Analysis of the relationships between species of the genus *Prosopis* revealed by the use of molecular markers

Lucía Ramírez, Alicia de La Vega, Nerea Razkin, Virginia Luna, Philip J.C. Harris

► To cite this version:

Lucía Ramírez, Alicia de La Vega, Nerea Razkin, Virginia Luna, Philip J.C. Harris. Analysis of the relationships between species of the genus *Prosopis* revealed by the use of molecular markers. *Agronomie*, 1999, 19 (1), pp.31-43. hal-00885911

HAL Id: hal-00885911

<https://hal.science/hal-00885911>

Submitted on 1 Jan 1999

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Original article

Analysis of the relationships between species of the genus *Prosopis* revealed by the use of molecular markers

Lucía Ramírez^{a *}, Alicia de la Vega^{a,b}, Nerea Razkin^a, Virginia Luna^c, Philip J.C. Harris^d

^a Departamento de Producción Agraria, Universidad Pública de Navarra, 31006 Pamplona, Spain.

^b Laboratorio de Genética, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales; Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Córdoba, Argentina

^c Laboratorio de Fisiología Vegetal, Departamento de Ciencias Naturales, Fac. de Ciencias Exactas, Físico-Químicas y Naturales, Universidad Nacional de Río Cuarto, Río Cuarto, Argentina

^d School of Natural and Environmental Sciences, Coventry University, Priory Street, Coventry, CV1 5FB, UK

(Received 6 December 1998, accepted 25 December 1998)

Abstract – The 44 species of the genus *Prosopis* L. include several which are utilised as multipurpose trees and shrubs within their natural range and as exotics in plantation programmes. However, domestication and exploitation of the genus has been hampered by poor understanding of its taxonomy. Randomly amplified polymorphisms of DNA (RAPD) from cotyledons were used to analyse the taxonomy of 15 *Prosopis* species from Sénégal, India and Argentina. Molecular markers were identified which were present in all species analysed, only in American species, specific to the section *Strombocarpa*, or specific to the section *Algarobia*, except *P. kuntzei*. The different RAPD profiles showed by *P. kuntzei* suggest a low degree of relatedness of this species to the other *Prosopis* analysed. The use of RAPDs markers clearly distinguished the five sections of the genus. The profiles obtained for *P. argentina* in the monospecific section *Monilicarpa* confirm the distinct character of this species. However, the results suggest that regrouping of species within the section *Algarobia* may be required. (© Inra/Elsevier, Paris.)

Prosopis / RAPD / taxonomy

Résumé – Analyse des relations interspécifiques dans le genre *Prosopis* par l'utilisation de marqueurs RAPD. Parmi les 44 espèces du genre *Prosopis* L. plusieurs sont utilisées comme des arbres ou des arbustes à usage multiple, dans leur biotope naturel ou comme plantes exotiques ailleurs. Cependant, la domestication et l'exploitation de ce genre sont freinées par notre faible connaissance de sa taxonomie. Les polymorphismes d'ADN amplifiés au hasard (RAPD) à partir des cotylédons ont été analysés pour étudier les relations taxonomiques entre 15 espèces de *Prosopis* originaires du Sénégal, d'Inde ou d'Argentine. Des marqueurs moléculaires ont été identifiés présents dans toutes les espèces exam-

Communicated by Nicolás Jouve (Madrid, Spain)

* Correspondence and reprints
E-mail: lramirez@upna.es

inées, présents uniquement dans les espèces américaines, spécifiques de la section *Strombocarpa*, ou spécifiques de la section *Algarobia*, *P.kuntzei* excepté. Les profils RAPD de *P.kuntzei* suggèrent un faible degré d'homologie avec les autres *Prosopis* analysés. Les marqueurs RAPD permettent de distinguer clairement les cinq sections du genre. Les profils présentés par *P.argentina* de la section monospécifique *Monilicarpa* confirment le caractère particulier de cette espèce. Cependant, les résultats obtenus suggèrent que des regroupements d'espèces au sein de la section *Algarobia* pourraient s'avérer nécessaires. (© Inra/Elsevier, Paris.)

***Prosopis* / RAPD / taxonomie**

1. INTRODUCTION

The genus *Prosopis* (Linnaeus, emend Burkart) is one of the most primitive genera within the family Leguminosae (Fabaceae), subfamily Mimosoidae. Currently, it is accepted that the genus includes 44 species with tree or shrub phenotypes. Most species are predominantly outcrossing with insect-mediated pollination [36, 37] and are prolific seed producers, with hybridisation and introgression occurring commonly. Burkart [7, 8] divided the genus into five sections based on the marked vegetative differences in armature: section *Anonychium* comprising a solitary unarmed species, section *Prosopis* containing three species which have internodal prickles, section *Monilicarpa* which is mono-specific, section *Strombocarpa* containing nine species which have spiny stipules, and section *Algarobia* containing 30 species bearing cauline, mostly axillary thorns.

The genus *Prosopis* is thought to have originated in tropical Africa at the end of the Mesozoic or the beginning of the Tertiary period. *Prosopis* species are now widespread, mainly in the arid and semi-arid tropics, suggesting that the ancestors of present day species may have migrated both west and the east [7, 8]. It is assumed that the principal centre of origin for this genus in the Americas, where 40 out of 44 species developed, is in Argentina, although a second centre of origin is found in the Texan-Mexican area [7, 8].

Species of the genus *Prosopis* can be found which are adapted to a variety of environmental conditions with many adapted to drought [39] and high temperatures [13]. *Prosopis* species are generally able to grow in poor or degraded soils [26] and

some species exhibit a high degree of salt tolerance [14]. *Prosopis* species have long been utilised in areas where they occur naturally, predominantly in the desert regions of South Asia, and North and South America [16]. *Prosopis* species are multipurpose [16] providing a range of products including timber, firewood, livestock feed, human food and beverages, fibres and tannins. They are also valued for shade, shelter belts, bee forage and as nitrogen-fixing soil improvers. The potential of *Prosopis* for afforestation of arid lands has long been recognised and, in recent decades, there have been several large scale plantation programmes with both indigenous and exotic *Prosopis* species [16].

