



Fusion coefficients and random walks in alcoves

Manon Defosseux

► **To cite this version:**

Manon Defosseux. Fusion coefficients and random walks in alcoves. Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré (B) Probabilités et Statistiques, Institute Henri Poincaré, 2014.

HAL Id: hal-00844322

<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00844322>

Submitted on 14 Jul 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

FUSION COEFFICIENTS AND RANDOM WALKS IN ALCOVES

MANON DEFOSSEUX

ABSTRACT. We point out a connection between fusion coefficients and random walks in a fixed level alcove associated to the root system of an affine Lie algebra and use this connection to solve completely the Dirichlet problem on such an alcove for a large class of simple random walks. We establish a correspondence between the hypergroup of conjugacy classes of a compact Lie group and the fusion hypergroup. We prove that a random walk in an alcove, obtained with the help of fusion coefficients, converges, after a proper normalization, towards the radial part of a Brownian motion on a compact Lie group.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the early nineties Ph. Biane pointed out relations between representation theory of semi-simple complex Lie algebras and random walks in a Weyl chamber associated to a root system of such an algebra (see for instance [2]). Actually, random walks in a Weyl chamber are obtained considering the hypergroup of characters of a semi-simple complex Lie algebra, with structure constants given by the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients. A Weyl chamber is a fundamental domain for the action of a Weyl group associated to a root system. If we consider an affine Lie algebra, which is an infinite dimensional Kac-Moody algebra, a fundamental domain for the action of the Weyl group associated to its (infinite) root system is a collection of level k alcoves, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus it is a natural question to ask if random walks in alcoves are related to representation theory of infinite dimensional Lie algebras. There are several ways to answer. A first one could be to consider tensor products of highest weight representations of an affine Lie algebra. One would obtain random walks in alcoves with increasing level at each time. This approach has to be related to the very recent paper [16]. A second one is to consider the so-called fusion product. In that case, one obtains random walks living in an alcove with a fixed level. This is this approach that we develop in this paper. Fusion coefficients can be seen as the structure constants of the hypergroup of the discretized characters of irreducible representations of a semi-simple Lie algebra (see [21] and references therein). Following an idea of Ph. Bougerol¹ we point out that random walks in an alcove are related to such an hypergroup. Thus one answers positively to the question explicitly formulated in [11] : does it exist a link between representation theory and random walks in alcoves ? In particular one can completely solve the discrete Dirichlet problem on an alcove, for a large class of simple random walks, as P. H. Berard did in [1] in a continuous setting, which is important to obtain, for instance, precise asymptotic results. Thus we get a very natural new integrable probabilistic model, i.e a probabilistic object which can "be viewed as

¹Private communication.

a projection of a much more powerful object whose origins lie in representation theory” [4]. We obtain in addition a better understanding of some previous results concerning random walks in alcoves. Actually, the restriction to a classical alcove of the Markov kernel of most of reflectable random walks considered in [11] is given by fusion coefficients. This is due to the fact that these reflectable random walks are mostly related to minuscule representations of classical compact Lie groups and that in these cases fusion coefficients give the number of walks remaining in an alcove. In [11] Grabiner is interested in a class of reflectable walks, for which Gessel and Zeilberger have shown a Karlin-MacGregor type formula in [10]. In our perspective, this formula has to be related to a Karlin-MacGregor type formula which holds for the so-called fusion coefficients.

A random walk on a Weyl chamber converges after a proper normalization towards a Brownian motion on a Weyl chamber, which can also be realized as the radial part of a Brownian motion in a semi-simple complex Lie algebra. It is maybe enlightening to notice that the orbit method of Kirillov provides a kind of intermediate between the discrete and the continuous objects. It establishes in particular a relation between convolution on a Lie algebra and tensor product of its representations. Taking an appropriate sequence of convolutions on a Lie algebra one obtains by a classical central limit theorem a chain of correspondences between random walks in a Weyl chamber, tensor product of representations, convolution on a Lie algebra and Brownian motion in this Lie algebra. We establish that convolution on a connected compact Lie group involves fusion product of irreducible representations. We prove that a random walk obtained considering the fusion hypergroup converges after a proper normalization towards the radial part of a Brownian motion in a compact Lie group. Thus, the paper should be read keeping in mind the following informal chain of correspondences.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Random walk in} & \sim & \text{Fusion} & \sim & \text{Random walk in} & \sim & \text{Brownian motion} \\ \text{an alcove} & & \text{product} & & \text{a compact group} & & \text{in a compact group.} \end{array}$$

The paper is organized as follows. Basic definitions and notations related to representation theory of semi-simple complex Lie algebras are introduced in section 3. The fusion coefficients are defined in section 4. We define in section 5 random walks in an alcove considering the hypergroup of the so-called discretized characters of irreducible representations of a semi-simple complex Lie algebra, with structure constants given by fusion coefficients. Moreover we show how the discretized characters provide a complete solution to a Dirichlet problem in an alcove for a large class of simple random walks. We indicate precisely in section 6 how most of simple random walks considered in [11] and [15] appear naturally in this framework. We explain in section 7 how the fusion product is related to convolution on a compact Lie group. We established in section 8 a convergence towards the radial part of a Brownian motion in a compact Lie group.

Note that a discrete Laplacian on Weyl alcoves has been introduced in [18] in a more general framework of double affine Hecke algebras. The Bethe Ansatz method is employed to find eigenfunctions, which are proved to be the periodic Macdonald spherical functions. Even if the underlying Markov processes are the same as ours, his approach is quite different. We hope that ours, which explicitly involves the fusion hypergroup, is enlightening in a sense that fusion coefficients are proved to

play the same role for random walks in an alcove as the Littlewood Richardson coefficients for random walks in a Weyl chamber.

Acknowledgments: The author would like to thank Ph. Bougerol for having made her know the fusion product and its beautiful probabilistic interpretation.

2. THE CASE OF $SU(2)$

In order to facilitate the lecture of the paper we first begin to detail how the simplest example of random walk in an alcove has to be related to fusion coefficients. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $T = \{0, \dots, k\}$. We consider the simple random walk $(X(n))_{n \geq 0}$ on \mathbb{Z} with transition kernel P defined by $P(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}_{|x-y|=1}$, for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we let $\Delta f = P f - f$. The discrete Dirichlet problem consists in finding eigenvalues λ and eigenfunctions f defined on $T \cup \partial T$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \Delta f + \lambda f = 0 & \text{on } T \\ f = 0 & \text{on } \partial T, \end{cases}$$

where $\partial T = \{-1, k+1\}$. It is a consequence of the Perron-Frobenius theorem that the smallest eigenvalue is positive, simple and that the corresponding eigenfunction can be chosen positive on T . Such a function is said to be a Perron-Frobenius eigenfunction. The eigenfunctions corresponding to the other eigenvalues change of sign on T . An easy computation shows that the eigenvalues of the Dirichlet problem are $1 - \frac{1}{2} \chi_1(m)$, for $m \in \{0, \dots, k\}$, with corresponding eigenfunctions f_m defined by $f_m(i) = \chi_i(m)$, $i \in T \cup \partial T$, where

$$\chi_i(m) = \frac{\sin(\pi \frac{(i+1)(m+1)}{k+2})}{\sin(\pi \frac{m+1}{k+2})}.$$

