
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enumerate e.g. [3, 5, 11, 12, 20, 21, 27]. The present paper, however, represents a comparative study of the gelation properties of WPC and WPI prepared from the same source of whey.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Materials

Several batches of WPC and WPI were purchased from Milei GmbH, Stuttgart, Germany. The whey protein products were prepared from pasteurized, sweet whey by ultrafiltration (WPC) or ion-exchange chromatography (WPI) with subsequent ultrafiltration followed by spray-drying (180 °C inlet/80 °C outlet).

2.2. Characterization of functional and chemical properties

2.2.1. Penetration measurement

In order to characterize the heat-induced gelation of the proteins, solutions with a protein content of 11.25% in demineralized water were prepared and heated in a water bath at 75 °C for 45 min. Subsequently, the gels were stored for 90 min in the refrigerator (4–6 °C). The characterization of the gel strength was determined at 10 °C by penetration measurements (Stevens-L.F.R.A. Texture Analyser, CNS Farnell, Borehamwood, UK). The instrument was adjusted to the following conditions: cylindrical probe, probe area 1 cm², penetration distance: 20 mm into surface, penetration speed: 1.0 mm·s⁻¹. Gel strength was determined in triplicate and expressed as N·cm⁻² of probe area. The standard deviation in these studies was between 0.02 and 0.7.

2.2.2. Determination of sialic acid

Sialic acid was determined according to annex IV of EU regulation (EG) 625/78 [2]. Trichloroacetic acid (TCA) was added to solutions of the whey proteins in demineralized water (WPC 4.66%, WPI 3.88%) up to 12%. After TCA treatment, caseinmacropeptides – which contain sialic acid – were precipitated from the supernatant by addition of phosphorus tungstic acid. Sialic acid, liberated by acid hydrolysis, was detected after complex formation with resorcin by a spectrophotometric measurement at λ = 580 nm. Determinations were performed in triplicate.

2.2.3. Degree of denaturation

Solutions of the protein products in demineralized water (protein content about 2.5%) were adjusted to pH 4.6 by addition of acidic acid and stored for 24 h at room temperature. After this, samples for determination of the protein content were taken (value A). The remaining dispersions were filtered (S&S 1574) and the protein content of the filtrates (value B) was also examined. The degree of denaturation (D) was calculated according to the formula: D(%) = A–B divided by A x 100. Determinations were performed in triplicate.

2.2.4. Characterization of the molecular weight distribution by size-exclusion chromatography

Size-exclusion chromatography was performed using a FPLC 2000 System (Pharmacia, Sweden) with a Superdex 200 HR10/30-Column (buffer: 0.1 mol·L⁻¹ Tris, 0.15 mol·L⁻¹ NaCl, 8 mol·L⁻¹ Urea, pH 8.0, flow rate: 0.3 mL·min⁻¹). The buffer was filtered through 0.2-µm filters and degassed under vacuum. The samples were diluted with buffer to a final concentration of 2 mg protein·mL⁻¹ buffer. After filtration (0.2 µm) 25 µL were injected and eluted at room temperature. The absorbance of the eluate was monitored at λ = 280 nm. Gel filtration calibration kits (LMW/HMW) from Pharmacia, Sweden were used to characterize the eluted peaks.

2.2.5. Determination of the rheological properties of whey protein gels

For characterization of the visco-elastic properties a rheometer UDS 200 (Physica, Ostfildern, Germany) was used. The whey protein gels were produced in the measuring system (MP 31, plate/plate, diameter
50 mm, gap 1 mm) under the same heating conditions as described under 2.2.1. Immediately after the cooling phase a frequency sweep was recorded at a temperature of 5 °C. A constant deformation of 0.1% was chosen. The frequency varied from 10 s⁻¹ to 0.1 s⁻¹. The storage modulus (G' = elastic part) and loss modulus (G" = viscous part) were measured and the loss angle (proportion between elastic and viscous parts) was calculated. Determinations were performed in quadruplicate.

### 2.2.6. Analytical methods

The composition of the whey protein products as well as SDS-PAGE, non-denaturing PAGE and determination of non-protein-nitrogen were performed by evaluated methods according to the VDLUFA-Methodenbuch, Band 6 (2004). Instead of SDS and DTT in the sample buffer of SDS-PAGE, Tris/HCl and mercaptoethanol were used in the sample buffer of the non-denaturing PAGE. The amino acid composition was analyzed according to Meisel and Frister [25].

