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Summary - Mediterranean grazing lands provide a complex fodder resource. Determination of ruminants food intake is laborious because of many interactions between plants, animals and environmental factors. The various techniques used for estimating intake are reviewed, they all have a relatively low precision. Even for the estimate based on the daily faecal output the main difficulty is diet sampling and diet digestibility. The interest of indicators is discussed. Existing data about lignin are not conclusive whereas many authors show promising results with chromic oxide. Alkanes and nitrogen faecal index still need a cautious use. The ingestive behaviour can also give intake estimates provided that accurate bite size figures can be obtained, otherwise measurements of the intensity of muscle current during swallowing can be attempted.

Introduction

In the past decades considerable knowledge has been accumulated in the area of defining and understanding the mechanisms which monitor and control feed intake. The desire to further understand these mechanisms is stimulated by the expected increased in animal production, since production is directly related to ingestion beyond maintenance requirements. Regardless of the complexity of mechanisms monitoring and controlling voluntary intake, it is well established that all decisions when to terminate grazing are taken by the grazer depending on forage characteristics, while other external factors play a secondary role.

On the other hand, intake measurement techniques for grazing animals seem to be another barrier in making reliable estimates of the food ingested. Such measurements are vital for evaluating the energy balance of the animals and consequently their usable output as well as for evaluating the productiveness of animal genotypes. Despite the effort devoted to improving accuracy and precision of intake procedures, estimates vary as much as 15 to 25 % from the real intake value.

The purpose of this paper is to examine the factors affecting intake at pasture and methods used to determine consumption of grazing animals with emphasis on the Mediterranean zone (especially in the case of complex vegetations).

Factors affecting intake at pasture

In pastures where forage is of high quality (Campling, 1964) or when supply is not limited (Nastis, personal communication) intake is proportional to both the time spent grazing and the efficiency of grazing activity. In such condition the gut filling effect does not seem to interfere with the level of intake. Efficiency of consumption is then directly linked with biting rate and bite size. This has been documented by Forbes and Coleman (1987) and Ungar et al (1991) for grasslands and by Bourbouze (1980), and Meuret et al (1985) for rangelands with complex vegetation. However, in conventional grazing trials where forage on offer is of moderate to low digestibility (below 65 %) (Conrad et al, 1964; Van Soest, 1965) as is usually the case in Mediterranean type rangelands, intake is expected to be more closely related to digestibility. Corbett et al (1963) working with cattle reported that intake declines as digestibility decreases with vegetation maturation.

Yiakoulaki and Nastis (1995) have demonstrated that intake for Mediterranean pastures with complex vegetation structure is highly correlated with most of the diet quality characteristics for each period separately. However, when data from a variety of pastures and from all seasons were tested together, nor digestibility neither NDF or lignin content were correlated with intake. It is well established that intake is favoured by the increased proportions
of leaves from forbs and shrubs since their digestion rate (Short et al, 1974) and passage rates (Ingalls et al, 1966; Milchunas et al, 1978) are much higher compared to leaves and stems of grasses. This is partially attributed to the lower NDF content at comparable stages of maturity (Huston et al, 1981). This indicates that the interaction between forage classes and maturity stages is the main interfering parameter. Therefore, feeding strategy and diet composition seems to have a definite impact on intake. Diet composition can be determined either by direct observation (Meuret et al, 1985) by rumen content analysis (Cordesse, 1994) or by faeces compositions studies (Holechek et al, 1982). Small ruminants and especially goats with their high selection ability have been proven to perform better in relatively hostile pasture environments with complex vegetation structure.

**Methods for estimating intake of grazing animals**

**Sward measurement techniques**

Many variations of this technique have been tested but none seems to provide acceptable accuracy. Even for seeded pastures (Nastis, personal communication), herbage weight variation is very high. This variation is directly transferred to intake estimates for which two such herbage estimates are needed. Intake estimates by Walters and Evans (1979) and Meijs et al (1982) as reported by Leaver (1985) (Table I) vary from minimum estimates to the maximum estimates of intake by 71%. For Mediterranean natural pastures this variation is expected to be much higher and thus intake estimates based on pasture measurements are highly variable.