For $m = 0$, one gets a Perron-Frobenius eigenfunction. Actually the χ_i 's are the so-called discretized character of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$. The fact that they provide a solution to the Dirichlet problem comes from the fact that here the restriction of the Markov kernel P to T is the sub-stochastic matrix $(\frac{1}{2} N_{i1}^j)_{0 \leq i, j \leq k}$ where the N_{i1}^k 's are level k fusion coefficients of type $A_1^{(1)}$. Let us say how the asymptotic for the number of walks in the alcoves obtained in [15] by Krattenthaler using the explicit formulas of Grabiner, follows immediately in our framework. Classically, we define a Markov kernel \hat{P} letting

$$\hat{P}(x, y) = \frac{\chi_y(0)}{\frac{1}{2} \chi_1(0) \chi_x(0)} P|_T(x, y).$$

As T is supposed to be bounded, there exists a unique \hat{P} -invariant probability measure on each communication class of \hat{P} and the solution of the Dirichlet problem leads in particular to an estimation of the number of walks with initial state x , remaining in T and ending at y after n steps for large n . Actually one can show that the measure π defined on T by

$$\pi(i) = \frac{2}{2+k} \sin^2(\pi \frac{i+1}{k+2}),$$

$i \in T$, is a \hat{P} -invariant probability measure. As the simple random walk is irreducible with period equals 2, one obtains the following estimation for large n

$$P|_T^{2n+r}(x, y) \sim \frac{4}{2+k} \left(\frac{1}{2} \chi_1(0)\right)^{2n+r} \sin(\pi \frac{x+1}{k+2}) \sin(\pi \frac{y+1}{k+2}),$$

where $r = 0$ when $y - x \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ and $r = 1$ otherwise.

3. BASIC NOTATIONS AND DEFINITIONS

Let K be a simple, connected and compact Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} and complexified Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . We choose a maximal torus T of K and denote by \mathfrak{t} its Lie algebra. We consider the set of real roots

$$R = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{t}^* : \exists X \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus \{0\}, \forall H \in \mathfrak{t}, [H, X] = i\alpha(H)X\}.$$

We choose the set Σ of simple roots of R and denote by R_+ the set of positive roots. The half sum of positive roots is denoted by ρ . The dual coxeter number denoted by h^\vee is equal to $1 + \rho(\theta^\vee)$, where θ is the highest root. Letting for $\alpha \in R$,

$$\mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : \forall H \in \mathfrak{t}, [H, X] = i\alpha(H)X\},$$

the coroot α^\vee of α is defined to be the only vector of \mathfrak{t} in $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}]$ such that $\alpha(\alpha^\vee) = 2$. We denote respectively by Q and Q^\vee the root and the coroot lattice. The weight lattice $\{\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^* : \lambda(\alpha^\vee) \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is denoted by P . We equip \mathfrak{k} with a K -invariant inner product (\cdot, \cdot) , normalized such that $(\theta^\vee | \theta^\vee) = 2$. The linear isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \nu : \mathfrak{k} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*, \\ h &\mapsto (h | \cdot) \end{aligned}$$

identifies \mathfrak{k} and \mathfrak{k}^* . We still denote by (\cdot, \cdot) the induced inner product on \mathfrak{k}^* . Note that the normalization implies $\nu(\theta^\vee) = \theta$. The irreducible representations of \mathfrak{g} are parametrized by the set of dominant weights $P_+ = P \cap \mathcal{C}$, where \mathcal{C} is the Weyl chamber $\{\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^* : \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Sigma\}$. Let V_λ be the irreducible representation of \mathfrak{g} with highest weight $\lambda \in P_+$ and ch_λ be the character of this representation. It is defined by

$$\text{ch}_\lambda = \sum_{\beta \in P} K_\lambda^\beta e^\beta,$$

where e^β is defined on \mathfrak{t} by $e^\beta(x) = e^{2i\pi\beta(x)}$, for $x \in \mathfrak{t}$, and K_λ^β is the dimension of the β -weight space of V_λ . We denote by $\dim(\lambda)$ the dimension of the representation V_λ , i.e. $\dim(\lambda) = \text{ch}_\lambda(0)$. We have the following Weyl dimension formula (see for instance [12]).

$$\dim(\lambda) = \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} \frac{(\alpha + \rho, \lambda)}{(\rho, \alpha)}.$$

The Weyl character formula states that for any $x \in \mathfrak{t}$,

$$\text{ch}_\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{\prod_{\alpha \in R_+} (1 - e^{-2i\pi\alpha(x)})} \sum_{w \in W} \det(w) e^{2i\pi\langle w \cdot (\lambda + \rho) - \rho, x \rangle},$$

where W is the Weyl group i.e. the subgroup of $GL(\mathfrak{t}^*)$ generated by fundamental reflections s_α , $\alpha \in \Sigma$, defined by $s_\alpha(\beta) = \beta - \beta(\alpha^\vee)\alpha$, $\beta \in \mathfrak{t}^*$. When λ is not dominant, we let $\text{ch}_\lambda = \det(w) \text{ch}_\mu$ if $w(\mu + \rho) = \lambda + \rho$ for μ a dominant weight. The Weyl character formula remains obviously true for a non-dominant weight.

The Littlewood-Richardson coefficients $M_{\lambda, \gamma}^\beta$, for $\lambda, \gamma, \beta \in P_+$, are defined to be the unique integers such that for every $x \in \mathfrak{t}$

$$(1) \quad \text{ch}_\lambda(x) \text{ch}_\gamma(x) = \sum_{\beta \in P_+} M_{\lambda, \gamma}^\beta \text{ch}_\beta(x).$$

4. FUSION COEFFICIENTS

For every $y \in \mathfrak{t}^*$, we write t_y for the translation defined on \mathfrak{t}^* by $t_y(x) = x + y$, $x \in \mathfrak{t}^*$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we consider the group W_k generated by W and the translation $t_{(k+h^\vee)\theta}$. Actually W_k is the semi-direct product $W \ltimes T_{(k+h^\vee)M}$, where $M = \nu(Q^\vee)$ and $T_{(k+h^\vee)M} = \{t_{(k+h^\vee)x} : x \in M\}$. Thus for $w \in W_k$, one can define $\det(w)$ as the determinant of the linear component of w . The fundamental domain for the action of W_k on \mathfrak{t}^* is

$$A_k = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^* : \lambda(\alpha_i^\vee) \geq 0 \text{ and } \lambda(\theta^\vee) \leq k + h^\vee\}.$$

