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A general solution of the magnetic field in the airgap of Conventional and Alternate Field-Excited Switched-Flux (FE-SF) machines is proposed in this paper. The analytical model is based on subdomain method. It involves solution of governing field equations in a doubly-slotted airgap using the variable separation method. The complete model is derived and described in a general manner so that it can be easily extended to unconventional FE-SF topologies. By means of example, analytical predictions of airgap field are extensively compared and validated using 2D FE results. FE simulations were performed on a 24-10 classical FE-SF structure and also, on a novel 18-11 FE-SF machine with additional spacer teeth.
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I. INTRODUCTION

PRINCIPLE of flux-switching can be tracked back in the 50’s and was originally validated on a single-phase flux-switching alternator [1]. Over the last decade, there has been an increasing interest in Flux-Switching, also named Switched-Flux (SF) machines, particularly Permanent Magnet-excited (PM-SF) polyphased topologies [2][3]. Since then, suitability of SF-PM machines for various applications has been confirmed by the considerable amount of work carried out by researchers, particularly in United-kingdom[4][5][6], China [7][8][9], France [10][11][12] and Japan [13].

Switched-flux machines have bipolar phase flux-linkage waveform resulting in a sinusoidal-like back-electromotive force (EMF), despite their doubly-slotted airgap. Moreover, during the design stage, the back-EMF can be optimized to reduce its harmonic content since it strongly depends on stator and rotor relative slot opening. It worth mentioning their rugged structure, with a passive rotor similar to that of Switched Reluctance machines, and suitable for high-speed operation. In addition, all active parts (concentrated phase windings and PM) are housed in the stator allowing brushless operations with reduced maintenance and eased cooling. For all these reasons, SF-PM appears to be eligible for many industrial applications that are increasingly demanding for electromagnetic devices combining high torque density, high efficiency and robustness.

However, the constant field provided by magnets in PM-SF structures was not in accordance with requirements of variable speed applications, notably a good field-weakening capability. Hence, Hybrid-Excited Switched-Flux (HE-SF) machines were proposed. Those topologies combine both PM and an additional DC winding fluxes to achieve a good flux control capability. The wealth of literature on HE-SF machines can be sorted into two groups, i.e. series flux path [14][15] or parallels flux path [16] HE-FS machines, depending on DC coils location and the flux control principle. It worth mentioning the recent work [17], where a novel HE-FS topology with excitation coils located in stator slots is presented. Its innovative flux control principle is explained and further validated with experiments. Authors showed, trough Finite Element (FE) simulations, that this topology belongs to both series and parallels flux path HE-SF machines, depending on the rotor teeth number.

Recent published works have unlocked new avenues and show interesting prospects for the future of HE-SF topologies, especially for applications requiring extended constant power operation range with improved efficiency [10][18]. Nevertheless, risks of supply-chain disruptions for some rare earth materials in short-term led governments, industrial organizations and researchers to rethink their approach.

The Field-Excited Switched-Flux (FE-SF) machine may be a prime candidate to overcome those risks. So far, despite a general agreement on their attractive low-cost topology, FE-SF topology has been much less investigated than the corresponding PM-SF machine. To the author’s knowledge, just two topologies of FE-FS machines are mentioned in literature, while numerous new PM-excited topologies have been developed, as highlighted in [4]. Classical FE-SF structures with overlapping windings are investigated in [19][20], while a modular rotor topology with non-overlapping windings is presented in [21].

Generally, Finite Elements methods are preferred to assess electromagnetic performances of FS machines. Indeed, their complex structure with a doubly-slotted airgap, together with high-flux focusing effect, mainly in PM-SF machines, may require accounting for the non-linear behavior of magnetic material. Despite their excellent accuracy, FE simulations are severely limited by computational time requirements, and thus, exploration of various designs is directly affected. To go beyond those limitations, some authors proposed models of PM-FS and HE-FS topologies in a more analytical manner, using Magnetic Equivalent Circuit (MEC) [22][23], Fourier analysis...
method [24], spatial discretization methods [25] and tooth contour methods [26]. In reference [27], an analytical model for classical FE-SF machines based on Magnetomotive Force-Permeance theory was proposed. This analytical field model can fairly predict the radial component of the flux-density in the airgap to determine the main performances at no-load, as flux-linkage or back-EMF. However, it neglects slots leakage, mutual influence between slots and cannot predict tangential component of magnetic field to assess the electromagnetic torque through the Maxwell stress tensor. Moreover, this model was just bounded to classical FE-FS machines. Indeed, no references in the literature addressing the issue of an exact analytical model for both classical and alternate Field-Excited Switched-Flux machines were found, while it is of first interest to improve the analysis and the design of unconventional FE-FS machines.

Regarding modeling techniques to account for the slotting effect, two approaches are mainly reported in the literature. Some authors propose to use a relative permeance function that could modulate the airgap field calculated without slots. The permeance function can be derived using conformal transformation and considering infinitely deep slot [28][29][30][31]. Others works derive a modulating function assuming idealized flux-lines under the slot[32][33][34][35]. It was as well proposed an exact permeance function accounting for all the slot dimensions in [36]. Another approach is named subdomain model. The main idea consists in solving directly the governing field equations in different domains, and applying boundary conditions on the interfaces between subdomains [37][38][39][40][41][42]. In so doing, it is possible to derive an exact expression of the magnetic field.

The objective of this paper is to derive an analytical solution of the magnetic field in classical and alternate Field-Excited Switched-Flux machines based on subdomain method to predict both open circuit, armature reaction and on-load field. The approach assumes that the magnetic material is linear. This article has been organized in the following way. Firstly, the classical and unconventional topologies are briefly introduced to determine a simplified geometry to model. Then, exact magnetic field solution in the doubly slotted airgap of FE-SF machines is proposed. The analytical field expression in each subdomain is derived by the variable separation method. Afterwards, boundary and interface conditions are applied to set up a system of linear equations. In the fourth section, extensive comparisons with flux-density distributions obtained by FE simulations come to validate the analytical model.