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The resulting technological-functional properties of whey proteins are influenced by – among other things – the production process applied. In this context, the effect of the operation used on the gelation properties of WPC and WPI were studied. Table I shows the gel strength of whey protein products in relation to the time-temperature conditions of heating, protein content, pH value and sodium chloride content.

It can be seen from Table I that the strength of gels prepared with WPI is about tenfold higher than those prepared with the protein concentrate. An increase in the gel strength with raising heating temperatures is due to a higher number of protein-protein interactions; above all, of non-covalent hydrophobic interactions. This is also valid for the gelation properties in relation to the protein content [10, 17, 36]. The superior gelation properties of WPI are mainly due to a different composition of the products. In comparison with WPC, the isolates contain only low amounts of fat and phospholipids as well as lactose (Tab. II). Wang and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions of preparation</th>
<th>Gel strength (N·cm⁻²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Whey protein concentrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heating</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 °C</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 °C</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 °C</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein content</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8%</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>1.47 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12%</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium chloride</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lucey [40] found that compared with WPI, WPC has similar major proteins, but more large-sized residual lipid material and different minor constituents. For instance, the mineral composition of the protein products is quite different (Tab. II). WPC shows distinctly higher contents of calcium, potassium, phosphate and chloride, while the sodium content is twofold higher in WPI. These differences significantly affect the gelation properties of whey proteins.

The concentration of sialic acid in the whey protein products was determined, because it reflects the amount of glycomacropeptide (GMP) present [28]. From Table III it can be seen that the sialic acid content in WPC is distinctly higher than in WPI. The same relation was found by Nakano and Ozimek [28], but they found higher values for WPC (15–18 µg·mg⁻¹) and WPI (1.7 µg·mg⁻¹). According to Huffman [22], the GMP content in WPC is up to 26% of the total protein content. Wang and Lucey [40] found that there was very little GMP (< 2%) in WPI made using an ion-exchange process compared with levels of up to 26% of the total protein content in WPI made by membrane filtration processes, respectively. The supplier of the whey protein products analyzed the WPC and WPI in relation to the annex IV of EU regulation (EG) 1725/79 (1999), which allows only a

### Table II. Composition of whey protein concentrate and isolate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Whey protein concentrate</th>
<th>Whey protein isolate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protein (%)</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>92.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactose</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>traces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium mg·100 g⁻¹ product</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphate</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table III. Characterizing parameter of whey protein concentrate and isolate (average of 3 determinations ± standard deviation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Whey protein concentrate</th>
<th>Whey protein isolate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sialic acid ¹</td>
<td>6.14 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-protein-nitrogen (%)</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of β-Lg to α-La ²</td>
<td>1.4 : 1.0</td>
<td>3.4 : 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of β-Lg to α-La ³</td>
<td>1.8 : 1.0</td>
<td>3.2 : 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree of denaturation (%)</td>
<td>10.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>5.2 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Average values in several batches of WPC and WPI
² According to size-exclusion chromatography (sum of β-Lg and α-La = 100%, see Figs. 1 and 2).
³ According to SDS-PAGE (sum of β-Lg and α-La=100%, see Figs. 3 and 4).
relative comparison. They found that the GMP content in WPC corresponds to an amount of 750% sweet whey powder, while that in WPI is comparable with 1.5% sweet whey powder. This potentially marked difference in GMP levels decreases the level of β-lactoglobulin in WPC. It is likely that this reduces the gelation properties of WPC in comparison with WPI. The non-protein-nitrogen (NPN) content of the whey protein products is also different (Tab. III). The present studies show that WPI contains hardly any NPN, while WPC shows an average content of 0.72%. Morr and Foe-geding [27] also found that the NPN content is higher in WPC than in WPI. The NPN fraction, which migrates into whey during cheese-making, consists – among other things – of amino acids and peptides [23]. Haque [19] reported that hydrophobic peptides in milk or whey, which will be concentrated by ultrafiltration, may mask hydrophobic areas of proteins. Brandenburger et al. [3] hypothesized that variations in WPC and WPI functionality might be due, in part, to differences in their low-molecular-weight components, i.e. mainly peptides, lactose and minerals. Further, the content of proteose peptones (PP) in WPC is also distinctly higher than in WPI [15]. It appears that the PP fraction contains casein and fat globule membrane-derived peptides as well as a number of minor proteins which are indigenous to milk. Especially PP3 has been referred to as the hydrophobic fraction of PP [18]. So, it cannot be excluded that hydrophobic areas of heat-induced, partly unfolded whey proteins in WPC are covered by hydrophobic amino acids, hydrophobic or amphipathic peptides or minor proteins as part of the NPN or PP fraction, making the formation of a regular gel more difficult.

