

# Downy mildew on peas (Peronospora viciae f sp pisi) R Stegmark

# ▶ To cite this version:

R Stegmark. Downy mildew on peas (Peronospora viciae f sp pisi). Agronomie, 1994, 14 (10), pp.641-647. hal-00885600

# HAL Id: hal-00885600 https://hal.science/hal-00885600

Submitted on 11 May 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Downy mildew on peas (Peronospora viciae f sp pisi)

R Stegmark

Box 520, S-267 25 Bjuv, Sweden

MINISTERE de L'AGRICULTURE STATION de GENETIQUE I d'AMELIORATION des l'AMELIORATION des l'AMELIORATION INRA B.V. 1540 21034 DIJON CEDEX FRANCE

(Received 12 December 1994; accepted 31 January 1995)

**Summary** — Downy mildew, *Peronospora viciae*, on peas is widely distributed all over the world. This fungus causes systemic infection of seedlings, local infections on leaves and pod infections. Mycelia and oospores of *P viciae* can be found in seed coats. However, transmission of the infection from seed to seedling has not been reported. Oospores in the soil are the most important primary inoculum. Conidia can be distributed over long distances. Treatment of seeds with acylalanine fungicides is very effective against systemic seedling infection. There is a variation in resistance to downy mildew among pea varieties. Some varieties have race-specific resistance but there are also varieties with non-race-specific partial resistance.

#### pea / Peronospora pisi = downy mildew / specific resistance / chemical control / genetic variation

**Résumé** — Le mildiou du pois, *Peronospora viciae* f sp pisi. Le mildiou du pois, Peronospora viciae, est largement distribué sur le pois dans le monde entier. Le champignon provoque une infection systémique sur les plantules, des infections localisées sur les feuilles et des infections des gousses. Le mycelium et les oospores de P viciae peuvent être trouvés sur le tégument de la graine. Cependant la transmission de l'infection de la graine à la plantule n'a pas été signalée. Ce sont les oospores dans le sol qui constituent l'inoculum primaire le plus important. Les conidies peuvent être dispersées sur de longues distances. Le traitement des semences avec des fongicides à base d'acylaniline est très efficace contre les infections systémiques des plantules. Il existe une variabilité de la résistance entre les variétés de pois. Certaines variétés ont une résistance spécifique à certaines races, mais il y a aussi des variétés possédant une résistance non-spécifique.

pois / Peronospora pisi = mildiou / résistance spécifique / lutte chimique / variabilité génétique

#### INTRODUCTION

Downy mildew on peas is caused by *Peronospora viciae.* This fungus can cause systemic, local leaf infections and pod infections. Downy mildew of peas is widely distributed all over the world (Dixon, 1981).

# **ECONOMIC SIGNIFICANCE**

#### Systemic infection

Systemic infection of seedlings can cause considerable yield loss. Olofsson (1966) and Biddle *et al* (1988) reported yield losses of 30% in Sweden and 45% in UK, respectively.

# Local infection

Local infection on leaves is probably not an important yield-reducing factor. The area of foliage infected per plant has rarely been found to be greater than 15%, although the numbers of infected plants in the field can reach 100% (Pegg and Mence, 1972). Heavy infection of upper leaves after flowering may reduce the yield. Meadley and Milbourn (1971) showed that a 50% reduction in solar radiation to the leaves before flowering had no effect on yield, whereas the same reduction over the 4 weeks between flowering and harvest reduced the yield by approximately 50%.

#### Pod infection

The effect of pod infection on the yield varies among reports. Campbell (1935) reported losses of between 5 and 40% in Washington. In Wisconsin, severe downy mildew infection occurred as a single isolated event in 1973 (Hagedorn, 1974), where fields with 62-85% of diseased pods were not unusual. Pods from these fields were seriously affected and produced few peas of poor quality. Pegg and Mence (1972) found 16% severely infected pods in vining pea crops in UK, but the effect on yield was considered to be negligible. The most serious effect of pod infections is the reduction of pea quality. Hubbeling (Dixon, 1981) reported that seeds from downy mildew infected pods were harder, had lower germinability and a bitter flavour.