The domestication and exploitation of the genus *Prosopis* has, however, been hampered by a poor understanding of its taxonomy and by the somewhat haphazard transfer of *Prosopis* species from their natural range to new areas. Thus, confusion exists as to the identity of promising material in situ in South America where it is unclear whether *P. juliflora*, *P. pallida* or hybrids of these offer the superior material [16]. Similarly, with introduced species, the identity at species level is sometimes unclear and the result of poorly documented introductions with no information as to ecotype or provenance [16]. These problems make it necessary to develop techniques to assist taxonomists in the rapid and conclusive identification of *Prosopis* material.

Molecular markers based on DNA polymorphism are easy-scored genetic characters, independent of the environment, that can assist in species identification and classification. There is a plethora of molecular markers based on the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and over the last 10 years a large body of information about them has accumu-

lated [15, 42]. These markers have been used in wild plants to uncover genetic diversity and relatedness in plant populations, to measure the influence of breeding systems on diversity, and in plant taxonomy [4, 9, 10, 17, 20]. With cultivated plants they have been used in cultivar identification, to determine genetic relatedness among cultivars, for paternity testing, in the identification of hybrids and in genome mapping projects [1–3, 19, 21, 25, 29, 35, 41, 44].

In this paper we have used randomly amplified polymorphisms of DNA (RAPD) to analyse the complex taxonomy of the genus *Prosopis*. We have also determined the phylogenetic relationships between species of this genus by comparing the restriction digestion patterns of genomic DNA and by means of DNA hybridisation experiments.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Plant material and culture conditions

The species analysed in this study and their provenances are shown in *table I*. Their morphological char-

acteristics are described by Burkart [7, 8]. About 20 seeds of each of the 15 species were rinsed for 5 min with sterile water containing 4 g L⁻¹ of HOCl, scarified by piercing the testas to allow water inflow, sown on damp filter paper in Petri dishes, and incubated in the dark at 37 °C for 24 h. After incubation, the testas were removed and the seeds were transferred to new Petri dishes containing filter paper moistened with sterile distilled water and germinated for approximately 1 week at 18 °C with 12 h photoperiod and 80 % relative humidity. One week old cotyledons from seedlings were harvested and stored individually at -80 °C until used.

2.2. DNA manipulation

Genomic DNA was obtained from cotyledons according to the protocol described by Lassner et al. [22] with the following modifications: 100 ng of plant material (the average weight of two cotyledons of a single plant) were ground in a microcentrifuge tube containing 300 µL of extraction buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM EDTA, 500 mM NaCl, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol, pH 8.0). After grinding, 700 µL of extraction buffer and 400 µL of chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (24:1 v/v) were added to the sample, mixed gently and incubated for 12 min at 55 °C. After incubation, the two phases were separated by centrifugation (15 min, 14 000 rpm in an eppendorf

Table I. The species of *Prosopis* analysed in this study and their provenances.

Section	Series	Species	Locality	State	Country
I. <i>Prosopis</i>	–	<i>P. cineraria</i>	–	Rajasthan, Haryana	India
II. <i>Anonychium</i>	–	<i>P. africana</i>	–	–	Senegal
III. <i>Strombocarpa</i>	<i>Strombocarpae</i>	<i>P. strombulifera</i>	km 894, km 896	Córdoba	Argentina
<i>Strombocarpa</i>	<i>Strombocarpae</i>	<i>P. torquata</i>	Nene Vergara, San Nicolás	Córdoba	Argentina
<i>Strombocarpa</i>	<i>Cavenicarpae</i>	<i>P. ferox</i>	Quebradade Humahuaca	Jujuy	Argentina
IV. <i>Monilicarpa</i>	–	<i>P. argentina</i>	Tucu-Tucu	Mendoza	Argentina
V. <i>Algarobia</i>	<i>Sericanthae</i>	<i>P. kuntzei</i>	Puente Rio	Santiago Estero	Argentina
<i>Algarobia</i>	<i>Ruscifoliae</i>	<i>P. hassleri</i>	Anillaco	La Rioja	Argentina
<i>Algarobia</i>	<i>Pallidae</i>	<i>P. affinis</i>	Las Garzas	Entre Ríos	Argentina
<i>Algarobia</i>	<i>Chilensis</i>	<i>P. chilensis</i>	Quilino	Córdoba	Argentina
<i>Algarobia</i>	<i>Chilensis</i>	<i>P. nigra</i>	San Marcos	Córdoba	Argentina
<i>Algarobia</i>	<i>Chilensis</i>	<i>P. caldenia</i>	Santa Rosa	La Pampa	Argentina
<i>Algarobia</i>	<i>Chilensis</i>	<i>P. flexuosa</i>	Villa Dolores	Córdoba	Argentina
<i>Algarobia</i>	<i>Chilensis</i>	<i>P. alba</i>	km 882	Córdoba	Argentina
<i>Algarobia</i>	<i>Chilensis</i>	<i>P. alba</i> var. <i>panta</i>	Quilino	Córdoba	Argentina

microcentrifuge) and the supernatant was transferred to a new eppendorf tube, mixed with an equal volume of phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1 v/v/v) and centrifuged once more in the same conditions as before. The supernatant was recovered and the DNA precipitated by adding 1.2 volumes of cold (-20°C) 2-isopropanol and further incubation at 4°C for at least 30 min. The genomic DNA was collected by centrifugation in an eppendorf microcentrifuge at 14 000 rpm for 15 min. The pellet was rinsed with 70 % ethanol-10 mM sodium acetate, dried and dissolved in TE buffer (Tris HCl 10 mM, EDTA 1 mM, pH 7.8). The RNA was removed by treatment with $25\text{ }\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ of RNase and the DNA was deproteinised by phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol extraction. The genomic DNA was dissolved in sterile water to a final concentration of $20\text{ ng }\mu\text{L}^{-1}$. General molecular biology protocols were used as described by Sambroock et al. [34].