II. CONVENTIONAL AND ALTERNATE FE-SF TOPOLOGIES

In this section, conventional and alternate Field-Excited Switched-Flux machines are introduced. Contrary to PM-excited topologies, FE-SF machines have received less attention in the research community. Classical FE-SF machines with single or double-layer windings are presented in Fig. 1.(a) and (b). It should be noted that the rotor pole number is not the same between these topologies. Indeed, in FE-SF machines, the stator-rotor teeth combination is not fixed and may create modification in windings configurations. An unconventional FE-SF machine is depicted in Fig. 1.(c). It has additional spacer teeth, highlighted in dark-grey color, linking two flux-switching cells, and single layer windings. Also, this topology has less DC excitation slots leading to reduced cost and greater efficiency, because of reduced excitation Joule losses. A similar PM-excited topology was reported in [43], however, this field-excited topology has never been published or studied to the author’s knowledge.

The main idea of this work is to derive an analytical solution of the magnetic field in the doubly-slotted airgap of FE-SF machines as general as possible, and flexible enough for an eased extension to unconventional machines having static DC excitation winding. Earlier in this paragraph, we presented some conventional and alternate FE-SF machines, but the foregoing model is not restricted to these structures. For illustrative purposes, the airgap field of the topology reported in [44] could be modeled according to the subsequent analytical magnetic field solution.
III. MAGNETIC FIELD SOLUTION IN THE DOUBLY-SLOTTED AIRGAP OF FE-SF MACHINES

Considering the above mentioned topologies (Fig. 1), a general geometrical model is proposed in Fig. 2. As can be seen, the field domain is divided into 3 subdomains, viz. airgap (domain I), rotor slots (domains i) and stator slots (domains j). Stator and rotor slots opening are \( \beta_s \theta_s \) and \( \beta_r \theta_r \) respectively. The angular position in the airgap is defined with \( \nu \), and \( \theta \) corresponds to the rotor position.

In order to derive a general analytical framework for FE-SF machines, a non overlapping winding configuration is studied, i.e. with two different current densities sharing the same stator slot. This will later enable to predict electromagnetic performances of single- or double-layers configurations, and then, determine field distributions of unconventional FE-SF topologies just by modifying windings (DC excitation and phase) location.

**Double-layer Winding Configuration**

![Fig. 2. Doubly-salient geometry of FS machine with \( N_s = 12 \) and \( N_r = 7 \) with its double-layer windings](image)

Some assumptions are made in order to simplify the problem:

- Infinite permeability of rotor and stator core, hence, no-magnetic saturation of iron regions is considered.
- Non-conductive stator or rotor laminated iron sheets (No eddy currents)
- 2D problem (end effects are neglected) with a uniform current density in coil’s conductor area and only one component along the z-axis.
- Stator and rotor slots have radial sides.

According to the 2D problem assumption, the magnetic vector potential \( \vec{A} \) has only one component along the z-direction and only depends on \( r \) and \( \nu \) coordinates.

The partial differential equations (PDE) that are governing the magnetic field behavior in a continuous/isotropic region in term of magnetic vector potential are Laplace’s equations for rotor slots,

\[
\Delta A_i = 0, \text{ in the } i^{th} \text{ rotor slot (Region } i) \quad (1)
\]

and airgap,

\[
\Delta A_f = 0, \text{ in the airgap (Region } I) \quad (2)
\]

and Poisson’s equation for stator slots,

\[
\Delta A_j = -\mu_0 J_j, \text{ in the } j^{th} \text{ rotor slot (Region } j) \quad (3)
\]

with \( J_j \) the stator slot current density and \( \mu_0 \) the vacuum permeability.

A. General Solution of Laplace’s Equation in Airgap (Region I)

The Laplace’s equation (2) governing the field in the airgap domain, can be rewritten in polar coordinates as

\[
\frac{\partial^2 A_I}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial A_I}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 A_I}{\partial \nu^2} = 0 \quad (4)
\]

with \( R_e \) the external radius of the rotor, \( R_s \) the internal radius. Also, it should be noted that the whole airgap is considered, i.e. over a \( 2\pi \)-mechanical angle. That will allow us to account for non-periodic geometries, viz. with \( \gcd(N_s, N_r) = 1 \). \( N_s \) and \( N_r \) are respectively the number of stator and rotor teeth.

The general solution of (4) can be found by separating the variable \( r \) and \( \nu \), so that the solution can be written as

\[
A_I(r, \nu) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \left[ A_n^{(I)} \left( \frac{r}{R_e} \right)^{-n} + B_n^{(I)} \left( \frac{r}{R_s} \right)^n \right] \cos(n\nu) + \left[ C_n^{(I)} \left( \frac{r}{R_e} \right)^{-n} + D_n^{(I)} \left( \frac{r}{R_s} \right)^n \right] \sin(n\nu) \quad (5)
\]

with \( A_n, B_n, C_n \) and \( D_n \) Fourier coefficients to be determined.