Let us introduce the subset P_+^k of P_+ defined by

$$P_+^k = \{\lambda \in P_+ : \lambda(\theta^\vee) \leq k\},$$

and the subset \mathcal{C}^k of \mathcal{C} defined by

$$\mathcal{C}^k = \{\lambda \in \mathcal{C} : \lambda(\theta^\vee) \leq k\}.$$

P_+^k is called the level k alcove. The level k fusion coefficients $N_{\lambda,\gamma}^\beta$, for $\lambda, \gamma, \beta \in P_+^k$, are defined to be the unique non negative integers such that

$$(2) \quad \forall \sigma \in P_+^k, \quad \chi_\lambda(\sigma)\chi_\gamma(\sigma) = \sum_{\beta \in P_+^k} N_{\lambda,\gamma}^\beta \chi_\beta(\sigma).$$

where χ_λ is the level k discretized character, which is defined by

$$\chi_\lambda(\sigma) = \text{ch}_\lambda\left(-\nu^{-1}\left(\frac{\sigma + \rho}{k + h^\vee}\right)\right), \quad \sigma \in P_+^k.$$

The Weyl character formula shows that for any $\lambda \in P$ and $w \in W_k$

$$(3) \quad \chi_{w(\lambda+\rho)-\rho} = \det(w)\chi_\lambda,$$

which implies in particular that $\chi_\lambda = 0$ if $(\lambda + \rho)$ is on a wall $\{x \in \mathfrak{t}^* : x(\alpha^\vee) = 0\}$ for some $\alpha \in \Sigma$, or on the wall $\{x \in \mathfrak{t}^* : x(\theta^\vee) = k + h^\vee\}$. Unicity of the fusion coefficients follows from the fact - proved for instance in [13] - that the vectors $\{(\chi_\beta(\sigma))_{\sigma \in P_+^k}, \beta \in P_+^k\}$ are orthogonal with respect to the measure defined in proposition 5.6. The non negativity of the fusion coefficients is not clear from this definition, which is the one given in [13]. Nevertheless, fusion coefficients can be seen as multiplicities in the decomposition of some "modified products" of representations : the truncated Kronecker product, appearing in the framework of representations of quantum groups, and the fusion product, defined in the framework of representations of affine Lie algebras. In these frameworks, the non negativity of the fusion coefficients follows from the definition (see for instance [9]). Moreover, they are proved to satisfy the following inequality, which we'll be useful for the last section. For any $\lambda, \gamma, \beta \in P_+^k$,

$$(4) \quad N_{\lambda,\gamma}^\beta \leq M_{\lambda,\gamma}^\beta.$$

It follows for instance from identities (16.44) and (16.90) in [6]. Note that we have also the following inequality

$$M_{\lambda,\gamma}^\beta \leq K_\gamma^{\beta-\lambda}.$$

It follows for instance from the Littelmann path model for tensor product of irreducible representations (see [17]).

5. MARKOV CHAINS ON AN ALCOVE

Let $\gamma \in P_+^k$. From a probabilistic point of view, discretized characters provide, by definition of the fusion coefficients, a basis of eigenvectors of the sub-stochastic matrix

$$\left(\frac{1}{\dim(\gamma)} N_{\lambda, \gamma}^\beta\right)_{\lambda, \beta \in P_+^k}.$$

Actually for $\sigma \in P_+^k$, $\frac{1}{\dim(\gamma)} \chi_\gamma(\sigma)$ is an eigenvalue with a corresponding eigenvector $(\chi_\beta(\sigma))_{\beta \in P_+^k}$. For $\lambda \in P_+^k$, $\chi_\lambda(0)$ is a non negative real number. Actually we have the following formula (see for instance [13]).

$$(5) \quad \chi_\lambda(0) = \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} \frac{\sin\left(\pi \frac{(\lambda + \rho|\alpha|)}{k+h\nabla}\right)}{\sin\left(\pi \frac{(\rho|\alpha|)}{k+h\nabla}\right)}.$$

The quantity $\chi_\lambda(0)$ is the so-called asymptotic dimension, which appears naturally in the framework of highest weight representations of affine Lie algebras. Let $\gamma \in P_+^k$. We define a Markov kernel q_γ on P_+^k by letting

$$(6) \quad q_\gamma(\lambda, \beta) = N_{\lambda, \gamma}^\beta \frac{\chi_\beta(0)}{\chi_\lambda(0) \chi_\gamma(0)}, \quad \text{for } \lambda, \beta \in P_+^k.$$

In other words q_γ is defined by the formula

$$(7) \quad \frac{\chi_\lambda(\sigma)}{\chi_\lambda(0)} \frac{\chi_\gamma(\sigma)}{\chi_\gamma(0)} = \sum_{\beta \in P_+^k} q_\gamma(\lambda, \beta) \frac{\chi_\beta(\sigma)}{\chi_\beta(0)}, \quad \lambda, \sigma \in P_+^k.$$

Definition 5.1. For $\gamma \in P_+^k$, a random walk in the level k alcove, with increment γ , is defined as a Markov process in P_+^k , with Markov kernel q_γ .

The definition of the Markov kernel q_γ implies that for $\sigma \in P_+^k$, $\frac{\chi_\gamma(\sigma)}{\chi_\gamma(0)}$ is an eigenvalue of q_γ , with a corresponding eigenvector $(\frac{\chi_\beta(\sigma)}{\chi_\beta(0)})_{\beta \in P_+^k}$. Thus for any positive integer n , one has for $\lambda, \sigma \in P_+^k$

$$\frac{\chi_\lambda(\sigma)}{\chi_\lambda(0)} \frac{\chi_\gamma^n(\sigma)}{\chi_\gamma^n(0)} = \sum_{\beta \in P_+^k} q_\gamma^n(\lambda, \beta) \frac{\chi_\beta(\sigma)}{\chi_\beta(0)},$$

which is equivalent to say that for any $\lambda, \beta \in P_+^k$,

$$q_\gamma^n(\lambda, \beta) = N_{\lambda, \gamma, n}^\beta \frac{\chi_\beta(0)}{\chi_\lambda(0) \chi_\gamma^n(0)},$$

where the coefficients $N_{\lambda, \gamma, n}^\beta$, for $\lambda, \gamma, \beta \in P_+^k$, are the unique integers satisfying

$$\chi_\lambda \chi_\gamma^n(\sigma) = \sum_{\beta \in P_+^k} N_{\lambda, \gamma, n}^\beta \chi_\beta(\sigma),$$

for any $\sigma \in P_+^k$. We denote by $K_{\gamma, n}^\beta$ the dimension of the β -weight space of $V_\gamma^{\otimes n}$, i.e.

$$(8) \quad \text{ch}_\gamma^n = \sum_{\beta \in P} K_{\gamma, n}^\beta e^\beta.$$

Let us consider a random walk on the weight lattice P , whose transition kernel p_γ is defined by

$$p_\gamma(\lambda, \beta) = \frac{K_\gamma^{\beta-\lambda}}{\dim(\gamma)}, \lambda, \beta \in P.$$

We consider the subset S_γ of P of weights of V_γ , i.e. $S_\gamma = \{\beta \in P : K_\gamma^\beta > 0\}$. In the case when γ is minuscule S_γ is $\{w(\gamma) : w \in W\}$ and the random walk is a simple random walk with uniformly distributed steps on S_γ . The following proposition states that in that case fusion coefficients give the number of ways for the walk to go from a point to another, remaining in P_+^k .