In order to generate RAPD markers specific for the species studied, pools of DNA containing equal amounts of DNA purified from ten individuals of the same species were used as templates [24]. Polymerase chain reactions (PCR) for the generation of the RAPD markers were performed as described by Williams et al. [43] in a reaction volume of 25 μL containing 100 ng of pooled DNA as template, 2 mM MgCl_2 , 67 mM Tris HCl (pH 8.8), 16 mM $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$, 0.1 g L^{-1} Tween-20, 200 μM each one of the four nucleotide triphosphates (ATP, CTP, GTP and TTP), 0.2 μM of the primer oligonucleotide and one unit of Taq polymerase (Eurobiotaq, Ecogen, Barcelona, Spain). The oligonucleotides used as primers for the reaction were 10-mers belonging to the L and S Operon series (Operon Technologies Inc., Alameda, California, USA). Amplification reactions were performed in a PTC-200 (Peltier Thermal Cycler, MJ Research, Watertown, Massachusetts, USA) using the following programme: 4 min of initial denaturation at 94°C followed by 40 cycles of PCR reaction (1 min denaturation at 94°C , 1 min annealing at 37°C , 1.3 min extension at 72°C). Amplification products were analysed by electrophoresis in 1.6 % (w/v) agarose gels in TAE buffer (400 mM Tris, 200 mM sodium acetate, 20 mM EDTA, pH 8.3) and stained with ethidium bromide. As molecular size markers $\Phi 29$ HindIII digested DNA was used. In those experiments in which the amplification products were detected by DNA hybridisation (see below), the number of cycles of the PCR reaction was reduced to 20.

All RAPD reactions were repeated three times by three different persons to avoid the problems derived from low reproducibility of RAPD markers. Only those bands appearing consistently in all the experiments were used in the rest of the work.

In order to generate restricted randomly amplified polymorphic DNA markers (ReRAPD markers), genomic DNA purified from a single plant was completely digested with a given restriction endonuclease (according to the manufacturer's recommendations) before being used as a template for RAPD marker generation as described above. In this analysis, the RAPD profile obtained using either restricted and unrestricted DNA as templates are compared.

For the cloning of RAPD markers, the amplification products were separated by electrophoresis as described above and the bands of interest were extracted from the agarose gel using the liquid nitrogen method [40] and ligated to a pGEM-T plasmid (Promega, Southampton, UK). The recombinant plasmids were transformed into *Escherichia coli* DH5 α F⁺ cells cultured in Luria-Bertani Broth (10 g of bactotryptone, 10 g yeast extract, 5 g NaCl, 1 L H_2O ; [23]) with 100 mg mL^{-1} ampicillin (Sigma, St Louis, Missouri, USA) at 37°C with shaking (200 rpm). The amplified fragments were recovered from the recombinant plasmid by digestion of it with *ApaI* and *PstI* restriction enzymes according to the manufacturer's conditions (Amersham, Buckinghamshire, UK). In order to use the purified fragments as probe for DNA hybridisation experiments, they were labelled with digoxigenine (DIG) according to the supplier's specifications (Boehringer Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany [18]). The hybridisation experiments on RAPD products blotted onto the appropriate membranes were performed as required for the use of DIG labelled probes (Boehringer Mannheim, Mannheim).

2.3. Data analysis

Photographs of the agarose gels in which the RAPD markers were resolved were scanned and analysed using the Fragment Analysis Program (Molecular Dynamics-Amersham Life Science, Amersham, Buckinghamshire, UK). The different RAPD markers were identified according to the primer used and their size. The presence or absence of each marker was scored in each species to make comparisons between them. Nei's similarity index [28] was used to compare pairs of species:

$$S = 2N_{AB}/(N_A + N_B)$$

where N_{AB} is the number of RAPD markers simultaneously present in the two species being compared, and N_A and N_B are the total number of RAPD markers present in the species A and B, respectively. The similarity values among species were used to cluster species using the unweighted pair group method average (UPGMA) algorithm [38].

3. RESULTS

3.1. RAPD markers

In order to develop a system useful for the classification of seeds and plants belonging to the genus *Prosopis*, the polymorphism of randomly amplified DNA fragments (RAPD) present in 15 species belonging to this genus (table I) was analysed using random primer oligonucleotides (Operon Technologies Inc., Alameda, California, USA). A total of 1 351 RAPD markers were identified in the analysed species (an average of 90 markers per species, table II) which allowed a taxonomic study to be undertaken. Some specific amplified bands were particularly interesting because of their taxonomic potential and they were further analysed. When the oligonucleotide S20 (5'-TCTGGACGGA-3') was used as primer in PCR reactions for RAPD generation, among others, a molecular marker of approximately 0.380 kbp (*S20*₃₈₀, figure 1a) was generated which was present in all the *Prosopis* species analysed. When the oligonucleotide S11 (5'-AGTCGGGTGG-3') was used as

primer, the PCR reaction yielded, among others, a DNA fragment of 0.730 kbp (*S11*₇₃₀, figure 1b) which was present only in American species. Oligonucleotides S04 (5'-CACCCCCTTG-3') and S07 (5'-TCCGATGCTG-3') allowed the amplification of molecular markers which seemed to be species specific: the marker *S04*₉₈₃ was present in *P. strombulifera*, *P. torquata* and *P. ferox* (section *Strombocarpa*, figure 1c) whereas the markers *S07*₉₃₀ and *S07*₁₁₆₀ appeared in all species of section *Algarobia* except in *P. kuntzei* (figure 1d). The oligonucleotides S03 (5'-CAGAGGTCC-3') and L09 (5'-AGCAGGTGGA-3') yielded the most polymorphic RAPD profiles and allowed the differentiation of the all species (figure 1e, f) while primers L03 (5'-CCAGCAGCTT-3'), L08 (5'-AGCAGGTGGA-3'), L11 (5'-ACGATGAGCC-3'), S14 (5'-AAAGGGGTCC-3'), S16 (5'-AGGGGGTTCC-3') and S19 (5'-GAGTCAGCAG-3') showed very little polymorphism among species (data not shown). It should be noted that all the oligonucleotides used as primers (except S20) produced profiles that differentiated *P. cineraria*, *P. africana*, *P. argentina* and *P. kuntzei* from the rest of *Prosopis* species.