B. General Solution of Poisson’s Equation in Stator Slots (Region j)

In each stator slots, we have to solve the Poisson’s equation, defined by (3), to determine the vector-potential distribution. According to the superposition law, the general solution is the sum of the corresponding Laplace’s equation (with \( J_j = 0 \)) and a particular solution \( A_{j_0} \) of its own. As previously, assuming a polar coordinate system, equation (3) becomes

\[
\frac{\partial^2 A_j}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial A_j}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 A_j}{\partial \nu^2} = -\mu_0 J_j \quad (6)
\]

We first consider the solution of the corresponding Laplace’s equation. Fig. 3 presents the slotted stator geometry with the associated boundary conditions. Since stator core is assumed to be highly permeable, Neumann boundary conditions are considered respectively on each tooth sides and at the bottom
of the slot. Finally, the $j^{th}$ stator slot is associated with the following boundary conditions,

$$
\frac{\partial A_j}{\partial r} (r, \nu = \alpha_j \pm \frac{\beta_s \theta_s}{2}) = 0 \text{ for } r \in [R_s, R_{se}] \quad (7)
$$

and

$$
\frac{\partial A_j}{\partial \nu} (r = R_{se}, \nu) = 0 \text{ for } \nu \in [\theta_j, \theta_j + \beta_s \theta_s] \quad (8)
$$

To satisfy boundary conditions (8) and assuming a solution with separated variables, it is possible to express the vector-potential $A_j$ as,

$$
A_j (r, \nu) = A_j^{(j)} + B_j^{(j)} \ln (r) + \sum_{q \geq 1} \left[ A_j^{(j)} r^{-q \pi \sigma_j \pi_s} + B_j^{(j)} r^{q \pi \sigma_j \pi_s} \right] \cos \left( q \frac{\pi}{\beta_s \theta_s} (\nu - \theta_j) \right) \quad (9)
$$

where $R_{se}$ the radius of the slot bottom and $A_j^{(0)}$, $B_j^{(0)}$, $A_j^{(j)}$ and $B_j^{(j)}$ coefficients to be determined.

We now have to determine a particular solution of (6). To do so, we first consider the non-overlapping winding depicted in Fig. 4. Since the current density is uniform over each coil, the current density distribution $J_q$ is radius-independent, and can be defined by a function by parts over $[\theta_j, \theta_j + 2\beta_s \theta_s]$ intervals as shown in Fig. 4,

$$
J_q (r, \nu) = \begin{cases} 
J_{ph1}, & \forall \nu \in [\theta_j, \theta_j + \beta_s \theta_s] \\
J_{ph2}, & \forall \nu \in [\theta_j + \frac{2\beta_s \theta_s}{2}, \theta_j + 2\beta_s \theta_s] 
\end{cases} \quad (10)
$$

Expanding (10) into Fourier series over $[\theta_j, \theta_j + 2\beta_s \theta_s]$, it yields to,

$$
J_j (\nu) = J_{j0} + \sum_{q \geq 1} J_{jq} \cos \left( q \frac{\pi}{\beta_s \theta_s} (\nu - \theta_j) \right)
$$

for $\nu \in [\theta_j, \theta_j + \beta_s \theta_s]$ (11)

with the mean value,

$$
J_{j0} = \frac{J_{ph1} + J_{ph2}}{2} \quad (12)
$$

and the following Fourier series coefficients,

$$
J_{jq} = \frac{2}{T} \int_{\theta_j}^{\theta_j + \beta_s \theta_s} J_j (\nu) \cos \left( q \frac{\pi}{\beta_s \theta_s} \nu \right) d\nu
$$

$$
= \frac{2}{q \pi} \left( J_{ph1} - J_{ph2} \right) \sin \left( q \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \quad (13)
$$

Considering the form of the current density distribution (See Eq. (11)), a particular solution $A_{j\nu}$ can be found as,

$$
A_{j\nu} (\nu) = -\mu_0 \frac{J_{j0}}{4} r^2 - \sum_{q \geq 1} \frac{J_{jq}}{4 - \left( q \frac{\pi \sigma_j \pi_s}{\beta_s \theta_s} \right)^2} \cos \left( q \frac{\pi \sigma_j \pi_s}{\beta_s \theta_s} (\nu - \theta_j) \right) \quad (14)
$$

Therefore, the vector-potential $A_j$ in the $j$th slot can be expressed as,

$$
A_j (r, \nu) = A_j^{(j)} + B_j^{(j)} \ln (r) - \mu_0 \frac{J_{j0}}{4} r^2 + \sum_{q \geq 1} \left[ A_j^{(j)} r^{-q \pi \sigma_j \pi_s} + B_j^{(j)} r^{q \pi \sigma_j \pi_s} - \mu_0 \frac{J_{jq}}{4 - \left( q \frac{\pi \sigma_j \pi_s}{\beta_s \theta_s} \right)^2} \right] \cos \left( q \frac{\pi \sigma_j \pi_s}{\beta_s \theta_s} (\nu - \theta_j) \right) \quad (15)
$$
Accordingly to the Neumann boundary condition at the slot bottom, defined by (7), the number of unknown independent coefficients can be reduced,

\[ B^{(j)}_0 = \mu_0 \frac{J_0}{2} R_{se}^2 \]  

(16)

and

\[ B^{(j)}_q = A_q^{(j)} R_{se}^{-q \frac{\beta ri \beta r}{\beta j \beta j}} + 2 \mu_0 \frac{J_{jq}}{4 - (q \frac{\pi}{\beta j \beta j})^2} R_{se}^{-q \frac{\beta ri \beta r}{\beta j \beta j} + 2} \]  

(17)

Finally, from (15), (16) and (17), the general solution of the vector-potential \( A_j \) in the \( j \)th slot can be derived as

\[ A_j (r, \nu) = A_0^{(j)} + \mu_0 \frac{J_0}{2} \left( R_{se}^2 \ln (r) - \frac{r^2}{2} \right) + \sum_{q \geq 1} A_q^{(j)} \left( \frac{r}{R_{si}} \right)^{-q \frac{\beta ri \beta r}{\beta j \beta j}} + \beta_1 \left( \frac{r}{R_{se}} \right)^{q \frac{\beta ri \beta r}{\beta j \beta j}} \]

\[ - \mu_0 \frac{J_{jq}}{4 - (q \frac{\pi}{\beta j \beta j})^2} \left( r^2 - \frac{2 R_{se}^2}{q \frac{\pi}{\beta j \beta j}} \right) \left( \frac{r}{R_{se}} \right)^{q \frac{\beta ri \beta r}{\beta j \beta j}} \cos \left( q \frac{\pi}{\beta j \beta j} (\nu - \theta_j) \right) \]

(18)

with

\[ \beta_1 = \left( \frac{R_{si}}{R_{se}} \right)^{q \frac{\beta ri \beta r}{\beta j \beta j}} \]  

(19)

\( A_0^{(j)} \) and \( A_q^{(j)} \) are coefficients to be determined later.

