



Predicting in vivo gene expression in macrophages after exposure to benzo(a)pyrene based on in vitro assays and toxicokinetic/toxicodynamic models

Alexandre R.R. Pery, Céline Brochot, Sophie Desmots, Magali Boize, Lydie Sparfel, Olivier Fardel

► To cite this version:

Alexandre R.R. Pery, Céline Brochot, Sophie Desmots, Magali Boize, Lydie Sparfel, et al.. Predicting in vivo gene expression in macrophages after exposure to benzo(a)pyrene based on in vitro assays and toxicokinetic/toxicodynamic models. *Toxicology Letters*, 2011, 201 (1), pp.8-14. 10.1016/j.toxlet.2010.11.017 . hal-00873317

HAL Id: hal-00873317

<https://hal.science/hal-00873317>

Submitted on 29 Apr 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Predicting in vivo gene expression in macrophages after exposure to benzo(a)pyrene based on in vitro assays and toxicokinetic/toxicodynamic models

Alexandre R.R. Péry^{a,*}, Céline Brochot^a, Sophie Desmots^b, Magali Boize^c, Lydie Sparfel^d, Olivier Fardel^d

^a Unité « Modèles pour l'écotoxicologie et la toxicologie » (METO), INERIS, parc Alata BP2, 60550 Verneuil-en-Halatte, France.

^b Unité « Toxicologie expérimentale » (TOXI), INERIS, parc Alata BP2, 60550 Verneuil-en-Halatte, France.

^c Service des études médicales EDF, 22-28 rue Joubert, 75009 Paris, France.

^d EA 4427 SeRAIC, Institut de Recherche sur la Santé, l'Environnement et le Travail, Université de Rennes 1, 2 Avenue du Pr Léon Bernard, 35043 Rennes, France.

* Corresponding author at: INERIS, parc Alata BP2, 60550 Verneuil-en-Halatte, France. Tel: +33 3 44 55 61 26. *E-mail adress*: alexandre.pery@ineris.fr.

Abstract

Predictive toxicology aims at developing methodologies to relate the results obtained from *in vitro* experiments to *in vivo* exposure. In the case of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH), a substantial amount of knowledge on effects and modes of action has been recently obtained from *in vitro* studies of gene expression. In the current study, we built a physiologically based toxicokinetic (PBTK) model to relate *in vivo* and *in vitro* gene expression in case of exposure to benzo(*a*)pyrene (BaP), a referent PAH. This model was calibrated with two toxicokinetic datasets obtained on rats exposed either through intratracheal instillation or through intravenous administration and on an *in vitro* degradation study. A good agreement was obtained between the model's predictions and the concentrations measured in target organs, such as liver and lungs. Our model was able to relate correctly the gene expression for two genes targeted by PAHs, measured *in vitro* on primary human macrophages and *in vivo* in rat macrophages after exposure to BaP. Combining *in vitro* studies and PBTK modeling is promising for PAH risk assessment, especially for mixtures which are more efficiently studied *in vitro* than *in vivo*.

Key-words: PBPK, model calibration, BaP, gene expression, vitro-vivo extrapolation.

1. Introduction

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) exert a variety of toxic responses toward human health, including carcinogenic, immunotoxic, neurodevelopmental and cardiovascular effects, and are likely to contribute to smoking-related diseases (Sorensen *et al.*, 2003 ; Slotkin and Seidler, 2009). PAHs are products of incomplete combustion of fossil fuels, wood, and other organic materials at temperatures between 300 and 600 °C, and, as such, are ubiquitous in the environment. Benzo(a)pyrene (BaP), a five ring PAH, is usually used as a marker of exposure and effects of complex mixtures including PAHs, either directly (as a surrogate for the PAH fraction of complex mixtures) or using toxicity equivalency factors to relate the toxicity of each PAH to the one of BaP (Pufulete *et al.*, 2004). In particular, BaP is the reference compound for lung cancer risk assessment relative to exposure to environmental PAHs (Vyskocil *et al.*, 2004).

A substantial amount of the knowledge on BaP mode of action and effects has been obtained *in vitro*. Human hazard assessment for regulatory purposes is still mainly carried out using animal studies, and seldom accounts for ongoing innovations in the field of *in vitro* toxicology. However, obtaining relevant dose-response relationships for systemic endpoints, like reproductive toxicity or cancer, based on extrapolated *in vitro* data, is a great challenge to avoid expensive and lengthy *in vivo* testing. It is envisioned by toxicologists that future risk assessment would focus on avoiding significant perturbations in toxicity pathways through *in vitro* toxicity pathway assays on human cells or cell lines (Krewski *et al.*, 2010). To integrate the *in vitro* results into quantitative *in vivo* risk assessment, these assays would be analyzed with computational systems biology models to derive an *in vitro* dose-response, which would then be extrapolated *in vivo* based on toxicokinetic of the chemicals in the organism of interest. For instance, Verwei *et al.* (2006) showed that the combination of *in vitro* tests for embryotoxicity with toxicokinetic modeling permits relevant predictions of *in vivo* developmental effects in rodents. Although combining *in vitro* and *in silico* techniques appears as a promising alternative test method for risk assessment, such methodology is still rarely applied.

