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We report a combined study of the electronic structure of NiSi$_2$, Ni$_2$Si, MoSi$_2$, and Mo$_2$Si$_3$ using x-ray emission spectroscopy and density functional theory. The local and partial metal $d$ and Si $p$ and $s$d densities of states (DOSs) are obtained for the four compounds both experimentally and theoretically. This allows refined insight into the Si-metal bonding interaction, shown to be determined by a competition between the effects of the lattice structure and of the spatial extent of the metal $d$ wave function. The latter effect is found to prevail for all four compounds, based on the prominent Si-Mo $pd$ hybridization found in the DOS of MoSi$_2$ and Mo$_2$Si$_3$ and the dominant Ni-Ni $dd$ interaction observed in the electronic structure of NiSi$_2$ and Ni$_2$Si.
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I. INTRODUCTION

For the last three decades, technological applications have aroused an increasing interest in transition-metal silicides. Owing to their easy production, low resistivity and thermal and mechanical stability, these systems have been extensively used in opto- and microelectronics. Nickel silicides are promising candidates as contact materials in submicron complementary metal oxide semiconductor devices. Re­fractory MoSi$_2$-based composite materials provide an effective coating for devices submitted to high temperatures such as furnaces or aircraft engines. Most of the remarkable properties of metal silicides are arguably related to their electronic structure, and accordingly a wealth of studies have been reported on the electronic (and bonding) properties of these systems. However, there is a lack of comprehensive studies that include the local (element specific) and partial (symmetry specific) densities of states (DOSs) for a series of compounds. Most of previously reported multicomponent studies were limited to either the total$^6$–$^8$ or local$^9$–$^{11}$ DOS, and those reporting local and partial DOS were restricted to only one compound.$^{12}$–$^{19}$

Accordingly, some of the critical aspects of bonding in silicides have not been plainly interpreted so far, such as the effect of the spatial extent of the metal $d$ wave function. Also, compared to disilicides, general trends in bonding across transition-metal-rich silicides have been given only limited attention until now.

In this work, we investigate both experimentally and theoretically the local and partial DOS of near-noble NiSi$_2$ and Ni$_2$Si and refractory MoSi$_2$ and Mo$_2$Si$_3$ silicides. The choice of these compounds is based on the considerations that (i) bond lengths and numbers of neighboring atoms are comparable for the two disilicides and for the two metal-rich silicides, respectively, and (ii) late 3$d$ metal Ni and early 4$d$ metal Mo should have relatively contracted and extended $d$ wave functions, respectively. This allows us to disentangle the effects of the bonding environment and of the spatial extent of the metal $d$ wave function in the discussion, which is a necessary condition to distill out the pivotal elements of bonding in metal silicides.

Most of the joint experimental and theoretical studies on the metal silicides reported so far used x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) for the experimental spectra of the occupied states$^6$,$^{19}$–$^{24}$ therefore most often restricting the discussion to the total DOS. X-ray emission spectroscopy (XES), by virtue of its site and symmetry selectivities, emerged as a key technique in elucidating solid-state electronic structure.$^{25}$–$^{28}$ A few studies combining calculated and XES spectra of metal silicides have been previously reported, but the XES data are limited to Si.$^{12}$,29,30 We take here a step forward and present a more complete set of XES data consisting of the metal $d$ and the Si $p$ and $s$d states, thus allowing a greater experimental insight into the bonding mechanisms.

After describing the computational and experimental methods in Secs. II and III, respectively, we examine the calculated local and partial densities and discuss the bonding properties in Sec. IV A. These bonding schemes are closely corroborated by the experimental spectra as discussed in Sec. IV B. We suggest that the spatial extent of the metal $d$ wave function plays a key role in determining the Si-metal bonding, as the Si-metal hybridization is found stronger in the Mo compounds compared with their Ni analogs, irrespective of the bond lengths and numbers.

II. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

The electronic structure calculations were performed using the VASP (Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package) code$^{31}$–$^{32}$ which was previously applied successfully to the electronic structure of transition-metal aluminides$^{33}$–$^{34}$ and sulphides.$^{35}$ The program solves the Kohn-Sham equations through a threedimensionally periodic implementation, within the framework of the density functional theory. The wave functions of the valence electrons were expanded in a basis set of plane waves with kinetic energy smaller than a specified cutoff energy, 245 eV for the Mo compounds and 270 eV for the Ni compounds. The Hamiltonian is based on pseudopotentials derived according to projector-augmented-wave potentials.$^{36}$ Reciprocal-space integration over the Brillouin zone was approximated through a sampling at a finite num-
TABLE I. Crystal structures of the metal silicides.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Space group</th>
<th>a(Å)</th>
<th>b(Å)</th>
<th>c(Å)</th>
<th>Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Si 4e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo₂Si₃</td>
<td>D₈₄</td>
<td>I4/mcm</td>
<td>9.643</td>
<td>9.643</td>
<td>4.910</td>
<td>Mo1 4b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mo2 16e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Si1 4a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Si2 8h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiSi₂</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>Fm₃m</td>
<td>5.406</td>
<td>5.406</td>
<td>5.406</td>
<td>Ni 4a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Si 8c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni₂Si</td>
<td>C23</td>
<td>Pnma</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>3.730</td>
<td>7.040</td>
<td>Ni1 4c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ni2 4c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The approximation for the exchange-correlation function used is the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) of Perdew et al., which accounts well for changes in the coordination number.