Proposition 5.2. *Let $\beta, \lambda \in P_+^k$ and γ be a minuscule weight in P_+^k . Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $N_{\lambda, \gamma, n}^\beta$ is the number of walks with steps in S_γ , initial state λ , remaining in P_+^k and ending at β after n steps.*

Proof. The following formula is known as the Brauer-Klimyk rule. It is an immediate consequence of the Weyl character formula. For $\lambda, \gamma \in P_+$ it says that

$$\text{ch}_\lambda \text{ch}_\gamma = \sum_{\beta \in P} K_\gamma^\beta \text{ch}_{\lambda+\beta}.$$

The highest weight γ being minuscule $\beta(\theta^\vee) \in \{0, -1, 1\}$ for every β such that $K_\gamma^\beta > 0$. Thus $(\lambda + \beta)(\theta^\vee) \in \{k, k-1, k+1\}$ and $(\lambda + \beta)(\alpha^\vee) \geq -1$ for every $\alpha \in \Sigma$. As $\chi_\beta = 0$ when $(\beta + \rho)(\theta^\vee) = k + h^\vee$ or $(\beta + \rho)(\alpha^\vee) = 0$ for some simple root α , we obtain that

$$\chi_\lambda \chi_\gamma = \sum_{\beta: \lambda+\beta \in P_+^k} K_\gamma^\beta \chi_{\lambda+\beta} = \sum_{\beta: \beta \in P_+^k} K_\gamma^{\beta-\lambda} \chi_\beta.$$

As γ is minuscule $K_\gamma^\beta \in \{0, 1\}$. Thus

$$N_{\lambda, \gamma}^\beta = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \beta \in P_+^k \text{ and } K_\gamma^{\beta-\lambda} > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

which implies the proposition. \square

Proposition 5.2 implies that when γ is minuscule, the sub-stochastic matrix

$$\left(\frac{1}{\dim(\gamma)} N_{\lambda, \gamma}^\beta \right)_{\lambda, \beta \in P_+^k}$$

is the restriction of p_γ to the alcove P_+^k . As noticed after identity (3), the discretized characters are null on the boundary of the bounded domain $\{\lambda \in P : \lambda + \rho \in A_k\}$, which is $\{\lambda \in P : \lambda + \rho \in A_k\} \setminus P_+^k$. Thus one obtains, when γ is minuscule, the following important corollary.

Corollary 5.3. *Let us consider for $\gamma \in P_+^k$ a discrete Dirichlet problem, which consists in finding eigenvalues λ and eigenfunctions f defined on $\{x \in P : x + \rho \in A_k\}$, satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_\gamma f(x) + \lambda f(x) = 0 & \text{if } x \in P_+^k \\ f(x) = 0 & \text{if } x \notin P_+^k, \end{cases}$$

where $\Delta_\gamma f = p_\gamma f - f$. If γ is minuscule then

(1) for $\sigma \in P_+^k$,

$$1 - \frac{1}{\dim(\gamma)} \chi_\gamma(\sigma),$$

is an eigenvalue, with a corresponding eigenfunction f_σ defined by

$$f_\sigma(\beta) = \chi_\beta(\sigma), \quad \beta \in P_+^k,$$

(2) the eigenfunction f_0 is a Perron-Frobenius eigenfunction. In particular, the random walk in a level k alcove with increment γ is a Doob-transformed transition kernel of p_γ .

Proposition 5.2 remains true in the framework of Littelmann paths. In that framework, it includes the case of standard representation of type B . In the following a path π defined on $[0, T]$, for $T \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, is a continuous function from $[0, T]$ to \mathfrak{t}^* such that $\pi(0) = 0$. If π is a path defined on $[0, T]$ we write $\pi \in \mathcal{C}$ (resp. $\pi \in \mathcal{C}^k$) if $\pi(t) \in \mathcal{C}$ (resp. $\pi(t) \in \mathcal{C}^k$) for every $t \in [0, T]$. For two paths π_1 and π_2 respectively defined on $[0, T_1]$ and $[0, T_2]$, we write $\pi_1 * \pi_2$ for the usual concatenation of π_1 and π_2 . Note that $\pi_1 * \pi_2$ is a path defined on $[0, T_1 + T_2]$. For $\lambda \in P_+$, we denote by π_λ the dominant path defined on $[0, 1]$ by $\pi_\lambda(t) = t\lambda$, $t \in [0, 1]$ and by $B\pi_\lambda$ the Littelmann module generated by π_λ . More details about the Littelmann paths model for representation theory of Kac-Moody algebras can be found in [17]. The important fact for us is that for any dominant λ and γ one has

$$\text{ch}_\lambda \text{ch}_\gamma = \sum_{\pi \in B\pi_\gamma: \pi_\lambda * \pi \in \mathcal{C}} \text{ch}_{\lambda + \pi(1)}.$$

Let us recall that a weight $\gamma \in P_+$ is said to be quasi-minuscule if $S_\gamma = \{w(\gamma) : w \in W\} \cup \{0\}$.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $\beta, \lambda \in P_+^k$ and γ be a minuscule weight or a quasi-minuscule weight such that $\beta(\theta^\vee) \in \{0, -1, 1\}$ for every weights β of the representation V_γ . Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $N_{\lambda, \gamma, n}^\beta$ is the number of paths in $B\pi_\lambda * (B\pi_\gamma)^{*n}$ ending on β and remaining in \mathcal{C}^k .*

Proof. Littelmann theory implies that

$$\chi_\lambda \chi_\gamma = \sum_{\pi \in B\pi_\gamma: \pi_\lambda * \pi \in \mathcal{C}} \chi_{\lambda + \pi(1)}.$$

