Diet quality and diet selection of steers grazing in a rotational system at four levels of forage allowance on a rangeland 1

L Piaggio, Er Prates, C Rinaldi, P Soca

► To cite this version:

L Piaggio, Er Prates, C Rinaldi, P Soca. Diet quality and diet selection of steers grazing in a rotational system at four levels of forage allowance on a rangeland 1. *Annales de zootechnie*, 1995, 44 (Suppl1), pp.119-119. hal-00889283

HAL Id: hal-00889283

<https://hal.science/hal-00889283>

Submitted on 1 Jan 1995

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Diet quality and diet selection of steers grazing in a rotational system at four levels of forage allowance on a rangeland ¹

L Piaggio ², ER Prates ³, C Rinaldi ⁴, P Soca ⁴

¹Part of the Doctoral Thesis of the 1st author, UFRGS, Brasil; ²Facultad de Agronomía, Avda Garzón 780, Montevideo, Uruguay; ³UFRGS, Avda Bento Gonçalves 7712, Porto Alegre, RS, Brasil; ⁴EEMAC, Facultad de Agronomía, km 373, Paysandú, Uruguay

A grazing experiment was conducted on a rangeland (mainly *Stypa* ssp, *Paspalum* ssp) oversowed with *Lotus corniculatus* L. at the Experimental Agronomic Station EEMAC, Uruguay, to study diet quality and to provide an understanding of how the rapid defoliation that occurs under rotational grazing affects diet selection. Four levels of forage allowance were evaluated: 5.0; 7.5; 10.0 and 12.5 kg DM/ 100 kg LW/ day, using 24 yearlings Holstein steers as fixed experimental animals. Forage allowance was adjusted using the Put and Take technique in a rotational grazing system, (7 grazing days and 35 days resting period), using 6 paddocks for each treatment. Diet were collected from all treatments on 10 occasions over 9-month period (winter, spring, summer) using 5 esophagecally fistulated steers. Dietary quality was assessed on the 1st, 4th and 7th grazing day determining crude protein (CP), *in vitro* organic matter digestibility (IVOMD) and neutral detergent fiber (NDF) of extrusa samples in each collection. Biting rate (BR, mean number of bites during 1 min, measured on three times per collection) and bite weight (BW, dry weight of extrusa collected divided by the number of bites taken per collection) were measured concurrently. Diet

selection was assessed by difference of forage available quality and extrusa quality on the 1st grazing day.

Season was the main effect on the pasture quality, and diet quality reflected seasonal variation, with the lowest values during winter (47.91 % IVOMD, 13.65 % CP, 67.22 % NDF) and the greatest values during spring (62.36 % IVOMD, 18.53 % CP, 59.06 % NDF). Diet quality was greater than pasture quality with mean differences of 7.66 (P<0.01), 3.67 (P<0.01) and -2.20 (NS) percent units for IVOMD, CP and NDF, respectively. Selection degree was greater with greater forage allowance (Table 1). There were large changes in diet quality and ingestive behaviour along the grazing period. Diet quality declined significantly from the 1st to the 4th grazing day (Table 2). Ingestion rate (IR = BR x BW) also declined during the grazing period (Table 2).

Results showed that animal selection is a powerful mechanism to improve diet quality until forage availability fall under a critic value. This limit appears to be lower for protein than for metabolizable energy estimated from IVOMD. Nevertheless animal selection is not as an important factor as season in determining diet quality under rangeland conditions.

Table 1 :

Forage allowance (kg DM/100 kg LW/d)	percent unit differences		
	IVOMD	CP	NDF
5.0	5.78	1.70	0.86
7.5	4.72	3.42*	-2.40
10.0	8.59*	4.09**	-1.67
12.5	11.03**	4.99**	-4.97

* P<0.05 ; ** P<0.01

Table 2 :

Grazing day	IVOMD (%)	CP (%)	NDF (%)	BW (mg OM)	BR (n/min)	IR (mg OM/h)
1	56.3 ^a	18.03 ^a	60.46 ^a	306 ^a	47 ^a	785 ^a
4	53.07 ^b	14.56 ^b	63.29 ^b	168 ^b	43 ^a	395 ^b
7	53.41 ^b	14.28 ^b	67.52 ^c	159 ^b	36 ^b	293 ^b

a, b, c : means with different superscripts are different (P<0.05)