**C. General Solution of Laplace’s Equation in Rotor Slots (Region i)**

The field behavior in the \( i \)th rotor slot is governed by the following Laplace’s equation,

\[ \frac{\partial^2 A_i}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 A_i}{\partial \nu^2} = 0 \]  

\( r \in [R_{ri}, R_{re}] \)
\( \nu \in [\beta_i, \theta_i \pm \beta_r, \theta_r] \)

(20)

in polar coordinates. \( R_{ri} \) is the radius of the rotor slot bottom.

In Fig. 5 an idealized rotor slot geometry is presented. As previously, interfaces between air and an highly permeable iron lead again to Neumann boundary conditions,

\[ \frac{\partial A_i (r, \nu = \alpha_i \pm \frac{\beta_r \theta_r}{2})}{\partial \nu} = 0 \]  

for \( r \in [R_{ri}, R_{re}] \)

(21)

for each rotor tooth side, and

\[ \frac{\partial A_i (r = R_{ri}, \nu)}{\partial r} = 0 \]  

\( \nu \in [\theta_i, \theta_i + \beta_r, \theta_r] \)

(22)

for the rotor slot bottom, and where \( \alpha_i = \alpha + \theta \) with \( \alpha_i = \theta_i (1 - \frac{\beta_r}{2}) \). Considering the Neumann boundary condition (21), it is possible to look into solution of the form,

\[ A_i (r, \nu) = A_0^{(i)} + \sum_{k \geq 1} A_k^{(i)} \left( \frac{r}{R_{ri}} \right)^{-k \frac{\pi}{\beta_i \beta_i}} + \beta_2 \left( \frac{r}{R_{ri}} \right)^{k \frac{\pi}{\beta_i \beta_i}} \cos \left( k \frac{\pi}{\beta_i \beta_i} (\nu - \theta_i) \right) \]

(23)

The second boundary condition, defined by (22), helps us to determine some unknown coefficients, so that, the general expression of vector-potential \( A_i \) in the \( i \)th slot can be expressed as,

\[ A_i (r, \nu) = A_0^{(i)} + \sum_{k \geq 1} A_k^{(i)} \left[ \beta_2 \left( \frac{r}{R_{re}} \right)^{-k \frac{\pi}{\beta_i \beta_i}} + \left( \frac{r}{R_{re}} \right)^{k \frac{\pi}{\beta_i \beta_i}} \cos \left( k \frac{\pi}{\beta_i \beta_i} (\nu - \theta_i) \right) \right] \]

(24)

with

\[ \beta_2 = \left( \frac{R_{re}}{R_{ri}} \right)^{-k \frac{\pi}{\beta_i \beta_i}} \]  

(25)

The constant terms \( A_0^{(i)} \) and \( A_k^{(i)} \) are coefficients to be determined.

**D. Boundary and Interface Conditions**

Now that general expressions of vector-potential in each subdomain have been derived, we need to apply boundary and interface conditions to determine the unknown coefficients \( A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n, A_0^{(i)}, A_k^{(i)}, A_0^{(j)}, \) and \( A_k^{(j)} \).

Basically, interface conditions between two subdomains in term of vector-potential have to ensure,

- the continuity of vector-potential ;
the continuity of the normal derivative of vector-potential, equivalent to the continuity of the tangential magnetic field since both stator slots, airgap region and rotor slots have the same magnetic permeability \( \mu_r \).

To this end, the boundary integral method is applied to this problem and detailed in the following paragraphs.

1) Continuity of the Normal Derivative of Vector-potential

As specified beforehand, the normal derivative of the vector-potential has to be continuous between each subdomain. However, because of slotted stator and rotor, ensuring this condition requires some analytical developments.

A schematic representation of the airgap domain, surrounded by stator or rotor teeth, is proposed Fig. 6. When the airgap is facing a tooth - (stator or rotor), the infinite permeability of core allows us to consider that the normal derivative of vector-potential in the airgap is null. Elsewhere, the airgap vector-potential normal derivative should equal either stator or rotor slots normal derivative of vector-potential. Finally, it can be written that,

\[
\frac{\partial A_i (r, \nu)}{\partial r} \bigg|_{r=R_{ce}} = \Omega_1 (\nu)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial A_i (r, \nu)}{\partial r} \bigg|_{r=R_{si}} = \Omega_2 (\nu)
\]

at the airgap external radius \( r = R_{ce} \), i.e. airgap-stator interface. It should be noted that two functions, respectively \( \Omega_1 (\nu) \) and \( \Omega_2 (\nu) \), are introduced. They refer to Fourier series expansions over the whole airgap, i.e. over \([0, 2\pi]\), of conditions (26) and (27).

