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**Abstract** — The relation between the useful material content (protein+fat content) of milk and cheese yield was analyzed on 189 manufactures of pressed uncooked cheeses. These manufactures were realized under controlled conditions in the same experimental cheese dairy, within the framework of eight different experiments, from milks of high hygienic quality (cows free of clinical mastitis) partially skimmed so as to have a fat/protein ratio of 1.15. The fat+protein content of manufactured milks varied from 55 to 85 g kg\textsuperscript{-1}. The relation between fat+protein content and cheese yield was linear for this wide range of variation. Fat+protein content accounted for 77\% of fresh yield and 87\% of dry matter yield variability. Once the effect of fat and protein contents have been taken into account, other milk parameters (pH, \textit{k}-casein variants) may have a significant effect on cheese yield, but in a marginal way.

**milk / fat and protein contents / cheese yield**

**Résumé** — Effet de la teneur en matière utile du lait sur le rendement fromager. La relation entre la teneur en matière utile (taux protéique + taux butyreux) du lait et le rendement fromager a été analysée sur 189 fabrications de fromages à pâte pressée non-cuite. Ces fabrications ont été réalisées en conditions contrôlées dans une même fromagerie expérimentale dans le cadre de 8 essais différents, à partir de laits de haute qualité hygiénique (issus de vaches indemnes de mammites cliniques) partiellement écrémés de manière à avoir un rapport TB/TP de 1.15. La teneur en matière utile des laits mis en fabrication a varié de 55 à 85 g kg\textsuperscript{-1}. Sur cette large plage de variation, la relation entre la teneur en matière utile et le rendement fromager a été linéaire. La teneur en matière utile du lait explique à elle seule 77 \% du rendement frais et 87 \% du rendement en matière sèche. Une fois pris en compte la teneur en matière utile du lait, d’autres caractéristiques du lait (pH, variants de la caséine kappa) peuvent modifier le rendement fromager, mais de manière marginale.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Cheesemaking is a process concentrating milk components, in particular fat and protein contents which are determinant factors of cheese yield [2], influencing the efficiency and profitability of cheesemaking [10]. Many authors have been interested in the predictive yield [3, 9, 12, 21, 23]. Cheesemakers have in recent years experienced cheese yield stagnation despite increasing protein contents, which have led them to question the cheesemaking value of milk proteins. Cheese yield is influenced by many factors including milk composition, milk preteatments, coagulant type, vat design, and cutting program [2, 11, 16, 18, 32]. Caseins are concentrated in the curd during milk coagulation; their proportion in relation to total proteins can perceptibly fluctuate under the effect of genetic or physiological factors but apparently not proportionally to the level of protein content [7]. Other characteristics of milk can, however, facilitate protein solubilisation (plasmin proteolytic action), alter the rennet forming process (cell count, pH, mineral content, urea content) or affect curd [33, 34], and so more or less influence cheese yield. Finally, milk preservation parameters (time, temperature) or technological failure can result in fat and protein losses during the cheesemaking process and therefore explain the stagnation in cheese yield.

The aim of this study was to analyze the relationship between the chemical composition of milk and the actual cheese yield according to a wide-ranging variation of milk fat and protein contents. In order to do this, animal and milk characteristics susceptible of affecting cheese yield were recorded from different controlled-condition cheesemaking experiments.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The data used in this study resulted from eight experiments on the effect of breeding/husbandry factors (genetic type and/or feed nature in particular) on the characteristics of ripened cheeses [6, 8, 28, 29, 30, unpublished results]. The cows, under experimental management conditions in these different experiments, differed in breed (Holstein, Montbéliarde, Tarentaise), lactation stage and feeding. Although all milks were partly skimmed (see next paragraph), the fat and protein content of milk varied greatly (Tab. I). In addition, the milks used for cheesemaking in some experiments contained different proportions of the B-variant of k-casein, which is known to strongly influence milk clotting. The cows whose milk was used were all free of clinical mastitis at the time of the cheesemakings.