When γ is a minuscule weight, the Littelmann module $B\pi_\gamma$ is $\{\pi_\beta : \beta \in W\gamma\}$. When γ is quasi-minuscule every paths π in the Littelmann module $B\pi_\gamma$ are of the form π_β for $\beta \in W\gamma$ or are defined by $\pi(t) = -\alpha t 1_{t \leq \frac{1}{2}} + \alpha(t-1)1_{t > \frac{1}{2}}$, $t \in [0, 1]$, for $\alpha \in \Sigma$. Thus, if $\pi \in B\pi_\gamma$ one has for every $t \in [0, 1]$, $(\pi_\lambda(1) + \pi(t))(\theta^\vee) \leq k + 1$. If $(\lambda + \pi(1))(\theta^\vee) = k + 1$ then $\chi_{\lambda + \pi(1)} = 0$. As $\alpha(\theta^\vee) \geq 1$ for all $\alpha \in \Sigma$, $(\lambda + \pi(1))(\theta^\vee) \leq k$ implies $(\lambda + \pi(t))(\theta^\vee) \leq k$ for every $t \in [0, 1]$. One obtains,

$$\chi_\lambda \chi_\gamma = \sum_{\pi \in B\pi_\gamma: \pi_\lambda * \pi \in \mathcal{C}^k} \chi_{\lambda + \pi(1)}.$$

□

The first formula of the following proposition is well known for $n = 1$. It is a consequence of the Kac-Walton formula (see [19]). For $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, proposition 5.2 implies that when γ is minuscule, it turns to be the Karlin-MacGregor type formula obtained for affine Weyl group by Gessel and Zeilberger in [10] in the framework of

reflectable walks. The second formula can be found as an exercise in chapter 13 of [13].

Proposition 5.5. *Let λ, γ, β be dominant weights in the alcove P_+^k . Then*

- (1) $N_{\lambda, \gamma, n}^\beta = \sum_{w \in W_k} \det(w) K_{\gamma, n}^{w(\beta+\rho) - (\lambda+\rho)}$,
- (2) $N_{\lambda, \gamma}^\beta = N_{\beta, t\gamma}^\lambda$, where $t\gamma$ is the highest weight of the dual representation V_γ^* .

Proof. The proof rests on the Weyl character formula. We let $\Delta(x) = \sum_{w \in W} \det(w) e^{w(x)}$ for any $x \in \mathfrak{t}^*$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\lambda + \rho) \text{ch}_\gamma^n &= \sum_{w \in W, \beta \in P} \det(w) K_{\gamma, n}^\beta e^{w(\lambda+\rho)+\beta} \\ &= \sum_{w \in W, \beta \in P} \det(w) K_{\gamma, n}^\beta e^{w(\lambda+\rho+\beta)} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in P} K_{\gamma, n}^\beta \Delta(\lambda + \beta + \rho). \end{aligned}$$

The Weyl character formula implies

$$\text{ch}_\lambda \text{ch}_\gamma^n = \sum_{\beta \in P} K_{\gamma, n}^\beta \text{ch}_{\lambda+\beta},$$

which is an extension of the Brauer-Klimyk rule. For $\beta \in P$, it exists $w \in W_k$ such that $w(\lambda + \beta + \rho) \in A_k$. If $w(\lambda + \beta + \rho) - \rho \notin P_+$ then $w(\lambda + \beta + \rho)$ is on a wall $\{x \in \mathfrak{t}^* : s_\alpha(x) = x\}$ for some $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and $\chi_{\lambda+\beta} = 0$. If $w(\lambda + \beta + \rho)(\theta^\vee) = k + h^\vee$ then $w(\lambda + \beta + \rho) = t_{(k+h^\vee)\theta} s_\theta(w(\lambda + \beta + \rho))$ and $\chi_{\lambda+\beta} = 0$. If it exists two distinct $w_1, w_2 \in W_k$ such that $w_1(\lambda + \beta + \rho) = w_2(\lambda + \beta + \rho) \in A_k$ then $w_2^{-1}w_1(\lambda + \beta + \rho) = \lambda + \beta + \rho$ and $\chi_{\lambda+\beta} = 0$. Finally if $\chi_{\lambda+\beta} \neq 0$ it exists a single $w \in W_k$ such that $w(\lambda + \beta + \rho) - \rho \in P_+^k$ and we get that

$$\chi_\lambda \chi_\gamma^n = \sum_{\beta \in P_+^k} \sum_{w \in W_k} \det(w) K_{\gamma, n}^{w(\beta+\rho) - (\lambda+\rho)} \chi_\beta,$$

which proves the first identity. Let us prove the second one. The affine Weyl group being the semi-direct product $T_{(k+h^\vee)M} \rtimes W$, the first identity for $n = 1$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\lambda, \gamma}^\beta &= \sum_{x \in M, w \in W} \det(w) K_\gamma^{t_{(k+h^\vee)x} w(\beta+\rho) - (\lambda+\rho)} \\ &= \sum_{x \in M, w \in W} \det(w) K_\gamma^{w(\beta+\rho) - t_{-(k+h^\vee)x}(\lambda+\rho)} \\ &= \sum_{x \in M, w \in W} \det(w) K_\gamma^{\beta+\rho - wt_{(k+h^\vee)x}(\lambda+\rho)} \\ &= \sum_{w \in W_k} \det(w) K_{t\gamma}^{w(\lambda+\rho) - (\beta+\rho)} \\ &= N_{\beta, t\gamma}^\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

□

In the following proposition $|P/(k + h^\vee)M|$ is the cardinal of the quotient space $P/(k + h^\vee)M$.

Proposition 5.6. *The measure π defined on P_+^k by*

$$\pi(\lambda) = \frac{1}{|P/(k+h^\vee)M|} \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} 4 \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{k+h^\vee}(\lambda + \rho|\alpha)\right)$$

for any $\lambda \in P_+^k$, is a q_γ -invariant probability measure.

Proof. Let us consider the measure μ defined on P_+^k by $\mu(\lambda) = \chi_\lambda^2(0)$, $\lambda \in P_+^k$, which is proportional to the measure π . Let us show that μ is q_γ -invariant. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda \in P_+^k} N_{\gamma, \lambda}^\beta \chi_\lambda &= \sum_{\lambda \in P_+^k} N_{t_\gamma, \beta}^\lambda \chi_\lambda \\ &= \chi_\beta \chi_{t_\gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\pi q_\gamma(\beta) = \chi_\beta^2(0) \frac{\chi_{t_\gamma}(0)}{\chi_\gamma(0)}.$$

As the longest element of W send ρ onto $-\rho$, $\chi_{t_\gamma}(0) = \chi_\gamma(0)$, and μ is q_γ -invariant. For a proof of the fact the π is a probability measure, see for instance theorem 13.8 in [13]. \square

Note that the probability measure π is not q_γ -reversible in general. It is the case when V_γ and its dual representation V_γ^* are isomorphic.

Classical results on convergence of Markov chain toward the invariant probability measure provides asymptotic approximation of the fusion coefficients. We let for $\lambda \in P$,

$$s(\lambda) = \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{k+h^\vee}(\lambda + \rho|\alpha)\right).$$

Note that the Markov kernel q_γ is not necessary irreducible and aperiodic. As all Markov chains that we'll consider in section 6 are irreducible, we suppose that q_γ is irreducible in the following proposition.