Table II. Number of RAPD markers generated by each one of the oligonucleotide primers that revealed polymorphism among species.

Primer	C	A	S	T	Fe	Ar	K	H	Af	Ca	Ch	Fl	Al	P	N
S03	7	8	10	10	10	7	9	6	7	7	7	8	8	8	9
S04	7	4	11	7	7	9	8	6	7	5	7	10	9	5	7
S07	5	8	4	2	4	1	3	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	3
S11	7	5	6	5	5	9	4	3	3	4	5	5	5	4	5
S14	8	6	10	8	8	5	10	8	8	8	10	7	7	7	6
S16	10	12	8	9	8	6	10	8	6	7	7	5	9	6	6
S19	9	8	10	11	12	7	7	9	8	7	10	9	8	13	12
S20	5	6	4	6	8	11	3	8	2	2	5	3	5	3	2
L03	6	7	3	7	6	8	5	6	10	8	10	11	8	10	9
L08	11	10	6	6	8	8	7	6	13	8	8	10	6	9	10
L09	10	6	8	3	8	9	12	13	9	10	10	11	9	5	7
L11	14	12	14	9	7	12	10	11	12	11	13	14	16	15	15
Total	99	92	94	83	91	92	88	86	87	79	94	96	92	87	91

Names codes: C, *P. cineraria*; A, *P. africana*; S, *P. strombulifera*; T, *P. torquata*; Fe, *P. ferox*; Ar, *P. argentina*; K, *P. kuntzei*; H, *P. hassleri*; Af, *P. affinis*; Ca, *P. caldenia*; Ch, *P. chilensis*; Fl, *P. flexuosa*; Al, *P. alba*; P, *P. alba* var. *panta*; N, *P. nigra*.

Figure 1. Examples of different RAPD molecular markers generated by using various primer oligonucleotides and the collection of *Prosopis* species studied in this paper. The primers used are: (A) S20, (B) S11, (C) S04, (D) S07, (E) S03 and (F) L09. The sequence of each primer is described in the text. Lane names: M, molecular size marker; C, *P. cineraria*; A, *P. africana*; S, *P. strombulifera*; T, *P. torquata*; Fe, *P. ferox*; Ar, *P. argentina*; K, *P. kuntzei*; H, *P. hassleri*; Af, *P. affinis*; Ca, *P. caldenia*; Ch, *P. chilensis*; Fl, *P. flexuosa*; Al, *P. alba*; P, *P. alba* var. *panta*; N, *P. nigra*.

3.2. RAPD markers identity

The identification of species on the basis of their RAPD profile relies on the assumption that bands of the same size appearing in different individuals correspond to identical sequences and not only to a circumstantial coincidence in size. In order to test this assumption two different kinds of experiments were performed: i) RAPD analysis of restriction digested DNA plant material; and ii) hybridisation experiments with cloned RAPD markers.

The molecular marker *S07*₁₁₆₀ appeared in all the species belonging to the section *Algarobia* (figure 1d). In order to check if the RAPD bands corresponding in size to *S07*₁₁₆₀ and present in these species were related not only in size but also in sequence, the restriction sites present in marker *S07*₁₁₆₀ were determined. For this purpose, the band corresponding to this marker was cloned in the pGEM-T vector yielding the plasmid p*S07*₁₁₆₀. Only one isolation of this plasmid was studied further, and, hence, it represents the cloning of the amplification product of a single molecule of the pool of DNA and not a pool of DNA fragments. The restriction analysis of the cloned fragment revealed the presence of a site for the enzyme *Pst*I in the DNA sequence of the cloned *S07*₁₁₆₀ marker. The presence of this *Pst*I site in every species of the *Algarobia* section was studied as follows: separate pools of genomic DNA corresponding to each one of the species belonging to the *Algarobia* section (with the exception of *P. kuntzei* in which the marker was absent, see below) were completely digested with *Pst*I and used as template in separate PCR reactions designed to amplify the RAPD markers using the oligonucleotide *S07* as primer for the reaction. Undigested DNA from each one of the different pools was used as control for the experiment. It could be seen that the molecular marker *S07*₁₁₆₀ was absent in the PCR reactions performed using *Pst*I digested DNA as template, whereas it was present when the undigested material was used (figure 2a). These results indicated that a *Pst* I restriction site is present within the genomic sequence that gives rise to the marker *S07*₁₁₆₀ in every one of the analysed species. The failure in *S07*₁₁₆₀ amplifica-

Figure 2. Effect of the digestion of the genomic DNA with *Pst*I on the amplification of the molecular markers *S07*₁₁₆₀ and *S11*₇₃₀: (A) Genomic DNA from the *Prosopis* species belonging to the section *Algarobia* was used as template and the oligonucleotide *S07* as primer. (B) Genomic DNA from American *Prosopis* was used as template and the oligonucleotide *S11* as primer. The sequence of each primer is described in the text. In both cases, (u) indicates undigested DNA used as template and (d) indicates digested DNA used as template. Lane names: M, molecular size marker; S, *P. strombulifera*; T, *P. torquata*; Fe, *P. ferox*; Ar, *P. argentina*; K, *P. kuntzei*; H, *P. hassleri*; Af, *P. affinis*; Ca, *P. caldenia*; Ch, *P. chilensis*; Fl, *P. flexuosa*; Al, *P. alba*; P, *P. alba* var. *panta*; N, *P. nigra*.

tion when *Pst*I digested DNA was used as template indicates that the restriction of the DNA at the *Pst*I site set apart the annealing sites for the S07 oligonucleotide used as primer avoiding, in this way, the amplification of this molecular marker. A similar experiment was carried out to analyse the band corresponding to the marker *S11*₇₃₀ (exclusive to the *Prosopis* American species, *figure 1b*). In this case, the cloned RAPD marker gave rise to plasmid p*S11*₇₃₀ which revealed a further *Pst*I restriction site present in its DNA sequence. When the experiment described above for marker *S07*₁₁₆₀ was repeated for marker *S11*₇₃₀, similar results were obtained (*figure 2b*) indicating that also in this case the similarity in size of the band appearing in different species reflected a similarity in sequence between all them.