We used the experimental lattice parameters for the sake of comparison with the experiment. These are indicated in Table I along with the crystal structures of the four metal silicides. The coordination number for an average atom, i.e., the coordination number summed over the different sites and normalized by the number of sites, is plotted in Fig. 1 for the four silicides as a function of distance for the three contributions metal-metal, metal-Si, and Si-Si. These respective bonding contributions and their expected effect on the DOS are discussed in the beginning of Sec. IV A.

When compared to the experiment, the local and partial DOS are successively convoluted with (i) a Lorentzian (Gaussian) function accounting for the instrumental function for the metal d and Si p (Si sd) states, (ii) a Lorentzian function which full width at half maximum is equal to the lifetime of the core hole in the initial state of the emission process, and (iii) a Lorentzian function of variable width reflecting the variation in the lifetime of the hole across the valence band in the final state of the emission process. The width varies from 0 at the top to 1 eV at the bottom of the valence band, proportional to the square of the binding energy within the valence band.

III. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The XES measurements were performed on a MoSi₂ single crystal, and on Mo₂Si₃, NiSi₂, and Ni₂Si high-purity (≥99%) powders purchased from Alfa Aesar and Mateck and compacted into pellets. Based on the high degree of thermodynamic stability of metal silicides, one can reasonably assume that a single crystal and powder behave in a similar way when exposed to the electron beam during the measurement.

In order to probe the occupied valence states of the Mo and Ni silicides, the following emission bands are analyzed:

1. Si L₂,₃ (3s3d → 2p transition), describing the Si 3s and 3d states, in the 80–100 eV photon energy range;
2. Si Kβ (3p → 1s transition), describing the Si 3p states, in the 1830–1850 eV photon energy range;
3. Ni Lα (3d → 2p₃/₂ transition), describing the Ni 3d states, in the 830–850 eV photon energy range;
4. Mo Lβ₂,₁₅ (4d → 2p₃/₂ transition), describing the Mo 4d states, in the 2510–2530 eV photon energy range.
All the emissions except the Si $L_{2,3}$ are collected using a high-resolution curved crystal Johann-type x-ray spectrometer.\(^{42}\) In this apparatus, the working pressure is a few $10^{-7}$ Pa. The ionization of the samples is produced by an electron beam with an electron current density of about 1 mA/cm\(^2\). The spectral resolution $E/\Delta E$ is 850 or better, depending on the photon energy range. The Si $L_{2,3}$ emission is obtained using a 2 m grazing incidence (1.5°) x-ray spectrometer.\(^{43}\) with a 600 grooves/mm grating. The working pressure is a few $10^{-4}$ Pa and the electron current density is 5–10 mA/cm\(^2\). The spectral resolution is 500. The electron-beam energy was respectively set to 1.6, 3, 4, and 6 keV for the measurement of the Ni $L\alpha$, Si $L_{2,3}$, Si $K\beta$, and Mo $L\beta$ emission bands. None of these excitation energies lies in the vicinity of an absorption edge of Si, Ni, or Mo, thereby ensuring spectra free of unwanted resonance effects. The corresponding emissive thicknesses are of the order of a few hundreds of nanometers, therefore the measurements can be considered as mostly bulk sensitive.

When compared with the theoretical DOS, the experimental DOS are set on a binding-energy scale relative to the Fermi level $E_F$. This is done by subtracting the binding energy of the core level involved in the transition from the photon energy scale. The Si $2p_{3/2}$ and Ni $2p_{3/2}$ binding energies are determined by XPS. The Si $1s$ binding energy is determined by combining the Si $2p_{3/2}$ binding energy and the energy of the Si $K\alpha_1$ emission ($2p_{3/2} \rightarrow 1s$ transition). The Mo $2p_{3/2}$ binding energy is determined by combining the Mo $3d_{5/2}$ binding energy, obtained by XPS, and the energy of the Mo $L\alpha_1$ emission ($3d_{5/2} \rightarrow 2p_{3/2}$ transition). Whereas for all other emissions this correction is negligible, the variation in the photon energy must be taken into account for the ultrasoft Si $L_{2,3}$ emission. Accordingly, the Si $3d$ spectral density is divided by the cube of the photon energy.

### IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### A. Theoretical results

For the purpose of discussing the bonding properties, we examine the coordination numbers of an average atom plotted for the four silicides in Fig. 1. Bond lengths and numbers are found comparable for both disilicides, and very close for both metal-rich silicides. We note that the coordination numbers are higher in NiSi\(_2\) than in MoSi\(_2\), but in about the same proportion for the metal and Si environments. All four compounds have their first shell of nearest neighbors near 2.5 Å, where the nearest neighbors are metal-Si and Si-Si for the two disilicides, and metal-Si and metal-metal for the two metal-rich silicides. Based on these bond lengths and numbers, one may argue that the local structure in the two disilicides (metal-rich silicides) is likely to “favor” metal-Si and Si-Si (metal-Si and metal-metal) interactions. In the following discussion, changes in bonding properties between the disilicides and the metal-rich silicides will therefore be attributed to differences in the local structure. On the other hand, changes within the two disilicides, or within the two metal-rich silicides, will mainly be ascribed to differences in the spatial extent of the metal $d$ wave function, which is known to increase with the period (i.e., in going from 3$d$ to 4$d$ or 5$d$), or when the $d$ occupancy decreases. The Mo $d$ wave function is therefore expected to be more extended than the Ni one, resulting in an increased overlap of the Mo $d$ states with its surroundings compared with Ni.

The projected DOS of the four compounds are presented in Fig. 2, plotted on a binding-energy scale relative to $E_F$. They show a good agreement with previous
Our DOS are found consistent with this and of metal-rich silicides but with a strongly reduced intensity. Our calculations show that the compound composition affects the shape of this Si s feature rather than its intensity, as it is found much sharper for the metal-rich silicides in comparison with the disilicides, consistent with the decrease in the number of Si-Si near neighbors in the metal-rich compounds (cf. Fig. 1). On the other hand, this Si s band is distinctly stronger for the two Mo compounds and shows substantial coupling with the metal d states, contrasting with the weaker Si s feature for the Ni silicides. This is a strong indication that this Si s band is involved in the Si-metal bonding interaction, in a commensurate way with the spatial extent of the metal d orbital. This is at odds with the long-standing interpretation of this feature as being mostly nonbonding.

We note that this bonding interaction encompasses a finite contribution from Si p states in the disilicide case, in accord with the stronger Si-Si bonding in these compounds. On the empty-state side, some Si s states are observed to hybridize with the metal d states as well, between 0 and 5 eV. The Si s region is followed at lower binding energy by, respectively, a crossover with Si p and metal d states for the disilicides and by a \(~1.5\) eV wide gap for the metal-rich materials (quasigap for MoSi\(_2\)). A substantial coupling between the Si p and metal d states resulting in a well-defined peak in the Si p DOS is centered around \(~5\) eV for the four compounds, with virtually no Si s states. This feature is brought about by the Si-metal pd bonding interaction. Along the low binding-energy tail of this peak the electronic structure acquires a predominant d character, coinciding with a quasigap in the Si p states between the pd bonding interaction and its antibonding pendant at lower binding energies. The d states located within this quasigap participate in the metal-metal dd hybridization, they are usually called non-bonding.

It is now well established that the pd hybridization and the ensuing redistribution of the Si p states toward the bottom (pd bonding) and top (pd antibonding) of the metal d band are at the heart of silicide bonding. It follows from the literature that this interaction is determined by two main competing trends which are an increase in the bonding/antibonding splitting with the metal content\(^{11,23,45}\) and a decrease in the proportion of metal d states involved in the bonding with Si with the spatial extent of the metal d wave function\(^{18,20,21,23}\). Our DOS are found consistent with this scheme, as the bonding/antibonding splitting is clearly larger for the metal-rich systems Ni\(_2\)Si (\(~9\) eV) and Mo\(_2\)Si\(_3\) (\(~10\) eV) than for their disilicide analogs NiSi\(_2\) (\(~3\) eV) and MoSi\(_2\) (\(~4\) eV). Besides, whereas the narrow Ni d non-bonding states dominate the DOS of both Ni compounds, a substantial proportion of the more diffuse Mo d states is found enmeshed with the Si p states for both Mo compounds. We note that MoSi\(_2\) stands as an outstanding case of strong pd hybridization, with only a very narrow region of nonbonding d states straddling a near hybridization gap at \(E_F\).
The difference is more pronounced for Ni IV A 46 compounds and both below and above the Mo compounds in comparison with their Ni counterparts. The intensity of this feature is stronger for both Mo compounds, confirming the stronger Si-metal interaction in these compounds. The intensity of this feature is stronger for both Mo compounds, confirming the stronger Si-metal interaction in these compounds.