#### Secondary infection

A side effect of downy mildew infection is secondary infection by other parasites such as *Fusarium* spp (Dixon, 1981). A significant correlation was found between the proportion of stembase rot (*Fusarium* spp) and susceptibility to downy mildew in a field trial with different varieties (Stegmark, 1988).

#### SYMPTOMATOLOGY

Downy mildew causes different kinds of symptoms on pea plants. Three different infection types with different symptoms can be recognized during a crop cycle.

#### Systemic infection

Systemic infection in seedlings causes stunted growth with conidia sporulation, which often covers a major part of the plant surface (figs 1 and 2). This is caused by oospores in the soil which infect germinating seeds. These infections can seriously reduce the plant population. Germinating seedlings cannot be infected by inoculating the roots. However, Ryan (1971)



Fig 1. Downy mildew conidia on a systemically infected pea plant.

obtained 90% systemic infection by placing oospore inoculum around or slightly above the seed. A lower frequency of infection (50%) was obtained when placed 3 cm above the seed level and infection was even more reduced (1%) when the oospores were placed 3 cm below the seeds.

Later in the season, top systemic symptoms can develop with stunting and sporulation occurring over the entire surface of the top of plants. Mence and Pegg (1971) induced systemic infection by inoculating conidia into the apical bud of young plants, or onto the epicotyl or hypocotyl, but not by inoculating the roots of germinating seedlings. Taylor et al (1990) showed that systemic infection could also originate from leaf infection. Top systemic infection is the result of direct infection of the top meristem. This type of infection is found more frequently in varieties with reduced stipule size, determined by the gene st (Matthews and Dow, 1983; Taylor et al, 1990). In these varieties, the top meristem is not protected by the stipules, which wrap around the apex in varieties with normally sized stipules.

Following infection, the mycelium develops in the intercellular spaces penetrating the stem, the leaf stalks, and even the pods through the veins (Kosevskii and Kirik, 1979).



Fig 2. Stunted growth of a systemically infected pea plant.

# Local infection

Local foliar and tendril lesions with conidia sporulation on the abaxial foliar surface is a typical symptom. Local infections on leaves or tendrils develop from conidia present on the plant surface. Germ tubes penetrate the cuticle at an epidermal cell (Mence and Pegg, 1971). These authors did not find appressoria.

Mycelium grows irregularly in the spongy parenchyma of the leaf and under high relative humidity, and often develops between the upper epidermis and the palisade parenchyma. Haustoria are most frequently found in the leaf mesophyll and filiform in epidermal cells; oogonia are terminal, isolated, spherical when mature and pyriform when young (Kosevskii and Kirik, 1979).

# Pod infection

Pod infection causes yellow lesions on the pod surface and epithelial proliferations on the endocarp (Snyder, 1934). Pod infection develops from conidia deposited on young pods rather than by mycelial growth through the peduncle and pedicel (Mence and Pegg, 1971). Oospores are formed within the yellow lesions. Pod infection often causes distorted pods, seed abortion and brown discoloured small peas with a bitter taste (fig 3). Pod infections directly affect pea quality and are therefore a serious expression of the disease.

# PATHOGEN INCLUDING PHYSIOLOGIC SPECIALISATION

# Taxonomy

*P viciae* (Berk) Casp f sp *pisi* (Sydow) Boerema & Verhoeven (syn *P pisi* Sydow) (Peronosporacea; Oomycetes) causes downy mildew on peas.

# Spores

Species of *Peronospora* produce conidia that lack modification in the apical region, the operculum, do not contain zoospores, and germinate by germ tubes (Shaw, 1981). *P viciae* also produces oospores, which have a typical reticulate pattern of the exosporium.

# Sexual recombination

The sexual breeding system for *P viciae* has not been described in literature but may be similar to *P parasitica* and *Bremia lactucae* Regel. These species are also capable of regular and predictable production of oospores in large numbers. Both heterothallic and homothallic isolates of these 2 species have been found (McMeekin, 1960; Tommerup, 1981). Sexual reproduction is probably important for the adaptation of the fungus to various host genotypes by recombination of virulence genes. The vegetative stage is probably diploid like in other species of *Peronospora* (Sansome and Sansome, 1974; Fincham *et al*, 1979; Tommerup, 1981).