The failure in the amplification of a given RAPD marker can be caused by minor differences at the annealing sites for the oligonucleotide used as primer or by major differences in the genomic region tagged by this particular marker. In order to test if the absence of a given species-specific molecular marker in a species is due to minor or major genetic differences, the RAPD marker can be used as probe in DNA hybridisation experiments to analyse its presence in the different species subjected to analysis. In this way, DNA fragments derived from p*S04*₉₈₃, p*S07*₉₃₀, p*S07*₁₁₆₀, p*S11*₇₃₀ and p*S20*₃₈₀ (generated by cloning the molecular markers *S04*₉₈₃, *S07*₉₃₀, *S07*₁₁₆₀, *S11*₇₃₀ and *S20*₃₈₀ in pGEM-T, respectively; *figure 1*) were used as probes to reveal the presence of the molecular marker in PCR amplification reactions designed to produce the respective RAPD markers in the different *Prosopis* species being analysed. In this case, the PCR reactions were performed with only 20 amplification cycles to prevent the overamplification reaction that increases the concentration of unspecific amplification products [12]. The results obtained showed that the molecular marker *S20*₃₈₀ appeared in all the species analysed in this study (*figure 3a*), the marker *S11*₇₃₀ was specific for the *Prosopis* of American origin (at least the *Prosopis* species analysed in this paper) (*figure 3b*), and markers *S04*₉₈₃ and *S07*₁₁₆₀ were section specific (*figure 3c, d*, respectively). It is important to point

out that the RAPD band appearing in *P. kuntzei* which corresponds in size to marker *S07*₁₁₆₀ (*figure 1d*) does not correspond to the same marker present in the other species of this section because it does not hybridise to the probe derived from the corresponding plasmids (*figure 3d*). Finally, the lack of hybridisation in these experiments reflects that *P. kuntzei* has a low degree of relatedness to the rest of the *Prosopis* species analysed in this study.

3.3. Analysis of similarity and clustering of *Prosopis* species

The RAPD profiles of the different *Prosopis* species can be compared by numerical taxonomic techniques to evaluate the degree of relatedness between the different species. Twelve out of the 40 oligonucleotide primers used in this study yielded reproducible RAPD markers useful for taxonomic studies. Each primer amplified a variable number of DNA fragments ranging from only one fragment amplified by the oligonucleotide S07 in *P. argentina* to 16 different loci amplified by oligonucleotide L11 in *P. alba*. The average number of RAPD markers per species used for the taxonomic study was of 90. The total number of DNA bands obtained in each one of species (*table II*) and the number of bands shared by each pair of species, allowed the construction of a similarity matrix (*table III*) using the Nei similarity index [28]. As it can be seen the lowest similarity value corresponded to the pair formed by *P. africana* and *P. nigra*, and the highest value was shown by the pair *P. alba* var. *panta* and *P. nigra*.

The different species were clustered according to the similarity between their RAPD profiles using the UPGMA (unweighted pair group method average) method [38] (*figure 4*). The cluster analysis revealed two major clusters: one formed by *P. cineraria* (section *Adenopsis*) and *P. africana* (section *Anonychium*), both species of the Old World, whereas the other group was complex and it contained two subgroups. The first subgroup was formed by three species: *P. strombulifera* and *P. torquata* (series *Strombocarpace*), and *P. ferox* (series *Cavenicarpace*). Both series belong to section

Figure 3. Detection of the presence of molecular markers by DNA hybridisation. (A) Hybridisation of $S20_{380}$ on the PCR amplification products produced using S20 as oligonucleotide primer and the genomic DNA from each one of the 15 *Prosopis* species as template. (B) Hybridisation of $S11_{730}$ on the PCR products generated by using S11 as primer. (C) Hybridisation of $S04_{983}$ on the PCR products generated by using S04 as primer. (D) Hybridisation of $S07_{1160}$ on the PCR products generated by using S07 as primer. The sequence of each primer is described in the text. Lane names: M, molecular size marker; C, *P. cineraria*; A, *P. africana*; S, *P. strombulifera*; T, *P. torquata*; Fe, *P. ferox*; Ar, *P. argentina*; K, *P. kuntzei*; H, *P. hassleri*; Af, *P. affinis*; Ca, *P. caldenia*; Ch, *P. chilensis*; Fl, *P. flexuosa*; Al, *P. alba*; P, *P. alba* var. *panta*; N, *P. nigra*.

Strombocarpa. The second subgroup was more complex and formed by the species of the section Algarobia and *P. argentina* (series Monilicarpa): *P. nigra*, *P. alba* var. *panta*, *P. flexuosa*, *P. chilensis* and *P. alba* (all of them belonging to the series Chilensis), clustered with *P. hassleri* (series Ruscifolia). *P. caldenia* (series Chilensis) clustered to *P. affinis* (series Pallidae). *P. kuntzei* (series Sericanthae) joined the cluster of the other species of the section Algarobia with the lowest similarity value.