**Animal weight before and after grazing**

This method involves weighing animals before and after grazing, while faeces and urine are collected. For estimation of water evaporation loss, harnessed but non grazing animals are weighed. The weak points are first that water content of forage samples deviate greatly when intense selection is practised, as is the case for Mediterranean forages and secondly the method can be applied only for very short duration grazing.

**Total collection of faeces and digestibility estimates**

The daily DM intake (DMI) can theoretically be calculated by means of the following relationship, using an estimate of the daily DM faecal output:

\[
DMI = \frac{\text{faecal DM}}{(1-\text{DM digestibility})}
\]

This is the most reliable procedure for estimating intake, although all parameters involved in its estimation introduce their degree of variation, yielding an overall bias as high as 25% of the actual value. Not all animals sustain carrying a faecal bag. The aberrant ones have to be excluded, otherwise results would be inconclusive. Behaviour of all animals would be modified when carrying faecal bags for extended periods. In order to reduce the load of the bag for female animals urine has to be diverted with flaps. Variation of faeces production (Table II) for harnessed and free animals seems to be insignificant (Fedele et al, 1991; when emptying faeces twice a day with minimum disturbance. However, when animals are involved in the collection process for extended periods, fatigue would have a definite impact on reduced intake. We feel that a five day collection period does not affect animal behaviour to a great extent. Beyond this time, stress problems may occur. The highest

---

**Table I.** Intake estimates based on pasture measurements (From Walters and Evans, 1979, and Meijs et al, 1982).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurements</th>
<th>Walters and Evans, 1979</th>
<th>Meijs, 1981</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Herbage (start)</td>
<td>2687±140</td>
<td>2596±104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbage (end)</td>
<td>1263±99</td>
<td>1366±100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake g/day⁻¹/kg Bw⁻⁷⁵(max.)</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>132.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake g/day⁻¹/kg BW⁻⁷⁵(min)</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>95.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference (%)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
variation, however, is introduced from the approximation of diet composition and digestibility. Diet sampling represents a difficult step in this method. Various techniques have been tried such as oesophageal bolus or diet simulations from either rumen particles observations (Cordesse, 1994) or biting observations on grazing animals (Meuret et al., 1985). None of them are perfect; they all introduce bias. The digestibility of the collected samples is then evaluated in vitro (Aufrère and Guérin, this issue) using Tilley and Terry method (1963), fongic cellulase (Jarrige, 1970; Aufrère, 1982) or gas test (Menke and Steingass, 1988). Numerous techniques and variations have been developed to minimise this bias (sample collection and digestibility determination) while reducing labour involved. Even the most reliable techniques for conventional forage determination are unreliable for many Mediterranean shrubby species (Nastis and Meuret, 1987).

Indicators and digestibility estimates
In order to facilitate the work of total faecal collection and digestibility determination, which are also not very pleasant, experimentation has devoted tremendous effort to using indicators, so as to secure accuracy but reduce the labour involved. Perfect internal or external indicators might be indigestible, non toxic, totally recoverable in faeces and easy to determine.

- Internal indicators:
  Internal indicators (lignin, chromogen etc.) are partially digested with very high variations between species and phenological stages (Fahey and Jung, 1983). The past few years there are reports allowing some room for new hope. Existing data, however, are not conclusive. Most probably some lignin fragments such as galic acid might be less digested than the lignin fraction itself which is a conglomerate of compounds. We have indications that complex lignin residues from any forage sample, when digested for extended periods, reach a constant weight. Thus it can be used as a reliable internal indicator. Leaver (1985) has information from Penning that cellulose in forage samples, when digested for extended periods reaches a constant value after about 10 days. All these ideas seem to be promising but more experimentation is needed before reaching an acceptable solution.

- External indicators:
  Most of the experimental work for determining faecal output has been devoted to testing external indicators. Chromic oxide, rare earth elements and various radioactive isotopes have been used occasionally. The main problems for obtaining reliable estimate are diurnal variations and the percentage of recovery. The most popular external indicator is Cr₂O₃, which has been used in various forms (dust, solution, impregnated paper, pellets, bound with chelating agents), but its excretion pattern as well as its recovery are rarely as expected. Estimates of faeces output from the literature range between ± 6% (Leaver, 1985) and ± 30%.