2.1. Pilot plant

In the eight experiments, the milk of the cows were transformed into Saint-Nectaire type cheese in an experimental cheese factory equipped with two 40-litre maximum capacity vats, making two cheeses (1.8 kg each) per vat. The cheeses were produced from raw milk, partly skimmed so as to obtain a fat content/protein content ratio close to 1.15, under controlled cheesemaking conditions. The milks used were collected at evening (seven experiments) or morning (one experiment) milkings. The evening milks were refrigerated and maintained at 4 °C until processing. The milks were first warmed up to 32 °C in the vats for about 15 minutes, then they were partly skimmed if necessary. The evening milks were enriched with CaCl₂ (0.15 g .kg⁻¹) to make up for the reduction in colloidal calcium phosphate on chilling. All milks were inoculated (inoculation rate fluctuated from 0.9 to 1.0% according to the experiment) with a lyophilized mesophilic starter culture (Flora Danica Direct, Sochal, Saint-Étienne-de-Chomeil, France) reconstituted in sterile skimmed milk (100 g .L⁻¹) then renneted at 32 °C with a 520 mg active chymosin rennet (Gand-gassiot, Granday
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Table I. Characteristics of the milks used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fat (g·kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>44.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g·kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>40.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat + protein (g·kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>84.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea (g·kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (g·kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatic cell (1000·mL⁻¹)</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>6.52</td>
<td>6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk dry matter (%)</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whey dry matter (g·kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>6.79</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>7.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry matter of molded curd (%)</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>52.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry matter of ripened cheese (%)</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>60.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Genetic variants of lactoprotein (% of B-allele with regards to all the existing alleles):

- αS₁-casein | 189 | 91.7 | 8.4 | 67 | 100 |
- β-casein    | 189 | 7.2  | 10.2 | 0 | 42  |
- κ-casein    | 189 | 33.7 | 18.3 | 0 | 81  |
- β-lactoglobulin | 189 | 53.6 | 10.8 | 36 | 79  |

Cheese yield¹

- Yf (kg curd·(100 kg milk)⁻¹) | 189 | 13.3 | 0.74 | 11.2 | 16.3 |
- Ydm (kg DM curd·(100 kg milk)⁻¹) | 189 | 6.26 | 0.46 | 5.01 | 7.91 |
- CRdm (%) | 189 | 51.8 | 2.22 | 45.7 | 60.5 |

¹ Formula calculated with partly skimmed milk are defined in the text.

Laboratory, Beaune, France) at a rate of 35.3 g per 100 kg of milk. Clotting time was assessed visually. Twenty-five minutes after renneting, the curd was mechanically cut for 5 min, then stirred for 40 min after extracting a third of the whey, which was replaced by the same volume of water. It was then left to drain in a pressing tray until it reached a pH close to 6.20. Two cheeses per vat were then formed in cloth molds and pressed until a pH of 5.30–5.40 was reached. The cheeses were then removed from the hoops and brined (200 g·L⁻¹ NaCl) for 7 h at 10 °C. They were then placed in a ripening cellar for 8 weeks at 10–12 °C with a relative humidity of 97–98%.

A total of 189 cheeses were produced. The cheesemaking technology in all the experiments was identical and controlled for the best in order to evaluate the effect of the production factors studied (breed, lactation stage, feed).

2.2. Measurements

Protein and fat contents (infra red method, Combifoss 5400, Foss Electric, Hillerod, Denmark), calcium content [15], urea content (dimethylamino-4-benzaldehyde (DMAB) method) as well as pH, somatic cell count (Somacount, Bentley, Chaska, Minnesota 55318, USA), milk total bacterial count [1] and butyric acid bacteria spore count [4] were measured on a representative sample taken from each vat of cheese milk.
At each cheesemaking, the weight of freshly molded curd was measured as well as the dry matter of milk, whey, molded curd and ripened cheese by desiccation at 103 °C for 24 h [14]. The fat and protein losses were only assessed by the dry matter of whey. The frequencies of the B-allele of β-lactoglobulin and αs1-, β- and κ-casein were computed for each cheese milk sample according to the individual genetic characteristics of the cows whose milk was used in any given batch. The genetic variants of lactoproteins were identified by isoelectric focussing of a milk sample [27].

