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Abstract

The aim of this work is to find sub-types for Place Named Entities, from the analysis of relations between Place Names and a nominal group within a specific phrasal context. The proposed method combines the use of specific intra-sentential lexico-syntactic relations and external resources like gazetteers, thesauri, or ontologies. It relies on expanded spatial named entities recognition transcribed into a symbolic representation expressed in terms of semantic features. This symbolic representation will then be associated with a geo-coded representation, depending on the available resources. Our method is completely implemented and has been tested on a corpus of travelogues.

1 Introduction

The traditional named entity recognition task is a well-known problem in natural language processing (NLP) tasks and in information extraction and retrieving (IE & IR). Many systems have been developed, mainly for the English language, to recognize and categorize the proper names appearing in textual documents. Classically, the named entities are classified into persons, organisations and places. The literature is quite poor on describing methods focusing on topographic denotation (eg, arid territory, south of the narrow valley, etc.). Our experimental framework consists of a textual corpus containing several hundred of travel stories in the Pyrenees. To operate automatic term extraction, our first contribution is to propose lexico-syntactic patterns to mark nominal groups having a topographical denotation in the target corpus.

The problem above may be resolved by dividing into two following sub-problems: the first one is the recognition of named entity and the second one determines the semantics of the nominal group in syntactic relation with to named entity.

For the first sub-problem, there are many tools for the automatic recognition of named entities. In fact, GATE ANNIE1, LingPipe2, OpenCalais3, Stanford NER4, OpenNLP5 can mark several categories of named entities (person, enterprise, place, date, etc). MetaCarta6, Yahoo! Placemark7 target the named entities of type place while GuTime8, HeideTime9 are dedicated to named entities of type dates. Regarding spatial named entities, we can mention the project CasEN6, mainly for the French language, proposes a system based on cascades of transducers for tagging in predetermined classes place names. The approach proposed in [8] operates on document structure: for example, when working on the collaborative encyclopedia Wikipedia, the identification of named entities is done in the title and their categorization is based on the analysis of the first sentence of the description part. The approach proposed in [7], meanwhile, aims more particularly at disambiguation of recognized place names thanks to a resource like WorldNet.

The second sub-problem may be solved thanks to the definition proposed in [18], a place is a portion of the space in which we stand, and we move. A place can be composed of

1 http://gate.ac.uk/e/annie.html  
2 http://alias-i.com/lingpipe  
3 http://www.opencalais.com  
4 http://nlp.stanford.edu/software/CRF-NER.shtml  
5 http://openlp.sourceforge.net  
6 http://www.metacarta.com  
7 http://developer.yahoo.com/geo/placemark/  
8 http://www.timeml.org/site/timex2/modules/gutime/index.html  
9 http://lids.sfb.uni-heidelberg.de/index.php?id=106
several elements, where the two most important are a concrete entity, and a spatial reference. Following examples have been extracted from our corpus:

(1) Nous songeâmes bientôt à descendre sur le territoire aride de l’Aragon10.
(2) Un torrent rapide descend de la partie orientale du glacier de la Maladetta.11
(3) Nous arrivons au fond de la vallée d’Ossau.12

We can then consider that in the expression *territoire aride de l’Aragon* (the arid territory of Aragon) concrete entity is denoted by the topographical term *territoire aride* (arid territory) and the spatial reference can be derived from the place name Aragon. Same goes for the expression *partie orientale du glacier de la Maladetta* (the eastern part of the glacier of Maladetta), the spatial reference can be derived in the same way as in the previous example, the place name Maladetta and concrete entity is represented here by the nominal group *partie orientale du glacier* (eastern part of the glacier).

In the last expression there is no sub-type but precision is given through a spatial relation. Then the interpretation of this relation requires a spatial reasoning: [9] proposes a classification of spatial relations into three categories: topological, projective, and metric. These classes are respectively based on the properties of space: topological, projective, and Euclidean. Topological relations were the most studied, and among the first models proposed, the RCC-8 [10] became the basis of many other proposals. In the book edited by [11] a synthesis is proposed around these models. The other two categories have been less explored. The main interest of projective relations is that they can be described by projective properties without using metric properties [12]. Projective relations attempt to formalize relations expressed in natural language by expressions such as: right of, in front of, between, along, in the suburbs of north of, etc. Although specific models have been proposed for some of these relations, for orientation relations by [13] and [14], and cardinal directions by [15]. As topological relation, projective relations can be considered as qualitative, because they don’t need to rely on a Euclidean representation when involved in a reasoning process. This problem of logical representation and algorithmic processing of space is fully described in [16]. Metric relations, such as the distance between two points, are generally considered to be quantitative nature, such as in the approach proposed by [17].