Macrophages are target cell types for PAHs, with consequences related to immune response, inflammatory pathways, tissue injuries, atherosclerosis, monocyte differentiation and lipid accumulation. In order to identify early markers of toxicity that may potentially be linked with the occurrence of pathologies, the expression of the genes of macrophages has been studied after exposures to different doses of BaP. Expression of many genes, including

interleukin (IL)-1 β , IL-8, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- α , NADPH oxidase subunit gene neutrophil cytosolic factor 1 (NCF1), and CC-chemokine ligand 1, was found up-regulated in human blood monocyte-derived macrophages or rodent alveolar macrophages (Lecureur *et al.*, 2005 ; N'Diaye *et al.*, 2006 ; Podechard *et al.*, 2008 ; Pinel-Marie *et al.*, 2009). The nature of molecular BaP target genes in primary human macrophages has recently been characterized (Sparfel *et al.*, 2010). IL-1 β and the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) repressor were confirmed as targets, whereas new targets were found, including the chemokine receptor CXCR5, the G protein coupled receptor 35 (GPR35), and the Ras regulator RASAL1.

Physiologically based toxicokinetic (PBTk) models are particularly relevant to relate *in vitro* and *in vivo* responses, since the modeling of the toxicokinetic of chemicals is based on the physiology of the organism and that the internal dosimetry in the target tissues may be assessed. Few PBTk models for BaP have been already developed in rats (Roth and Vinegar, 1990 ; Zeilmaker *et al.*, 1999 ; Moir, 1999). These models suffer from different problems which make questionable their use in lung toxicity assessment. All of these models were developed with toxicokinetic data of BaP in different organs/tissues after an intravenous administration. Even if these data described the distribution of BaP into the tissues from blood circulation, they were unable to inform the absorption of BaP through the lungs, which is the major route of exposure of PAHs. The kinetics of BaP in lungs was also not well reproduced by these models. Either lungs were not described by the model (Zeilmaker *et al.*, 1999) or the partition coefficient between lungs and blood, estimated through QSAR modeling (Chiang and Liao, 2006), equilibrium dialysis experiment (Moir, 1999) or interactive adjustment (Roth and Vinegar, 1990), was low compared to actual concentration data in lungs and blood (Schlede *et al.*, 1970 ; Weyand and Bevan, 1986). These models would consequently underestimate BaP lung concentration. There is therefore a need to improve the modeling of the lungs toxicokinetics.

In this paper, we propose to combine the toxicokinetic modeling of BaP *in vivo* and dose-response relationships derived *in vitro* to assess the effects of BaP on the expression of two target genes for PAHs, IL-1 β and NCF1. First experimental *in vitro* assays on macrophages will be conducted to construct dose-response models for the expression of the two genes. Then a PBPK model for BaP in rats including the description of lungs will be calibrated with concentrations in different tissues after an intratracheal instillation (Weyand and Bevan, 1986) and an intravenous administration (Schlede *et al.*, 1970). Intratracheal instillation is a useful and cost-effective procedure for addressing specific questions regarding the respiratory toxicity of chemicals and presents many advantages over inhalation (Driscoll *et al.*, 2000): the

actual dose delivered to the lungs can be assured, a range of doses can be introduced into the lungs within a short time, and exposure to the skin and pelt can be avoided. Once parameterized, the PBPK model will be linked to the dose-response relationships derived *in vitro* to extrapolate the *in vitro* responses to *in vivo* conditions. The prediction of the expression of the genes will then be compared to actual responses obtained from an exposure of rat to BaP. Our methodology is illustrated in Figure 1.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Toxicokinetics data in rats for BaP and metabolites

Two sets of toxicokinetic data were used. The first one, by Weyand and Bevan (1986), was obtained in Sprague-Dawley rats after an intratracheal instillation of 1 µg/kg BaP. It has never been used for PBTK modeling. Concentrations of BaP and metabolites were measured in lungs, liver, blood and intestine at different times from 5 to 360 min after instillation. Animals were maintained on a steep incline for 5-10 min following BaP administration. It was not clear if this period was accounted for in calculating the time between administration and measurement. We consequently did not use the data at 5 and 10 min for the estimation of parameters to avoid the use of confounding measurements. These data are however presented in the figures from the result section.

