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Abstract

In this article we derive $C^1$-a priori estimates on the Riemann invariants of the Euler compressible equations in the case of cylindrical or spherical symmetry. These estimates allow to construct shock waves with a time of existence proportional to the distance to the origin at the initial time.
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1 Introduction

We are interested in the Euler compressible system in the isentropic case:

$$\begin{cases} 
\partial_t \rho + \text{div}(\rho u) = 0, \\
\partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \frac{\rho'(\rho)}{\rho} \nabla \rho = 0.
\end{cases} \quad (1.1)
$$

In the case of cylindrical ($d=2$) or spherical ($d=3$) symmetry, the system (1.1) can be written

$$\begin{cases} 
\partial_t \rho + \partial_r (\rho u) = -(d-1)\rho u, \\
\partial_t u + u \partial_r u + \frac{\rho'(\rho)}{\rho} \partial_r \rho = 0.
\end{cases} \quad (1.2)
$$

The case $d=1$ corresponds to the one-dimensional case. Our goal here is to construct shock wave solutions in the isentropic spherical or cylindrical case with a reasonable lower bound on the time of existence.

Very often only the case of a perfect polytropic gas satisfying the state law $pv = \gamma T$ is considered. However, in numerous phenomenon such as cavitation or sonoluminescence [2, 4] or considering a dusty gas [7, 9, 19, 24, 25], it seems more adapted to consider at least a Van der Waals gas satisfying $p(v - b) = \gamma T$. We prove results in this more general framework.

The Euler compressible equations have already been widely studied. Concerning regular solutions, general classical criteria on hyperbolic systems (Leray [12], Gårding [5], Kato [10]) provide us local in time existence of smooth solutions for the Cauchy problem. However, the time of existence can be very small: several results by T. C. Sideris [22, 23], T. Makino, S. Ukai & S. Kawashima [10], J.-Y. Chemin [8] provide explosion’s criteria. We also know that some regular solutions can be global in time: for example stationary solutions, or
under some expansivity hypothesis (see T. T. Li [13], D. Serre [21] or M. Grassin [6], M. Lécureux-Mercier [11]).

Concerning piecewise regular solutions, a result by A. Majda [15] states that we can associate a piecewise regular solution to a given piecewise initial data satisfying some compatibility conditions. But the time of existence of these solutions can be once again very small.

In this paper, we construct single propagating shock waves with a long time of existence. We consider only 2-shocks. The general framework is the one of a Bethe-Weyl gas (for example Van der Waals gas or perfect gas, the definition of a Bethe-Weyl fluid is given in definition 2.2) in the particular case of spherical or cylindrical symmetry. More precisely: we consider the cylindrical or spherical case for a Bethe-Weyl fluid. We assume that at initial time we have a piecewise regular solution with a discontinuity jump at radius $R_0$ satisfying the Lax compatibility conditions. We assume furthermore that, prolongating each regular piece of this initial condition into a regular global in space function, we can find a regular solution of system (1.2) with this initial condition admitting a long time of existence. Then we add some expansivity hypotheses on the Riemann-invariant. The definition of the Riemann invariants is given in (3.1). We obtain that the time of existence of the CS/SS solution is proportional to the radius $R_0$ of the initial discontinuity. The statement of the main result is more precisely given in Theorem 5.6.

The strategy of the proof is as follows: we use a method that Li Ta Tsien [13] employed in order to construct 1D shock waves for an isentropic gas. This method is inspired from the scalar one-dimensional case $\partial_t u + \partial_x (f(u)) = 0$, in which it is possible to obtain a shock wave solution just gluing two regular solutions along a line of discontinuity satisfying the Rankine-Hugoniot shock condition

$$U = \frac{f(u^+) - f(u^-)}{u^+ - u^-},$$

where $u^+$ is the limit of $u$ at the discontinuity from the right and $u^-$ is the limit of $u$ at the discontinuity from the left. This provides us an ODE that the line of discontinuity has to satisfy, being defined as $\frac{dx}{dt} = U$. Then, we have just to check that under suitable conditions the Lax entropy conditions meaning the characteristic curves are entering the shock (see Figure 1) are satisfied.

We want to apply this strategy to the isentropic spherical Euler equation. However, in the case of a system of two equations in one space dimension, the strategy above is no longer possible since now the Rankine-Hugoniot conditions provide us two equations. Consequently, we have not only an equation for the behavior of the shock, but also a compatibility condition along the shock. Graphically, we see that, for a 2-shock, if the 2-characteristics are still entering the shock, the 1-characteristics are entering from the right and exiting from the left (see Figure 1), which brings us an uncertainty zone between the shock and the 1-characteristic exiting from the foot initial position of the shock to the left. In order to construct a shock wave solution from two regular solutions, we have to study the existence of a smooth solution in this angular domain between the shock and the 1-characteristic. Li Ta Tsien [13] already studied this subject in the one-dimensional case. In particular, he obtained local in time existence of a smooth solution for the angular problem.

More precisely, we first have to estimate the time of existence of a smooth solutions in an angular domain whose boundaries are chosen in a way to be a 1-characteristic on the
Figure 1: Shock curve and characteristics in the scalar case (left) and in the case of a system of two equations (right). The domain of uncertainty is in gray on the right picture.

left and a shock on the right (see Figure 1); the time of existence is then obtained by deriving $C^1$ estimates on the solution of this boundary problem. Finally, thanks to a priori estimates on the Riemann invariant, we obtain a lower bound on the time of existence of these solutions, proportional to the position at initial time of the discontinuity.

This paper is structured as followed: in Section 2, we describe the thermodynamical quantities and their properties. In Section 3, we compute a priori estimates in $C^0$ along the characteristics. In Section 4, we compute a priori estimates in $C^1$ along the characteristics. In Section 5, we introduce the shock conditions, the angular problem and we prove the main results of this article: in Proposition 5.5 we give an estimate of the time of existence of a smooth solution in an angular domain and in Theorem 5.6 we finally construct a shock wave. In Sections A and B, we finally give some details about a useful lemma on ODEs and about explicit computations in the cases of a perfect gas and of a Van der Waals gas.

2 Thermodynamics

2.1 Fundamental relationships

Definition 2.1. We consider a fluid, whose internal energy is a regular function of its specific volume $v = 1/\rho$ and of its specific entropy $s$. We say that the gas is entitled with a complete state law, or energy law $e = e(v, s)$.

For a gas entitled with a complete state law, the fundamental thermodynamic principle is then

$$de = -pdv + Tds$$

where $p$ is the pressure and $T$ the temperature of the gas. Consequently, the pressure $p$
and the temperature $T$ can be defined as
\[ p = -\left. \frac{\partial e}{\partial v} \right|_s, \quad T = \left. \frac{\partial e}{\partial s} \right|_v, \]  
(2.2)
where the notation $|$ precises the variable maintained constant in the partial derivation.

The higher order derivatives of $e$ have also an important role; we introduce the following adimensional quantities:
\[ \gamma = -v \left. \frac{\partial p}{\partial v} \right|_s, \quad \Gamma = -v \left. \frac{\partial T}{\partial s} \right|_v, \quad \delta = \left. \frac{pv}{T^2} \frac{\partial T}{\partial s} \right|_v, \quad \mathcal{G} = \left. -\frac{v^{3/2}}{2^{3/2}} \frac{\partial^3 e}{\partial v^3} \right|_s. \]  
(2.3)
The coefficient $\gamma$ is called the adiabatic exponent, and $\Gamma$ is the Grüneisen coefficient. The quantities $\gamma, \delta, \Gamma$ and $\mathcal{G}$ characterise the geometrical properties of the isentropic curves in the $(v,p)$ plane (see [17]). They can be expressed in function of $e$ through the relationships:
\[ \gamma = \frac{v}{p} \left. \frac{\partial^2 e}{\partial v^2} \right|_v, \quad \Gamma = -\frac{v}{T} \left. \frac{\partial^2 e}{\partial s \partial v} \right|_v, \quad \delta = \left. \frac{pv}{T^2} \frac{\partial^2 e}{\partial s^2} \right|_v. \]  
We also introduce the calorific capacity at constant volume $c_v$ and the calorific capacity at constant pressure $c_p$ by
\[ c_v = \left. \frac{\partial e}{\partial T} \right|_v = \frac{T}{\left. \frac{\partial e}{\partial v} \right|_v}, \quad c_p = \left. \frac{\partial s}{\partial T} \right|_p. \]  
(2.4)
These two quantities are linked with $\frac{pv}{T}$ and with $\gamma, \delta, \Gamma$ through
\[ \delta c_v = \frac{pv}{T}, \quad c_p = \frac{pv}{T} \frac{\gamma}{\gamma \delta - \Gamma^2}. \]  
(2.5)
The quantity $\gamma_s = \frac{c_v}{c_p}$ can besides be expressed as $\gamma_s = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma \delta - \Gamma^2}$. It is not equal to $\gamma$ in the general case, but for an ideal gas we have $\delta = \Gamma = \gamma - 1$, and consequently $\gamma_s = \gamma$.

2.2 Thermodynamical constraints.

It is very natural to assume that the massic volume $v$ is positive. We assume furthermore that the pressure $p$ and the temperature $T$ are positive, which imposes that $e$ is a function increasing in $T$ and decreasing in $v$.

A classical thermodynamical hypothesis requires furthermore $e$ to be a convex function of $s$ and $v$, which means:
\[ \gamma \delta - \Gamma^2 \geq 0, \quad \delta \geq 0, \quad \gamma \geq 0. \]  
Furthermore, we require usually $\Gamma > 0$ and $\mathcal{G} > 0$. The condition $\Gamma > 0$ is not thermodynamically required but is satisfied for many gases and ensures that the isentropes do not cross each other in the $(v,p)$ plan. The condition $\mathcal{G} > 0$ means that the isentropes are strictly convex in the $(v,p)$ plan.

Definition 2.2. We call Bethe-Weyl fluid any fluid endowed with a complete state law $e$ bounded below such that
• the pressure and the temperature defined by (2.2) are positive,
• the coefficients $\gamma, \delta, \Gamma$ and $\mathcal{G}$ defined by (2.3) satisfy:

\[
\gamma > 0, \quad \gamma \delta \geq \Gamma^2, \quad \Gamma > 0, \quad \mathcal{G} > 0, \quad (2.6)
\]

• there exists a maximal density $\rho_{\text{max}} \in ]0, +\infty]$ such that $\lim_{\rho \to \rho_{\text{max}}} p(\rho, s) = +\infty$.

The condition $\gamma \geq 0$ means that $p$ increases with the density $\rho = 1/v$, which allows us to define the adiabatic sound speed by

\[
c = \sqrt{\frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho}} \bigg|_{s} = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma p}{\rho}}. \quad (2.7)
\]

Then, we can check that $\mathcal{G}$ can be expressed in function of $\rho$ and $c$ through the expression

\[
\mathcal{G} = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial (\rho c)}{\partial \rho} \bigg|_{s}. \quad (2.8)
\]

2.3 Van der Waals Gas

Definition 2.3. A gas is said to follow the Van der Waals law, if there exists a constant $\mathfrak{R}$ such that it satisfies the following pressure law:

\[
p(v - b) = \mathfrak{R} T, \quad (2.8)
\]

where $v$ is the massic volume and $b$ is the covolume, representing the compressibility limit of the fluid, due to the volume of the molecules. The constant $\mathfrak{R} = 8.314 \text{J.K}^{-1}\text{mol}^{-1}$ is called the perfect gas constant.

In the case $b = 0$, we obtain the perfect gas law.

The state law (2.8) is a particular case of the state law $p = \frac{\mathfrak{R} T}{v - b} - \frac{\alpha}{v^2}$. This last law is not considered here as it authorises the change of phase when $T$ goes under a threshold $T_c = \frac{8\alpha}{27R}$ (see [18]).

In order to obtain expressions for the quantities $e, p, \gamma, \Gamma, ...$ in function of $v$ and $s$, we use the fundamental relationship (2.1). This equation gives us the PDE:

\[
\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial s} \bigg|_{v} = \frac{\mathfrak{R} c_v}{v - b} \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \sigma} \bigg|_{v},
\]

hence $\sigma \mathcal{E}'' = (\frac{1}{c_v} - 1)\mathcal{E}'$ and $\mathcal{E}(\sigma) = C \sigma^{1/c_v}$ which leads to:

\[
e = (v - b)^{-\frac{\mathfrak{R}}{c_v}} \exp\left(\frac{s}{c_v}\right), \quad p = \frac{\mathfrak{R}}{c_v} \frac{e}{v - b}. \quad (2.9)
\]

After some computations we finally obtain

\[
\gamma = \gamma_0 \frac{v}{v - b}, \quad \Gamma = \delta = (\gamma_0 - 1) \frac{v}{v - b}, \quad \mathcal{G} = \frac{\gamma_0 + 1}{2} \frac{v}{v - b},
\]
where
\[ \gamma_0 := \frac{\gamma}{c_v} + 1. \] (2.9)

The conditions of Section 2.2 are then satisfied for \( \gamma_0 > 1 \).

In the following, we consider a general Bethe-Weyl fluid satisfying \( 1 < \mathcal{G} < 2 \). Another general assumption is that the application \( (\rho \mapsto \frac{c(\rho)}{\rho}) \) is integrable in 0.

In particular, we can consider a Van der Waals fluid with constant and strictly positive calorific capacity \( c_v \):
\[ c_v > 0, \] (2.10)
which implies \( \gamma_0 > 1 \) and \( \mathcal{G} > 1 \).

We can also consider the standard \( p \)-system:
\[ p = \rho \gamma \] with \( \gamma > 1 \).

3 A priori \( C^0 \) estimates along the characteristics

Let us remind that in this paper we consider cylindrical or spherical Euler equation in the isentropical case.