Proposition 5.7. *Suppose that q_γ is irreducible with period $d \geq 1$. Let λ and β be dominant weights in the alcove P_+^k . Let r be an integer in $\{0, \dots, d-1\}$ defined by $m = r \pmod{d}$ for some integer m such that $N_{\lambda, \gamma, m}^\beta > 0$. Then,*

$$(1) \ k \neq r \pmod{d} \text{ implies } N_{\lambda, \gamma, k}^\beta = 0,$$

$$(2) \ N_{\lambda, \gamma, nd+r}^\beta \underset{n \rightarrow +\infty}{\sim} \frac{d \chi_\gamma^{nd+r}(0)}{|P/(k+h^\vee)M|} s(\lambda) s(\beta).$$

Proof. The application $x \mapsto \chi_x(0)$ is non negative on P_+^k . For $x, y \in P_+^k$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the following equivalence

$$q_\gamma^n(x, y) > 0 \iff N_{x, \gamma, n}^y > 0.$$

Thus the first assertion comes from usual properties of periodic Markov chains. As π is a q_γ -invariant probability measure, classical results on finite state space periodic Markov chains also implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\chi_\beta(0)}{\chi_\lambda(0) \chi_\gamma^{nd+r}(0)} N_{\lambda, \gamma, nd+r}^\beta = d\pi(\beta),$$

which is equivalent to the second assertion. \square

Proposition 5.8. *Let $\beta, \lambda, \gamma \in P_+^k$. Suppose that γ be a minuscule weight or a quasi-minuscule weight such that $\mu(\theta^\vee) \in \{0, -1, 1\}$ for every weight μ of the representation V_γ . Suppose that q_γ is irreducible with period d . Then for every $\beta \in P_+^k$, the number of paths of $B\pi_\lambda * (B\pi_\gamma)^{*nd+r}$ ending on β and remaining in P_+^k is equivalent to*

$$\frac{d\chi_\gamma^{nd+r}(0)}{|P/(k+h^\vee)M|}s(\lambda)s(\beta),$$

where r is an integer in $\{0, \dots, d-1\}$ defined by $m = r \pmod{d}$ for some integer m such that $N_{\lambda, \gamma, m}^\beta > 0$.

6. APPLICATIONS

In this section we explicit which fusion products have to be considered to recover reflectable random walks studied in [11]. Moreover, we explain how to get without no additional work the asymptotics obtained by Krattenthaler in [15] for the number of walks between two points remaining in an alcove. Actually our model for the type B with standard steps differs slightly from the one considered by Grabiner. Moreover our models don't include random walks with diagonal steps in an alcove of type C studied in [11].

The results presented in this section only use the knowledge of the Perron-Frobenius eigenfunction given by the corollary 5.3. It would be interested to consider whole the solution of the Dirichlet problem in order to study more precisely asymptotic behaviors of the conditioned chain.

Let e_1, \dots, e_n be the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n which is endowed with the standard euclidean structure denote by (\cdot, \cdot) . The inner product identifies \mathbb{R}^n and its dual. In the following we consider a random walk $(X(k))_{k \geq 1}$ on \mathbb{R}^n with standard positive steps : its steps are uniformly distributed on the set $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$, a random walk $(Y(k))_{k \geq 1}$ on \mathbb{R}^n with standard steps : its steps are uniformly distributed on the set $\{\pm e_1, \dots, \pm e_n\}$ and a random walk $(Z(k))_{k \geq 1}$, whose steps are uniformly distributed on the set of diagonal steps $\{\frac{1}{2}(\pm e_1 \pm \dots \pm e_n)\}$. The Markov kernels of $(Y(k))_{k \geq 1}$ and $(Z(k))_{k \geq 1}$ are respectively denoted by S and D .

6.1. Alcove of type A. When K is the unitary group $SU(n)$, we have $R = \{e_i - e_j, i \neq j\}$, $\Sigma = \{e_i - e_{i+1}, i = 1, \dots, n-1\}$, $P_+ = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 0, \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $\theta^\vee = e_1 - e_n$, $P_+^k = \{\lambda \in P_+ : \lambda_1 - \lambda_n \leq k\}$, $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (n - 2i + 1)e_i$ and $h^\vee = n$.

Positive standard steps. The random walk $(X(k))_{k \geq 0}$ can be decomposed into a deterministic walk and a random walk on the hyperplane $H = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0\}$ as follows.

$$X(k) = X(k) - \bar{X}(k)e + \bar{X}(k)e,$$

where $e = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i$ and $\bar{X}(k) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i(k)$. The random walk $(\bar{X}(k))_{k \geq 0}$ is a deterministic random walk and $(X(k) - \bar{X}(k)e)_{k \geq 0}$ is a random walk with uniformly distributed steps on $\{e_1 - \frac{1}{n}e, \dots, e_n - \frac{1}{n}e\}$, which is the set of weights of the standard representation of type A_n . Let us denote by P its Markov kernel. The standard representation is a minuscule representation. Thus by proposition 5.2, for $\gamma = e_1 - \frac{1}{n}e$, the Markov Kernel q_γ defined by (7) is

$$q_\gamma(x, y) = \frac{\chi_y(0)}{\chi_x(0)\chi_\gamma(0)} n P|_{P_+^k}(x, y), \quad x, y \in H,$$

where

$$(9) \quad \chi_x(0) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{\sin(\pi \frac{x_i - x_j + j - i}{k+n})}{\sin(\pi \frac{j-i}{k+n})}, \quad x \in H.$$

The weights lattice is generated by $e_1 - \frac{1}{n}e, \dots, e_n - \frac{1}{n}e$. The Markov kernel q_γ is irreducible with period equals to n . Let x and y be in P_+^k . If $y-x = \sum_{i=1}^n n_i(e_i - \frac{1}{n}e)$ then $P_{|P_+^k}^m(x, y) > 0$, where $m = \sum_i n_i$. We define the integer $r \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ by $m = r \pmod{n}$. Thus proposition 5.7 implies the following asymptotic for large $t \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 6.1. *For large $t \in \mathbb{N}$, $x, y \in P_+^k$, the number of walks with steps in $\{e_1 - \frac{1}{n}e, \dots, e_n - \frac{1}{n}e\}$, going from x to y and remaining in P_+^k , after $tn+r$ steps, is equivalent (up to a multiplicative constant which doesn't depend on (x, y)) to*

$$\prod_{i=2}^n \frac{\sin^{tn+r}(\pi \frac{i}{n+k})}{\sin^{tn+r}(\pi \frac{i-1}{n+k})} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sin(\pi \frac{x_i - x_j + j - i}{k+n}) \sin(\pi \frac{y_i - y_j + j - i}{k+n}).$$

Diagonal steps. The random walk $(Z(k))_{k \geq 0}$ can be decomposed as the previous one.