Cheese yields were computed as follows: fresh yield (Yf) was computed by dividing the weight of fresh curd by the quantity of milk used for cheesemaking. Dry matter yield (Ydm) was computed by multiplying fresh yield by the dry matter (DM) value of the molded curd. The coefficient of dry matter recovery (CRdm) was computed by applying the following formula:

\[
CRdm = \frac{\text{(curd quantity} \times \text{dry matter at molding)}}{\text{milk quantity} \times \text{milk dry matter}} \times 100.
\]

2.3. Statistical analysis

The data were processed by analysis of variance [26]. The variables introduced in this model were the rank number of the experiment, milk usable matter content (fat content + protein content), urea and calcium contents, milk pH, somatic cell count (divided into three groups: < 100000 cells·mL−1, 100000–200000 cells·mL−1 and > 200000 cells·mL−1) as well as the frequency of the B-allele of κ-casein. The allele frequency of other lactoproteins, such as the B allele of β-lactoglobulin in particular, was not considered because of the very restricted variability of the latter frequency from one cheesemaking process to another.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All the milks analyzed in this study had somatic cell counts below 600000 cells·mL−1 (and below 200000 cells·mL−1 in 71% of the cases), a total bacterial flora below 50000 UFC·mL−1 and butyric acid bacteria spore counts below 500 spores·L−1.

The different cheese yield expressions were as follows (FPC= fat content + protein content):

\[
\begin{align*}
Yf &= 4.243 + 0.135 \text{FPC} \pm 0.350 \\
R^2 &= 0.77 \quad n = 189 \\
Ydm &= 0.191 + 0.091 \text{FPC} \pm 0.165 \\
R^2 &= 0.87 \quad n = 189 \\
\text{CRdm} &= 27.98 + 0.356 \text{FPC} \pm 1.440 \\
R^2 &= 0.59 \quad n = 189.
\end{align*}
\]

Fresh yield was significantly correlated to the fat and protein contents (equation (1)). In the model, this variable (FPC) alone explained 77% of fresh yield variability. The correlation with DM yield was even stronger (equation (2), 87% of the explained variability). With such a great variation of milk fat and protein contents (55–85 g·kg−1), the relation between FPC and DM yield was linear (Fig. 1), as already observed by Colin et al. [5], in soft paste cheesemaking experiments. The dry matter recovery coefficient (CRdm) was higher when milk fat and protein content were higher (equation (3), +3.6 points per 100 for a 10 g·kg−1 increase in fat and protein contents). Indeed, the fat and protein losses in whey (as assessed from...
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been classically accepted that curd syneresis is quicker at low pH [34]. This was probably linked to the cheesemaking process chosen, performed with a standard quantity of rennet and during which the curd cutting was carried out at a set interval following renneting (25 min) regardless of the curd state. Under these conditions, with acid milks, it is likely that curd cutting was done too late, with the coarser grain retaining more water. Consequently, once the effect of fat and protein content was taken into account, only the proportion of the B variant of k-casein had a positive and significant (P < 0.05) but moderate effect on DM yield: a 10% increase in the k-casein B allele frequency induced a 0.01 kg .(100 kg milk) –1 increase in dry matter.

After considering the fat and protein contents, milk calcium content never had a significant effect on cheese yield, nor did milk urea content. Calcium plays a major role in improving cheese yield [17, 22]. However, in our study the variability of that factor was relatively low (8% variation coefficient) and the best part of that variability (58%) was linked to the trial effect and to the protein content (calcium concentration increased by approximately 0.02 g.kg –1 for each additional point of protein content, P < 0.001).

The milk somatic cell count had no significant effect on the various expressions of yield, on the contrary to what was reported by Lucey and Kelly [18] whenever somatic cell counts exceeded 100 000.mL –1.

The dry matter losses between molding and the end of ripening were not linked to the milk fat and protein contents, so that the dry extract of ripened cheese was higher when the milk was richer in fat and protein (+0.16 points per 100 for each additional gram of fat and protein contents, P < 0.001).

4. CONCLUSION

This study confirmed that milk fat and protein contents predominantly influence cheese yield. It showed that during pressed,
uncooked paste cheesemaking, using high hygienic quality milks (cows free from clinical mastitis) swiftly processed under well mastered manufacturing techniques, that there is a linear relationship between FPC and cheese yield, even when fat and protein contents are very high because of the lactation stage or animal genetic characteristics. Once the effects of fat and protein contents have been taken into consideration, other milk parameters (pH, k-casein variant) may have a significant but quantitatively marginal effect on cheese yield.
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