First we want to obtain \( C^0 \) estimates on regular solutions of (1.2), \( \rho \) and \( u \). To do that, we use the Riemann invariants of the system and we make computations along the characteristics.

3.1 Change of variables

**Lemma 3.1.** We assume that \((\rho \mapsto \frac{c(\rho)}{\rho})\) is integrable in 0. Let us introduce the Riemann invariants
\[ w_1 = u - H(\rho), \quad w_2 = u + H(\rho), \] (3.1)
where \( H(\rho) \) is a primitive of \( \frac{c(\rho)}{\rho} \) vanishing in 0. Then, the system (1.2) reduces to
\[ \begin{cases} 
\partial_t w_1 + \lambda_1(w) \partial_r w_1 = f(r,w), \\
\partial_t w_2 + \lambda_2(w) \partial_r w_2 = -f(r,w), 
\end{cases} \] (3.2)
where \( f(r,w) = \frac{(d-1)uc}{r}, \lambda_1 = u - c(\rho), \lambda_2 = u + c(\rho) \).

**Proof.** Direct computation. \( \square \)

We prove below some properties of the new unknown \( H, w_1, w_2 \), which will be useful in the proof of the main theorem.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let us consider a Bethe-Weyl gas. We assume that \( 1 < \mathcal{G} < 2 \) and that \((\rho \mapsto \frac{c(\rho)}{\rho})\) is integrable in 0. Then we have \( H \geq c \) and in particular \( u - H \leq u - c \), that is to say \( w_1 \leq \lambda_1 \).

In particular, \( w_1 = u - H \geq 0 \) implies \( \lambda_1 = u - c \geq 0 \).

**Remark 3.3.** In the case of a perfect polytropic gas, we have \( \mathcal{G} = \frac{\gamma+1}{2} \) and the condition \( 1 < \mathcal{G} < 2 \) is equivalent to \( 1 < \gamma_0 < 3 \), which is a natural hypothesis on \( \gamma_0 \).

**Proof.** Note first that \( c'(\rho) = H'(-1)(\mathcal{G} - 1) \geq 0 \). Then \( H'(\rho) - c'(\rho) = H'(\rho)(2 - \mathcal{G}) = \frac{c(\rho)}{\rho}(2 - \mathcal{G}) \geq 0 \). Hence, integrating on \([0, \rho]\), we obtain \( H(\rho) \geq c(\rho) \). \( \square \)
Lemma 3.4. Let \( \rho^+ > 0 \). Let us define, for \( \rho \geq \rho^+ \),

\[
F(\rho, \rho^+) := (p - p^+) \left( \frac{1}{\rho^+} - \frac{1}{\rho} \right). \tag{3.3}
\]

Then, for all \( \rho \geq \rho^+ \), we have \( H(\rho) - H(\rho^+) \leq \sqrt{F(p, \rho^+)} \).

**Proof.** Let \( \rho \geq \rho^+ \). Let us derivate \( \sqrt{F(\rho, \rho^+)} - H(\rho) \) with respect to \( \rho \). We obtain

\[
\frac{d}{d\rho}(\sqrt{F(\rho, \rho^+)} - H(\rho)) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{F(\rho, \rho^+)}} \left( c^2 \left( \frac{1}{\rho^+} - \frac{1}{\rho} \right) + \frac{1}{\rho^2} (p - p^+) \right) - \frac{c}{\rho} = \frac{c}{2\rho \sqrt{F(\rho, \rho^+)}} \left( c\rho \left( \frac{1}{\rho^+} - \frac{1}{\rho} \right) + \frac{1}{c\rho} (p - p^+) - 2\sqrt{F(\rho, \rho^+)} \right) = \frac{c}{2\rho \sqrt{F(\rho, \rho^+)}} \left( \sqrt{c\rho \left( \frac{1}{\rho^+} - \frac{1}{\rho} \right)} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{c\rho} (p - p^+)^2} \right) \geq 0.
\]

Noting that \( F(\rho^+, \rho^+) = 0 \) and integrating on \([\rho^+, \rho]\), we obtain \( \sqrt{F(\rho, \rho^+)} - H(\rho) \geq -H(\rho^+) \), which is the expected result. \( \square \)

Lemma 3.5. Let \( u^+, \rho^+, \rho^+ \in \mathbb{R} \). Let us assume \( \rho^- \geq \rho^+ > 0 \) and define \( u^- := u^+ + \sqrt{F(\rho^-, \rho^+)} \), with \( F \) defined as in (3.3). Then \( u^- - H(\rho^-) \geq u^+ - H(\rho^+) \), that is to say \( w_1^+ \geq u_1^+ \).

In particular, \( w_1^+ = u^+ - H(\rho^+) \geq 0 \) implies \( w_1^- = u^- - H(\rho^-) \geq 0 \).

**Proof.** This is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.4. Indeed, the inequality \( \sqrt{F(\rho^-, \rho^+)} \geq H^- - H^+ \) implies

\[
u^- - H(\rho^-) = u^+ + \sqrt{F(\rho^-, \rho^+)} - H(\rho^-) \geq u^+ + H(\rho^-) - H(\rho^+) - H(\rho^-) = u^+ - H(\rho^+).
\]

\( \square \)

3.2 \( \mathcal{C}^0 \) estimate on \( w_1 \) and \( w_2 \)

Relying on computations along the characteristics, we now obtain estimates in \( L^\infty \) for \( w_1 \) and \( w_2 \), the Riemann invariants associated to a regular solution of (1.2).

Lemma 3.6. Let us consider a Bethe-Weyl gas, satisfying \( 1 < \mathcal{G} < 2 \) and such that \( (\rho \mapsto \frac{c(\rho)}{\rho}) \) is integrable in 0. Let \( w = (w_1, w_2) \) be a regular solution of (3.3) with a time of existence \( T > 0 \). Let \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) be the characteristics defined by

\[
\frac{dX_1}{dt} = \lambda_1(w(t, X_1(t))), \quad \frac{dX_2}{dt} = \lambda_2(w(t, X_1(t))), \tag{3.4}
\]

\( X_1(0) = r_1, \quad X_2(0) = r_2. \)
Let us assume that for all \( r > 0 \), \( (w_2 - w_1)(0, r) \geq 0 \) and \( w_1(0, r) > 0 \). Then, we get: 
\[ X_1' > 0, \quad X_2' > 0 \] and, for all \( t \in [0, T] \) we have the estimates:

\[
w_1(0, r_1) \leq w_1(t, X_1(t)) \leq w_1(0, r_1) + \left\| w_2(0, \cdot) \right\|_{L^\infty}^2 \int_0^t \frac{d-1}{4X_1(\tau)} \, d\tau , \tag{3.5}
\]

\[
\frac{w_2(0, r_2)}{1 + w_2(0, r_2) \int_0^t \frac{d-1}{4X_2(\tau)} \, d\tau} \leq w_2(t, X_2(t)) \leq w_2(0, r_2) . \tag{3.6}
\]

Furthermore, for all \( t \in [0, T] : \)

\[
w_1(0, X_1(0; t, r)) \leq w_1(t, r) \leq w_2(t, r) \leq w_2(0, X_2(0; t, r)), \tag{3.7}
\]

where, for \( i \in \{1, 2\} \), \( X_i(0; t, r) \) designates the position at time 0 of the characteristic that satisfies the initial condition \( X_i(t) = r \).

**Proof.** First, let \( t_0 > 0 \). We introduce \( \chi \) be the solution of the ODE:

\[
\frac{d\chi}{dt} = u(t, \chi) , \quad \chi(t_0) = r_0 .
\]

Note that the solution of this ODE exists at least in finite time. Some trouble could appear only if \( \chi \) meets the line of equation \( r = 0 \). In the same way, the characteristics \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) satisfying (3.4) are defined at least in finite time. Let \( r_0, r_1, r_2 > 0 \) be fixed. Let us denote \( T \in [0, T] \) a time such that \( \chi, X_1, X_2 \) are defined on \( [0, T] \).

**Positivity of the density:** Let us prove first that \( \rho \) remains non-negative because of the first equation of (3.2). By the definition of \( \chi \), for any \( t \in [0, T] \),

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \chi^{d-1} \rho(t, \chi) \exp(\int_0^t \partial_r u(s, \chi(s)) \, ds) \right) = 0 .
\]

Consequently, the density being non-negative at time \( t_0 = 0 \), the density remains non-negative along the lines \( (t, \chi(t)) \); that is to say: the inequality \( w_2 \geq w_1 \) is satisfied for all time \( t \in [0, T] \) since it is true at time \( t = 0 \).

**\( w_1 \) is increasing along the characteristic:** At least on a small time interval containing 0, since \( w_1(0, r_1) > 0 \) we have \( w_1(t, X_1(t)) \geq 0 \). We want to prove that \( w_1 \) remains non-negative along the characteristic. Assume there is a time at which \( w_1(t, X_1(t)) = 0 \). Let us denote \( t_0 \leq T \) the first time at which \( w_1(t_0, X_1(t_0)) = 0 \). Thanks to the previous result on the positivity of the density, we obtain \( w_2(t, X_1(t)) \geq w_1(t, X_1(t)) \geq 0 \) on \( [0, t_0] \). Then on \( [0, t_0] \) we have \( u = \frac{w_2 + w_1}{2} \geq 0 \) and \( f(r, u) = \frac{(d-1)u}{r} \geq 0 \) which implies

\[
\frac{dw_1(t, X_1(t))}{dt} \geq 0 .
\]

Integrating, we get \( w_1(t_0, X_1(t_0)) \geq w_1(0, r_1) > 0 \), which is in contradiction with the hypothesis. Finally, \( w_1 \) is strictly positive for all \( t \in [0, T] \) and increasing along the first characteristic. In particular, we also have \( u > 0 \) for all \( t \in [0, T] \).

**Upper bound on \( w_2 \):** Along the second characteristic we get, since \( u \geq w_1 \geq 0 \),

\[
\frac{dw_2(t, X_2(t))}{dt} = -f(X_2, w(t, X_2(t))) \leq 0 .
\]
Integrating we obtain \( w_2(t, X_2(t)) \leq w_2(0, r_2) \), which provides us with an upper bound.

**Lower bound on \( w_2 \):** Thanks to Lemma [3.2] we know that \( c \leq H \). Hence, we obtain
\[
\frac{d w_2(t, X_2(t))}{dt} = -(d - 1) \frac{\rho}{X_2(t)} \geq \frac{(d - 1)}{4X_2(t)} u H = \frac{(d - 1)}{4X_2(t)} (w^2_2 - w^2_1) \geq \omega \frac{(d - 1)}{4X_2(t)} w^2_2.
\]
Consequently, we have
\[
\frac{1}{w^2_2(t, X_2(t))} \leq \frac{d - 1}{4X_2(t)} \text{ and integrating we finally obtain }
\]
\[
\frac{1}{w_2(t, X_2(t))} \leq \frac{1}{w_2(0, r_2)} + \int_0^t \frac{d - 1}{4X_2(\tau)} \, d\tau.
\]
Since \( w_2 \geq 0 \), we can invert this relation, and obtain the desired lower bound on \( w_2 \).

**Upper bound on \( w_1 \):** Similarly for \( w_1 \) we get
\[
\frac{d w_1(t, X_1(t))}{dt} = -(d - 1) \frac{\rho}{X_1(t)} \leq \frac{d - 1}{4X_1(t)} u H \leq \frac{(d - 1)}{4X_1(t)} (w^2_2 - w^2_1) \leq \frac{(d - 1)}{4X_1(t)} \|w_2\|_{L^\infty([0, T])} \cdot
\]
Hence, as announced,
\[
w_1(t, X_1(t)) \leq w_1(0, r_1) + \int_0^t \frac{d - 1}{4X_1(\tau)} \|w_2\|_{L^\infty([0, T])} \, d\tau.
\]

**Time of existence:** Note now that \( \frac{dx}{dt} = u(t, \chi(t)) \geq 0 \) implies that this curve never meets the origin and is defined on \( \mathbb{R}^+ \). Similarly, \( \frac{dX_2}{dt} = (u + c)(t, X_2(t)) \geq 0 \) implies the 2-characteristics are going away from the origin and are defined for all \( t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \).

Concerning the first characteristic, Lemma [3.2] gives us that \( \frac{dX_1}{dt} = (u - c)(t, X_1(t)) \geq (u - H)(t, X_1(t)) = w_1(t, X_1(t)) \geq 0 \). Consequently, the 1-characteristics are going away from the origin and are defined for all \( t \in [0, T] \).

Let us modify slightly the hypotheses in order to obtain a better result: we take off the hypothesis \( w_{1,0} > 0 \). We obtain a similar result, but now the time of validity is finite:

**Proposition 3.7.** Let us consider a Bethe-Weyl gas, satisfying \( 1 < \mathcal{G} < 2 \) and such that \( (\rho \mapsto \frac{c(G)}{(G-1)^2}) \) is integrable in \( 0 \). Let \( T > 0, R > 0 \) and let \( w = (w_1, w_2) \) be a regular solution of [3.2] with a time of existence \( T > 0 \). We denote \( w_{1,0} = w_1(0, \cdot) \) and \( w_{2,0} = w_2(0, \cdot) \), the initial condition of this regular solution. Let \( T, R \) be two strictly positive real numbers and \( X_1, X_2 \) be the characteristics defined by [3.2], crossing in \( (T, R) \).