First of all, from (24), the normal derivative of vector-potential in the \( ri \)th slot at the airgap-rotor interface \((r = R_{re})\) is found to be,

\[
\frac{\partial A_i (r, \nu)}{\partial r} \bigg|_{r=R_{re}} = \sum_{k \geq 1} A_k^{(i)} \Lambda_{1ki} \cos \left( k \frac{\pi}{\beta_r} (\nu - \theta_i) \right)
\]

with

\[
\Lambda_{1ki} = \left( k \frac{\pi}{\beta_r, \theta_\nu} \right) \left[ \frac{1}{R_{re}} - \frac{\beta_2}{R_{ri}} \left( \frac{R_{re}}{R_{ri}} \right)^{-k} \frac{\pi}{\beta_r, \theta_\nu} \right]^{-1}
\]

and from (18), the normal derivative of vector-potential in the \( j \)th slot at the airgap-stator interface \((r = R_{si})\) is,

\[
\frac{\partial A_j (r, \nu)}{\partial r} \bigg|_{r=R_{si}} = \beta_3 + \sum_{q \geq 1} \left[ \Lambda_{1qj} - \Lambda_{2qj} \right] \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{\beta_s, \theta_s} (\nu - \theta_j) \right)
\]

with,

\[
\beta_3 = \mu_0 \frac{J_{00}}{2} \left( \frac{R_{se}}{R_{si}} \right)^2 - 1
\]

\[
\Lambda_{1qj} = \left( \frac{\pi}{\beta_s, \theta_s} \right) \left[ \frac{1}{R_{si}} + \frac{\beta_1}{R_{se}} \left( \frac{R_{se}}{R_{si}} \right)^{q} \frac{\pi}{\beta_s, \theta_s} \right]^{-1}
\]

\[
\Lambda_{2qj} = 2 \mu_0 \frac{J_{qj}}{4} \left( \frac{\pi}{\beta_s, \theta_s} \right)^{q} \left( R_{si} - R_{se} \left( \frac{R_{se}}{R_{si}} \right)^{q} \right) \frac{\pi}{\beta_s, \theta_s} \right]^{-1}
\]

Now that expressions of the normal derivative of vector-potential in stator or rotor slots are derived (See Eqs. (28) and (30)), functions \( \Omega_1 (\nu) \) and \( \Omega_2 (\nu) \) can be extented into Fourier series. Regarding the Fourier series expansion of \( \Omega_1 (\nu) \),

\[
\Omega_1 (\nu) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \Gamma_{1n} \sin (n \nu) + \Gamma_{1n} \sin (n \nu)
\]

Fourier series coefficients \( \Gamma_{1n} \) and \( \Gamma_{1n} \) can be determined from (26) and (28) as follows,

\[
\Gamma_{1n} = \frac{2\pi}{n} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial A_i (r, \nu)}{\partial r} \bigg|_{r=R_{re}} \cos (n \nu) d\nu
\]

\[
\Gamma_{1n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} \frac{\theta_i + \beta_r, \theta_\nu}{\theta_i} \int_{\theta_i}^{\theta_{i+1}} \frac{\partial A_i (r, \nu)}{\partial r} \bigg|_{r=R_{re}} \cos (n \nu) d\nu
\]

\[
\Gamma_{1n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} \sum_{k \geq 1} A_k^{(i)} \Lambda_{1k} \alpha_{k, n, i}
\]
and,

\[
\Gamma_{1n} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{\partial A_k(r, \nu)}{\partial r} \bigg|_{r=R_{re}} \sin (n\nu) \, d\nu \\
= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\nu}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{\partial A_k(r, \nu)}{\partial r} \bigg|_{r=R_{re}} \sin (n\nu) \, d\nu \\
= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\nu}} \sum_{k \geq 1} A_k^{(i)} \Lambda_{1k} \sigma_{k,n,i}
\]

(36)

with \(\alpha_{k,n,i}\) and \(\sigma_{k,n,i}\) defined respectively by (37) and (38).

As can be seen, in previous integrals (37) and (38), we accounted for the case when \(k\pi = n\beta_r \theta_r\). Another solution lies in the development of those integrals in the form of a product of trigonometric and sine cardinal functions. This development could be meaningful during the numerical implementation, avoiding any conditions on value of denominator.

After calculations, it is possible to write

\[
\alpha_{k,n,i} = \frac{\beta_r \theta_r}{2} \left\{ \cos \left( n \left( \theta_i + \frac{\beta_r \theta_r}{2} \right) - k \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right. \\
+ \left. \cos \left( n \left( \theta_i + \frac{\beta_r \theta_r}{2} \right) + k \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \sin \left( k \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{n\beta_r}{N_r} \right) \right\}
\]

(39)

and

\[
\sigma_{k,n,i} = \frac{\beta_r \theta_r}{2} \left\{ \sin \left( n \left( \theta_i + \frac{\beta_r \theta_r}{2} \right) - k \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right. \\
+ \left. \sin \left( n \left( \theta_i + \frac{\beta_r \theta_r}{2} \right) + k \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \sin \left( k \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{n\beta_r}{N_r} \right) \right\}
\]

(40)

The same procedure is applied to determine Fourier series coefficients \(\Gamma_{2n}\) and \(\Gamma_{2n}\) of function \(\Omega_2(\nu)\),

\[
\Omega_2(\nu) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \Gamma_{2n} \cos (n\nu) + \Gamma_{2n} \sin (n\nu)
\]

(41)

with

\[
\Gamma_{2n} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{\partial A_j(r, \nu)}{\partial r} \bigg|_{r=R_{re}} \cos (n\nu) \, d\nu \\
= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\nu}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{\partial A_j(r, \nu)}{\partial r} \bigg|_{r=R_{re}} \cos (n\nu) \, d\nu \\
= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\nu}} \left\{ \beta_3 \sigma_{n,j} + \sum_{q \geq 1} A_q^{(i)} \Lambda_{1q} \sigma_{q,n,j} - \Lambda_{2q} \sigma_{q,n,j} \right\}
\]