Let us return now to the relation (concrete entity, spatial reference) mentioned above. Despite its obvious interest its expressiveness is too small to be able to make a difference between a geographical expression and an expression with a different meaning, as revealed by the following example:

(4) Je parlai de mes intentions à plusieurs guides de Luchon.
(I spoke about my intentions to several guides of Luchon.)

Guide is a concrete entity but not topographical. It means that guide cannot be used for topographically sub-tying Luchon. The question that arises here is how to filter such terms. The study of our corpus shows that the pair relation (concrete entity, spatial reference) is frequently in relation, in the same sentence with verb expressing movement (*to come down on the arid area of Aragon, arrive at the bottom of Ossau valley*). According to [18], in Romance languages at least, movement is characterized by the verb. In travelogues corpus, according to some experimental studies, the expression of movement is essential. Furthermore many authors, such as [19], [20] and [21], have show the role of motion verbs in natural language. These authors have proposed a categorization of verbs of movement by their polarity. Therefore in our approach we have adopted the polarity of a verb can be initial (eg leave), medial (eg cross) or final (eg arrive). On the other hand, according to our own observations on our travelogues corpus, the verbs of perception (eg see) are also important in some context of evocation, especially when the narrator during his trip wants to report certain situations or feelings. Now, the problem is: how mark and formalise the relation between place name, topographical term, spatial relation, and the verb of movement or of perception?

As a solution we propose a process named VT described in the following section.

3 Method and implementation

In order to reduce different levels of ambiguity carried in different parts of GEN we use a methodology combining linguistic patterns [22], [23], [24], in a process taking into account phrasal context. The core of our method is based on a cascade of lexico-syntactic patterns called from hereafter VT.

Formally, let V, I, T, G respectively a set of verbs (which contains only verbs of movement and verbs of perception), a set of *indirections* (or spatial relations), a set of topographical terms and a set of place names. We define $VT = (v, t)$ with $v \in V$, $t \in T$, $I \subset I$, $G \subset G$. We can release that the toponym (i.e. extended place name) $t$ is recursively defined as its third element ($nt\ell$) shows.

Consider the example 1 mentioned above (*Nous songeâmes bientôt à descendre sur le territoire aride de l’Aragon*). We have $VT=(v, t)$ with $v\in\{\text{descend}\}$, $t\in\{\text{sur le territoire aride de l’Aragon}\}$. In this case, $t=(i, t’) \ where \ i=\{\text{sur}\}$, $t’=(te, nt) \in \{\text{territoire aride de l’Aragon}\}$. 

Figure 1 shows our fully implemented chain including the VT principles. In figure (a) represents major steps of our processing sequence; (b) explains the output of each step with above example sentence (for non-french speakers the input and outputs in each step have been translated in English but actually the process deals exclusively French language).

Firstly, the text is tokenized before being processed by a syntactic analyser (i.e TreeTagger), which associates each token to a grammatical category (i.e. verb, noun, preposition, etc.). Then, thanks to our lexical resource, verbs of movement and verbs of perception are marked. In accordance with the retained concept of aspectual polarity, the verbs of movement are also marker as: “initial verbs” for verbs like *quitter, partir*

---

10 Soon we were preparing to come down on he arid territory of Aragon.
11 A rapid torrent descends from the eastern part of the glacier of Maladetta
12 We arrived at the bottom of Ossau valley.
13 to descend, to come down
14 on the arid territory of Aragon
15 on
16 arid territory
17 TreeTagger is a language independent part-of-speech tagger. It was developed by Helmut Schmidt in the TC project http://www.imls.uni-stuttgart.de/projekte/tc/ (at the Institute for Computational Linguistics of the University of Stuttgart).
De, sortir, s’échapper, s’éloigner, etc., (quit, leave from, exit, escape, get away) “final verbs” for verbs like arriver à, atteindre, entrer dans, accéder, etc. (arrive at, reach, enter, access), “medial verbs” for verbs like traverser, descendre, parcourir, passer par, se déplacer dans, etc. (across, walk down, go, pass, move in). An analysis of verbs of perception enabled us to conclude that all these verbs are transitive verbs, and thus they are never followed by a preposition i.e. all the verbs of perception has a sub-behavior of median verbs of movement. Then, we have added to our resource a lexicon of about fifty verbs of perception.