4. DISCUSSION

In this paper, we report the use of RAPD markers to corroborate the taxonomy of 15 species

belonging to the complex genus *Prosopis*. These species were analysed using standard protocols [22] to extract DNA from cotyledons modified to overcome difficulties caused by the high levels of carbohydrates and tannins in cotyledons. The modifications were related to the grinding of the plant material and the times and volumes of the reaction steps. Several phenol extractions and the treatment with RNases appeared to be critical in obtaining DNA of high enough quality (OD_{260}/OD_{280} of 1.7–1.9) and yield ($100 \mu\text{g } 100 \text{ mg}^{-1}$ of plant tissue) to allow several analyses of a given individual without compromising its survival.

The RAPD marker approach was chosen because previous authors including Saidman [32] and de la Vega [11] used isozyme markers and reported little

Table III. Matrix of similarity among the *Prosopis* species studied in this paper.

	C	A	S	T	Fe	Ar	K	H	Af	Ca	Ch	Fl	Al	P
A	0.126													
S	0.093	0.107												
T	0.066	0.103	0.621											
Fe	0.095	0.131	0.432	0.563										
Ar	0.115	0.076	0.097	0.103	0.098									
K	0.096	0.078	0.132	0.105	0.145	0.144								
H	0.086	0.090	0.167	0.142	0.147	0.146	0.276							
Af	0.054	0.101	0.110	0.106	0.146	0.112	0.183	0.474						
Ca	0.079	0.094	0.116	0.099	0.106	0.140	0.216	0.485	0.494					
Ch	0.072	0.086	0.117	0.102	0.130	0.129	0.198	0.578	0.497	0.486				
Fl	0.061	0.074	0.137	0.123	0.160	0.106	0.239	0.450	0.459	0.469	0.516			
Al	0.073	0.065	0.108	0.103	0.131	0.109	0.233	0.506	0.402	0.491	0.667	0.543		
P	0.094	0.065	0.129	0.126	0.142	0.130	0.221	0.494	0.413	0.468	0.538	0.564	0.598	
N	0.092	0.053	0.105	0.112	0.139	0.106	0.217	0.450	0.372	0.446	0.474	0.573	0.564	0.766

Names codes: C, *P. cineraria*; A, *P. africana*; S, *P. strombulifera*; T, *P. torquata*; Fe, *P. ferox*; Ar, *P. argentina*; K, *P. kuntzei*; H, *P. hassleri*; Af, *P. affinis*; Ca, *P. caldenia*; Ch, *P. chilensis*; Fl, *P. flexuosa*; Al, *P. alba*; P, *P. alba* var. *panta*; N, *P. nigra*.

Figure 4. Dendrogram showing the estimates of the percentage of similarity among the fifteen *Prosopis* species. The Nei similarity index [28] and the UPGMA clustering algorithm were used. Species names: C, *P. cineraria*; A, *P. africana*; Fe, *P. ferox*; T, *P. torquata*; S, *P. strombulifera*; Ar, *P. argentina*; K, *P. kuntzei*; Ca, *P. caldenia*; Af, *P. affinis*; H, *P. hassleri*; Al, *P. alba*; Ch, *P. chilensis*; Fl, *P. flexuosa*; P, *P. alba* var. *panta*; N, *P. nigra*.

genetic differentiation among *Prosopis* species. Burghardt and Palacios [6] showed that the electrophoretic analysis of seed proteins could distinguish between species in the five sections proposed

by Burghardt [7, 8] but not between species within the section Algarobia. de la Vega [11] showed that the genetic distance, according to Nei's statistics [27], ranged from D: 0.065 (*P. nigra*–*P. alba* var. *panta*) to D: 0.249 (*P. nigra*–*P. elata*). Both authors proposed that the low values obtained could be due, among other factors, to intra and interloci hybrids in loci such as alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) and aspartate aminotransfrase (AAT), or to a high number of common alleles among species. Molecular markers, on the other hand, have the advantage over biochemical markers of being independent of both biotic and abiotic environmental influences.

Because the seeds of some of the species examined were obtained from more than one provenance, DNA from ten randomly selected individuals (pooled DNA) was taken as representative of the species. This strategy, developed by Micheltmore et al. [24] ensures that when amplification reactions take place, DNA sequences present in all or most individuals are revealed, while those appearing in only one individual are diluted. This prevents the erroneous inclusion of solitary or unique sequences as species-specific molecular markers. Twelve out of the 40 oligonucleotides used as primers gave suf-

ficiently robust information to differentiate the *Prosopis* species providing clear cut and reproducible amplification profiles.

According to Burkart [7, 8], the genus *Prosopis* could have originated in tropical Africa at the end of the Mesozoic or beginning of the Tertiary era before westward and eastward migrations took place. *P. africana*, the least evolved species, persists in West Africa. The differences detected by molecular markers between *P. cineraria* and *P. africana*, both Old World species, and between these species and the New World species examined is possibly due to the strong speciation processes they have undergone, and confirms their position in different sections of the genus. The highly distinct profiles obtained for *P. argentina* may be due to its endemic characteristic. Burkart [7, 8] placed *P. argentina* in the monospecific section *Monilicarpa* on the basis of morphological characteristics but stated that the origin and affinities of this species were unclear. Our results obtained using molecular markers confirm the distinct character of *P. argentina*. Recent studies using electrophoresis of seed proteins [6] similarly confirmed the position of *P. argentina* in its own intermediate section.

When estimates of genetic relationships among individuals, strains, populations or species are obtained, it is assumed that co-migrating RAPD fragments present are homologous. Hybridisation and restriction digestion experiments as suggested by Williams et al. [43] and Rieseberg [31] demonstrated that homologous fragments usually contain similar sequences.