We assume that for all \( r > 0, (w_{2,0} - w_{1,0})(r) \geq 0 \) and \( \min(w_{1,0} + w_{2,0}) > 0 \). Then there exists a time \( T_0 > 0 \) such that:

- for all \( t \in [0, T_0] \),
  \[
w_{1,0}(r_1) \leq w_1(t, R) \leq w_2(t, R) \leq w_{2,0}(r_2).
\]

- for all \( t \in [0, T_0] \),
  \[
  w_{1,0}(r_1) \leq w_1(t, X_1(t)) \leq w_{1,0}(r_1) + \|w_{2,0}\|_{L^\infty([0, T])}^2 \int_0^t \frac{d - 1}{4X_1(\tau)} \, d\tau,
\]
  \[
  \frac{w_{2,0}(r_2)}{1 + \frac{w_{2,0}(r_2)}{c(G-1)^2}} \leq w_2(t, X_2(t)) \leq w_{2,0}(r_2).
  \]
Furthermore, the time of existence $T_0$ is defined by $T_0 = \min(\mathcal{T}, +\infty)$ if $\min(w_{1,0}) \geq 0$ and, in the case $\min(w_{1,0}) < 0$, by

$$T_0 = \min \left( \mathcal{T}, \frac{-4r_2}{(d-1) \min_{r_1 > 0}(w_{1,0}(r_1)) \min_{r_2 > 0}(w_{2,0}(r_2))} \right) \left( \min_{r_1 > 0}(w_{1,0}(r_1)) + \min_{r_2 > 0}(w_{2,0}(r_2)) \right).$$

**Proof.** As in the preceding proposition, we know that if the density is non-negative at the initial time, then it is non-negative for any positive time. Hence, $w_2(t, r) \geq w_1(t, r)$ for all $(t, r) \in [0, \mathcal{T}] \times \mathbb{R}_+$. By hypothesis, $\inf_{r > 0} u(0, r) \geq \frac{1}{2}(\inf(w_{1,0} + w_{2,0})) > 0$. Consequently, by semi-continuity of $(t \mapsto \inf_{r > 0} u(t, r))$, there exists $t_0 \in [0, \mathcal{T}]$ such that on a small time interval $[0, t_0]$ we have $u \geq 0$. Hence for all $t \in [0, t_0]$, $\frac{dw_1(t, X_1(t))}{dt} > 0$ and $\frac{dw_2(t, X_2(t))}{dt} < 0$. Hence for $t \in [0, t_0]$, $w(t, X_1(t)) \geq w_{1}(0, r_1)$ and $w_2(t, X_2(t)) \leq w_2(0, r_2)$.

Assume furthermore that $u(0, r) > 0$ on $[0, t_0]$, then: $\frac{dw_2(t, X_2(t))}{dt} \geq \frac{-4r_2}{4X_2(0) X_2(t)}$ and $\frac{dw_1(t, X_1(t))}{dt} \leq \frac{(d-1) r_2^2}{4X_1(0) X_1(t)}$. Hence, the same estimates as before hold true:

$$1 \leq \frac{1}{w_2(t, X_2(t))} \leq \frac{1}{w_2(0, r_2)} + \int_0^t \frac{(d-1) r_2^2}{4X_2(\tau)} \, d\tau.$$  

Since, for $t \in [0, T_0]$, $\lambda_2 = u + c > 0$, $X_2$ is increasing and as $w_2 \geq u$ implies $w_2 \geq 0$, we can invert the relation, obtaining

$$w_2(t, X_2(t)) \geq \frac{w_2(0, r_2)}{1 + \frac{w_2(0, r_2)(d-1) r_2^2}{4X_2(0) X_2(t)}}.$$  

Let us use these estimate to find a lower bound for $u$. If $t \leq T_0$ then

$$u(t, R) = \frac{1}{2} (w_1 + w_2)(t, R) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( w_1(0, r_1) + \frac{w_2(0, r_2)}{1 + \frac{w_2(0, r_2)(d-1) r_2^2}{4X_2(0) X_2(t)}} \right).$$  

If $w_1(0, r_1) \geq 0$, then $u$ is non-negative for all time and we recover the result of Lemma 3.6. If $w_1(0, r_1) < 0$ and $w_1(0, r_1) + w_2(0, r_2) > 0$, then $u$ is non-negative if

$$t \leq \frac{-4r_2}{(d-1) w_1(0, r_1) w_2(0, r_2)} (w_1(0, r_1) + w_2(0, r_2)),$$

which provides us a lower bound for $T_0$. \hfill $\square$

**Remark 3.8.** In Lemma 3.6 and Proposition 3.7, we are integrating along the characteristics on the time interval $[0, t]$. Note that we could obtain similar result integrating on any time interval $[\beta, t]$ with $\beta \in [0, t]$.

4 A priori $C^1$ estimates along the characteristics

We want now to obtain estimates in $L^\infty$ on $\partial_t w_1$ and $\partial_r w_2$ where $w = (w_1, w_2)$ is a regular solution of (3.2). We apply the same strategy as in the previous section. That is to say, we want to have a diagonal form for the system of equation of variables $\partial_t w_1$ and $\partial_r w_2$, obtained by deriving with respect to $r$ the system (3.2), and then make computations along the characteristics. As the obtained system in $\partial_r w_1$ and $\partial_r w_2$ is not diagonal, we have to introduce new variables $v_1$ and $v_2$ as described below.
4.1 Change of variable

Note that in the following section, $e$ stands for exp and not for the internal energy. We introduce below functions depending on the radius $r$ and on the riemann invariant $w_1$ and $w_2$; we denote $\partial_1$ for $\frac{\partial}{\partial w_1}$, $\partial_2$ for $\frac{\partial}{\partial w_2}$.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let us define

\[ v_1 = e^h (\partial_t w_1 + \Phi), \quad v_2 = e^k (\partial_r w_2 + \Psi), \]  

where $h$, $k$, $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ are such that:

\[ \partial_2 h = \frac{\partial_2 \lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}, \quad \partial_1 k = \frac{\partial_1 \lambda_2}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}, \]

\[ \partial_2 (e^h \Phi) = \frac{-e^h \partial_2 f}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}, \quad \partial_1 (e^k \Psi) = \frac{e^k \partial_1 f}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}. \]

Then $v_1$ and $v_2$ satisfy the equations

\[ \begin{align*}
\partial_t v_1 + \lambda_1(w) \partial_r v_1 &= a_0(r,w) v_1^2 + a_1(r,w) v_1 + a_2(r,w), \\
\partial_t v_2 + \lambda_2(w) \partial_r v_2 &= b_0(r,w) v_2^2 + b_1(r,w) v_2 + b_2(r,w).
\end{align*} \]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
a_0(r,w) &= -e^{-h} \partial_t \lambda_1, \\
a_1(r,w) &= \partial_t f + 2\Phi \d_1 \lambda_1 + (\d_1 h - \partial_2 h) f, \\
a_2(r,w) &= e^h (\partial_t f - \Phi \d_1 f - \Phi^2 \d_1 \lambda_1 + (\d_1 \Phi - \partial_2 \Phi) f + \lambda_1 \d_1 \Phi), \\
b_0(r,w) &= -e^{-k} \partial_2 \lambda_2, \\
b_1(r,w) &= -\d_2 f + 2\partial_2 \lambda_2 \Psi + (\partial_2 k - \partial_2 k) f, \\
b_2(r,w) &= e^k (\partial_r f + \partial_2 f \Psi - \partial_2 \lambda_2 \Psi^2 + \lambda_2 \d_1 \Psi + (\d_1 \Psi - \partial_2 \Psi) f). 
\end{align*} \]

**Remark 4.2.** Note that, for any gas law, we have $\partial_1 \lambda_1 = \partial_2 \lambda_2 = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} \geq 0$. Hence, we have $a_0 \leq 0$ and $b_0 \leq 0$.

**Proof.** On the first hand, derivating $v_1$ with respect to $t$ and $r$, we get

\[ \begin{align*}
\partial_t v_1 &= e^h (\partial_t \partial_t w_1 + \partial_t \Phi \partial_t w_1 + \partial_2 \Phi \partial_t w_2) + e^h (\partial_t h \partial_t w_1 + \partial_2 h \partial_t w_2) (\partial_t w_1 + \Phi), \\
\partial_r v_1 &= e^h (\partial_r^2 w_1 + \partial_1 \Phi \partial_r w_1 + \partial_2 \Phi \partial_r w_2 + \partial_r \Phi) + e^h (\partial_1 h \partial_r w_1 + \partial_2 h \partial_r w_2) (\partial_r w_1 + \Phi).
\end{align*} \]

Hence

\[ e^{-h}(\partial_t v_1 + \lambda_1 \partial_r v_1) = \partial_t \partial_r w_1 + \lambda_1 \partial_r^2 w_1 + \lambda_1 \partial_r \Phi + \partial_1 \Phi (\partial_t w_1 + \lambda_1 \partial_r w_1) + \partial_2 \Phi (\partial_2 w_2 + \lambda_1 \partial_r w_2) + (\partial_r w_1 + \Phi)(\partial_t h (\partial_t w_1 + \lambda_1 \partial_r w_1) + \partial_2 h (\partial_2 w_2 + \lambda_1 \partial_r w_2)). \]

Note that $\partial_t w_1 + \lambda_1 \partial_r w_1 = f$, and $\partial_r w_2 + \lambda_1 \partial_r w_2 = -f + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \partial_r w_2$ so that

\[ e^{-h}(\partial_t v_1 + \lambda_1 \partial_r v_1) = \partial_t \partial_r w_1 + \lambda_1 \partial_r^2 w_1 + \lambda_1 \partial_r \Phi + f \partial_1 \Phi + \partial_2 \Phi (-f + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \partial_r w_2) + (\partial_r w_1 + \Phi)(f \partial_t h + \partial_2 h (-f + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \partial_r w_2)). \]
On the other hand, derivating with respect to time the equation in \( w \), we obtain:

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t \partial_r w_1 + \lambda_1 \partial_t^2 w_1
&= \partial_r f + \partial_t f \partial_r w_1 + \partial_2 f \partial_r w_2 - \partial_1 \lambda_1 (\partial_r w_1)^2 - \partial_2 \lambda_1 \partial_r w_1 \partial_r w_2 \\
&= \partial_r f + \partial_1 f (e^{-h} \nu_1 - \Phi) + \partial_2 f \partial_r w_2 - \partial_1 \lambda_1 (e^{-h} \nu_1 - \Phi)^2 - \partial_2 \lambda_1 \partial_r w_1 (e^{-h} \nu_1 - \Phi) \\
&= -e^{-2h} \nu_1 \partial_t \lambda_1 + e^{-h} \nu_1 (\partial_t f + 2 \Phi \partial_1 \lambda_1) + (\partial_r f - \Phi \partial_t f - \Phi^2 \partial_1 \lambda_1) \\
&\quad + \partial_r w_2 (\partial_2 f + \Phi \partial_2 \lambda_1) - \partial_2 \lambda_1 e^{-h} \nu_1 \partial_r w_2.
\end{align*}
\]

Replacing \( \partial_t \partial_r w_1 + \lambda_1 \partial_t^2 w_1 \) by its expression in [4.5], we get

\[
e^{-h} (\partial_t v_1 + \lambda_1 \partial_r v_1)
= -e^{-2h} \nu_1^2 \partial_t \lambda_1 + e^{-h} \nu_1 (\partial_t f + 2 \Phi \partial_1 \lambda_1) + (\partial_r f - \Phi \partial_t f - \Phi^2 \partial_1 \lambda_1)
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
&+ \partial_r w_2 (\partial_2 f + \Phi \partial_2 \lambda_1) - \partial_2 \lambda_1 e^{-h} \nu_1 \partial_r w_2 \\
&+ e^{-h} \nu_1 f (\partial_1 h - \partial_2 h) + \Phi (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) e^{-h} \nu_1 \partial_r w_2 \\
&= -e^{-2h} \nu_1^2 \partial_t \lambda_1 + e^{-h} \nu_1 (\partial_t f + 2 \Phi \partial_1 \lambda_1 + f (\partial_1 h - \partial_2 h)) \\
&\quad + (\partial_r f - \Phi \partial_t f - \Phi^2 \partial_1 \lambda_1 + f (\partial_1 \Phi - \partial_2 \Phi) + \lambda_1 \partial_r \Phi)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
+ \partial_r w_2 (\partial_2 f + \Phi \partial_2 \lambda_1 + \partial_2 \Phi (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)) + (\partial_1 h (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) - \partial_2 \lambda_1) e^{-h} \nu_1 \partial_r w_2.
\]

With our choice for \( h \) and \( \Phi \), the last line above vanishes.