We analyzed Weyand and Bevan dataset together with other existing and available data obtained in Sprague-Dawley rats after an intravenous administration of 10 µg BaP (Schlede et al., 1970). These data have previously been used in a PBTK modeling context, to compare with the outputs of a model in development (Roth and Vinegar, 1990). These data comprise lungs, liver, adipose tissues and blood BaP concentrations at different times from 5 to 360 min. In addition to contributing to build a model robust enough to account for different exposure routes, the use of this second dataset was necessary to account for the kinetics in adipose tissues. These tissues store and release a substantial quantity of BaP with an important influence on long-term kinetics.

2.2. In vitro exposure of macrophages to BaP

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells were obtained from blood donor buffy coats (kindly provided by the Etablissement Français du Sang, Rennes, France), through Ficoll (Life

Technologies, Cergy-Pontoise, France) gradient centrifugation. After a selective 2-h step of adhesion, human adherent monocytes were cultured for 6 days in RPMI 1640 medium (Eurobio, Les Ulis, France), supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine, 20 units/ml penicillin, 20 µg/ml streptomycin and 10 % decompemented fetal bovine serum (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Perbio Science, Berbières, France), in the presence of 400 units/ml granulocyte macrophage-colony stimulating factor (Schering Plough, Lyon, France). Such a protocol permits to obtain pure macrophagic cultures, validated by analysis of the expression of the macrophagic marker CD71, with less than 1% of contaminating cells such as CD3- or CD19-positive lymphocytes, as assessed by immunophenotyping (van Grevenynghe et al. 2004). Macrophagic cells were cultured in serum-free medium for 24 h prior to a 24-h exposure to various increasing BaP concentrations (0-10 µM).

2.3.Exposure of rats to BaP

Male Sprague-Dawley rats were purchased from Charles River Laboratory (L'Abresle, France). They were maintained under standardized conditions (relative humidity 55±15%, 12-h day night cycle, room temperature 22±2°C). Rats were exposed to 3 mg/kg BaP dissolved in NaCl-bovine serum albumin by intratracheal instillation. Control rats received vehicle only. Twenty four hours after the instillation, animals were euthanized with a lethal dose of sodium pentobarbital (Ceva Santé Animale, France). After exsanguinations, bronchoalveolar lavages were performed and alveolar macrophages were collected. We did not observe alterations of the percentage of alveolar macrophages in bronchoalveolar lavages due to BaP treatment (data not shown). The experimental protocol has been approved by the local ethical committee for animal research.

2.4.RNA isolation and reverse transcription–real time quantitative PCR assay (RT-qPCR)

Total RNA was isolated from macrophages using the TRIzol method (Invitrogen, Cergy-Pontoise, France). Total RNA (1 µg) was reverse-transcribed into cDNA using the RT Applied Biosystems kit (Foster City, CA). Quantitative PCR (qPCR) assays were next performed using the fluorescent dye SYBR Green methodology and an ABI Prism 7000 detector (Applied Biosystems). The gene specific primers were provided by Qiagen (Courtaboeuf, France). The specificity of each gene amplification was verified at the end of qPCR reactions through analysis of dissociation curves of the PCR products. Amplification

curves were analysed with ABI Prism 7000 SDS software using the comparative cycle threshold method. Relative quantification of the steady-state target mRNA levels was calculated after normalization of the total amount of cDNA tested to a 18S mRNA endogenous reference.

2.5. *In vitro dose response modeling*

The Hill model was used to fit the dose-gene expression profile, as it has already been proposed by other authors (Varma *et al.*, 2007). The Hill function we used has the general form:

$$E = 1 + (E_{max} - 1) \frac{c^n}{c^n + c_{50}^n} \quad (1)$$

where the baseline activity is 1 with no BaP, E_{max} is the maximum gene expression level, c_{50} is the dose resulting in 50% of the maximum increase in gene expression, n is the Hill coefficient defining the shape of the dose–response curve and c is the BaP concentration. The model was fitted to the experimental *in vitro* gene expression using the least squares method with a prior normalization for each concentration by the standard error of the response to avoid overweighting high concentrations. Confidence intervals were calculated through data bootstrapping. The software R, version 2.7.1, was used (R Development Core Team, 2008).