Prosopis, at 125 million years old, is one of the most primitive genera within the subfamily *Mimosoidae* of the family *Leguminosae* [7, 8]. Despite the time which has elapsed since the genus arose, hybridisation techniques have revealed a molecular marker (*S20*₃₈₃) common to both Old and New World species. The finding of a RAPD marker (*S11*₇₃₀) hybridising only in American species raises questions about the origin of that marker around the beginning of the Tertiary era when separation of continents is presumed to have taken place. Section-specific molecular markers have also been found for the sections *Algarobia* (*S07*₉₃₀) and

*S07*₁₁₃₀) and *Strombocarpa* (*S04*₉₈₃). These markers showed similar restriction digestion and hybridisation patterns in all species and sections, except in *P. kuntze* (section *Algarobia*), which appeared to be the only one distinct by these techniques because it lacks the *Algarobia* section-specific markers. This observation indicates the need for a more detailed analysis of *P. kuntzei* with additional primers or other kinds of molecular markers, and for a comparison of the amplification profiles of this species with those of *P. sericantha*, the only other species placed by Burkart [7, 8] in the series *Sericanthae* of the section *Algarobia*.

Electrophoretic studies by Burghardt [6] did not reveal any distinct differences in seed proteins among species in the section *Algarobia* although these authors analysed species from the series *Ruscifoliae*, *Pallidae* and *Chilensis* only and not from the series *Sericanthae* which contains *P. kuntzei*.

The use of RAPD markers to group species of *Prosopis* was clear enough to distinguish the five sections belonging to this genus. Similarities between sections are very low. This suggests that profound speciation has taken place between sections. However the results suggest that regrouping of species within the section *Algarobia* may be required. For example, molecular techniques reveal a cluster of *P. chilensis* and *P. alba* (series *Chilensis*) with *P. hassleri* (series *Ruscifolia*). These results fit with those of Palacios et al. (quoted by Burkart [8]) who reports a putative *P. hassleri* × *P. alba* hybrid in Formosa (north of Argentina). Moreover, Burghardt [5], Palacios and Bravo [30], and Saidman [32, 33], studying seminal proteins, phenolic compounds and isozymes, found a very close similarity between *P. alba* and *P. hassleri*. Similarly, *P. caldenia* (series *Chilensis*) and *P. affinis* (series *Pallidae*) form a cluster although there is not a conclusive explanation for it. In spite of being *P. affinis* a species widely distributed (southern part of Bolivia, Paraguay, east of Argentina, south of Brazil and west of Uruguay) there are no data on possible hybrids between these species and some others belonging to series *Chilensis* except for an intermediate form *P. pallidae* (series *Pallidae*) × *P.*

juliflora (series *Chilensis*) described by Burkart [7, 8] in the topical rain forest of Ecuador.

The results of restriction digestions and hybridisation experiments in this study demonstrate that co-migrating bands have a high probability of being homologous. RAPD markers, combined with these other molecular techniques, represent valuable and powerful tools to identify homologous bands and hence to resolve the taxonomic relationships within the genus *Prosopis*. The robustness of the section-specific restriction digestions as well as hybridisation experiments will permit the rapid identification of new species and will help to solve the complex taxonomy of the genus and its hybrids.

Acknowledgements: This work was supported by a Research Project of the Programa de Cooperación Científica con Iberoamérica from the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia (Spain), from the Royal Society Science Exchange Programme (U.K.) and by Funds of the Universidad Pública de Navarra (Spain).

REFERENCES

- [1] Ahmad M., McNeil D.L., Fautrier A.G., Armstrong K.F., Patterson A.M., Genetic relationships in *Lens* species and parentage determination of their interspecific hybrids using RAPD markers, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 92 (1996) 1091–1098.
- [2] Baudracco-Arnas S., Pitrat M., A genetic map of melon (*Cucumis melo* L.) with RFLP, RAPD, isozymes, disease resistance and morphological markers, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 93 (1996) 57–64.
- [3] Bommineni V.R., Jauhar P.P., Peterson T.S., Chibbar R.N., Almouslem A.B., Analysis of hybrids of *durum* wheat with *Thinopyrum junceiforme* using RAPD markers, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 95 (1997) 757–763.
- [4] Bucci G., Vendramin G.G., Lelli L., Vicario F., Assessing the genetic divergence of *Pinus leucodermis* Ant. endangered populations: use of molecular markers for conservation purposes, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 95 (1997) 1138–1146.
- [5] Burghardt A.D., Palacios R.A., Caracterización electroforética de algunas especies de *Prosopis* (*Leguminosae*), XII Congreso Argentino de Genética (1981) 11.
- [6] Burghardt A.D., Palacios R.A., Electrophoretic characterisation of American section of *Prosopis* L. (*Leguminosae: Mimosoideae*), *Bulletin of the International Group for the Study of Mimosoideae* 20 (1997) 71–83.
- [7] Burkart A., A monograph of the genus *Prosopis* (*Leguminosae*, subfam. *Mimosoidae*), J. Arnold Arboretum 57 (1976) 219–249.
- [8] Burkart A., A monograph of the genus *Prosopis* (*Leguminosae*, subfam. *Mimosoidae*), J. Arnold Arboretum 57 (1976) 450–525.
- [9] Chan K.F., Sun M., Genetic diversity and relationships detected by isozyme and RAPD analysis of crop and wild species of *Amaranthus*, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 95 (1997) 865–873.
- [10] de Bustos A., Casanova C., Soler C., Juvé N., Rapid variation in wild populations of four species of the genus *Hordeum* (*Poaceae*), *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 96 (1998) 101–111.
- [11] de la Vega A.S., Variabilidad genética y estructuración poblacional en especies del género *Prosopis* (*Leguminosae*) recurso biótico para reforestar zonas áridas, Ph.D. Thesis, Departamento de Producción Agraria, Universidad Pública de Navarra, Pamplona, Spain., 1996.
- [12] Dieffenbach C.W., Dveksler G.S., PCR Primer. A laboratory manual, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, USA, 1995.
- [13] Felker P., Mesquite, an all purpose leguminose arid land tree, in: Ritchie G.A. (Ed.), *New Agricultural Crops*, Westview Press, Boulder, Colorado, USA, 1979, pp. 89–132.
- [14] Felker P., Cannell G.H., Clark P.R., Variation in growth among 13 *Prosopis* (mesquite) species, *Exp. Agr.* 17 (1981) 209–218.
- [15] Gresshoff P.M., *Plant Genome Analysis*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, USA, 1994.
- [16] Harris P.J.C., Pasiiecznik N.M., Bradbury M., Ramírez L., Problems and potentials of *Prosopis*, in: Prendergast H.D.V., Etkin N.L., Harris D.R., Houghton P.J. (Eds.), *Plants for Food and Medicine*, Royal Botanical Gardens, Kew, UK, 1998, pp. 277–293.
- [17] Hoey B.K., Crowe K.R., Jones W.M., Polans N.O., A phylogenetic analysis of *Pisum* based on morphological characters and allozyme and RAPD markers, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 92 (1996) 92–100.
- [18] Holtke H.J., Sagner G., Kessler C., Schmitz G., Sensitive chemiluminiscent detection of digoxigenin-labeled nucleic acids: a fast and simple protocol and its applications, *BioTechniques* 12 (1992) 104–113.