In the same way, we have for \( v_2 \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_r v_2 &= e^k (\partial_t v_2 + \partial_2 \partial_t \nu_1 + \partial_1 \Psi \partial_t w_1 + \partial_1 \Psi \partial_2 \nu_1 + \partial_2 \Psi \partial_1 \nu_1 + \partial_2 \Psi \partial_2 w_2) \\
&= e^k (\partial_1 k \partial_r w_1 + \partial_2 k \partial_r w_2) (\partial_r w_2 + \Psi),
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_r v_2 &= e^k (\partial_1^2 \nu_1 + \partial_1 \Psi \partial_1 w_1 + \partial_2 \Psi \partial_1 w_2 + \partial_r \Psi) + e^k (\partial_1 k \partial_r \nu_1 + \partial_2 k \partial_r w_2) (\partial_r w_2 + \Psi).
\end{align*}
\]

Hence

\[
e^{-k} (\partial_t v_2 + \lambda_2 \partial_r v_2)
= \partial_t \partial_r w_2 + \lambda_2 \partial_t^2 w_2 + \lambda_2 \partial_r \Psi + \partial_1 \Psi (\partial_1 w_1 + \lambda_2 \partial_r w_1) + \partial_2 \Psi (\partial_2 w_2 + \lambda_2 \partial_r w_2)
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
&\quad + (\partial_r w_2 + \Psi) (\partial_1 k (\partial_1 w_1 + \lambda_2 \partial_r w_1) + \partial_2 k (\partial_2 w_2 + \lambda_2 \partial_r w_2)).
\end{align*}
\]

Note that \( \partial_t w_1 + \lambda_2 \partial_r w_1 = f + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \partial_r w_1 \), and \( \partial_t w_2 + \lambda_2 \partial_r w_2 = -f \) so that

\[
e^{-k} (\partial_t v_2 + \lambda_2 \partial_r v_2)
= \partial_t \partial_r w_2 + \lambda_2 \partial_t^2 w_2 + \lambda_2 \partial_r \Psi + (f + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \partial_r w_1) \partial_1 \Psi - f \partial_2 \Psi
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
&\quad + (\partial_r w_2 + \Psi) ((f + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \partial_r w_1) \partial_1 k - f \partial_2 k).
\end{align*}
\]

Derivating with respect to time the equation in \( w_2 \), we obtain:

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t \partial_r w_2 + \lambda_2 \partial_t^2 w_2
&= -\partial_r f - \partial_1 f \partial_r w_1 - \partial_2 f \partial_r w_2 - \partial_1 \lambda_2 \partial_r w_1 \partial_r w_2 - \partial_2 \lambda_2 (\partial_r w_2)^2 \\
&= -\partial_r f - \partial_1 f \partial_r w_1 - \partial_2 f (e^{-k} v_2 - \Psi) - \partial_1 \lambda_2 (e^{-k} v_2 - \Psi) \partial_r w_1 - \partial_2 \lambda_2 (e^{-k} v_2 - \Psi)^2 \\
&= -e^{-2k} \nu_1^2 \partial_t \lambda_2 + e^{-k} v_2 (-\partial_2 f + 2 \Phi \partial_2 \lambda_2) + (\partial_r f + \psi \partial_2 f - \Phi^2 \partial_2 \lambda_2)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
+ \partial_r w_1 (-\partial_1 f + \Psi \partial_1 \lambda_2) - \partial_1 \lambda_2 e^{-k} v_2 \partial_r w_1.
\end{align*}
\]
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Corollary 4.3. With the notation of Lemma 4.2, we have for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$,

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_1(r^\ell v_1) + \lambda_1(w)\partial_\ell(r^\ell v_1) &= \frac{a_0(r,w)\ell(r^\ell v_1)^2}{b_0(r,w)} + (a_1(r,w) + \frac{\ell\lambda_1}{r})(r^\ell v_1) + r^\ell a_2(r,w), \\
\partial_1(r^\ell v_2) + \lambda_2(w)\partial_\ell(r^\ell v_2) &= \frac{b_0(r,w)\ell(r^\ell v_2)^2}{b_0(r,w)} + (b_1(r,w) + \frac{\ell\lambda_2}{r})(r^\ell v_2) + r^\ell b_2(r,w).
\end{align*}
\]

(4.6)

In the following we give some properties of the coefficients $a_0, a_1, a_2, b_0, b_1, b_2$.

Lemma 4.4. Let us consider a Bethe-Weyl gas, satisfying $1 < \mathcal{G} < 2$ and such that $(\rho \mapsto c(\rho))$ is integrable in 0. There exist smooth functions $\tilde{a}_i : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{b}_i : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that, for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ the coefficients $a_i$ and $b_i$ defined as in Lemma 4.1 can be written $a_i(r,w) = \tilde{a}_i(w)$ and $b_i(r,w) = \tilde{b}_i(w)$. In particular, $\tilde{a}_i$ and $\tilde{b}_i$ are not depending on $r$.

Similarly, there exist smooth functions $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\psi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the coefficients $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ can be written $\Phi(r,w) = \frac{\varphi(w)}{\varphi(w)}$ and $\Psi(r,w) = \frac{\psi(w)}{\psi(w)}$. In particular $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are not depending on $r$.

Proof. We have $\lambda_1 = u - c$, and $u = \frac{w_1 + w_2}{2}$, $H = \frac{w_2 - w_1}{2}$. Hence $\partial_2\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{c'}{2H}$ where $\partial_2\lambda_1$ stands for $\frac{\partial\lambda_1}{\partial w_2}$, and $\partial_2 h = \frac{H''}{4(H')^2}$. Finally $h = \frac{1}{2}\ln(H')$ satisfies the equation. In the same way, we obtain $k = h = \frac{1}{2}\ln(H')$.

Using $H'(\rho) = c(\rho)$ and $\mathcal{G} = \frac{1}{c(\rho)}(c(\rho))'$, we note that $c' = H'(\mathcal{G} - 1)$ and that $H'' = \frac{H'}{\rho}(\mathcal{G} - 2)$. Then

\[
\partial_2(e^\rho \Phi) = \frac{\sqrt{H'} d - 1}{2c} \left( \frac{c}{2} + u \frac{c'}{2H'} \right) = \frac{d - 1}{2r} \left( \frac{1}{2\sqrt{H'}} + u \frac{c'}{2c\sqrt{H'}} \right).
\]

Let us define $g$, $A$ and $B$ such that

\[
\frac{d}{d\rho} \left( 2\sqrt{H'(\rho)} g(\rho) \right) = \frac{1}{\rho} \sqrt{H'(\rho)},
\]

\[
A = 1 + g,
\]

\[
\frac{d}{d\rho} \left( 2\sqrt{H'(\rho)} B(\rho) \right) = H'(\rho) \sqrt{H'(\rho)} (1 + 2g(\rho)).
\]
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Then, noting that, for any function \( f \) of \( u \) and \( \rho \) we have
\[
\partial_2 f = \partial_2 u \partial_u f + \partial_2 \rho \partial_\rho f = \frac{1}{2} \partial_u f + \frac{1}{2H' (\rho)} \partial_\rho f.
\]
Hence, we can check that \( \partial_2 (\epsilon^k \Phi) = \frac{d-1}{2r} \partial_2 \left( 2\sqrt{H'} uA - 2 \sqrt{H'} B \right) \) and we can choose
\[
\Phi = \frac{d-1}{r} (uA - B).
\]
In the same way, we have
\[
\Psi = \frac{d-1}{r} (uA + B).
\]
Note that
\[
\partial_\rho A = \frac{1}{2 \rho} (1 - g(\mathcal{G} - 2)), \quad \partial_\rho B = \frac{1}{2 \rho} (c(1 + 2g) - B(\mathcal{G} - 2)).
\]

Let us now compute the expression of \( a_0, a_1, a_2 \):
\[
a_0 = -e^{-h} \partial_1 \lambda_1 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{H'}} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{c'}{2H'} \right) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{H'}} 2 \leq 0,
\]
\[
a_1 = \frac{d-1}{2r} (c - u(\mathcal{G} - 1)) + \frac{d-1}{r} (uA - B) - \frac{d-1}{2r} u(\mathcal{G} - 2)
\]
\[
= \frac{d-1}{r} \left[ \frac{c}{2} - B \mathcal{G} + \frac{u}{2} (3 + 2 \mathcal{G} g) \right],
\]
and
\[
a_2 = \frac{(d-1) \sqrt{H'}}{r^2} \left[ -uc - \frac{d-1}{2} (uA - B)(c - u(\mathcal{G} - 1)) + \mathcal{G}(uA - B)^2 \right.
\]
\[
- \frac{d-1}{2} uc(1 - (\mathcal{G} - 2)(A - 1) + (B(\mathcal{G} - 2) - c(2A - 1))
\]
\[
- (u - c)(uA - B) \right]
\]
\[
= \frac{(d-1) \sqrt{H'}}{r^2} \left[ -uc - (u^2A - u(A + B) + cB)
\right.
\]
\[
- \frac{d-1}{2} \left( u(Ac + B(\mathcal{G} - 1)) - Bc - u^2A(\mathcal{G} - 1) + \mathcal{G}(u^2A^2 - 2uAB + B^2)
\right.
\]
\[
+ u^2(1 - (\mathcal{G} - 2)(A - 1)) + u(B(\mathcal{G} - 2) - c(2A - 1))) \right].
\]

Let us now consider the expression of \( b_0, b_1, b_2 \) as given in (4.8).
\[
b_0 = a_0 = \frac{-\mathcal{G}}{2 \sqrt{H'}},
\]
\[
b_1 = \frac{d}{2r} \left( u(2\mathcal{G}(A - 1) + 3) + 2\mathcal{G}B - c \right),
\]
\[
b_2 = \frac{(d-1) \sqrt{H'}}{r^2} \left[ -u^2A - u(c(A - 1) + B) - cB + \frac{d-1}{2} \left( u^2(-\mathcal{G}A^2 + (2\mathcal{G} - 3)A + 1 - \mathcal{G})
\right.
\]
\[
+ u(B(2\mathcal{G} - 3 - 2\mathcal{G}A) - c(A - 1)) + Bc - \mathcal{G}B^2 \right].
\]
\[\square\]
4.2 $C^1$ estimate on $w_1$ and $w_2$

We derive now $C^1$-estimates for $w_1$ and $w_2$. First we derive an upper bound on $\partial_t w_1$ and $\partial_t w_2$.

**Lemma 4.5.** Let us consider a Bethe-Weyl fluid and let $w = (w_1, w_2)$ be a regular solution of \((3.2)\) with a time of existence $T > 0$. Let $\alpha, R > 0$ with $\alpha < T$. Let $t \mapsto X_1(t)$ and $t \mapsto X_2(t)$ be the characteristics defined as in \((3.4)\) and passing through $(\alpha, R)$. Let $T$ be such that $T > \alpha$ and $T < \alpha$. We assume that $X_1(t), X_2(t)$ are well-defined on $[\alpha, T]$. Let furthermore $v_1, v_2$ be defined as in \((4.1)\). Then, for all $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$v_1(t, X_1(t))e^{-\int_{t_0}^t a_1(s, X_1(s))ds} \leq v_1(\alpha, X_1(\alpha)) + \int_\alpha^t a_2(s, X_1(s))e^{\int_s^t a_1(\tau, X_1(\tau))d\tau}d\tau,$$

$$v_2(t, X_2(t))e^{-\int_{t_0}^t b_1(s, X_2(s))ds} \leq v_2(\alpha, X_2(\alpha)) + \int_\alpha^t b_2(s, X_2(s))e^{\int_s^t b_1(\tau, X_2(\tau))d\tau}d\tau.$$

More generally, for any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$X_1(t)^\ell v_1(t, X_1(t)) \leq X_1(\alpha)^\ell v_1(\alpha, X_1(\alpha))e^{\int_\alpha^\ell a_1(X_1(s))ds} + \int_\alpha^t X_1(s)^\ell a_2(s, X_1(s))e^{\int_s^t a_1(\tau, X_1(\tau))d\tau}d\tau,$$

$$X_2(t)^\ell v_2(t, X_2(t)) \leq X_2(\alpha)^\ell v_2(\alpha, X_2(\alpha))e^{\int_\alpha^\ell b_1(X_2(s))ds} + \int_\alpha^t X_2(s)^\ell b_2(s, X_2(s))e^{\int_s^t b_1(\tau, X_2(\tau))d\tau}d\tau.$$

**Proof.** Let us consider $v_1$, the argument being similar for $v_2$. Let us denote $y_1(t) = v_1(t, X_1(t))$. The coefficient $a_0$ being non-positive, we have by Lemma 4.1 $y_1'(t) \leq a_1 y_1 + a_2$. Hence,

$$(y_1e^{-\int_t^t a_1(s, X_1(s))ds}y_1') \leq a_2(t, X_1(t))e^{-\int_t^t a_1(s, X_1(s))ds}.$$

Integrating we obtain the desired estimate. The second set of estimates is obtained in the same way considering the system \((4.4)\) instead of \((4.4)\).

We now derive a lower bound on $v_1$ and $v_2$. First we consider the case in which the initial condition $v_1(\alpha, R)$ is positive.

**Lemma 4.6.** Let us consider a Bethe-Weyl fluid and let $w = (w_1, w_2)$ be a regular solution of \((3.2)\) with a time of existence $T > 0$. Let $\alpha, R > 0$ with $\alpha < T$. Let $t \mapsto X_1(t)$ and $t \mapsto X_2(t)$ be the characteristics defined as in \((3.4)\) and passing through $(\alpha, R)$. Let $T$ be such that $T > \alpha$ and $T < \alpha$. We assume that $X_1(t), X_2(t)$ are well-defined on $[\alpha, T]$.