(42)

and

\[
\Gamma_{2n} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{\partial A_j(r, \nu)}{\partial r} \bigg|_{r=R_{re}} \sin (n\nu) \, d\nu \\
= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\nu}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{\partial A_j(r, \nu)}{\partial r} \bigg|_{r=R_{re}} \sin (n\nu) \, d\nu \\
= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\nu}} \left\{ \beta_3 \sigma_{n,j} + \sum_{q \geq 1} A_q^{(i)} \Lambda_{1q} \sigma_{q,n,j} - \Lambda_{2q} \sigma_{q,n,j} \right\}
\]

(43)

Integrals \(\alpha_{q,n,j}\) and \(\sigma_{q,n,j}\) can be derived as follows,

\[
\alpha_{q,n,j} = \frac{\beta_s \theta_s}{2} \left\{ \cos \left( n \left( \theta_j + \frac{\beta_s \theta_s}{2} \right) \right) - q \frac{\pi}{2} \right\} \sin \left( q \frac{n\beta_s}{N_s} \right)
\]

(44)

\[
\sigma_{q,n,j} = \frac{\beta_s \theta_s}{2} \left\{ \sin \left( n \left( \theta_j + \frac{\beta_s \theta_s}{2} \right) \right) - q \frac{\pi}{2} \right\} \sin \left( q \frac{n\beta_s}{N_s} \right)
\]

(45)

The normal derivative of vector-potential in the airgap region can be expressed at its internal radius \((r = R_{re})\) as follows,

\[
\frac{\partial A_j(r, \nu)}{\partial r} \bigg|_{r=R_{re}} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \left[ - \frac{A_q^{(l)}}{R_{re}} \frac{R_{re}}{R_{si}} \right]^{n-1} \sin (n\nu)
\]

(46)

ans at its external radius \((r = R_{si})\),

\[
\frac{\partial A_j(r, \nu)}{\partial r} \bigg|_{r=R_{si}} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \left[ - \frac{A_q^{(l)}}{R_{re}} + \frac{D_q^{(l)}}{R_{re}} \right]^{n-1} \sin (n\nu)
\]

(47)

According to boundary conditions (26) and (27), and from (34), (41), (46) and (47), we can set up the following equations,
\[ \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N_r} \sum_{k \geq 1} A_k^{(i)} A_{1k} \alpha_{k,n,i} = n \left[ \frac{A_n^{(I)}}{R_{re}} + \frac{B_n^{(I)}}{R_{si}} \left( \frac{R_{re}}{R_{si}} \right)^{n-1} \right] \] (48)

\[ \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{N_r} \left\{ \beta_3 \alpha_{n,j} + \sum_{q \geq 1} A_q^{(i)} A_{1q} \alpha_{q,n,j} - 2 \alpha_{q,n,j} \right\} = n \left[ -\frac{A_n^{(I)}}{R_{re}} \left( \frac{R_{si}}{R_{re}} \right)^{-n-1} + \frac{B_n^{(I)}}{R_{si}} \right] \] (49)

\[ \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N_r} \sum_{k \geq 1} A_k^{(i)} A_{1k} \sigma_{k,n,i} = n \left[ -\frac{C_n^{(I)}}{R_{re}} \left( \frac{R_{si}}{R_{re}} \right)^{-n-1} + \frac{D_n^{(I)}}{R_{si}} \right] \] (50)

2) Continuity of Vector-potential

The second condition that has to be ensured is the continuity of vector-potential between two domains (Fig. 7). For the internal radius of the airgap \( r = R_{re} \), it means that the vector-potential of the airgap over each rotor slot equals the vector-potential of the rotor slots,

\[ A_i (r, \nu) \big|_{r=R_{re}} = A_I (r, \nu) \big|_{r=R_{re}} \text{ for } \nu \in \theta_i, \theta_i + \beta_r, \theta_i \] (52)

Similarly, at the airgap external radius \( r = R_{si} \), the vector-potential of the airgap over each stator slot has to equal the vector-potential of the corresponding stator slot,

\[ A_j (r, \nu) \big|_{r=R_{si}} = A_I (r, \nu) \big|_{r=R_{si}} \text{ for } \nu \in \theta_j, \theta_j + \beta_s, \theta_j \] (53)

However, expressions of vector-potential in each region do not have the same spacial frequency. This means that vector-potential of airgap over each stator and rotor slots has to be extended into Fourier series to satisfy the vector-potential continuity condition.

Hereafter, we first consider condition (52). The airgap vector-potential expression (5) is expanded into Fourier series and

\[ \alpha_{k,n,i} = \int_{\theta_i}^{\theta_i+\beta_r, \theta_i} \cos \left( k \frac{\pi}{\beta_r, \theta_i} (\nu - \theta_i) \right) \cos (n \nu) d\nu = \begin{cases} \frac{n (\beta_r, \theta_i)^2 (\sin(n \theta_i) - \sin(n \theta_i + \beta_r, \theta_i)) (-1)^k}{k \pi^2} & \text{for } k \pi \neq n \beta_r, \theta_i \\ \frac{\beta_r, \theta_i \cos(n \theta_i)}{2} & \text{for } k \pi = n \beta_r, \theta_i \end{cases} \] (37)