2.6. *PBTK modeling*

Figure 2 presents a description of the PBTK model. The body is represented by lungs, fat, liver, richly perfused and slowly perfused tissues. The model is divided into two sub-models, one for BaP, and one for its metabolites, treated as a whole by assuming common kinetics for simplification. The sub-models are connected through liver metabolism. To describe BaP kinetics, fat is separated between adipose tissues and blood in adipose tissues to account for diffusion in this compartment (Zeilmaker *et al.*, 1999 ; Moir, 1999).

For metabolites, we assumed that adipose tissues, richly and slowly perfused tissues had the same partition coefficient with blood and that there was no diffusion limitation in adipose tissues. We assumed that only metabolites (and no parent compound) were found in the intestine as suggested by Weyand and Bevan, who found that less than 2% of intestinal content was BaP after 60 and 360 min.

The parameters of the model are presented in Table 1. The differential Equations 1–5 were used to calculate the change in amount of BaP and metabolites over time in the PBTK model compartments.

In general, kinetics in organ i is described by the equation:

$$\frac{d(C_i)}{dt} \times V_i = Q_i \times \left(c_b - \frac{c_i}{P_i} \right) \quad (2)$$

with C_i , V_i , Q_i and P_i respectively the concentration, the volume, the blood flow and the partition coefficient with blood of organ i , and c_b the concentration in blood (venous for lung, arterial for the other organs).

For adipose tissues, the kinetics of BaP in blood and in tissues is described by:

$$\frac{d(C_{bf})}{dt} V_{bf} = Q_f \times (c_b - c_{bf}) - D \times \left(c_{bf} - \frac{c_f}{P_f} \right) \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{d(C_f)}{dt} V_f = D \times \left(c_{bf} - \frac{c_f}{P_f} \right) \quad (4)$$

For liver, the kinetics of BaP is described by:

$$\frac{d(C_h)}{dt} V_h = Q_h \left(c_b - \frac{c_h}{P_h} \right) - \frac{V_{max}}{P_h} \left(\frac{c_h}{c_h + K_m} \right) \quad (5)$$

and the kinetics of metabolites by:

$$\frac{d(C_{Mh})}{dt} V_h = Q_h \left(C_{Mb} - \frac{C_{Mh}}{P_h} \right) + \frac{V_{max}}{P_h} \left(\frac{c_h}{c_h + K_m} \right) - K_{elim} C_{Mh} \quad (6)$$

2.7. Calibration of the PBTK model

The parameters we estimated were the partition coefficients between blood and lung, liver, and slowly perfused tissues for BaP, the partition coefficients between blood and lung, liver, and other tissues for metabolites, and the metabolite elimination rate. Parameters were estimated through maximum likelihood methods. We fitted simultaneously the two datasets with common parameters, with the exception of liver partition coefficient which had to be differentiated to fit liver concentration data in both studies. The measurement errors were

assumed to be independent and log-normally distributed, with a geometric mean equal to the PBTK model predictions and a geometric standard deviation (GSD) of 1.1 (approximately 10% error) for BaP, and 1.2 for metabolites. We chose a higher GSD for metabolites compared to parent compound because the concentrations were calculated as the difference between two measured concentrations (total concentration minus BaP concentration). Data likelihoods were therefore given by:

$$\log(Y) \sim N(\log(F(X, \theta)), \sigma_c) \quad (7)$$

where the function $F(X, \theta)$ corresponds to a PBTK model with input X and parameters θ , and σ_c is equal to either 1.1 or 1.2. Parameters estimation and building of confidence intervals were performed using the MCSim software (Bois and Maszle, 1997).

3. Results

3.1. PBTK modeling

The fits of the PBTK model to Weyand and Bevan (1986) data are presented in Figure 3 for blood, lung, liver and intestines. The fits of the model to the Shlede *et al.* (1970) data are presented in Figure 4 for blood, lung, liver and adipose tissues. Only 2 out of the 52 predictions differ from the measured values by a factor higher than 2. Parameters' estimates are given in Table 1. Confidence curves relative to the estimated parameters are shown in Figures 3 and 4.

The same parameters were used for both studies, with the exception of liver partition which was more than 5 times higher for Weyand and Bevan data compared to Shlede data. We found high partition coefficient values for liver and lung, when compared to the values usually used in risk assessment based on QSAR modeling (Chiang and Liao, 2006). In contrast, coefficients for slowly perfused tissues were within expected ranges based on QSAR or dialysis experiments (Chiang and Liao, 2006 ; Moir, 1999) and predicted hepatic clearance was well accounted for based on the *in vitro* study by Wiersma and Roth (1983). For all tissues, metabolites had lower partition coefficients than BaP.