$$Z(k) = Z(k) - \bar{Z}(k)e + \bar{Z}(k)e.$$

For $m \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, the m -th exterior power of standard representation is a minuscule representation with highest weight $\sum_{i=1}^m e_i - \frac{m}{n}e$ and weights $e_{i_1} + \dots + e_{i_m} - \frac{m}{n}e$ for $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq n$. One notices that the random walk $(Z(k) - \bar{Z}(k))_{k \geq 0}$ has uniformly distributed steps on the set of weights of the m -th exterior power of the standard representations for $m = 0, \dots, n$. If we denote by R its Markov kernel and consider the fusion coefficients $N_{\lambda, \gamma_m}^\beta$ where $\gamma_m = \sum_{i=1}^m e_i - \frac{k}{n}e$, $\lambda, \beta \in P_+^k$, proposition 5.2 implies that $\sum_{m=0}^n N_{\lambda, \gamma_m}^\beta = 2^n R_{|P_+^k}(\lambda, \beta)$. Thus one defines a Markov chain on P_+^k letting

$$q(x, y) = \frac{\chi_y(0)}{\chi_x(0) \sum_{i=0}^n \chi_{\gamma_i}(0)} 2^n R_{|P_+^k}(x, y), \quad x, y \in H,$$

where χ_x is given by (9). This chain is irreducible and aperiodic. Thus proposition 5.7 implies the following one.

Proposition 6.2. *For large $t \in \mathbb{N}$, $x, y \in P_+^k$, the number of walks with steps in $\{e_{i_1} + \dots + e_{i_m} - \frac{m}{n}e, 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq n, m \in \{0, \dots, n\}\}$, with initial state x , ending at y after t steps, remaining in P_+^k , is equivalent to*

$$\left[\sum_{m=0}^n \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=m+1}^n \frac{\sin(\pi \frac{1+j-i}{k+n})}{\sin(\pi \frac{j-i}{k+n})} \right]^t \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sin(\pi \frac{x_i - x_j + j - i}{k+n}) \sin(\pi \frac{y_i - y_j + j - i}{k+n})$$

6.2. Alcove of type C. When K is the symplectic group $Sp(n)$, we have $R = \{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\pm e_i \pm e_j), \pm \sqrt{2}e_i\}$, $\Sigma = \{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_1 - e_2), \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_{n-1} - e_n), \sqrt{2}e_n\}$, $P_+ = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sqrt{2}\lambda_n \in \mathbb{N}, \sqrt{2}(\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}) \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $\theta^\vee = \sqrt{2}e_1$, $P_+^k = \{\lambda \in P_+ : \sqrt{2}\lambda_1 \leq k\}$, $\rho = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \sum_i (n-i+1)e_i$ and $h^\vee = n+1$.

Standard steps. The random walk $(Y(k))_{k \geq 0}$ has uniformly distributed steps on $\{\pm e_1, \dots, \pm e_n\}$, which is the set of weights of the standard representation of type C_n . This standard representation is a minuscule representation. Thus by proposition 5.2, for $\gamma = e_1$, the Markov Kernel q_γ defined by (7) is in this case defined by

$$q_\gamma(x, y) = \frac{\chi_y(0)}{\chi_x(0)\chi_\gamma(0)} 2n S_{|P_+^k}(x, y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $\chi_x(0)$ equals

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{\sin(\pi \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_i - x_j) + \frac{1}{2}(j-i)}{k+n+1})}{\sin(\pi \frac{\frac{1}{2}(j-i)}{k+n+1})} \frac{\sin(\pi \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_i + x_j) + \frac{1}{2}(2n+2-j-i)}{k+n+1})}{\sin(\pi \frac{\frac{1}{2}(2n+2-j-i)}{k+n+1})} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\sin(\pi \frac{\sqrt{2}x_i + n - i + 1}{k+n+1})}{\sin(\pi \frac{n-i+1}{k+n+1})}.$$

Moreover, the chain is irreducible with period 2. Thus one obtains the following proposition.

Proposition 6.3. *Let $x, y \in P_+^k$. We write $y - x = \sum_{i=1}^n n_i e_i$ and define r by $\sum_i n_i = r \pmod{2}$. Then the number of standard walks from x to y remaining in P_+^k after $2t + r$ steps for large t , is equivalent to*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\frac{\sin(\pi \frac{\sqrt{2}+n}{k+n+1})}{\sin(\pi \frac{n}{k+n+1})} \prod_{i=2}^n \frac{\sin(\pi \frac{i-\sqrt{2}+1}{2k+2n+2})}{\sin(\pi \frac{i-1}{2k+2n+2})} \frac{\sin(\pi \frac{\sqrt{2}+2n+1-i}{2k+2n+2})}{\sin(\pi \frac{2n+1-i}{2k+2n+2})} \right]^{2t+r} \\ & \times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sin(\pi \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_i - x_j) + \frac{1}{2}(j-i)}{k+n+1}) \sin(\pi \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_i + x_j) + \frac{1}{2}(2n+2-j-i)}{k+n+1}) \\ & \times \prod_{i=1}^n \sin(\pi \frac{\sqrt{2}x_i + n - i + 1}{k+n+1}) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sin(\pi \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(y_i - y_j) + \frac{1}{2}(j-i)}{k+n+1}) \\ & \times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sin(\pi \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(y_i + y_j) + \frac{1}{2}(2n+2-j-i)}{k+n+1}) \prod_{i=1}^n \sin(\pi \frac{\sqrt{2}y_i + n - i + 1}{k+n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Alcove of type D. When K is the orthogonal group $SO(2n)$, we have $R = \{\pm e_i \pm e_j\}$, $\Sigma = \{e_1 - e_2, \dots, e_{n-1} - e_n, e_{n-1} + e_n\}$, $P_+ = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n : \lambda_{n-1} + \lambda_n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \in \mathbb{N}, i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}\}$, $\theta^\vee = e_1 + e_2$, $P_+^k = \{\lambda \in P_+ : \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \leq k\}$, $\rho = \sum_{i=1}^n (n-i)e_i$ and $h^\vee = 2n-2$.

Standard steps. The set of standard steps $\{\pm e_1, \dots, \pm e_n\}$ is also the set of weights of the standard representation of type D_n , which is a minuscule representation with highest weight e_1 .