# Host range

The fungus is an obligate parasite which can only grow on living plant tissue. *Forma specialis pisi* can only infect *Pisum* species and not species of the genus *Vicia* within the tribe Vicieae (Sydow, 1921; Campbell, 1935).



Fig 3. Yellow spots on pod walls, reduced size and brown discolourations of peas caused by downy mildew.

#### Virulence

Hubbeling (1975) described variation for virulence in isolates of *P viciae* in the Netherlands. Five races of the fungus were discriminated by 5 groups of pea genotypes. Three cultivars, 'Starnain', 'Starcovert' and 'Gastro', were resistant to all races. Ester and Gerlagh (1979) later identified 3 new races of the pathogen. 'Race 8' was virulent to all the pea genotypes tested. In Germany, Heydendorff and Hoffman (1978) reported race-specific resistance in cultivars 'Cobri' and 'Puget'. In Britain, Taylor et al (1989) reported a wide range of races. Fifty-five isolates were tested on 10 pea lines. On the basis of 2 differential reactions, namely, symptomless resistance and all other susceptible reactions, 22 groups of isolates were distinguishable.

Virulence to the resistance varieties 'Starcovert', 'Gastro', 'Cobri' and 'Puget' was also found in Scandinavian populations of *P viciae* (Stegmark, 1990). None of the cultivars 'Cobri', 'Gastro' and 'Starcovert' and other cultivars with similar resistance have been grown in Scandinavia, so the presence of virulence is not due to local selection pressure. The variety 'Puget' was cultivated for a few years, but not in those fields from which soil-borne inoculum was sampled.

# SEED INFECTION

#### Seed-borne infection

Pea seeds do not appear to be an important source of primary inoculum in the field. However, oospores and mycelia have been found in seeds (Melhus, 1931; Heald, 1932; Campbell, 1935; Mence and Pegg, 1971). Mence and Pegg (1971) examined 214 seeds from pods with external lesions, *ie* from non-systemically infected plants. Three seeds contained oospores and 17 mycelia of *P viciae*. When these seeds were subsequently germinated, no downy mildew infection resulted. In agreement with this, Hagedorn (1974) did not find any seed-borne infection in 7 seed batches.

# DISEASE CYCLE

### Soil-borne infection

Oospores in the soil are the primary inoculum early in the season. The oospores can survive for a long time in the soil. Infections are common in south Sweden where a 6 year crop rotation is common practice. Oospores survive for 10–15 years in the soil (Olofsson, 1966). Oospores of *P destructor* (Berk) Casp in onion debris showed good viability after 25 years of outdoor storage (McKay, 1957).

# Wind-distributed conidia

Conidia distributed by wind from neighbouring fields or more remote growing areas are also important sources of primary inoculum. *P tabacina* Adam was observed to migrate up to 400 km per month in the direction of prevailing winds during its introduction phase in the early 1960s in Europe (Populer, 1981). A much more rapid distribution of this pathogen occurred in USA in 1980 and 1982, where conidia may have been distributed more than 1 000 km in 2 d from Texas to Kentucky (Davis and Main, 1986).

Conidia distributed by wind or dispersed by water droplets play an important role in spreading the disease within pea crops. The successive sowing of freezer peas, in different fields, during a period of 1-2 months, favours the development of the disease. Young plants are present in the growing area for a long time compared with crops where all field are sown as early as possible. Young plants are more susceptible than older plants. The results presented in Stegmark (1988) support the view that pea downy mildew primarily infects young tissue. In agreement with Mence and Pegg (1971), terminal embryonic leaves, not yet unfolded at the time of inoculation, were found to be more susceptible than older leaves. Further, an increased resistance was found in older seedlings. This was discovered when seedlings of different ages, ie with 2-6 unfolded nodes, were inoculated in one and the same experiment (Stegmark, 1991).

#### Partial resistance

Small changes in partial resistance in the crop can be important since several cycles of infection and sporulation occur in a season.

# Temperature and humidity

The rate of disease progress is very much determined by temperature and relative humidity (RH). Leaf-wetness for a period of at least 3–4 h is required for infection (Olofsson, 1966; Pegg and Mence, 1970). The temperature may vary between 1 and 24°C, with an optimum between 12 and 20°C (Pegg and Mence, 1970). Initiation and production of conidia requires more than 90% RH for at least 12 h (Olofsson, 1966), and reaches a maximum at 100% RH (Pegg and Mence, 1970). Most conidia lose their viability within 3 d after being shed (Pegg and Mence, 1970).