3.2. In vitro dose-response model for the Gene expression

Figure 5 presents the results of IL-1 β and NCF1 mRNA induction in response to various increasing concentrations of BaP in primary human macrophages. IL-1 β and NCF1 inductions were significantly greater than 1 for respectively concentrations over 0.12 and 0.04 μ M (multirange Dunnett's t test, $p < 0.05$). The fit with the Hill model provided the following estimates for IL-1 β with 95% confidence intervals: $n=1.4$ [1.16; 1.86], $E_{max}=126$ [42; 166], $c_{50}=5.6$ [2.7; 9.3]. We obtained the following estimates for NCF1 with 95% confidence intervals: $n=0.65$ [0.55; 0.9], $E_{max}=25$ [15; 27], $c_{50}=13$ [5; 18].

3.3. Prediction of *in vivo* gene expression based on TK/TD modeling

The PBTK model was used to predict the toxicokinetic profile of BaP in lungs during 24h after an intratracheal instillation of 3 mg/kg. Since two different values were estimated for the partition coefficient liver: blood from the two toxicokinetic datasets, both estimates were used to run the model. No significant difference was observed on the predicted lungs concentrations. Figure 6 presents the predicted internal dosimetry in lungs for 24 hours. Since only little information was available to determine the entity responsible of the modulation of the gene expression, three model outputs were chosen: the concentration in lungs at the time of the appearance of the effect and the mean concentration in lungs during the last hour or the last four hours before the appearance of the effect. The time ranges of one or four hours before the appearance of the effect are supported by previous results. Pinel-Marie *et al.* (2009) showed that 1 to 4 hours are necessary to induce a change in the gene expression after an *in vitro* exposure of macrophages to 2 μ M of BaP. Table 2 presents the internal concentrations in lungs with the respective prediction of the gene expressions obtained with the dose-response model. We observed that these predicted gene expressions are quite similar for the 3 concentrations in lungs (3.3 to 3.6-fold for NCF1, and 3.8 to 4.9-fold for IL-1 β).

These predictions were compared to the values observed in the *in vivo* studies in rats. *In vivo*, a mean induction of 2.39-fold for NCF1 and 3.72-fold for IL-1 β was observed in alveolar macrophages from rats treated by intratracheal instillation of BaP when compared to alveolar macrophages from untreated rats. For the gene IL-1 β , a good concordance is obtained between the predictions of the PBTK model linked to the dose-response model derived with *in vitro* data and the actual values measured in rats. For the gene NCF1, the modelling approach tends to over-predict slightly the gene induction. The dose-response model was used

in reverse to estimate the concentration in lungs that would provide the observed *in vivo* inductions. A concentration of 0.36 μM was predicted for IL-1 β and 0.17 μM for NCF1.

4. Discussion

4.1. PBTK modeling

We could calibrate a PBTK model based on intratracheal instillation and intravenous administration, with a quality of fit which has not been reached by previous attempts to build a PBTK model for BaP.

Contrary to Moir (1999), we were able to describe the data with our model using the metabolic parameters estimated *in vitro* (Wiersma and Roth, 1983). Usually, it is accepted that K_m values does not change between *in vitro* and *in vivo* situations, but that V_{max} value has to be adjusted (Haddad *et al.*, 1998). As in the model by Roth and Vinegar (1990), such an adjustment was not necessary. Metabolism in the lungs is likely to occur, but was neglected in our model. We showed that the introduction of pulmonary clearance, with parameters from Wiersma and Roth (1983), would not have affected our results (less than 5% difference in the predictions for lung concentration). Previous results with intravenous administration confirm this poor influence of lung metabolism. Intravenous administration and intratracheal instillation lead to close kinetics, as shown by Weyand and Bevan (1986) because of the high rate of exchange between blood and lungs. In their study of intravenous administration of 29.5 $\mu\text{g/kg}$ BaP, which is close to the doses used in the studies we analyzed, Roth and Vinegar (1990) showed that the amount of BaP metabolized by the lung compared to the total amount of metabolized BaP is only 3.5%. In their study, lung metabolism was substantial only when animals had been pretreated with cytochrome P450 monooxygenase inducer 3-methylcholanthrene in agreement with previous studies (Seifried, 1977).

We estimated partition coefficients values between blood and lung which are far above those previously used for PAH risk assessment. Metabolizing tissues contain macromolecules, like AhR and other proteins, to which PAH compounds may specifically bind (Haddad *et al.*, 1998). Consequently, the algorithm by Poulin and Krishnan (1995) used by Chiang and Liao (2006), which is based on the neutral lipid, phospholipid and water contents of blood and organs, may not be appropriate to estimate PAH partition coefficients for lung and liver.