Attempts have been made (Yiakoulaki, personal communication) to improve the excretion pattern of Cr₂O₃ by pelleting it with microcrystalline cellulose and Mg stearate (Table III). The pellets yielding more homogenous release, when tested in an in vitro trial, were the ones containing 68% Cr₂O₃ 30% microcrystalline cellulose and 2% Mg stearate produced under a 75 bar pressure. We also investigated a new simpler and more accurate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>(g/kg BW.75)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grazing</td>
<td>18.31±5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bags</td>
<td>17.02±4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>«Corridor»</td>
<td>16.92±3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>«Cage»</td>
<td>31.2±2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Bags</td>
<td>28.0±3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with Bags</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
method of determining Cr$_2$O$_3$ (Ashing, converting Cr to CrO$_4^{2-}$ by using Na$_2$O$_2$, and determining it by atomic absorption).

These pellets were tested in a digestion trial, collecting faecal samples every hour. The release of Cr$_2$O$_3$ seems to be almost linear and the precision very high. Then two field experiments were conducted dosing animals with the same pellets as above. The estimates of faeces production (Table IV) deviated by -2.3% in May and by +5.3% in November. Estimates of intake determined with the two methods presented in table V indicate that this procedure can be considered very reliable.

Dosing animals daily or twice a day may be applicable for housed animals or those grazing in small enclosures. Such a practice, which requires intensive labor, is not convenient when animals graze in extensive rangelands. Parker et al (1990) and Ellis et al (1981) have tested the «captec» one dose Cr$_2$O$_3$ capsules. These are Cr$_2$O$_3$ pellets housed in a plastic cover for homogenous release of the indicator over 30 to 100 days, depending on the model. The results obtained up to the present are not as expected. Stabilization of concentration within the rumen (Graham 1988, Parker et al, 1989; Lee et al, 1990; Luginbuhl et al, 1994) varies from 3-8 days depending on animal species. Thereafter, variation in feeding regimes (Parker et al, 1990; Lee et al, 1990) is insignificant (4-8%), while for rapidly ingested feeds (Hatfield et al, 1991) an underestimation of faecal production was observed. No effect of live weight between 27 to 52 kg on the reluse rate was observed (Luginbuhl et al, 1994). These characteristics allow their use in flocks grazing in heterogeneous pastures. Although labour involved with the use of «captec» capsules is drastically reduced and large numbers of animals can be handled at once, confidence has not been established yet (Hatfield et al, 1991) for its precision compared to the twice daily dosing method. For increasing accuracy when using this technique it is recommended to use faecal bags, to on a small number of animals.

We also tested erbium (Er) as one dose

Table III. Pellets of Cr$_2$O$_3$ microcrystalline cellulose and Mg stearate produced under 50, 75 and 100 Atm pressure for reduced variation in release of Cr$_2$O$_3$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cr$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>Microcrystalline cellulose</th>
<th>Mg Stearate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table IV. Faeces production (g/day) from goats grazing in shrubland by total collection and by the Cr$_2$O$_3$ indicator procedure (Yiakoulaki, personal communication).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal</th>
<th>Total collection</th>
<th>Cr$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>Difference (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>+5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV%</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV%</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table V. Intake (g DM/kg BW$^{.75}$) of goats grazing in evergreen shrubland (Yiakoulaki, personal communication).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal</th>
<th>Total collection</th>
<th>Cr$_2$O$_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>50.8±2.6</td>
<td>53.6±2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>46.9±2.2</td>
<td>46.7±1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
indicator. Its concentration \( C_t \) at time \( t \) is given by the equation: \( C_t = C_0 e^{-kt} \) (where \( e \) = base of natural logarithm, \( i \) = rate of intake, \( v \) = rumen volume). Although \( E_r \) quantification by flame spectroscopy is convenient and very accurate, the results obtained not accurately approximate to actual intake. The limitation might be overcome by using a two compartment model, one for the ascending part of the curve and one for the descending.

Internal and external indicators:
Alkanes, saturated carbohydrates, are promising indicators for simple diets (Dove and Mayes, 1991) in spite of their partial digestibility and the difficulties both for their determination (gas chromatography) and the diet sampling (variable concentrations depending on the part of the plant and the hour of the day).