Furthermore, the protein composition of the products is different. While the relation of β-lactoglobulin to α-lactalbumin – analyzed by size-exclusion chromatography (Figs. 1 and 2, Tab. III) and SDS-PAGE (Figs. 3 and 4, Tab. III) – is less than two-to-one with the concentrate, it is greater than three-to-one with the isolate. Performing the non-denaturing electrophoresis suggests that the protein isolate contains greater amounts of polymers than the protein concentrate (Fig. 4, Tab. IV). The results of the size-exclusion chromatography
Gelation properties of WPC and WPI (Figs. 1 and 2), however, reveal that – under the conditions applied (see 2.2.4) – WPC contains polymers, while WPI does not. Wang and Lucey [40] demonstrated by size-exclusion chromatography studies that the polymer peak of the WPC chromatogram contains mainly small lipid globules or phospholipids. The detection of polymers in non-denaturing electrophoresis, on the other hand, may be the result of self-association of β-lactoglobulin under non-reducing conditions [35]. In addition, the degree of denaturation of proteins is important regarding their ability to gel, because only non-denatured whey proteins can form strong gels. From Table III it can be seen that the degree of denaturation of WPC is twice as high as that of WPI.

Furthermore, the influence of pH on the gelation properties of WPC and WPI was studied. Table I reveals the gel strength of the whey products, while Figures 5 and 6 show pictures of gels prepared with WPC or WPI in relation to varying pH values.

Table IV. Protein composition of whey protein concentrate and isolate according to SDS- and non-denaturing PAGE (average of 2 runs).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Whey protein concentrate</th>
<th>Whey protein isolate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Lactalbumin</td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Lactoglobulin</td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bovine serum albumen</td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymers (1–2 × 10^5 g·mol⁻¹)</td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A = SDS-PAGE (sample buffer contains 0.6 g SDS and 20 mg DTT per 20 mL water).
B = Non-denaturing PAGE (sample buffer contains 4 ml Tris/HCl (0.5 mol·L⁻¹, pH 6.8) and 1.6 mL mercaptoethanol per 16 mL water).

(Figs. 1 and 2), however, reveal that – under the conditions applied (see 2.2.4) – WPC contains polymers, while WPI does not. Wang and Lucey [40] demonstrated by size-exclusion chromatography studies that the polymer peak of the WPC chromatogram contains mainly small lipid globules or phospholipids. The detection of polymers in non-denaturing electrophoresis, on the other hand, may be the result of self-association of β-lactoglobulin under non-reducing conditions [35]. In addition, the degree of denaturation of proteins is important regarding their ability to gel, because only non-denatured whey proteins can form strong gels. From Table III it can be seen that the degree of denaturation of WPC is twice as high as that of WPI.

Furthermore, the influence of pH on the gelation properties of WPC and WPI was studied. Table I reveals the gel strength of the whey products, while Figures 5 and 6 show pictures of gels prepared with WPC or WPI in relation to varying pH values.
It can be seen that the appearance of the gels in relation to the pH is comparable, but those prepared from WPI are more translucent at low and high pH values. This may be due to the presence of fat and phospholipids in WPC.