Liver partition estimate was more than 5 times higher for Weyand and Bevan data, corresponding to the study with the lowest exposure dose, compared to Shlede data. This

difference could be due to a sequestration mechanism (AhR) at low concentrations which is saturated for higher concentrations or it could be due to differences in BaP measurement methods in liver between the two studies. This difference is just related to liver concentration, but did not affect hepatic clearance which remained the same for the two studies, as liver concentrations were far below Michaelis metabolism constant in the liver.

We integrated BaP metabolites in our model, but did not distinguish between the different compounds. Weyand and Bevan showed that the repartition between the metabolites in lung, liver and intestine was relatively constant from 60 to 360 min, and we consequently believe that the modeling of the kinetics of BaP metabolites using common parameters is still realistic.

4.2. Gene expression data

We used our model to predict lung concentration after intratracheal instillation for a dose of 3 mg/kg BaP and obtained a good correlation between gene expression responses in human macrophages *in vitro* exposed to BaP and in rat counterparts *in vivo* exposed to the PAHs. This suggests that human and rat macrophages in different tissues provide comparable response to BaP exposure, at least relative to mRNA induction, and that our PBTK model is able to link *in vitro* and *in vivo* responses through the calculation of macrophage exposure in rat.

We related gene expression either to the concentration at a given time or to the mean of concentration during the last one or four hours. There was little difference relative to the prediction *in vivo* even if the one related directly to the mean concentration at a given time provided the closest prediction compared to actual values. It is important to note that the mean value or the concentration value is not necessarily the right endpoint when relating *in vitro* and *in vivo* toxicity. For instance, Verwei *et al.* (2006) referred to the maximum internal concentration when succeeding to relate developmental effects assessed *in vitro* and *in vivo* assuming that such effects occur as soon as a threshold is exceeded. In our study, we tried alternatives such as mean lung concentration over the whole exposure interval or highest lung concentration, but the resulting predictions for genes expression would have largely exceeded the measurements.

Our results are promising for we have developed a predictive tool able to transpose at a systemic level dose-response obtained quickly on cells. In particular, assessing the response

of cells exposed to complex mixtures including PAH compounds would be much easier *in vitro* than *in vivo*.

Conflict of interest

This paper has no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This study was founded by the French Ministry in charge of Ecology and Sustainable Development, within the framework of Programmes 189 and 190, and by the European Commission 6th Framework Program, Priority 6 (Global change and ecosystems), project 2-FUN [contract #036976]. We also would like to thank **an anonymous reviewer**, Rémy Beaudouin and **Cleo Tebby for their careful** reading of the manuscript.

References

- Bois, F., Maszle, D., 1997. MCSim: A simulation program, Journal of Statistical Software, 2(9):<http://www.stat.ucla.edu/journals/jss/v02/i09>.
- Chiang, K.C., Liao, C.M., 2006. Heavy incense burning in temples promotes exposure risk from airborne PMs and carcinogenic PAHs. Sci. Total Environ. 372, 64-75.
- Davies, B., Morris, T., 1993. Physiological parameters in laboratory animals and humans. Pharm. Res. 10, 1093-1096.
- Haddad, S., Withey, J., Laparé, S., Law, F., Krishnan, K., 1998. Physiologically-based pharmacokinetic modeling of pyrene in the rat. Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 5, 245-255.
- Krewski, D., Acosta, D., Andersen, M., Anderson, H., Bailar, J.C., Boekelheide, K., Brent, R., Charnley, G., Cheung, V.G., Green, S., Kelsey, K.T., Kerkvliet, N.I., Li, A.A., McCray, L., Meyer, O., Patterson, R.D., Pennie, W., Scala, R.A., Solomon, G.M., Stephens, M., Yager, J., Zeise, L., 2010. Toxicity testing in the 21st century: a vision and a strategy. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health B Crit. Rev. 13, 51-138.
- Lecureur, V., Ferrec, E. L., N'Diaye, M., Vee, M. L., Gardyn, C., Gilot, D., Fardel, O., 2005. ERK-dependent induction of TNFalpha expression by the environmental contaminant benzo(a)pyrene in primary human macrophages. FEBS Lett. 579, 1904-1910.
- Moir, D., 1999. Physiological modeling of benzo(a)pyrene pharmacokinetics in the rat. In Toxicity assessment alternatives: methods, issues, opportunities (H. Salem, and S.A. Katz, Eds.), pp. 79-95. Humana Press Inc., Totowa, NJ.