We observe that the calculated Ni d states are observed to overlap with the metal d states below \( E_F \) for the Ni compounds and both below and above \( E_F \) for the Mo compounds. The intensity of this feature is stronger for both Mo compounds, confirming the stronger Si-metal interaction in the Mo compounds in comparison with their Ni counterparts.

**B. Comparison between theoretical and experimental results**

Calculated and experimental DOS are presented for the four systems in Fig. 3. The agreement is good for the metal d and the Si p states, but less satisfactory for the Si sd states. We suggest that the acquisition conditions of the Si L_{2,3} emission band, such as the vacuum and the current density of the incident electrons, not as good as for the other measured emissions (cf. Sec. III), could have generated slight modifications in the sample structure and/or composition. This may explain the additional structures observed in the experimental spectra compared with the calculations. Furthermore, the ratio of the transition probabilities \( 3d \rightarrow 2p_{3/2}, 3s \rightarrow 2p_{3/2} \) is unknown, as taken as 1. One may obtain a better agreement with the calculated spectra if using a higher ratio for the metal-rich materials.

We observe that the calculated Ni d spectra are broader than the experimental ones on the high-energy side for both Ni compounds. This is a signature of strong dd correlations typical of nearly fully filled 3d band transition metals, which are not properly accounted for in the present calculations. Similar discrepancies between experimental and theoretical data have been previously reported for other Ni compounds.\(^{48,49} \) The difference is more pronounced for Ni\textsubscript{5}Si compared to NiSi\textsubscript{2}, reflecting the fact that the d states in Ni\textsubscript{5}Si have a stronger Ni metal-like character,\(^{46} \) hence a higher sensitivity to the final-state effect than in NiSi\textsubscript{2}.

We examine now the emission spectra and discuss the bonding properties along the lines of Sec. IV A. Starting with the Si L\textsubscript{2,3} band, the main peak near \(-10\) eV is expected to reflect the Si s states. It is found narrower for the two metal-rich compounds compared with the disilicides, which agrees well with the calculated Si s bands and is consistent with the diminished Si-Si bonding component in the metal-rich systems. Toward lower binding energies the experimental spectrum gains gradually intensity from the \( 3d \rightarrow 2p_{3/2} \) transition, and the peak centered near \(-2.5\) eV is expected to describe the Si d states. This peak, overlapping with the metal L emission band, is observed to be stronger for the Mo compounds than for the Ni compounds. This is congruent with the stronger Si-metal dd overlap observed for the Mo compounds in the calculated DOS (cf. Fig. 2).

A striking difference between MoSi\textsubscript{2} and the other compounds is observed in the respective positions of the Si K\( \beta \) and metal L bands, which describe, respectively, the Si p and metal d states. The two bands are found to strongly overlap in the MoSi\textsubscript{2} case, as seen from their respective maximum coinciding around \(-2.5\) eV. The maximum of the metal L band is observed to lie in between the main peak (\(~-5\) eV) and the low binding-energy shoulder (\(~-1\) eV) of the Si K\( \beta \) band for the other silicides, signifying a substantially weaker pd overlap. We observe that the Ni L\( \alpha \) band is narrower than the Mo L\( \beta_{15} \) band, consistent with the more diffuse character of the Mo d states. Overall, these spectra provide a valuable experimental confirmation of the bonding trends inferred from the calculated DOS.

**V. CONCLUSION**

We have studied the bulk electronic structure of the silicides NiSi\textsubscript{2}, Ni\textsubscript{5}Si, MoSi\textsubscript{2}, and Mo\textsubscript{5}Si\textsubscript{3} using a combination of XES and density functional theory. Good agreement is obtained between the calculated and experimental local and partial DOS. The main features of both calculated and experimental spectra are pinpointed and assigned to specific bonding mechanisms. The coupling between the Si and metal states is observed to come about through the sequence sd-pd-dd from high to low binding energy, followed by a region of metal d nonbonding states at lower binding energy. Based on an evaluation of the proportion of nonbonding states for each compound, we suggest a hierarchy in pd hybridization strength: MoSi\textsubscript{2} > Mo\textsubscript{5}Si\textsubscript{3} > NiSi\textsubscript{2} > Ni\textsubscript{5}Si. This suggests that the chemical bonding in silicides is mainly the result of two competing trends, the extension of the metal d wave function, and the local structure. The former trend is here dominant as seen from the stronger pd hybridization in Mo\textsubscript{5}Si\textsubscript{3} compared with NiSi\textsubscript{2}.
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