\[ \sigma_{k,n,i} = \int_{\theta_i}^{\theta_i+\beta_r, \theta_i} \cos \left( k \frac{\pi}{\beta_r, \theta_i} (\nu - \theta_i) \right) \sin (n \nu) d\nu = \begin{cases} \frac{-n (\beta_r, \theta_i)^2 (\cos(n \theta_i) - \cos(n \theta_i + \beta_r, \theta_i)) (-1)^k}{k \pi^2} & \text{for } k \pi \neq n \beta_r, \theta_i \\ \frac{\beta_r, \theta_i \sin(n \theta_i)}{2} & \text{for } k \pi = n \beta_r, \theta_i \end{cases} \] (38)
Fig. 8. Distribution of equipotential lines of magnetic vector-potential in air-gap and rotor/stator slot regions with the analytical model (a) and 2D FE simulation (b). Classical 24-10 FE-SF topology at no-load - \( I_{ex} = 1200 \text{A} \cdot \text{tr} \cdot \beta_s = 0.5 \cdot \beta_r = 0.7 \cdot N = 150 \cdot K = Q = 20 \)

\[
\sigma_{n,i} = \int_{\theta_i}^{\theta_i+\beta_s,\theta_s} \sin (n\nu) \, d\nu = \cos (n\theta_i) - \cos (n(\theta_i + \beta_r,\theta_r))
\]

and for the \( k \)th harmonic, we can write that

\[
A_k^{(i)} = \frac{1}{\beta_s,\theta_s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left[ A_n^{(i)} + B_n^{(i)} \left( \frac{R_{re}}{R_{si}} \right) \right]^{n} \alpha_{n,k,i} + \left[ C_n^{(i)} + D_n^{(i)} \left( \frac{R_{re}}{R_{si}} \right) \right]^{n} \sigma_{n,k,i} \right\}
\]

From (18) and (5), it is possible to derive the Fourier series expression of the airgap vector-potential over the \( j \)th stator slot opening at \( r = R_{si} \),

\[
A_0^{(j)} + \frac{J_{j0}}{2} \sum_{\theta_j+\beta_s,\theta_s} \left[ R_{re} \ln (R_{si}) - \frac{R_{si}^2}{2} \right]
\]

and for the mean value, and

\[
\alpha_{n,j} = \int_{\theta_j}^{\theta_j+\beta_s,\theta_s} \cos (n\nu) \, d\nu = \frac{\sin (n(\theta_j + \beta_s,\theta_s)) - \sin (n\theta_j)}{n}
\]

\[
\sigma_{n,j} = \int_{\theta_j}^{\theta_j+\beta_s,\theta_s} \sin (n\nu) \, d\nu = \frac{\cos (n\theta_j) - \cos (n(\theta_j + \beta_s,\theta_s))}{n}
\]
for the $q$th harmonic term.

Finally, equations (48), (49), (50), (51), (54), (57), (58) and (61) can be rewritten into matrix and vector form to get a numerical solution of the unknown coefficients $A_n$, $B_n$, $C_n$, $D_n$, $A_{l0}$, $A_{k0}$, $A_{lq}$ and $A_{kq}$. It should be noted that mean values of vector-potential in rotor slots $A_{l0}$ and stator slots $A_{k0}$ are not primarily needed to solve the linear system. They could be evaluated afterwards using airgap harmonic coefficients obtained numerically.

IV. AIRGAP FIELD CALCULATIONS AND FINITE ELEMENTS COMPARISONS

The foregoing analytical model for conventional and alternate Field-Excited Switched-Flux topologies is used to determine both no-load, armature reaction and on-load magnetic field distribution at the mean airgap radius. The main machines dimensions are reminded in Table I. Analytical airgap field predictions are extensively compared to 2D FE calculations. As for the analytical model, a highly permeable linear material ($\mu_r = 5000$) is considered in the 2D FE simulations. Also, in 2D FE simulations, we considered structures having straight teeth.

The vector-potential in the middle of the airgap can be directly evaluated from (5) as follows,

$$
\frac{2}{\beta_s \theta_s} \int_{\theta_j}^{\theta_j + \beta_s \theta_s} A_1 (r = R_{si}, \nu) \cos \left( q \frac{\pi}{\beta_s \theta_s} (\nu - \theta_j) \right) d\nu
$$

$$
= \frac{2}{\beta_s \theta_s} \left\{ \sum_{n \geq 1} \left[ A_n^{(l)} \left( \frac{R_{si}}{R_{re}} \right)^{-n} + B_n^{(l)} \right] \alpha_{q,n,j} + \left[ C_n^{(l)} \left( \frac{R_{si}}{R_{re}} \right)^{-n} + D_n^{(l)} \right] \sigma_{q,n,j} \right\}
$$

(61)
Finally, it yields to

\[ B_i^{(I)}(R_e, \nu) = \sum_{n \geq 1} n \left[ \frac{C_n^{(I)}}{R_{re}} \left( \frac{R_e}{R_{re}} \right)^{-n} + \frac{D_n^{(I)}}{R_e} \left( \frac{R_e}{R_{si}} \right)^n \right] \cos(n\nu) \]

\[ -n \left[ \frac{A_n^{(I)}}{R_{re}} \left( \frac{R_e}{R_{re}} \right)^n \cos(n\nu) + \frac{B_n^{(I)}}{R_e} \left( \frac{R_e}{R_{si}} \right)^n \sin(n\nu) \right] \] (63)

for the radial component of flux density \( B_i^{(I)}(R_e, \nu) \), and

\[ H_i^{(I)}(R_e, \nu) = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \sum_{n \geq 1} n \left[ \frac{A_n^{(I)}}{R_{re}} \left( \frac{R_e}{R_{re}} \right)^{-n-1} - \frac{B_n^{(I)}}{R_{re}} \left( \frac{R_e}{R_{si}} \right)^{n-1} \cos(n\nu) \right] \]

\[ +n \left[ \frac{C_n^{(I)}}{R_{re}} \left( \frac{R_e}{R_{re}} \right)^{-n-1} - \frac{D_n^{(I)}}{R_e} \left( \frac{R_e}{R_{si}} \right)^{n-1} \sin(n\nu) \right] \] (64)

for the tangential component of magnetic field \( H_i^{(I)}(R_e, \nu) \).