- N'Diaye, M., Le Ferrec, E., Lagadic-Gossmann, D., Corre, S., Gilot, D., Lecureur, V., Monteiro, P., Rauch, C., Galibert, M. D., Fardel, O., 2006. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor- and calcium-dependent induction of the chemokine CCL1 by the environmental contaminant benzo[*a*]pyrene. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281,19906–19915.
- Pinel-Marie, M.-L., Sparfel, L., Desmots, S., Fardel, O., 2009. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor-dependent induction of the NADPH oxidase subunit NCF1/p47phox expression leading to priming of human macrophage oxidative burst. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 47, 825-834.
- Podechard, N., Lecureur, V., Le Ferrec, E., Guenon, I., Sparfel, L., Gilot, D., Gordon, J. R., Lagente, V., Fardel, O., 2008. Interleukin-8 induction by the environmental contaminant benzo(*a*)pyrene is aryl hydrocarbon receptor-dependent and leads to lung inflammation. *Toxicol. Lett.* 177, 130–137.
- Poulin, P., Krishnan, K., 1995. An algorithm for predicting tissue:blood partition coefficients of organic chemicals from n-octanol:water partition coefficient data. *J. Toxicol. Environ. Health* 46, 101-113.
- Pufulete, M., Battershill, J., Boobis, A., Fielder, R. 2004. Approaches to carcinogenic risk assessment for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: a UK perspective. *Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 40, 54-66.
- R Development Core Team, 2008. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL <http://www.R-project.org>.
- Roth, R.A., Vinegar, A., 1990. Action by the lungs on circulating xenobiotic agents, with a case study of physiologically based pharmacokinetic modeling of benzo(*a*)pyrene disposition. *Pharmac. Ther.* 48, 143-155.
- Schlede, E., Kuntzman, R., Haber, S., Conney, A.H., 1970. Effect of enzyme induction on the metabolism and tissue distribution of benzo(*a*)pyrene. *Cancer Res.* 30, 2893-2897.
- Seifried, H.E., Birkett, D.J., Levin, W., Lu, A.Y.H., Conney, A.H., Jerina D.M. 1977. Metabolism of benzo[*a*]pyrene: Effect of 3-methylcholanthrene pretreatment on metabolism by microsomes from lungs of genetically “responsive” and “nonresponsive” mice. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 178, 253-263.
- Slotkin, T.A., Seidler, F.J., 2009. Benzo[*a*]pyrene impairs neurodifferentiation in PC12 cells. *Brain Res. Bull.* 80, 17-21.
- Sorensen, M., Autrup, H., Moller, P., Hertel, O., Jensen, S. S., Vinzents, P., Knudsen, L. E., Loft, S., 2003. Linking exposure to environmental pollutants with biological effects. *Mutat. Res.* 544, 255–271.
- Sparfel, L., Pinel-Marie, M.-L., Boize, M., Koscielny, S., Desmots, S., Péry A., Fardel, O., 2010. Transcriptional Signature of Human Macrophages Exposed to the Environmental Contaminant Benzo(*a*)pyrene. *Toxicol. Sci.* 114, 247-259.

- Van Grevenynghe, J., Sparfel, L., Le Vee, M., Gilot, D., Drenou, B., Fauchet, R., Fardel, O., 2004. Cytochrome P450-dependent toxicity of environmental polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons towards human macrophages. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 317, 708-16.
- Varma, R., Hector, S., Greco, W.R., Clark, K., Hawthorn, L., Porter, C., Pendyala, L., 2007. Platinum drug effects on the expression of genes in the polyamine pathway: time-course and concentration-effect analysis based on Affymetrix gene expression profiling of A2780 ovarian carcinoma cells. *Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol.* 59, 711-723.
- Verwei, M., van Burgsteden, J.A., Krul, C.A.M., van de Sandt, J.J.M., Freidig, A.P., 2006. Prediction of in vivo embryotoxicity effect levels with a combination of in vitro studies and PBPK modelling. *Toxicol. Lett.* 165, 79-87.
- Vyskocil, A., Viau, C., Camus, M., 2004. Risk assessment of lung cancer related to environmental PAH pollution sources. *Hum. Exp. Toxicol.* 23, 115-127.
- Weyand, E.H., Bevan, D.R., 1986. Benzo(a)pyrene disposition and metabolism in rats following intratracheal instillation. *Cancer Res.* 46, 5655-5661.
- Wiersma, D.A., Roth, R.A. 1983. The prediction of benzo(a)pyrene clearance by rat liver and lung from enzyme kinetic data. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 24, 300-308.
- Zeilmaker, M.J., van Eijkeren J.C.H., Olling M. 1999. A PBPK-model for B(a)P in the rat relating dose and liver DNA-adduct level. RIVM report 658603 008. Bilthoven, The Netherlands.