Natural alkanes are odd chained and not the synthetic ones. Added to the diet, the last act as external indicators. Their digestibility, though low, can be measured thus giving an estimate of internal alkanes digestibility. A compensatory effect is obtained concerning the faecal recovery errors. The odd natural alkanes gives us the vegetal diet digestibility, the faecal output being obtained by the faecal determination of the synthetic external alkanes. Alkanes are of current use in the grazing studies on simple vegetations where the number of species is low (Newman et al, 1995). They are not yet used in heterogenous rangelands with more than ten vegetal species. However attempts could be made in some rangeland situations where the major part of the diet contains only a low number of species (3 to 5) (Dove and Moore, 1995).

Water consumption
It has been reported that consumption is very closely related with the amount of water consumed (Hyder, 1970). However, discrepancies occur due to variable water content of forage as well as weather condition variations. Thus this method can be used only for rough approximations.

Nitrogen faecal index
This method allows accurate calculation of the diet digestibility in the case if low tannin fodder resources. From the equation established by Demarquilly et al (1981)

\[
\begin{align*}
D & \quad = \quad 0.931 \quad CP \\
OM & \quad = \quad 39.8 \pm 4.7 \\
\end{align*}
\]

\( r = 0.994 \)

\( N = 1208 \)

OM digestibility can be calculated:

\[
\frac{OM \ digestibility}{CP_i} = \frac{1 - 0.069}{CP_i} - 39.8
\]

\( i \) = ingested

\( f \) = excreted

\( CP_i \) is evaluated from the simulated diet samples. Its precision depends on the quality of the simulation but the influence of this parameter on OM digestibility is low because of its low coefficients (0.069).

This method is tested occasionally, yielding results with acceptable accuracy, only when used under a given set of pasture conditions. Pasture composition variations greatly affect its prediction power.

Ingestive behaviour
Intake can be estimated by using behavioural measurements which imply the least interference with the pasture and the animal. \( I = Grazing\ Time \ (GT) \times Biting\ Rate \ (BR) \times Bite\ Size \ (BS) \). The measurement of GT can be done very precisely and accurately with vibrationers or electronic devices. Continuous recording or that done at 10 min intervals (Jamieson, 1975) does not affect precision (Table VI). Biting rate can be also determined by the use of modern electronic devices or even by ocular measurements, at some time intervals, with acceptable accuracy. In order to

Table VI. Recording interval for grazing time (Jamieson, 1975).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interval</th>
<th>Grazing time (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>7.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 min interval</td>
<td>7.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 min interval</td>
<td>7.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.E.</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
maintain constant intake (Nastis, 1979) animal can vary both grazing time and biting rate according to resources available (Table VII). Bite size for browsers has been proposed by Meuret (1989), Dumont et al (1995) to be measured by defining 6 to 8 different bite sizes. This procedure would better approximate bite size and thus estimates of intake can be improved. We feel that by measuring the electric currents in the muscles caused by bolus ingestion, the procedure may be simplified and the accuracy may be improved.

Conclusions

1. Food consumption is controlled by many plant, animal and environmental factors as well as their interaction. In the Mediterranean zone, where forage quality is generally low, intake is predominantly controlled by gut fill while the effect of the other intake controlling factors is occasional.
2. Determination of intake for grazing animals is laborious, usually unpleasant and therefore time consuming and expensive.
3. Techniques available for studying intake of grazing animals are characterised by relatively low accuracy and precision. Errors in partial components measured contribute to higher overall variation. In order to increase precision beyond the greater interest and attention, efficient experimental designs have to be used to reduce the variation between parameters.
4. Numerous attempts to estimate intake have been made, with a variety of procedures. Their approximation, being on the average + 25% of the real, is far from any acceptable approximation.
5. Sward intake estimates methods are preferred only when dealing with uniform pastures for short term grazing duration.
6. Animal based intake determination methods are preferred when herbage intake and digestibility are relatively constant and measurements are done after adjusting animals to pasture.
7. More research is needed to develop new, precise and less expensive techniques.
8. Prolonged digestion resulting in constant lignin weight or determination of partial indigestible fragments of lignin might be better internal indicators.
9. The development of devices for more uniform indicator release and utilisation of more easily quantifiable external indicators are needed.
10. Testing a one dose indicator with a two
compartment model might be promising.

11. Modern electronic techniques make it possible to monitor details of behaviour. Hopefully, the bolus size problem will be overcome for improving accuracy of intake estimates by measuring intensity of muscle current during swallowing.

12. Intake measurements should be made only when they are really needed and can be performed with an acceptable degree of accuracy.
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