- [19] Iqbal M.J., Aziz N., Saeed N.A., Zafar I., Malik K.A., Genetic diversity evaluation of some elite cotton varieties by RAPD analysis, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 94 (1997) 139–144.
- [20] Khasa P.D., Dancik B.B., Rapid identification of wide-Engelmann spruce species by RAPD markers, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 92 (1996) 46–52.
- [21] Kojima T.J., Nagaoka T.P., Noda K., Ogihara Y., Genetic linkage map of ISSR and RAPD markers in Einlorn wheat in relation to that of RFLP markers, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 96 (1998) 37–45.
- [22] Lassner M.W., Peterson P., Yoder J.L., Simultaneous amplification of multiple DNA fragments by polymerase chain reaction in the analysis of transgenic plants and their progeny, *Plant Mol. Biol. Reports* 7 (1989) 116–128.
- [23] Lennox E.S., Transduction of linked genetic characters of the host by bacteriophage P1, *Virology* 1 (1955) 190–206.
- [24] Michelmore R.W., Paran I., Kesseli R.V., Identification of markers linked to resistance genes by bulked segregant analysis: a rapid method to detect markers in specific genome regions by using segregating populations, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 88 (1991) 9828–9832.
- [25] Millán T., Osuna F., Cobos S., Torres A.M., Cubero J.I., Using RAPDs to study phylogenetic relationships in *Rosa*, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 92 (1996) 273–277.
- [26] NAS, Firewood crops; shrubs and tree species for energy production, National Academic Press, Washington DC, USA, 1980.
- [27] Nei M., Molecular Population, Genetics and Evolution, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, Holland, 1975.
- [28] Nei M., Li W.H., Mathematical model for studying genetic variation in terms of restriction endonucleases, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 76 (1979) 5269–5273.
- [29] Paillard M., Lashermes P., Pétiard V., Construction of a molecular linkage map in coffee, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 93 (1996) 41–47.
- [30] Palacios R.A., Bravo L.D., Hibridación natural en *Prosopis* (*Leguminosae*) en la región chaqueña argentina. Evidencias morfológicas y cromatográficas, *Darwiniana* 23 (1981) 3–35.
- [31] Rieseberg L.H., Homolgy among RAPD fragments in interspecific comparisons, *Mol. Ecol.* 5 (1996) 99–106.
- [32] Saidman B.O., Estudio de la variación alozímica en el género *Prosopis*, Ph.D. thesis, Fac. de Ciencias Exáctas, Físicas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina, 1985.
- [33] Saidman B.O., Isoenzymatic studies of alcohol-dehydrogenase and glutamate-oxalacetate transaminase in four South-American species of *Prosopis* and their natural hybrids, *Silvae Genet.* 35 (1986) 3–10.
- [34] Sambrook J., Fritsch E.F., Maniatis T., Molecular Cloning. A Laboratory Manual, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, USA, 1989.
- [35] Scovel G., Ben-Meir H., Ovadis M., Itzhaki H., Vainstin A., RAPD and RFLP markers tightly linked to the locus controlling carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus*) flower type, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 96 (1998) 117–122.
- [36] Simpson B.B., Breeding systems of dominant perennial plants of two disjunct warm desert ecosystems, *Oecologia* 27 (1977) 203 – 226.
- [37] Simpson B.B., Solbrig O.T., Mezquite. Its Biology in Two Desert Ecosystems, Dowden, Hutchinson and Ross Inc., Pennsylvania, USA, 1977.
- [38] Sneath P.H.A., Sokal R.R., Numerical Taxonomy. The Principles and Practice of Numerical Classification, W.H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco, USA, 1973.
- [39] Solbrig O.T., Cantino P.D., Reproductive adaptations in *Prosopis* (*Leguminosae*, *Mimosoideae*), *J. Arnold Arboretum* 56 (1975) 185–210.
- [40] Tautz D., Renz M., An optimized freeze-squeezed method for the recovery of DNA from agarose gels, *Anal. Biochem.* 132 (1983) 14–19.
- [41] Wang I.-H., Thomas C.E., Dean R.A., A genetic map of melon (*Cucumis melo* L.) based on amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) markers, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 95 (1997) 791–798.
- [42] Weising K., Nybom H., Wolff K., Meyer W., DNA Fingerprinting in Plants and Fungi, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, USA, 1995.
- [43] Williams J.G.K., Kubelik A.R., Livak K.J., Rafalski J.A., Tingey S.V., DNA polymorphisms amplified by arbitrary primers are useful as genetic markers, *Nucleic Acid Res.* 18 (1990) 6531–6535.
- [44] Xiao J., Li J., Yuan L., McCouch S.R., Tanksley S.D., Genetic diversity and its relationship to hybrid performance and heterosis in rice as revealed by PCR-based markers, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 92 (1996) 637–643.