Let us denote $\bar{a}_1 = ra_1$, $\bar{a}_2 = r^2a_2$, $\bar{b}_1 = rb_1$, $\bar{b}_2 = r^2b_2$ as in Lemma 4.1, and

$$A_0 = \max_{[0, T]} |a_0(t, X_1(t))|, \quad A_1 = \max_{[0, T]} |\bar{a}_1(t, X_1(t))|, \quad A_2 = \max_{[0, T]} |\bar{a}_2(t, X_1(t))|, \quad (4.7)$$

$$B_0 = \max_{[0, T]} |b_0(t, X_2(t))|, \quad B_1 = \max_{[0, T]} |\bar{b}_1(t, X_2(t))|, \quad B_2 = \max_{[0, T]} |\bar{b}_2(t, X_2(t))|, \quad (4.8)$$

We also denote, for all $\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2$ positive, $x(\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2)$ the unique positive solution of

$$Q(r) = \frac{\zeta_1}{\sqrt{\zeta_0\zeta_2}}, \quad \text{where } Q(r) := re^r. \quad (4.9)$$
Furthermore, we denote

\[ K_a(\theta) = \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\theta} \left| a_2(t, X_1(t)) \right| \, dt \right) \cdot \exp \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\theta} \left| a_1(t, X_1(t)) \right| \, dt \right), \quad (4.10) \]

\[ K_b(\theta) = \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\theta} \left| b_2(t, X_2(t)) \right| \, dt \right) \cdot \exp \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\theta} \left| b_1(t, X_2(t)) \right| \, dt \right). \quad (4.11) \]

Then:

1. If \( v_1(\alpha, R) \geq 0 \), then for all \( t \in \left[ \alpha, \alpha + \frac{x(A_0,A_1,A_2)}{A_1} \min_{[0,T]} |X_1(t)| \right] \), we have

\[
\frac{-K_a(t)}{1 - K_a(t) \int_{\alpha}^{t} \left| a_0(\tau, X_1(\tau)) \right| e^{\int_{\alpha}^{\tau} |a_1(u,X_1(u))| \, du} \, d\tau} \leq v_1(t, X_1(t)) e^{-\int_{\alpha}^{t} a_1(s,X_1(s)) \, ds} \leq v_1(\alpha, X_1(\alpha)) + K_a(t).
\]

2. If \( v_2(\alpha, R) \geq 0 \), then for all \( t \in \left[ \alpha, \alpha + \frac{x(B_0,B_1,B_2)}{B_1} \min_{[0,T]} |X_2(t)| \right] \), we have

\[
\frac{-K_b(t)}{1 - K_b(t) \int_{\alpha}^{t} \left| b_0(\tau, X_2(\tau)) \right| e^{\int_{\alpha}^{\tau} |b_1(u,X_2(u))| \, du} \, d\tau} \leq v_2(t, X_2(t)) e^{-\int_{\alpha}^{t} b_1(s,X_2(s)) \, ds} \leq v_2(\alpha, X_2(\alpha)) + K_b(t).
\]

Remark 4.7. The quantities \( A_i \) and \( B_i \), for \( i \in \{0,1,2\} \), are not depending directly on \( r \) but only on \( \|w_1\|_{L^\infty} \) and \( \|w_2\|_{L^\infty} \). In the case \( \frac{dX_2}{dt} > 0 \) and \( \alpha = 0 \), we obtain a lower bound for the time of existence of \( \partial_r w_2 \)

\[ T_{ex}(\partial_r w_2) \geq \frac{x(B_0, B_1, B_2)}{B_1} R. \]

Remark 4.8. The same lemma can be applied to (1.6) with

\[
\tilde{a}_0 = \frac{a_0}{r^r}, \quad \tilde{a}_1 = a_1 + \frac{\ell \lambda_1}{r}, \quad \tilde{a}_2 = r^r a_2, \quad \tilde{b}_0 = \frac{b_0}{r^r}, \quad \tilde{b}_1 = b_1 + \frac{\ell \lambda_2}{r}, \quad \tilde{b}_2 = r^r b_2.
\]

The time of validity of the estimates remains similar, replacing \( A_1 \) by \( \tilde{A}_1 = \max_{[0,T]} (\tilde{a}_1 + \lambda_1)(t,X_1(t)) \) and \( B_1 \) by \( \tilde{B}_1 = \max_{[0,T]} (\tilde{b}_1 + \lambda_2)(t,X_2(t)) \), with \( A_0, B_0, A_2, B_2 \) unchanged.

**Proof.** First note that the upper bounds come directly from Lemma 4.3.

Let us consider the equation on \( v_2 \). Without a lot of changes we can adapt the following to \( v_1 \). Let us denote \( y_2(t) = v_2(t, X_2(t)) \) where \( v_2 \) is defined in (4.11). The equation on \( v_2 \) in (4.12) writes, with \( y_2(t) = v_2(t, X_2(t)) \),

\[ y'_2 = b_0 y_2^2 + b_1 y_2 + b_2, \quad (4.12) \]

where \( b_0 \leq 0. \)
According to a Lemma A.2 (see also Hörmander [8]), if we have \(y_2(\alpha) \geq 0\) and, for \(\theta > \alpha\)
\[
\int_{\alpha}^{\theta} \left| b_2(t, X_2(t)) \right| dt \int_{\alpha}^{\theta} \left| b_0(t, X_2(t)) \right| dt \exp \left(2 \int_{\alpha}^{\theta} \left| b_1(t, X_2(t)) \right| dt \right) < 1, \tag{4.13}
\]
then the equation (4.12) with initial condition \(y_2(\alpha) = v_2(\alpha, X_2(\alpha))\) in \(t = \alpha\) admits a solution on \([\alpha, \theta]\) and, with \(K_b\) as in (4.11), we have the estimate
\[
- K_b(\theta) \\
\leq y_2(\theta) e^{-\int_{\alpha}^{\theta} b_1(s, X_1(s)) ds} \leq v_2(\alpha, X_2(\alpha)) + K_b(\theta).
\]

Note, thanks to Lemma 4.4, that we have
\[
\theta > \alpha
\]
\[
\int_{\alpha}^{\theta} \left| b_0(t, X_2(t)) \right| dt \exp \left(\int_{\alpha}^{\theta} \left| b_1(t, X_2(t)) \right| dt \right) < \infty.
\]

As we are not considering the 1-D case, \(b_0, b_2\) are not constantly zero, see the expression of \(b_0, b_2\) in Lemma 4.1.

We have:
\[
\int_{\alpha}^{\theta} \left| b_2(t, X_2(t)) \right| dt \int_{\alpha}^{\theta} \left| b_0(t, X_2(t)) \right| dt \exp \left(2 \int_{\alpha}^{\theta} \left| b_1(t, X_2(t)) \right| dt \right)
\leq \frac{B_0B_2}{B_1^2} \frac{B_1}{\min_{[\alpha, \theta]}(X_2(t))} \left(\theta - \alpha\right) \exp \left(\frac{B_1}{\min_{[\alpha, \theta]}(X_2(t))} \left(\theta - \alpha\right)\right)^2
\]
\[
= \frac{B_0B_2}{B_1^2} Q \left(\frac{B_1}{\min_{[\alpha, \theta]}(X_2(t))} \left(\theta - \alpha\right)\right)^2.
\]

Hence, the condition (4.13) is satisfied for all \(\theta \leq T\) such that \(Q \left(\frac{B_1}{\min_{[\alpha, \theta]}(X_2(t))} \left(\theta - \alpha\right)\right) < \frac{B_1}{\sqrt{B_0B_2}}\). So, it is sufficient to ask that \(\theta\) satisfies:
\[
\theta \leq \alpha + \min_{t \in [\alpha, \theta]} X_2(t) \frac{x(B_0, B_1, B_2)}{B_1}.
\]
\[
\square
\]

Let us now derive a lower bound on \(v_1\) and \(v_2\) in the case the initial condition is negative.

**Lemma 4.9.** Let \(\alpha, R > 0\). With the same notations as introduced in Lemma 4.8, we obtain:

1. If \(v_1(\alpha, R) \leq 0\), then \(v_1\) is well-defined on every interval \([\alpha, \theta]\) such that
\[
\theta \leq \alpha + \frac{\min_{t \in [\alpha, \theta]} X_1(t)}{A_1} Q^{-1} (\Theta_+),
\]
where
\[
\Theta_+ = \frac{A_1}{\sqrt{A_0A_2}} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{A_0} R}{\sqrt{A_2}} \left|v_1(\alpha, R)\right| \min_{t \in [\alpha, \theta]} X_1(t)\right),
\]
Let us denote $\Theta = \min_{t \in [\alpha, \theta]} X_1(t)$. It is sufficient to have (see Lemma A.2), conditions (A.2) and (A.3) have to be satisfied. Note that, since $z_1(t) = -v_1(t, X_1(t))$. Then, by hypothesis $z_1(\alpha) \geq 0$. According to Lemma 4.1, $z_1$ satisfies the ODE:

$$z_1' = -a_0 z_1^2 + a_1 z_1 - a_2,$$

where $-a_0 \geq 0$ (see Lemma 4.4). Let us introduce $K_a$ as in (4.10). To apply Hörmander Lemma (see Lemma (A.2), conditions (A.3) and (A.2) have to be satisfied. Note that, since $-a_0 \geq 0$, condition (A.2) implies condition (A.3) so it is sufficient to see what is a sufficient condition allowing (A.2) to be satisfied. Condition (A.2) is equivalent to

$$(z_1(\alpha) + K_a) \int_0^\theta |a_0(t, X_1(t))| dt e^{\int_0^\theta |a_1(t, X_1(t))| dt} - 1 < 0.$$ 

It is sufficient to have

$$z_1(\alpha) A_0(\theta - \alpha) e^{\frac{A_1}{\min_{t \in [\alpha, \theta]} X_1(t)} (\theta - \alpha)} + \frac{A_0A_2}{\min_{t \in [\alpha, \theta]} X_1(t)^2} (\theta - \alpha)^2 e^{\frac{2A_1}{\min_{t \in [\alpha, \theta]} X_1(t)} (\theta - \alpha)} - 1 < 0.$$

Let us denote $\Theta = \frac{A_1}{\min_{t \in [\alpha, \theta]} X_1(t)} (\theta - \alpha) e^{\frac{A_1}{\min_{t \in [\alpha, \theta]} X_1(t)} (\theta - \alpha)} = Q(\frac{A_1}{\min_{t \in [\alpha, \theta]} X_1(t)} (\theta - \alpha))$, where $Q$ is defined as in (4.9). Then to satisfy condition (A.2) it is sufficient to have

$$z_1(\alpha)\frac{A_0}{A_1} \min_{t \in [\alpha, \theta]} X_1(t) + \frac{A_0A_2}{A_1^2} \Theta^2 - 1 < 0.$$
Let \( \Delta = \left( z_1(\alpha) \frac{A_0}{A_1} \min_{t \in [\alpha, \theta]} X_1(t) \right)^2 + 4 \frac{A_0 A_2}{A_1^2} \) be the discriminant of this equation in \( \Theta \). This equation admits two distinct roots, one positive and one negative. Let us denote \( \xi^+ \) the positive root. Then we have

\[
\xi^+ = \frac{A_1 z_1(\alpha) \min_{t \in [\alpha, \theta]} X_1(t)}{2 A_2} \left( -1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4 A_2}{z_1(\alpha)^2 A_0 \min_{t \in [\alpha, \theta]} X_1(t)^2}} \right) \leq \frac{A_1 \sqrt{A_0 A_2}}{1 + \frac{A_0}{\sqrt{A_2}} (z_1(\alpha) \min_{t \in [\alpha, \theta]} X_1(t))} =: \Theta_+.
\]

Then (A.2) is satisfied if \( 0 \leq \Theta \leq \Theta_+ \). Hence, the application \( Q \) defined in (4.9) being strictly increasing on \( \mathbb{R}_+ \), it is sufficient to have

\[
\theta \leq \alpha + \frac{\min_{t \in [\alpha, \theta]} X_1(t)}{A_1} Q^{-1}(\Theta_+).
\]

\[\square\]

5 Construction of a shock wave

5.1 Rankine-Hugoniot conditions

The Rankine-Hugoniot conditions (cf. [1, p. 312]) appear when we consider weak and piecewise smooth solution for first order systems. For the Euler equations (1.1), these conditions are written:

\[
\begin{align*}
- U[\rho] + [\rho(u \cdot \nu)] &= 0, \\
- U[\rho u] + [\rho(u \cdot \nu) u + p \nu] &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

through a discontinuity with normal vector \( \nu \) and with normal speed \( U \). The usual notation \([\cdot]\) stands for the jump between the two limit values at the both sides of the discontinuity. We denote \( u^+ \) the limit of \( u \) from the right and \( u^- \) the limit of \( u \) from the left. In the spherical case, these conditions become

\[
\begin{align*}
- U[\rho] + [\rho u] &= 0, \\
- U[\rho u] + [\rho u^2 + p] &= 0.
\end{align*}
\] (5.1)

A weak solution with a discontinuity is called shock when \( U \) differs from the speed of the fluid on the both sides of the discontinuity. Note that the first condition of (5.1) gives us \( \rho^+(U - u^+) = \rho^-(U - u^-) \) so that \( U - u^+ \) and \( U - u^- \) have the same sign. If we assume \( U - u^\pm \geq 0 \) then the shock moves from the left to the right.

Let \( W^\pm = U - u^\pm \). With some classical computations, we get that (5.1) is equivalent to

\[
\begin{align*}
j := \rho^+(U - u^+) = \rho^-(U - u^-), \\
[p + \rho W^2] &= 0.
\end{align*}
\] (5.2)
Hence, we get
\[
    u^+ - u^- = j \left( \frac{1}{\rho^-} - \frac{1}{\rho^+} \right), \quad p^+ - p^- = j^2 \left( \frac{1}{\rho^-} - \frac{1}{\rho^+} \right).
\]

Finally, we obtain \((u^+ - u^-)^2 = (p^+ - p^-) \left( \frac{1}{\rho^-} - \frac{1}{\rho^+} \right) \geq 0\). Let us recall furthermore the Lax entropy conditions for a 2-shock (see [20]):
\[
    \rho^- > \rho^+, \quad p^- > p^+, \quad u^- > u^+, \quad \lambda_1(w^-) < U(w^+, w^-) < \lambda_2(w^-), \quad U(w^+) \geq \lambda_2(w^+). \tag{5.3}
\]

Finally, the jump conditions at the shock are
\[
    U = \frac{\rho^+ u^+ - \rho^- u^-}{\rho^+ - \rho^-}, \quad u^- - u^+ = \sqrt{(p^+ - p^-) \left( \frac{1}{\rho^-} - \frac{1}{\rho^+} \right)}, \quad \rho^- > \rho^+. \tag{5.5}
\]

**Proposition 5.1.** For a Bethe-Weyl gas, the Rankine-Hugoniot and Lax shock conditions can be reduced to (5.5).

**Proof.** By (5.5), we have immediately \(u^- > u^+\) and \(p^- > p^+\) since \(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} = c^2 \geq 0\). Hence (5.3) is satisfied.

Let us prove (5.4). First, note that
\[
    U^- - u^- = \frac{\rho^+ \sqrt{F(\rho^-, \rho^+)}}{\rho^- - \rho^+},
\]
where \(F\) is defined as in (5.3). Hence \(U^- - u^- \geq 0 \geq -c^-\) and \(U \geq u^- - c^- = \lambda_1^\pm\).