# DETECTION OF SEED-BORNE INFECTION

Examination of seed coats for presence of mycelium and oospores was used by Melhus (1931) and Mence and Pegg (1971). No other methods to detect seed-borne infection have been described in the literature.

# CONTROL

# Fungicides

Seed dressing with systemic acylalanine fungicides (*eg*, metalaxyl) is very effective against systemic seedling infections (Brokenshire, 1980). However, later in the season, the pod infection can still be severe. There is no real effective fungicide treatment against pod infection in peas grown for freezing. In the long run, the current acylalanine fungicides may become ineffective due to development of tolerance by the pathogen.

#### Host resistance

Variation in resistance between pea cultivars has been reported by Olofsson (1966), Allard (1970), Ryan (1971) and Stegmark (1988). Some cultivars are completely resistant to some isolates but are fully susceptible to others. However, there are also pea genotypes that have stable partial resistance, never complete, to different isolates (Stegmark, 1990).

# Complete resistance

Race-specific resistance was found in several cultivars, but there is no pea genotype with complete resistance to all known pathogen races (Ester and Gerlagh, 1979; Matthews and Dow, 1983).

The *Pisum* Genebank, the Weibullsholm Collection, kindly supplied by Stig Blixt, has been screened for resistance to oospore infection of germinating seeds at Nordreco (B Jönsson, personal communication, 1980). Only one line (L1382) showed complete resistance in replicated trials when pre-germinated seeds were soaked into a conidia suspension according to a method described by Ryan (1971). This line has red flowers and brown seeds. When the seed coat was removed before sowing, the seedlings became severely infested by downy mildew. This shows that the seed coat contributes to the major part of the resistance in this line.

# Partial resistance

The cultivar 'Dark Skin Perfection' (DSP) is more resistant to downy mildew than some other cultivars used for the production of peas for canning and freezing (Olofsson, 1966; Stegmark, 1988). However, DSP is also affected by downy mildew under conditions favourable to the pathogen. The partial resistance of DSP has been durable for over 30 years and should be maintained in future cultivars.

One pea-breeding line with a high level of partial resistance was described by Stegmark (1988). This line exhibited low susceptibility to all isolates of the fungus, but never complete resistance to any isolate (Stegmark, 1990). The partial resistance is determined by more than 1 gene. A combination of dominant, recessive and intermediate resistance genes are involved (Stegmark, 1992).

#### REFERENCES

- Allard C (1970) Recherches sur la biologie du mildiou pois. Ann Phytopathol 2, 87-115
- Biddle AJ, Knott CM, Gent GP (1988) *Pea Growing Handbook.* Processors & Growers Research Organisation, Peterborough, UK, p 264
- Brokenshire T (1980) Control of pea downy mildew with seed treatments and foliar sprays. *In: Test of Agrochemicals and Cultivars.* Vol 1, Suppl Annals of Applied Biology, 64, 34-35
- Campbell L (1935) Downy mildew of peas caused by Peronospora pisi (De Bary) Syd. Washington Agric Exp Sta Bull 318, 42