In principle, whey protein gels at pH values of 7–8 are mainly stabilized via covalent disulfide bridges. A high net charge avoids the formation of large aggregates. A translucent gel is created from regular thin strings of protein aggregates. At pH 6 non-covalent bonds will be linked, in addition to disulfide bridges, that jointly guarantee high gel strength. The creation of non-covalent bonds leads to the formation of larger aggregates, which results in turbid gels. At pH values in the iso-electric area of whey...
proteins (pH 4–5) the gelation properties are negligible. A low number of intermolecular bonds and a small net charge are insufficient for gelation. At pH values of 2–3, the net charge of the proteins is positive, and the sulphhydryl groups demonstrate a high stability. This means that covalent disulfide bridges cannot be formed and the gelation occurs mainly via hydrophobic bonds. A high net charge leads to translucent gels from regular thin strings of protein aggregates [10, 14, 34, 36]. Table I reflects that WPC achieves the highest gel strength at pH 8, while WPI does this at pH 6. Comparable results were found during repeated trials with several batches of the whey protein products. Tang et al. [37] also found that WPI gels exhibited maximum gel stiffness at about pH 5.5. Burgess and Kelly [5] found that the gel strength of WPI decreases
with increasing pH from 7 to 9. Renard and Lefebvre [32] demonstrated that the critical protein concentration for gelation of β-lactoglobulin is lowest at pH 5–6. It is suggested from these results that there may be an interrelationship with the concentration of β-lactoglobulin in the whey protein products. However, some papers describe a steady rise in the gelation properties of whey proteins with increasing pH [12, 24, 27]. This cannot be confirmed by the studies on hand. Differences in functionality between WPC and WPI can be expected because of the differences in composition and the production processes performed. In addition, the treatment with acids and caustic solutions during the ion-exchange chromatographic process in the manufacture of the protein isolate may also have an influence on the tertiary structure of the proteins, and thus on the resulting functional properties.

The gelation properties of whey proteins are also dependent on the ionic environment, especially from the relation of monovalent and divalent cations [36]. Table II revealed that the relation of Ca/Na is about two-to-one with the concentrate and around one-to-four with the isolate. The studies of Veith and Reynolds [39] have shown that high-strength gels from WPC can be produced by acidic processing to remove divalent cations, and subsequent neutralization with sodium hydroxide. The present investigations show that an increase in the gel strength due to a higher salt content is only recognizable with WPI. A considerable increase in the gel firmness of WPI by addition of sodium chloride was also determined by Caussin et al. [6]. It is known that addition of NaCl or CaCl₂ to dialyzed solutions of WPC or WPI results in an increase in gel strength until maximum values are reached, and then the gel strength decreases at higher salt concentration. However, there is some disagreement on the optimum concentration of salts required to achieve maximum gel strength, because this is primarily related to the composition and to the effects of the processing history of the products [12, 36]. An increase in the ionic strength (Tab. I) by addition of sodium chloride leads to a reduced repulsion of the unfolded protein molecules, and thus increases both the aggregation capacity and the intramolecular repulsion, which contributes to an additional stabilization of the three-dimensional network [9, 10, 14]. However, the high ionic strength in general and the high calcium content in particular of WPC may be preventing the formation of strong gels in the system used. Calcium was shown to increase the aggregation rate, but above a certain optimum concentration, coagulation occurred as a result of excessive protein-protein interactions [36, 37].

For the characterization of rheological properties, whey protein gels were produced in the measuring device of the rheometer, applying the same time-temperature conditions of heating as with the penetration measurements.

Figure 7 reveals that the gels made from WPI are significantly stronger than those made from WPC. This result is in agreement with the penetration measurements. The storage modulus (G’) is eight- to tenfold higher, the loss modulus (G”) about five- to sevenfold. This result is in contrast to the studies of Tang et al. [37] and Puyol et al. [30], who found higher G’-values for commercial WPC than for WPI samples. The loss angle of WPI is lower than that of WPC. This makes it clear that gels with WPI are more elastic than WPC gels. The storage modulus of WPI and the storage and loss moduli of WPC increased with increasing frequency, while the loss modulus of WPI revealed no clear dependence on the frequency, resulting in a stronger decrease in the loss angle of WPI with increasing frequency.

4. CONCLUSION

It can be concluded from the studies on hand that the gelation properties of WPI are superior to those of WPC. It could be shown that the strength of heat-induced gels prepared with WPI is higher than with WPC. Furthermore, WPI gels are more elastic than gels of WPC. The superior gelation properties of WPI are mainly due to the different composition of the main components; especially to the higher content of β-lactoglobulin. However, the low content of
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glycomacropeptide, non-protein-nitrogen and proteose peptone in WPI may also be important for the formation of strong gels. WPI seems more sensitive to an increase in the ionic strength, resulting in stronger gels than with WPC. The highly different appearance and consistency of WPI gels in relation to the pH offers multiple options for the application of WPI in food processing.
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Figure 7. Rheological properties of gels prepared from WPC and WPI.