Let us compute now \(U^- - u^- - c^-\):
\[
    U^- - u^- - c^- = \frac{\rho^+}{\rho^- - \rho^+} \sqrt{\rho^- c^- \left( \frac{1}{\rho^+} - \frac{1}{\rho^-} \right)} \left( \sqrt{\frac{1}{\rho^-} (p^- - p^+) - \sqrt{\rho^- c^- \left( \frac{1}{\rho^+} - \frac{1}{\rho^-} \right)} - \sqrt{\rho^- c^- \left( \frac{1}{\rho^+} - \frac{1}{\rho^-} \right)} \right)
\]
\[
    = \frac{\rho^+}{\rho^- - \rho^+} \sqrt{\rho^- c^- \left( \frac{1}{\rho^+} - \frac{1}{\rho^-} \right)} \left( \frac{1}{\rho^-} (p^- - p^+) - (\rho^- c^-)^2 \left( \frac{1}{\rho^+} - \frac{1}{\rho^-} \right) \right)
\]
\[
    = \frac{\rho^+}{\rho^- - \rho^+} \sqrt{\rho^- c^- \left( \frac{1}{\rho^+} - \frac{1}{\rho^-} \right)} \left( \frac{1}{\rho^-} (p^- - p^+) - \left(\rho^- c^- \right)^2 \left( \frac{1}{\rho^+} - \frac{1}{\rho^-} \right) \right)
\]

Let us denote \(\xi(\rho^-, \rho^+) = p^- - p^+ - (\rho^- c^-)^2 \left( \frac{1}{\rho^+} - \frac{1}{\rho^-} \right)\). We have, for \(\rho \geq \rho^+\)
\[
    \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} = -2c^2 \xi \left( \frac{1}{\rho^+} - \frac{1}{\rho^-} \right) \leq 0.
\]

Integrating on \([\rho^+, \rho^-]\), we obtain \(\xi(\rho^-, \rho^+) \leq \xi(\rho^+, \rho^+) = 0\). Finally, we have \(U - u^- - c^- \leq 0\).

A similar computation prove that \(U - u^- - c^- \geq 0\). \(\square\)
Let us invert the second of the jump conditions \((5.5)\), in order to express it as a condition on \(w_1^-\), depending on \(w_2^-, w_1^+, w_2^+\).

**Lemma 5.2.** We assume that there exists \((w_1^-, w_2^-)\) and \((w_1^+, w_2^+)\) such that \(F(w_0^-, w_0^+) = 0\). Then, there exists \(g \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})\) such that the second condition of \((5.5)\) is equivalent to the compatibility condition

\[
w_1^- = g(w_2^-, w_1^+, w_2^+) ,
\]

as long as the condition \(\rho^- > \rho^+\) is satisfied. That is to say, as long as \(g(w_2^-, w_1^+) < w_2^- - w_2^+ + w_1^+\).

**Proof.** We can write \((5.5)\) as the following:

\[
F(w_-, w^+) := u^- - u^+ - \sqrt{F(\rho^-, \rho^+)} = 0 ,
\]

with \(F(\rho, \rho^+) = (\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho^+})(p(\rho^+) - p(\rho))\) as in \((3.3)\). Since by hypothesis \(\rho^+ < \rho^-\), we have

\[
\frac{\partial F}{\partial w_1} = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{F}} \left(\sqrt{\rho^- c^- (\frac{1}{\rho^+} - \frac{1}{\rho^-})} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{\rho^- c^-}(p^- - p^+)}\right)^2 > 0 .
\]

According to the hypotheses \(F(w^-(0, R_0), w^+(0, R_0)) = 0\), that is to say that the jump condition given by the second equation of \((5.5)\) is satisfied at time \(t = 0\). By the implicit function Theorem, there exist (locally) a unique function \(g(w_2^-, w_1^+\), \(w_2^+, w_1^+)\) such that

\[
F(g(w_2^-, w_1^+, w_2^+)) = 0 .
\]

Furthermore

\[
\frac{\partial F}{\partial w_2^-} = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{F}} \left(\sqrt{\rho^- c^- (\frac{1}{\rho^+} - \frac{1}{\rho^-})} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{\rho^- c^-}(p^- - p^+)}\right)^2 \leq 0 ,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial F}{\partial w_1^+} = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{F}} \left(\sqrt{\rho^- c^- (\frac{1}{\rho^+} - \frac{1}{\rho^-})} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{\rho^- c^-}(p^- - p^+)}\right)^2 \leq 0 ,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial F}{\partial w_2^+} = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{F}} \left(\sqrt{\rho^- c^- (\frac{1}{\rho^+} - \frac{1}{\rho^-})} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{\rho^- c^-}(p^- - p^+)}\right)^2 \geq 0 ,
\]

and we can see that the sign of the partial derivatives remain constant. As \((\frac{\partial g}{\partial w_2^-}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial w_1^+}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial w_2^+})\) is well defined as long as \(\rho^- > \rho^+\). Hence, the implicit function is defined as long as the condition \(\rho^- > \rho^+\) is satisfied. \(\square\)

**Remark 5.3.** Note that the condition \(\rho^- > \rho^+\) along the discontinuity line \(K\) is equivalent to \(w_2^- > w_2^+\) on \(K\). Indeed, as \(H\) is a strictly increasing function of \(\rho\), \(\rho^- > \rho^+\) is equivalent to \(H^- > H^+\), that is to say \(w_2^- - w_2^+ > w_2^+ - w_2^+\). On the discontinuity line \(K\), \(w_1^- = g(w_2^-, w_1^+)\). Hence, \(\rho^- > \rho^+\) is equivalent to \(g(w_2^-, w_1^+, w_2^+) < w_2^- - w_2^+ + w_1^+\).

Let us denote \(h(w_2^-, w_1^+, w_2^+) = w_2^- - w_2^+ + w_1^+\). As \(\partial w_1^- F > 0\), then \(g(w_2^-, w_1^+) < h(w_2^-, w_1^+)\) is equivalent to \(F(g(w_2^-, w_1^+, w_2^+), w_2^+, w_1^+) < F(h(w_2^-, w_1^+), w_2^+, w_1^+)\). We note that

\[
u(h, w_1^-) = \frac{1}{2}(h + w_1^-) = \frac{1}{2}(2w_2^- - (w_2^+ - w_1^+)) = w_2^- - H(w_1^+, w_2^+)\]
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and
\[
\rho(h, w_2^-) = H^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} (w_2^- - h) \right) = H^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} (w_2^+ - w_1^+) \right) = \rho(w_1^+, w_2^+).
\]
Hence we obtain that \( \mathcal{F}(g, w_2^-, w^+) < \mathcal{F}(h, w_2^-, w^+) \) is equivalent to
\[
0 < (w_2^- - H^+) - u^+ = w_2^- - (u^+ + H^+) = w_2^- - w_2^+.
\]

### 5.2 Angular domain

We want now to construct a solution by solving three problems: two classical problems with initial conditions obtained by prolongating the initial conditions on the right and on the left of \( R_0 \); and an angular problem with boundary conditions given in (5.6)–(5.9). According to T. T. Li & W. C. Yu [14, Chap. 3], this last problem admits a local in time solution. In order to obtain an estimate on the time of existence we make a priori estimates on the solution.

Let us denote \( D_- \) the domain in the \((r,t)\)-plan which is bounded on the right by the curve \( C_1 \) defined in (5.9) and by the lines of equations \( t = 0 , \ t = T_* \) (see Figure 2). In the same way, we denote \( D_+ \) the domain in the \((r,t)\)-plan which is bounded on the left by the curve \( K \) defined in (5.9) and by the lines of equations \( t = 0 , \ t = T_* \). The domain \( D_0 \) is the domain in the \((r,t)\)-plan which is bounded on the left by the curve \( C_1 \) defined in (5.9) and on the right by the curve \( K \) defined in (5.9).

![Figure 2: Angular Domain and some related curves.](image)

More precisely, let \( R_0 > 0 \). Let us define the free boundary domain \( D_0 \) (see Figure 2) where the boundaries \( C_1 = \{(t,x_1(t)) , \ t \geq 0 \} \) and \( K = \{(t,x_2(t)) , \ t \geq 0 \} \) are respectively the curves defined by

\[
\frac{dx_1}{dt} = \lambda_1(w(t,x_1(t))), \quad x_1(0) = R_0 , \quad \frac{dx_2}{dt} = U(t,w(t,x_2(t))), \quad x_2(0) = R_0 . \quad (5.9)
\]

where \( U \) is the speed of a 2-shock linking \( w^-(t,x_2(t)) \) to \( w^+(t,x_2(t)) \) and is defined by the first equation of (5.8).
So that the angular problem is well-posed, we need to add some boundary conditions on \( \mathcal{C}_1 \) and \( K \). Defining \( g \) thanks to Lemma 5.2, we have the following boundary conditions:

\[
w_2(t, x_1(t)) = \omega(t), \quad w_1(t, x_2(t)) = g(t, x_2(t), w_2(t, x_2(t))),
\]

where \( \omega \) is chosen so that \( w_2 \) is \( \mathcal{C}^1 \) through \( \mathcal{C}_1 \). The definition of \( g \) ensures that the compatibility condition given by the second equation of (5.5) is satisfied along the discontinuity line \( K \).

**Lemma 5.4.** Let us assume that, along the shock \( K \), the conditions (5.6) are satisfied. Then along the shock \( K \), we have

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} w_1(t, x_2(t)) = \frac{1}{U - \lambda_1} \left[ (U - \lambda_2^+) \partial w_2^- g \partial_r w_2^- - (\partial w_2^- g + 1)f^- + (U - \lambda_1^+) \partial w_1^+ g \partial_r w_1^+ + (\partial w_1^+ g - \partial w_2^- g)f^+ \right].
\]

**Proof.** Derivating \( w_1^-(t, x_2(t)) \) we obtain

\[
\frac{d}{dt} w_1^-(t, x_2(t)) = \partial w_2^- g((U - \lambda_2^+) \partial_r w_2^- - f^-) + \partial w_1^- g((U - \lambda_1^+) \partial_r w_1^+ + f^+) + \partial w_2^- g((U - \lambda_1^+) \partial_r w_2^+ - f^+)
\]

but also \( \frac{d}{dt} w_1^-(t, x_2(t)) = \partial_t w_1^- + U \partial_r w_1^- = (U - \lambda_1^+) \partial_t w_1^- + f^- \). \( \square \)

**Proposition 5.5.** Let \( R_0 > 0 \). Let us consider a Bethe-Weyl gas, satisfying \( 1 < \mathcal{G} < 2 \) and such that \( (\rho \to c(\rho) / \rho) \) is integrable in 0.

Let us consider the free boundary problem consisting in the system (1.2) in \( D_0 \) with the following boundary conditions:

\[
w_2(t, x_1(t)) = \omega(t) \geq 0, \quad w_1(t, x_2(t)) = g(t, x_2(t)) > 0, \quad (t, x_1(t)) = (t, x_2(t)) = 0,
\]

with \( \mathcal{C}_1 \), \( K \), defined as above.

Assume that the compatibility conditions (5.6) are satisfied at time \( t = 0 \) and that there exists \( C_0 > 0 \) such that, for any \( M, T > 0 \):

\[
\begin{align*}
&\text{along } \mathcal{C}_1, \quad |\partial_r w_2(t, x_1(t))| = \left| \frac{\omega^' + f}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}(t, x_1(t)) \right| \leq \frac{C_0}{x_1(t)}, \\
&\text{along } K, \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \partial_t g(t, x_2(t)) \right| \leq C_0.
\end{align*}
\]

Then, assuming that there exists \( \delta > 0 \) such that \( \rho^- - \rho^+ \geq \delta > 0 \) along \( K \), there exists a \( \mathcal{C}^1 \) solution of (1.2) in \( D_0 \) whose time of existence is bounded below in the following way

\[
T_{ex} \geq C R_0,
\]

where \( C \) depends on the boundary conditions.
Proof. Let \((t, r) \in D_0\). The 2-characteristic going through \((t, r)\) originates in \(D_-\) and crosses \(C_1\) in \((\beta, x_1(\beta))\); meanwhile the 1-characteristic going through \((t, r)\) originates in \((\alpha, x_2(\alpha)) \in K\).

As \(w_1 > 0\) along \(K\), we can apply Lemma 5.6 on \(D_0\) to obtain a \(C^0\) estimate of \(w_1\) and \(w_2\). We obtain

\[
0 \leq w_1(\alpha, x_2(\alpha)) \leq w_1(t, r) \leq w_2(t, r) \leq w_2(\beta, x_1(\beta)) \leq \|\omega\|_L^\infty .
\]

Next, we want to obtain \(C^1\) estimates for \(w_1\) and \(w_2\). First, we derive an \(L^\infty\) estimate for \(\partial_r w_2\). Let us remind that \(v_2 = e^{\psi} (\partial_r w_2 + \Psi)\) with \(\Psi(r, w) = \frac{\psi(w)}{r}\).

- In the case \((\partial_r w_2 + \Psi)(\beta, x_1(\beta)) \geq 0\), then we have \(v_2(\beta, x_1(\beta)) \geq 0\) and we can apply Lemma 5.6 on \(D_0\) to obtain an \(L^\infty\)-estimate of \(\partial_r w_2\) in \(D_0\). Since \(X'_2 \geq 0\), we obtain a lower bound for the time of existence of \(\partial_r w_2\):

\[
T_{ex} \geq x_1(\beta) \frac{x(B_0, B_1, B_2)}{B_1} \geq R_0 \frac{x(B_0, B_1, B_2)}{B_1},
\]

where \(B_0, B_1, B_2\) are defined as in (4.8).

- In the case \(v_2(\beta, x_1(\beta)) \leq 0\), as \(X'_2 \geq 0\), by Lemma 4.9 we obtain the estimate

\[
T_{ex} \geq \frac{R_0}{B_1} Q^{-1}(\Xi_+),
\]

where \(B_1\) is defined as in (4.8) and \(\Xi_+ = \frac{B_1}{\sqrt{B_0 B_2}} \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{\frac{B_0}{B_2}}} \frac{1}{\|\psi\|_{L^\infty} x_1(\beta)}\).