- Davis JM, Main CE (1986) Applying atmospheric trajectory analysis to problems in epidemiology. *Plant Dis* 70, 490-497
- Dixon GR (1981) Downy mildews on peas and beans. *In: The Downy Mildews* (DM Spencer, ed), 87-154, Academic Press, New York, USA, p 636
- Ester A, Gerlagh M (1979) Het voorlopig (?) einde van veredeling op fysio-specifieke resistentie tegen valse meeldauw van de erwt. *Zaadbelangen* 33, 146-147
- Fincham JRS, Day PR, Radford A (1979) *Fungal Genetics.* Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, UK, p 669
- Hagedorn DJ (1974) Recent pea anthracnose and downy mildew epiphytotic in Wisconsin. *Plant Dis Rep* 58, 226-229
- Heald FD (1932) Downy Mildew of Pea. Washington Agric Exp Sta Bull 275, 48
- Heydendorff RC, Hoffman GM (1978) Zur physiologischen Spezialisierung von *Peronospora pisi* Syd. *Z Pflanzenkr Pflanzenschutz* 85, 561-569
- Hubbeling N (1975) Resistance of peas to downy mildew and distinction of races of *Peronospora pisi* Syd. *Meded Fac Rijksuniv Landbouwwet Gent* 40, 539-543
- Kosevkii II, Kirik NN (1979) [Features of the development of mycelium of *Peronospora pisi* Syd in pea tissue] Osobennosti razvitiya mitseliya Peronospora pisi Syd v tkanyak gorokha. *Mikilogiya i Fitopatologiya* 13, 46-48
- Matthews P, Dow KP (1983) Disease resistance improvement in dried peas. *In: 72nd Report 1981-1982,* John Innes Institute, Norwich, UK, p 195
- McKay R (1957) The longevity of the oospores of onion downy mildew *Peronospora destructor* (Berk) Casp. *Sci Proc R Dublin Soc Nat Sci* 27, 295-307
- McMeekin D (1960) The role of the oospores of *Peronospora parasitica* in downy mildew of crucifers. *Phytopathology* 50, 93-97
- Meadley JT, Milbourn GM (1971) The growth of vining peas. III. The effect of shading on abscission of flowers and pods. *J Agric Sci* 77, 103-108
- Melhus IE (1931) The presence of mycelium and oospores of certain downy mildews in the seeds of their hosts. *Iowa State College Sci* 5, 185-8
- Mence MJ, Pegg GF (1971) The biology of *Peronospora viciae* on pea: factors affecting the susceptibility of plants to local infection and systemic colonization. *Ann Appl Biol* 67, 297-308
- Olofsson J (1966) Downy mildew of peas in western Europe. *Plant Dis Rep* 50, 257-261
- Pegg GF, Mence MJ (1970) The biology of *Peronospora viciae* on pea: laboratory experiments on the effects of temperature, relative humidity and light on production, germination and infectivity of sporangia. *Ann Appl Biol* 66, 417-428
- Pegg GF, Mence MJ (1972) The biology of *Peronospora viciae* on pea: the development of local and systemic infections and their effect on vining yield. *Ann Appl Biol* 71, 19-31

- Populer C (1981) Epidemiology of downy mildews. *In: The Downy Mildews* (DM Spencer, ed), Academic Press, New York, USA, 57-105
- Ryan EW (1971) Two methods of infecting peas systemically with *Peronospora pisi*, and their application in screening cultivars for resistance. *Ir J Agric Res* 10, 315-322
- Sansome E, Sansome FW (1974) Cytology and life history of *Peronospora parasitica* on *Capsella Bursa-pastoris* and *Lunaria annua. Trans Br Mycol Soc* 62, 323-332
- Shaw CG (1981) Taxonomy and evolution. *In: The Downy Mildews* (DM Spencer, ed), 17-29, Academic Press, New York, USA, p 636
- Snyder WC (1934) *Peronospora viciae* and internal proliferation in pea pods. *Phytopathology* 24, 1358-1365
- Stegmark R (1988) Downy mildew resistance of various pea genotypes. *Acta Agric Scand* 38, 373-379
- Stegmark R (1990) Variation for virulence among Scaninavian isolates of *Peronospora viciae* f sp *pisi*

(pea downy mildew) and response of pea genotypes. *Plant Pathol* 39, 118-124

- Stegmark R (1991) Comparison of different inoculation techniques to screen resistance of pea lines to downy mildew. *J Phytopathol* 133, 209-215
- Stegmark R (1992) Diallel analysis of the inheritance of partial resistance to downy mildew in peas. *Plant Breed* 108, 111-117
- Sydow H (1921) Mycotheca germanica, Fasc XXIX-XXXVI (No 1 401–1 800). *Ann Mycol* 19, 133-144
- Taylor PN, Lewis BG, Matthews P (1989) Pathotypes of *Peronospora viciae* in Britain. *J Phytopathol* 127, 100-106
- Taylor PN, Lewis BG, Matthews P (1990) Factors affecting systemic infection of *Pisum sativum* by *Peronospora viciae. Mycol Res* 94, 179-181
- Tommerup IC (1981) Cytology and genetics of downy mildews. *In: The Downy Mildews* (DM Spencer, ed), Academic Press, New York, USA, 121-142