Table 1

Parameters of the PBTK model for Sprague Dawley rats (0.25 kg) exposed to BaP. Blood flows were calculated based on cardiac output in Davies and Morris (1993) and percentages of cardiac output in Haddad et al. (1998). Partition coefficient for richly perfused tissues was taken from measurements by Moir (1999). Partition coefficient for adipose tissues was taken as the mean experimental measurement by Zeilmaker et al. (1999). Michaelis constant and maximum metabolism rate for liver came from an in vitro study (Wiersma and Roth, 1983) and were extrapolated to rats by using the allometric scaling for interspecies extrapolation (Haddad et al., 1998). Diffusion coefficient in adipose tissues was assumed to be the same value as used by Haddad et al. (1998) for pyrene.

Volume of venous blood	V_{vb}	10 mL	Haddad et al, 1998
Volume of arterial blood	V_{ab}	5 mL	Haddad et al., 1998
Volume of fat	V_f	22.5 mL	Haddad et al, 1998
Volume of blood in fat	V_{bf}	0.15 mL	Haddad et al, 1998
Volume of slowly perfused tissues	V_s	167.5 mL	Haddad <i>et al.</i> , 1998
Volume of richly perfused tissues	V_r	9.5 mL	Haddad et al, 1998
Volume of lung	V_l	3mL	Haddad et al, 1998
Volume of liver	V_h	10 mL	Haddad et al, 1998
Adipose blood flow	Q_f	6.7 mL/min	Haddad et al, 1998
Slowly perfused blood flow	Q_s	11.1 mL/min	Haddad et al, 1998
Richly perfused blood flow	Q_r	37.8 mL/min	Haddad et al, 1998
Total blood flow (Cardiac output)	Q_c	74 mL/min	Davies and Morris, 1993
Liver blood flow	Q_h	18.4 mL/min	Haddad et al, 1998
BaP PC fat over blood	P_f	50	Zeilmaker <i>et al.</i> , 1999
BaP PC slowly perfused tissues over blood	P_s	3.5	Estimated
BaP PC richly perfused tissues over blood	P_r	1.6	Moir, 1999
BaP PC lung over blood	P_l	88	Estimated
BaP PC liver over blood	P_h	134	Estimated from Weyand and Bevan data
		25	Estimated from Schleder et al data.
Metabolites PC lung over blood	P_{Ml}	25.7	Estimated
Metabolites PC liver over blood	P_{Mh}	7.9	Estimated
Metabolites PC other tissues over blood	P_{Mo}	1.7	Estimated
Metabolites elimination rate	K_{elim}	0.321 mL/min	Estimated
Liver maximum metabolism rate	V_{max}	0.087 mg/min	Wiersma and Roth, 1983
Liver metabolism Michaelis constant	K_m	0.00139 mg/mL	Wiersma and Roth, 1983
Diffusion coefficient in adipose tissues	D	1.7 mL/min	Haddad et al, 1998

Table 2

Predicted mean value and confidence interval (between brackets) for BaP lung concentration for a selected period of time and corresponding IL-1 β and NCF1 inductions. The actual induction value is also provided.

	Lung concentration (μ M)	IL-1 β induction	NCF1 induction
Concentration at 24h	0.37 [0.34;0.4]	3.3 [3.2;3.4]	3.8 [3.6;4.3]
Mean concentration between 23h and 24h	0.42 [0.39;0.45]	3.4 [3.3;3.5]	4.3 [4.2;5]
Mean concentration between 20h and 24h	0.47 [0.43;0.51]	3.6 [3.4;3.7]	4.9 [4.7;5.7]
Measured value		2.4	3.7

Figure legends

Fig. 1. Schematic presentation of the methodology used to compare predicted and actual gene expression in rodents exposed to BaP.

Fig. 2. Schematic presentation of the PBTK model used to describe the kinetics of BaP and its metabolites. Symbols are presented in Table 1.

Fig. 3. BaP and metabolites kinetics in blood, lung , liver and intestine (data points are mean values from Weyand and Bevan, and fits with 95% confidence intervals).

Fig. 4. BaP kinetics in blood, lung, liver and fat (data points are mean values from Schlede *et al.*, and fits with 95% confidence intervals).

Fig. 5. IL-1 β and NCF1 mRNA induction in response to BaP treatment in primary human macrophages. Data are expressed relative to mRNA levels found in untreated cells, arbitrarily set at a value of 1. The fit with the Hill model is presented.

Fig. 6. Time course of lung BaP concentration in rats after intratracheal instillation of 3 mg/kg, as predicted by the PBTK model.