Since \(\Psi(w, r) = \frac{\psi(w)}{r} \leq \frac{\|\omega\|_{L^\infty}}{r}\) and, by hypothesis \(|\partial_r w_2(\beta, x_1(\beta))| \leq \frac{C_0}{x_1(\beta)}\), we have

\[
|v_2(\beta, x_1(\beta))| x_1(\beta) \leq \|\psi\|_{L^\infty} + C_0 := C_1,
\]

and we finally have

\[
T_{ex} \geq \frac{R_0}{B_1} Q^{-1} \left( \frac{B_1}{\sqrt{B_0 B_2}} \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{\frac{B_0}{B_2} C_1}} \right).
\]

Let us now find an estimate in \(L^\infty\) for \(\partial_r w_1\). We want to proceed in the same way. First we prove that there exists \(C\) such that \(\partial_r w_1 + \Phi \geq \frac{C}{r}\) along \(K\). derivating the boundary condition, we get

\[
\frac{d}{dt} w_1(t, x_2(t)) = \partial_t w_1 + U \partial_r w_1 = f + (U - \lambda_1) \partial_r w_1 = \partial_t G + \partial_r G ((U - \lambda_2) \partial_r w_2 - f).
\]

Hence \(\partial_r w_1 = \frac{1}{U - \lambda_1} \left[ \partial_t G - f + \partial_r G ((U - \lambda_2) \partial_r w_2 - f) \right]\). By hypothesis we have a lower bound on \(\partial_r G\). We can find a similar lower bound on \(\partial_f\), whose expression is known. By hypothesis \(U - \lambda_2 \leq 0\), \(U - \lambda_1 > 0\) and they are depending only on \((w_1, w_2)\). Thanks to
Lemma 4.5, we obtain the following upper bound on $v_2 = e^{h(\partial_tw_2 + \Psi)}$, reminding that, by Lemma 4.4, $b_i(r, w) = \tilde{b}_i(w)/r^i$ for any $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$:

$$v_2(t, X_2(t))e^{-\int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{(\lambda_2+b_1)(\alpha, X_2(\alpha))}{X_2(\alpha)} ds} \leq \frac{X_2(\alpha)v_2(\alpha, X_1(\alpha))}{X_2(t)} + \frac{1}{X_2(t)} \int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{\tilde{b}_2}{X_2(s)}e^{-\int_{s}^{t} \frac{(\lambda_2+b_1)(\alpha, X_2(\alpha))}{X_2(\alpha)} ds} ds.$$

Reminding that $X'_1 \geq 0$ and $X'_2 \geq 0$, if $(\alpha, X_2(\alpha)) \in C_1$, we have, for any $s \geq \alpha$, $1/X_2(s) \leq 1/X_2(\alpha) \leq 1/R_0$. Using furthermore the hypothesis $|r(\partial_tw_2 + \Psi)| \leq C_0$ along $C_1$, we obtain

$$v_2(t, X_2(t)) \leq \left( \frac{C_0}{X_2(t)} + \frac{1}{X_2(t)} (t-\alpha) \frac{B_2}{R_0} \right) e^{(t-\alpha)(\sup(\lambda_2)+B_1)/R_0},$$

which provide us the estimate $v_2(t, x_2(t)) \leq \frac{1}{x_2(t)} C e^{c(t\alpha)/\rho e}$. We obtain the desired estimate taking a time $t$ bounded.

- If $(\partial_tw_2 + \Phi)(\alpha, x_2(\alpha)) \geq 0$, then we have $v_1(\alpha, x_2(\alpha)) \geq 0$ and we can apply Lemma 4.6 on $D_0$ to obtain estimate of $\partial_tw_1$ in $L^\infty$ in $D_0$. Since $X'_1 \geq 0$, we obtain a lower bound for the time of existence of $\partial_tw_1$:

$$T_{ex} \geq x_2(\alpha) \frac{x(A_0, A_1, A_2)}{A_1} \geq R_0 \frac{x(A_0, A_1, A_2)}{A_1},$$

where $A_0$, $A_1$, $A_2$ are defined as in (4.7) and $x(A_0, A_1, A_2)$ is defined in Lemma 4.6.

- If $v_1(\alpha, x_2(\alpha)) \leq 0$, as $X'_1 \geq 0$, by Lemma 4.9, we obtain the estimate

$$T_{ex} \geq \frac{R_0}{A_1} Q^{-1}(\Theta_+)$$

where $A_1$ is defined as in (4.7) and $\Theta_+ = \frac{A_1}{\sqrt{A_0A_2}} \frac{1}{1+\frac{\sqrt{A_0}}{\sqrt{A_2}} (|v_1(\alpha, x_2(\alpha))|_{x_2(\alpha)})}$. Since $\Phi(w, r) = \frac{\varphi(w)}{r} \leq \frac{\varphi_1}{r}$ by Lemma 4.4 and $|\partial_tw_1(\alpha, x_2(\alpha))| \leq \frac{C_0}{x_2(\alpha)}$, we have

$$|v_1(\alpha, x_2(\alpha))|_{x_2(\alpha)} \leq C_1 + C_0 := C_2,$$

and we finally have

$$T_{ex} \geq \frac{R_0}{A_1} Q^{-1}\left( \frac{A_1}{\sqrt{A_0A_2}} \frac{1}{1+\frac{\sqrt{A_0}}{\sqrt{A_2}} C_2} \right).$$

We are now able to construct a piecewise solution:

**Theorem 5.6.** Let us consider a Bethe-Weyl gas satisfying $1 < \mathcal{G} < 2$ and such that $(\rho \rightarrow \varphi(\rho))$ is integrable in $0$.

Let $R_0 > 0$. Assume there exists two regular solutions of (1.2) $(\rho^-, u^-)$ and $(\rho^+, u^+)$ with a time of existence $\mathcal{T} > 0$, $(\rho^-, u^-)$ admitting an initial condition at time $t = 0$ defined on $[0, R_0]$ and $(\rho^+, u^+)$ admitting an initial condition at time $t = 0$ on $[R_0, +\infty[$. We assume that the compatibility condition (5.2) are satisfied at time $t = 0$, in $r = R_0$. We
denote $w = (w_1, w_2)$ the associated Riemann invariant defined as in (3.1). The superscript $\pm$ means the quantity associated to $(\rho^\pm, u^\pm)$ defined in the domains $D^\pm$. The subscript 0 indicates the initial condition at time $t = 0$.

Let us assume that
\[
\min_{r \leq R_0} w_{1,0}^-(r) > 0, \quad w_{1,0}^-(R_0) + \inf_{r \geq R_0} w_{1,0}^+(r) > 0.
\]
and that there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that, for all $t \in [0, T]$ and any $r$ where $w^-$ (respectively $w^+$) is defined:
\[
|\partial_r w_2^-(t, r)| \leq C_0 r, \partial_r w_1^+(t, r) \geq -\frac{C_0}{r}, \partial_r w_2^+(t, r) \leq \frac{C_0}{r}.
\]

Then, as long as $\rho^- > \rho^+$ along $\mathcal{K}$, there exists a shock wave solution of (1.2) with initial conditions
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{for } r \leq R_0, & \quad u(0, r) = u_0^-(r), \quad \rho(0, r) = \rho_0^-(r), \\
\text{for } r \geq R_0, & \quad u(0, r) = u_0^+(r), \quad \rho(0, r) = \rho_0^+(r),
\end{align*}
\]
and its time of existence is bounded below in the following way
\[
T_{ex} \geq \min(T, CR_0),
\]
where $C$ depends on the initial conditions.

For example, we can use the result obtained in [11] in order to have $T = +\infty$. For $(\rho^+, u^+)$, a stationary solution could also work.

**Proof.** Let $D_-, D_0, D_+$ be defined as before. Let us define $G(t, w_2) := g(w_2, w^+)$, where $g$ has been defined in Lemma 3.2. Using the estimate of Lemma 3.3 in $D_+$, we obtain along the discontinuity line $\mathcal{K}$: $w_- = g(w_+^-, w^+) \geq w_+ \geq w_{1,0}^+ \geq \inf_{r \geq R_0} w_{1,0}^+$. Besides, $u > 0$ in $D_-$, in particular $u^- (0, R_0) > 0$, and by continuity of $u^-$ in $D_- \cup D_0$, at least for a small time, $u^-$ is non-negative in $D_0$. Note $[0, t_0]$ the time interval within $u^-$ is non-negative in $D_0$. For $(t, r) \in D_0$ such that $t \leq t_0$. Let us denote $\beta$ the time at which the 2-characteristic going through $(t, r)$ cross $C_1$.
\[
u^-(t, r) \geq \frac{1}{2} (w_1^- + w_2^-)(t, x_2(t)) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \min_{r \geq R_0} w_{1,0}^+(r) + \frac{w_2(\beta, x_1(\beta))}{1 + w_2(\beta, x_1(\beta)) (d-1)(\beta^-)^4} \right).
\]

Besides, as $(\beta, x_1(\beta)) \in D_-$, we have $w_2(\beta, x_1(\beta)) \geq w_1(\beta, x(\beta)) \geq w_1(0, R_0)$. Furthermore, $x_1(\beta) \geq 0$ then $x_1(\beta) \geq R_0$. Then
\[
u^+(t, r) \geq \frac{1}{2} (w_1^+ + w_2^-)(t, x_2(t)) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \min_{r \geq R_0} w_{1,0}^+(r) + \frac{1}{w_1(0, R_0)} + \frac{1}{R_0} \right)
\]

If $w_{1,0}^+ > 0$, $w^- > 0$ in all $D_0$. Otherwise, if $w_{1,0}^+ < 0$ then $u^-$ is non-negative as long as
\[
t - \beta \leq \frac{4R_0}{(d-1)} \left( \frac{1}{\min w_{1,0}^+} + \frac{1}{w_2(\beta, x_1(\beta))} \right).
\]
Then we obtain that $u^-$ is non-negative if

$$t \leq \frac{4R_0}{(d-1)} \left( \frac{1}{\min(w_{1,0}^+) + \frac{1}{w_1^- (0, R_0)}} \right).$$

Hence, we can apply Proposition 3.7 to $(t, r) \in D_0$ to find a time of validity for the $\psi^0$-estimates proportional to $R_0$.

Besides, the expression of $\partial_r w_1^-$ along $K$ in Lemma 5.4 and the hypotheses on $\partial_r w_1^+, \partial_r w_2^+$ allow us to check that the hypotheses of Proposition 5.5 are satisfied. Hence, we have a regular solution in the angular domain satisfying the boundary condition $w_2(t, x_1(t)) = w_2^-(t, x_1(t))$ and $w_1(t, x_2(t)) = g(w_2, w^+)$. 

5.3 Checking hypotheses

We determine now a set of hypotheses on the initial conditions so that the hypothesis $\rho^- > \rho^+$ of the previous theorem is satisfied. The condition $|\partial_r w_2| \leq C^r$ along $C_1$ cannot be computed with this method: the construction of a regular solution in $D_-$ has to be obtained by another result on regular solution (see for example D. Serre [21] or M. Grassin [6] or M. Lécureux-Mercier [11]).

**Proposition 5.7.** In the same context as in Theorem 5.6, we assume furthermore that $w_{1,0}(R_0) + \min_{r>R_0} w_{1,0}^+ > 0$ and $w_{1,0}(R_0) > \max_{r>R_0} w_{2,0}^+$. Then, the estimates of Proposition 3.7 are available in $D_0$ for a time proportional to $R_0$ and furthermore, along $K$, $\rho^+ < \rho^-$. 

**Proof.** Let $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ we denote $\beta$ the time at which the 2-characteristic going through $(t, x_2(t))$ crosses $C_1$. By Proposition 3.7 we have

$$w_2^-(t, x_2(t)) \geq \frac{w_2(\beta, x_1(\beta))}{1 + (t - \beta) \frac{(d-1)}{4x_1(\beta)} w_2(\beta, x_1(\beta))} \geq \frac{1}{w_{1,0}(R_0) + (t - \beta) \frac{(d-1)}{4R_0}}.$$ 

Besides, $w_2^+(t, x_2(t)) \leq \max(w_2^+,0)$. By remark 5.3 the condition $\rho^+ < \rho^-$ on $K$ is equivalent to $w_2^- > w_2^+$. Hence it is sufficient to have

$$\frac{1}{w_{1,0}(R_0) + (t - \beta) \frac{(d-1)}{4R_0}} > \max(w_2^+,0)$$

Finally it is sufficient to have $w_{1,0}(R_0) > \max(w_2^+,0)$ and $t < \frac{4R_0}{(d-1)} w_{1,0}^-(0, R_0) - \max w_{2,0}^+$. □
A Time of existence for ODE

Lemma A.1 (Maximum principle for ODE.). Let $a, b : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous applications. Assume that $w, z : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous applications such that $z(0) \geq w(0)$ and $w' = aw^2 + b$, $z' \geq az^2 + b$ in $[0, T]$. Then $z(t) \geq w(t)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$.