Basing on the output of the syntactic analyser, words or group of words are marked as common nouns, or as proper nouns. A single common noun could be, vallée, village, territoire, etc. (valley, village, territory, etc.) and a complex one could be, territoire aride, marché d’intérêt régional, etc (arid territory, market of regional interest). Recursively, the adjective(s) is separated from the noun. This is done thanks to rules expressed in a DCG (Definite Clause Grammar) formalism. This formalism allows the implementation of context-free grammars. In our case it consists of replacing, thanks of a set of rules, a text sequence (noun, adjective, verb, etc.) with a unique symbol. For example, the following rule allows defining a symbol named term containing an adjective and a noun.

\[
\text{term adjective (A.. noun-N)} \rightarrow \text{adjectif(A.. noun-N)}
\]

In this way we have defined 4 cases for phrases of common noun and 14 cases for phrases of proper nouns. In a second time gazetteers (BNNyme, Geonames, etc.) are used to validate proper nouns as existing Place Names. A previous steps for phrases of proper nouns. The GNE. This is done thanks to a cascade of DCG rules as show table 1. As mentioned in

\[
\text{Table 1 : DCG rules marking toponyms }
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case 1</th>
<th>Absolute toponym : territoire aride de l’Aragon (arid territory of Aragon)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>toponym(esa.X.type:a) \rightarrow esa1(X).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%Define absolute toponym</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>esa1(subType:X.placeName:Y) \rightarrow subType(X), %territoire aride</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de, %de</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>placeName(Y), %Aragon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subType(X) \rightarrow ls_token(_, X, commonNoun), %territoire aride</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>placeName(X) \rightarrow ls_token(_, X, placeName), %Aragon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case 2</th>
<th>Relative toponym : territoire aride au sud de la ville de Pau (arid territory in the south of Pau city)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>toponym(esa.X.type:p) \rightarrow esa1(X);</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%Define the relative toponym</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>esa1(subType:X.indirection:Y.esa:Z) \rightarrow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subType(X), %territoire aride</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>indirection(Y), %sud de</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>article, %la</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>esa(Z), %ville de Pau</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>esa(Z) \rightarrow esa1(Z); esa2(Z).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>indirection(X) \rightarrow ls_token(_, lemma:X, indirection).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finally, the VT structure is marked.

\[
\text{VT(verb: V..toponym:T) \rightarrow verb(V), toponym(T)}
\]

After this step nominal groups contained in the structure are examined. If the nominal group, or at part least part of it, matches with a concept or a label of concept of a toponographical ontology, it is marked as the subtype the place name. Consider the example above: Nous arrivons au fond de la vallée d’Ossau.20 The term vallée (valley) will be tagged as the subtype of the place name Ossau because vallée matches with a concept of a toponographical ontology.21 In case there would have been no match, a second search is triggered on a generic thesaurus to try to deduce the meaning of the term. In this case, the term will not be directly used to sub-type the place name, but it can be used to enrich the toponographical ontology, after validation by a human. For the example in , je traversais le plus vaste territoire karstique de l’Aragon le territoire karstique de l’Aragon (the largest karstic territory of Aragon) is cross the largest karstic territory of Aragon) has been marked as a toponym, but, neither the term territoire karstique (karstic territory) nor the term territoire (territory) is present in the domain-specific ontology. However this toponym is involved in a VT structure, so the term territoire karstique could be considered as a “good” candidate to be a topographic label of a concept. So, a second external resource (a generic thesaurus for francophone libraries called RAMEAU) is queried and the term territoire karstique is found as a key-concept. The term is therefore proposed to an expert for possible addition to the ontology.

4 Some experimentations

---

20 We arrived at the bottom of Ossau valley.
21 In this work the domain-specific ontology has been established in collaboration with the COGIT a research group of IGN
We tried out our data processing sequence on a corpus of 14 books, in a nutshell we have:

- 10555 occurrences of verbs of movement found 1390 are involved in a VT pattern.
- 560 VT patterns containing candidates for sub-typing Place Name.
  - 44 of them already exist in the domain-specific ontology
  - 49 of them have matched with a key-concept in the RAMEAU thesaurus.

The experiments show that the verbs of perception reveal new geographical information. We also have false positive response as expressions like, voir la duchesse d’Albe (see Duchess of Alba).

We finally get 214 distinct terms that are connected to verbs of movement, and 68 connected to verbs of perception. On the travelogue corpus, 30% of terms appear only with verbs of perception.

### 5 Conclusion

This paper present a global method for adding sub-types to place named entities, thanks to particular linguistic relations. This method can be used effectively to reduce ambiguities. It also could be used to improve the formulation of queries for searching in very large resources. The experimentation verifies the assumption consists in saying that the nominal group, which lies between a verb and a name place, has a very high probability of having a geographical sense. The methodology suggested enables us to extract from our corpus of travel stories a lexicon of topographic labels.

One of the advantages of our method is the possibility to use resources with a large number of hierarchical concepts, e.g. domain-specific ontology used for experiments consists of more than 700 topographic concepts. Furthermore the generic thesaurus RAMEAU is composed of more than 170000 concepts in various domains. This possibility allows reducing the ambiguity of place name at various semantic levels. Moreover, we use local lexico-syntactic patterns allowing inexpensive adaptation to other Indo-European languages. Recursively, this method allows not only to extract the sub-type associated directly to the place-name, but also determined the sub-type associated indirectly to it at different levels (i.e., hill in argillaceous hill in the south of Pyrenean mountains).
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