**A. Classical FE-SF machine**

We first investigate classical FE-SF machine with 24 stator slots, 10 rotor teeth and a double-layer winding configuration. The vector-potential in the whole airgap, including rotor and stator slots is analytically calculated according to (5), (24) and (18) and equipotential lines of vector-potential are depicted in Fig. 8.

As can be seen, boundary conditions between each region are respected. In Fig. 8.b, equipotential lines of \( A \) obtained with a 2D Finite Element Software are proposed, including the ferromagnetic parts. Regarding the distribution of \( A \) in the airgap domain, it is shown that the analytical model gives us an excellent evaluation of \( A \). We compared in Figs. 9, 10 and 11, distributions of vector-potential \( A \), radial flux density \( B_r \) and circumferential magnetic field \( H_\theta \) in the airgap \( (r = R_e) \) at no-load (only DC excitation windings powered), armature reaction and on-load conditions respectively. Analytical predictions are in close agreement with those computed by 2D FE.

In addition, the airgap field, either radial or circumferential, presents a high harmonic content. Nevertheless, the analytical model still exhibits high accuracy. This gives meaning to the use of Fourier harmonic modeling technique for the analysis of FE-SF machines.

**B. Unconventional FE-SF machine with spacer teeth**

It is of paramount interest of that the foregoing analytical solution of magnetic field allows exploration of unconventional Field-Excited Switched-Flux machines. Indeed, those structures usually require modifications of phase coil connections. To do so, we used a connecting matrix \( C \) (size \( 4 \times 2N_e \)) defining coils distributions in the stator slots. For illustrative purpose, the connecting coil matrix of the 24-10 FE-SF machine with double-layer windings is given by (65). Regarding the unconventional 18-11 FE-SF machine with spacer teeth and single-layer winding, the matrix \( C \) can be defined as (66).
In Fig. 12, the equipotential line distribution of vector-potential $A$ at no-load for the 18-11 FE-SF, analytically-predicted or FE-calculated, are proposed. Obviously, the analytical model can fairly predict $A$ in the whole airgap domain. We compare once again distributions of vector-potential $A$, radial flux density $B_r$ and circumferential magnetic field $H_\nu$ in the airgap ($r = R_e$) obtained with FE simulations and with the model for different load conditions (See Figs. 13, 14 and 15). Each comparison exhibits good agreement. Also, it should be noted that the airgap field distribution are $2\pi$-periodic because of the odd number of teeth.

![Graphs](image)

Fig. 13. Evaluation of vector-potential $A(I)(r = R_e, \nu)$ (a), radial flux density $B_r(r = R_e, \nu)$ (b) and tangential magnetic field $H_\nu(r = R_e, \nu)$ (c) along the mean airgap with the analytical model and 2D FE simulation for an unconventional 18-11 FE-SF topology with spacer teeth at no-load - $NI_{exc} = 1200$A.tr - $\beta_e = 0.5$ - $\beta_r = 0.7$ - $N = 150$ - $K = Q = 20$

V. CONCLUSION

An improved analytical model to describe the magnetic field in the doubly-slotted airgap of Field-Excited Flux-Switching is proposed in this paper. The whole airgap domain is divided in three types of regions, i.e. stator slots, airgap and rotor slots. General expressions of vector-potential are derived for each subdomain by the variable separation method, and the field solution is then obtained by applying the boundary integral method.

In addition, the model is derived in a general manner so that it can be extended rapidly to unconventional FE-SF structures. Indeed, it allows a fast exploration of unconventional structures with different winding configuration or stator-rotor teeth combination. By means of example, an unconventional FE-SF machine with spacer teeth is presented.

Analytical predictions of airgap field for both conventional and alternate FE-SF topologies are extensively compared to 2D FE simulations. Comparisons show good agreement for numerous load-conditions. This result highlights the merits of harmonic modeling technique for the analysis of FE-SF machines.

Finally, from radial magnetic flux-density and circumferential magnetic field predictions, instantaneous electromagnetic torque can be assessed according to the Maxwell stress tensor (See Appendix B). Comparative study of optimized electromagnetic performances of FE-SF machines will be presented.
Fig. 12. Distribution of equipotential lines of magnetic vector-potential in air-gap and rotor/stator slot regions with the analytical model (a) and 2D FE simulation (b). Unconventional 18-11 FE-SF topology with spacer teeth at no-load - $N_{exc} = 1200A$, $\beta_r = 0.5 \cdot \beta_s = 0.7 \cdot N = 150 \cdot K = Q = 20$

in a subsequent paper.

**APPENDIX A**

**CONNECTING COIL MATRIX $C$ FOR CLASSICAL AND UNCONVENTIONAL FE-SF MACHINES**

The connecting coil matrix of the 24-10 FE-SF machine with double-layer windings is defined in (65), and for the 18-11 FE-SF machine with spacer teeth and single-layer winding by (66).

**APPENDIX B**

**ELECTROMAGNETIC TORQUE CALCULATION**

As explained before, the electromagnetic torque can be calculated analytically according to the Maxwell stress tensor. Fig. 16 presents a comparison between the electromagnetic torque calculated with the analytical model and with 2D FE simulation. As can be seen, both are in good agreement.
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Fig. 15. Evaluation of vector-potential $A^{(1)}(r = R_e, \nu)$ (a), radial flux density $B_r(r = R_e, \nu)$ (b) and tangential magnetic field $H_r(r = R_e, \nu)$ (c) along the mean airgap with the analytical model and 2D FE simulation for an unconventional 18-11 FE-SF topology with spacer teeth - On-load with sinusoidal feeding currents - $N_{I_{exc}} = 150$ - $N = 150$ - $K = Q = 20$
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