Proof. By hypothesis,

$$(z - w)'(t) = z' - (a(t)w(t)^2 + b(t)) \geq a(t)(z(t)^2 - w(t)^2),$$

that is to say $(z - w)'(t) \geq a(t)(z(t) - w(t))(z(t) + w(t))$. It follows that,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( (z - w)(t)e^{-\int_0^t a(s)(z+w)(s)ds} \right) \geq 0.$$ 

Hence $(z - w)(t)e^{-\int_0^t a(s)(z+w)(s)ds} \geq (z - w)(0) \geq 0$, for all $t \in [0, T]$. □

Lemma A.2. Let $T > 0$ and $a_0, a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{C}^0([0, T]; \mathbb{R})$. Let $a_0^+ = \max(a_0, 0)$ and $K$ be defined by

$$K = \int_0^T |a_2(t)| \ dt \exp \left( \int_0^T |a_1(t)| \ dt \right). \quad (A.1)$$

If $y_0 \geq 0$ and

$$\frac{1}{y_0 + K} > \int_0^T a_0^+(t) \ dt \exp \left( \int_0^T |a_1(t)| \ dt \right), \quad (A.2)$$

$$\frac{1}{K} > \int_0^T |a_0(t)| \ dt \exp \left( \int_0^T |a_1(t)| \ dt \right), \quad (A.3)$$

then the maximal solution of the Cauchy problem

$$y' = a_0(t)y^2 + a_1(t)y + a_2(t), \quad y(0) = y_0, \quad (A.4)$$

is defined at least on $[0, T]$ and satisfies

$$\frac{1}{y(T)} > \frac{1}{y_0 + K} - \int_0^T |a_0^+(t)| \ dt \exp \left( \int_0^T |a_1(t)| \ dt \right), \quad \text{if } y(T) \geq 0, \quad (A.5)$$

$$\frac{1}{y(T)} > \frac{1}{K} - \int_0^T |a_0(t)| \ dt \exp \left( \int_0^T |a_1(t)| \ dt \right), \quad \text{if } y(T) < 0. \quad (A.6)$$

Proof. First, denoting $\tilde{y} = \exp \left( -\int_0^t a_1(s) \ ds \right) y$, $\tilde{a}_0 = \exp \left( \int_0^t a_1(s) \ ds \right) a_0$ and $\tilde{a}_2 = \exp \left( -\int_0^t a_1(s) \ ds \right) a_2$, we see that the equation \textbf{(A.4)} becomes the ordinary differential equation

$$\tilde{y}' = \tilde{a}_0(t)\tilde{y}^2 + \tilde{a}_2(t). \quad (A.7)$$

and $\tilde{y}(0) = y(0)$. We can thus assume without loss of generality that $a_1 \equiv 0$. 28
Let us introduce the increasing function \( v \) defined by
\[
v(t) = \int_0^t |\tilde{a}_2(s)| \, ds.
\]
Let \( z \) be the maximal solution of the Cauchy problem
\[
z' = \tilde{a}_0^+(t)(z + K)^2, \quad z(0) = y_0.
\]
Then \( z \) is increasing and since \( y_0 + K > 0 \), we have
\[
\frac{1}{z(t) + K} = \frac{1}{y_0 + K} - \int_0^t \tilde{a}_0^+(s) \, ds.
\]
Note that the right hand side does not vanish for all \( t \in [0, T] \), thanks to (A.2). Besides, we have \((z + v)(0) = y_0\) and
\[
(z + v)' = \tilde{a}_0^+(t)(z + K)^2 + |\tilde{a}_2|
\geq \tilde{a}_0^+(t)(z + v)^2 + \tilde{a}_2
\geq \tilde{a}_0(t)(z + v)^2 + \tilde{a}_2.
\]
Consequently, according to Lemma [A.1], we have \( y(t) \leq (z + v)(t) \leq z(t) + K \) for all \( t \in [0, T] \) if \( y \) exists. In particular, as long as \( y(t) > 0 \), we have
\[
\frac{1}{y(t)} \geq \frac{1}{z(t) + K} = \frac{1}{y_0 + K} - \int_0^t \tilde{a}_0^+(s) \, ds = \frac{1}{y_0 + K} - \int_0^t \tilde{a}_0^+(\tau) e^{\int_0^\tau a_1(s) \, ds} \, d\tau,
\]

hence
\[
\frac{1}{y(t)} \geq \frac{1}{y_0 + K} - \int_0^t \tilde{a}_0^+(\tau) \, e^{\int_0^\tau a_1(s) \, ds} \, d\tau. \tag{A.8}
\]

Assume now that \( y \) vanishes and changes its sign in \( t_0 \in [0, T] \). We can apply the same procedure as above to \( Y = -y \), replacing \( y_0 \) by \( Y(t_0) = Y_0 = 0 \) and beginning at time \( t_0 \). The application \( Y' = A_0 Y^2 + a_1 Y + A_2 \), where \( A_0 = -a_0, A_2 = -a_2 \). Denoting \( A_0^+ = \max(-a_0, 0) \), we get that, for all \( t \geq t_0 \) such that \( Y(t) > 0 \)
\[
\frac{1}{Y(t)} \geq \frac{1}{K} - \int_{t_0}^t A_0^+(\tau) \, e^{\int_{t_0}^\tau a_1(s) \, ds} \, d\tau.
\]

Consequently, for all \( t \) such that \( y(t) < 0 \)
\[
\frac{1}{|y(t)|} \geq \frac{1}{K} - \int_{t_0}^t |a_0(\tau)| \, e^{\int_{t_0}^\tau a_1(s) \, ds}. \tag{A.9}
\]

Finally, the inequalities (A.8)-(A.9) give us some bounds on \( y \) for all time, \( y \) being positive or negative. Hence, \( y \) can not tend to \( \pm \infty \) and exists up to time \( T \). Indeed, we proved above that there exists a function \( \varphi \in C^0([0, T], \mathbb{R}) \) such that, if \( y \) is solution of (A.4), then \( |y(t)| \leq \varphi(t) \) for all \( t \in [0, T] \). Let us denote \( T_* \) the maximal time of existence of \( y \). If we assume \( T_* < T \), then we obtain that \( y \) is bounded on \([0, T_*[ \) by \( \max_{[0,T]} \varphi \), which contradicts the fact that \( y \) has to go out of all compact set when \( t \to T_* < \infty \). \( \square \)
B Explicit expression of the coefficients

We use here the same notation as in Lemma 4.4.

Remark B.1. Note that, in the case \( \rho \mapsto \sqrt{H(\rho)} \) is integrable at \(+\infty\), we have

\[
A = 1 + g = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{H'}} \int_{+\infty}^\rho \frac{1}{u} \sqrt{H'(u)(\mathcal{G} - 1)} \, du \leq 0.
\]

Hence \( 1 + 2g \leq 0 \) and we have also \( B \leq 0 \). Besides,

\[
(1 + g)H - B = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{H'}} \int_0^\rho \left( H'\sqrt{H'} + \frac{H\sqrt{H'}}{\rho}(\mathcal{G} - 1) \right) \geq 0.
\]

Hence, if \( w_2 = u + H \geq 0 \), then \( \Psi \leq \frac{(d-1)}{r} (1 + g)(u + H) \leq 0 \)

Remark B.2. In the case \( d = 3 \), we obtain

\[
a_2 = \frac{2\sqrt{H'}}{r^2} \left[ - u^2 \left( \mathcal{G} A^2 - 2A(\mathcal{G} - 2) + \mathcal{G} - 1 \right) + u \left( 2(A - 1)(\mathcal{G} B + c) + 4B \right) - \mathcal{G} B^2 \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{2\sqrt{H'}}{r^2} \left[ - \mathcal{G} (u(A - 1 + \frac{2}{\mathcal{G}}) - B)^2 - 4u^2 \left( \frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{\mathcal{G}} \right) + 2uc(A - 1) \right],
\]

and

\[
b_2 = \frac{2\sqrt{H'}}{r^2} \left[ - u^2 \left( \mathcal{G} A^2 - 2A(\mathcal{G} - 2) + \mathcal{G} - 1 \right) + u \left( 2B(\mathcal{G} - 2 - \mathcal{G} A) - 2c(A - 1) \right) - \mathcal{G} B^2 \right]
\]

In the case \( d = 2 \), we obtain

\[
a_2 = \frac{\sqrt{H'}}{2r^2} \left[ - \mathcal{G} \left( u(A - 1 + \frac{5}{2\mathcal{G}}) - B \right)^2 - \frac{25}{4}u^2 \left( \frac{16}{25} - \frac{1}{\mathcal{G}} \right) + 3uc(A - 1) \right].
\]

B.1 Perfect gas.

For a perfect gas, we have: \( c(\rho) = \sqrt{\gamma_0(\gamma_0 - 1)} (\rho)^{\frac{\gamma_0 - 1}{2}} \), thus

\[
H = 2 \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_0}{\gamma_0 - 1}} (\rho)^{\frac{\gamma_0 - 1}{2}}.
\]

This implies, denoting \( \nu = \frac{\gamma_0 + 1}{\gamma_0 - 1} > 1 \)

\[
\rho = \left( \frac{\gamma_0 - 1}{4\gamma_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_0 - 1}} H^{\nu - 1},
\]

\[
c(\rho) = \frac{\gamma_0 - 1}{2} H,
\]

\[
H' = \frac{\gamma_0 - 1}{2} \left( \frac{4\gamma_0}{\gamma_0 - 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_0 - 1}} H^{2-\nu}
\]
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Consequently, noting that $\gamma_0 - 1 = \frac{1}{\nu - 1}$, $u = \frac{w_1 + w_2}{2}$ and $H = \frac{w_2 - w_1}{2}$, we get

\[
\lambda_1 = \frac{w_1 + w_2}{2} - \frac{1}{\nu - 1} \left( \frac{w_2 - w_1}{2} \right), \\
\lambda_2 = \frac{w_1 + w_2}{2} + \frac{1}{\nu - 1} \left( \frac{w_2 - w_1}{2} \right), \\
\partial_1 \lambda_1 = \partial_2 \lambda_2 = \frac{\nu}{2} = \frac{\nu}{2(\nu - 1)} > 0, \\
\partial_2 \lambda_1 = \partial_1 \lambda_2 = \frac{\nu - 2}{2(\nu - 1)} \geq 0.
\]

Then $h = k = \ln \left( \frac{H^{(2-\nu)/2}}{2} \right)$ and

\[
g = \frac{\nu - 1}{(2 - \nu)} \leq 0, \\
B = \frac{\nu}{(2 - \nu)(4 - \nu)} H, \\
a_0 = \frac{-\nu}{2(\nu - 1)^{1/2}(2\nu + 2) \frac{\nu}{2}} H^{\nu - 1}, \\
a_1 = \frac{d - 1}{(2 - \nu)^{1/2}} \left[ 3u + \frac{2(4 - 3\nu)H}{(\nu - 1)(4 - \nu)} \right], \\
a_2 = \frac{d - 1}{(2 - \nu)^{1/2}} \left[ -u^2 + \frac{4uH}{(\nu - 1)(4 - \nu)} - \frac{\nu H^2}{(\nu - 1)(4 - \nu)} \right] \\
+ \frac{d - 1}{2} \left[ -2u^2 - \frac{8uH}{(2 - \nu)(4 - \nu)} + \frac{\nu H^2}{(\nu - 1)(4 - \nu)} - \frac{\nu^3}{(\nu - 1)(2 - \nu)(4 - \nu)H^2} \right].
\]

**B.2 Van der Waals gas.**

For a Van der Waals gas, we have: $c(\rho) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{b}{\rho}} \sqrt{\gamma_0(\gamma_0 - 1)} \left( \frac{\rho}{1 - \frac{b}{\rho}} \right)^{\frac{\gamma_0 - 1}{2}}$, thus

\[
H = 2 \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_0}{\gamma_0 - 1} \left( \frac{\rho}{1 - \frac{b}{\rho}} \right)^{\frac{\gamma_0 - 1}{2}}}. 
\]

This implies, denoting $\bar{b} = b \left( \frac{\gamma_0 - 1}{\gamma_0} \right)^{1/2}$ and $\nu = \frac{\gamma_0 + 1}{\gamma_0 - 1} > 1$

\[
\rho = \frac{\left( \frac{\gamma_0 - 1}{\gamma_0} \right)^{1/2} H^{\nu - 1}}{1 + \bar{b} H^{\nu - 1}}, \\
c(\rho) = \frac{\gamma_0 - 1}{2} \left( 1 + \bar{b} H^{\nu - 1} \right) H, \\
H' = \frac{\gamma_0 - 1}{2} \left( \frac{4\gamma_0}{\gamma_0 - 1} \right)^{1/2} (1 + \bar{b} H^{\nu - 1})^2 H^{2 - \nu} 
\]
Consequently, noting that \( \gamma = \frac{w_0 - 1}{\nu - 1} \), \( u = \frac{w_1 + w_2}{2} \) and \( H = \frac{w_2 - w_1}{2} \), we get

\[
\lambda_1 = \frac{w_1 + w_2}{2} - \frac{1}{\nu - 1} \left( \frac{w_2 - w_1}{2} + \tilde{b} \left( \frac{w_2 - w_1}{2} \right)^\nu \right),
\]
\[
\lambda_2 = \frac{w_1 + w_2}{2} + \frac{1}{\nu - 1} \left( \frac{w_2 - w_1}{2} + \tilde{b} \left( \frac{w_2 - w_1}{2} \right)^\nu \right),
\]
\[
\partial_1 \lambda_1 = \partial_2 \lambda_2 = g = \frac{\nu}{2(\nu - 1)} \left( 1 + \tilde{b} H^{\nu - 1} \right) > 0,
\]
\[
\partial_2 \lambda_1 = \partial_1 \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2(\nu - 1)} \left( (\nu - 2) - \tilde{b} \nu H^{\nu - 1} \right).\]

Then \( h = k = \ln \left( H^{(2 - \nu)/2} (1 + \tilde{b} H^{\nu - 1}) \right) \) and

\[
g = \frac{\nu - 1}{(2 - \nu)(1 + \tilde{b} H^{\nu - 1})},\]
\[
B = \frac{1}{(2 - \nu)(4 - \nu)} \frac{H}{1 + b H^{\nu - 1}} \left( 1 + \frac{(2 - \nu)(4 - \nu)}{2 + \nu} \tilde{b} H^{\nu - 1} \right),\]
\[
a_0 = \frac{-\nu}{2(\nu - 1)^{1/2}(2\nu + 2)^{\nu/2}} H^{\nu - 1}.\]
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