Proposition 6.4. *Let $x, y \in P_+^k$. We write $y - x = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i e_i$ and define r as previously. Then the number of standard walks from x to y remaining in P_+^k after $2t + r$ steps for large t , is equivalent to*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\prod_{i=2}^n \frac{\sin(\pi \frac{i}{k+2n-2})}{\sin(\pi \frac{i-1}{k+2n-2})} \frac{\sin(\pi \frac{2n-i}{k+2n-2})}{\sin(\pi \frac{2n-i-1}{k+2n-2})} \right]^{2t+r} \\ & \times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sin(\pi \frac{x_i - x_j + j - i}{k+2n-2}) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sin(\pi \frac{x_i + x_j + 2n - j - i}{k+2n-2}) \\ & \times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sin(\pi \frac{y_i - y_j + j - i}{k+2n-2}) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sin(\pi \frac{y_i + y_j + 2n - j - i}{k+2n-2}) \end{aligned}$$

Diagonal steps. The two half spin representations of type D_n have respective highest weight $\frac{1}{2}(e_1 + \cdots + e_n)$ and $\frac{1}{2}(e_1 + \cdots + e_{n-1} - e_n)$. They are minuscule and their weights are respectively $\{\frac{1}{2}\sum_i \epsilon_i e_i : \epsilon_i \in \{-1, 1\}, \prod_i \epsilon_i = 1\}$ and $\{\frac{1}{2}\sum_i \epsilon_i e_i : \epsilon_i \in \{-1, 1\}, \prod_i \epsilon_i = -1\}$. Thus the set of diagonal steps $\{\pm\frac{1}{2}e_1 \pm \cdots \pm \frac{1}{2}e_n\}$ is the disjoint union of sets of weights of the two half spin representations. Similar arguments as previously show the following proposition.

Proposition 6.5. *Let $x, y \in P_+^k$. The number of walks with diagonal steps from x to y remaining in P_+^k after t steps for large t , is equivalent to*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{\sin(\pi \frac{1+2n-i-j}{k+2n-2})}{\sin(\pi \frac{2n-i-j}{k+2n-2})} + \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} \frac{\sin(\pi \frac{1+2n-i-j}{k+2n-2})}{\sin(\pi \frac{2n-i-j}{k+2n-2})} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\sin(\pi \frac{1+n-i}{k+2n-2})}{\sin(\pi \frac{n-i}{k+2n-2})} \right]^{2t+r} \\ & \times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sin(\pi \frac{x_i - x_j + j - i}{k + 2n - 2}) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sin(\pi \frac{x_i + x_j + 2n - j - i}{k + 2n - 2}) \\ & \times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sin(\pi \frac{y_i - y_j + j - i}{k + 2n - 2}) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sin(\pi \frac{y_i + y_j + 2n - j - i}{k + 2n - 2}), \end{aligned}$$

where $r = 1$ if the coordinates of $y - x$ are half integers and $r = 0$ otherwise.

Alcove of type B. When K is the orthogonal group $SO(2n + 1)$, we have $R = \{\pm e_i \pm e_j, \pm e_i\}$, $\Sigma = \{e_1 - e_2, \dots, e_{n-1} - e_n, e_n\}$, $P = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n : \lambda_n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \in \mathbb{N}, i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}\}$, $\theta^\vee = e_1 + e_2$, $P_+^k = \{\lambda \in P_+ : \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \leq k\}$, $\rho = \sum_i (n - i + \frac{1}{2})e_i$, and $h^\vee = 2n - 1$.

Standard steps. The set of weights of the standard representations of type B_n is $\{\pm e_1, \dots, \pm e_n, 0\}$. Let us consider the Littelmann module $B\pi_{e_1}$. We have $B\pi_{e_1} = \{\pi_{\pm e_1}, \pi_0\}$ where π_0 is defined on $[0, 1]$ by $\pi_0(t) = -te_n 1_{t \leq \frac{1}{2}} + (1-t)e_n 1_{t > \frac{1}{2}}$, i.e. π_0 is the concatenation of π_{-e_2} and π_{e_2} in the sense of Littelmann. This standard representation is a quasi-minuscule representation satisfying hypothesis of proposition 5.4. Its highest weight is e_1 .

Proposition 6.6. *Let $x, y \in P_+^k$. For large t the number of paths from $\pi_x * (B\pi_{e_1})^t$ ending at y and remaining in P_+^k is equivalent to*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\frac{\sin(\pi \frac{\frac{1}{2}+n}{k+2n-1})}{\sin(\pi \frac{n-\frac{1}{2}}{k+2n-1})} \prod_{i=2}^n \frac{\sin(\pi \frac{i}{k+2n-1})}{\sin(\pi \frac{i-1}{k+2n-1})} \frac{\sin(\pi \frac{2n+1-i}{k+2n-1})}{\sin(\pi \frac{2n-i}{k+2n-1})} \right]^t \\ & \times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sin(\pi \frac{x_i - x_j + j - i}{k + 2n - 1}) \sin(\pi \frac{x_i + x_j + 2n + 1 - i - j}{k + 2n - 1}) \prod_{i=1}^n \sin(\pi \frac{x_i + n - \frac{1}{2}}{k + 2n - 1}) \\ & \times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sin(\pi \frac{y_i - y_j + j - i}{k + 2n - 1}) \sin(\pi \frac{y_i + y_j + 2n + 1 - i - j}{k + 2n - 1}) \prod_{i=1}^n \sin(\pi \frac{y_i + n - \frac{1}{2}}{k + 2n - 1}). \end{aligned}$$

Diagonal steps. The spin representation is a minuscule representation with highest weight $\frac{1}{2}(e_1 + \cdots + e_n)$. Its weights are $\{\frac{1}{2}\sum_i \epsilon_i e_i : \epsilon_i \in \{-1, 1\}\}$. Thus the diagonal steps are the weights of the spin representation and we have the following asymptotic.

Proposition 6.7. *Let $x, y \in P_+^k$. The number of walks with diagonal steps from x to y remaining in P_+^k after t steps for large t , is equivalent to*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\sin(\pi \frac{n+1-i}{k+2n-1})}{\sin(\pi \frac{n-i+\frac{1}{2}}{k+2n-1})} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{\sin(\pi \frac{2n+2-i-j}{k+2n-1})}{\sin(\pi \frac{2n-i-j}{k+2n-2})} \right]^{2t+r} \\ & \times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sin\left(\pi \frac{x_i - x_j + j - i}{k + 2n - 1}\right) \sin\left(\pi \frac{x_i + x_j + 2n + 1 - i - j}{k + 2n - 1}\right) \prod_{i=1}^n \sin\left(\pi \frac{x_i + n - \frac{1}{2}}{k + 2n - 1}\right) \\ & \times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sin\left(\pi \frac{y_i - y_j + j - i}{k + 2n - 1}\right) \sin\left(\pi \frac{y_i + y_j + 2n + 1 - i - j}{k + 2n - 1}\right) \prod_{i=1}^n \sin\left(\pi \frac{y_i + n - \frac{1}{2}}{k + 2n - 1}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $r = 1$ if the coordinates of $y - x$ are half integers and $r = 0$ otherwise.

7. CONVOLUTION ON K AND FUSION COEFFICIENTS

In this section K is supposed to be simply connected. The Kirillov orbit method consists in establishing a correspondence between representations of K and coadjoint orbits on \mathfrak{k}^* . For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{k}^*$, we denote by $\mathcal{O}(\lambda)$ the orbit of the coadjoint action of the group K on λ . The fifth rule in the "User's guide" of [14] is the following: if what you want is to describe the decomposition of the tensor product of $V_\lambda \otimes V_\mu$ then what you have to do is to take the arithmetic sum $\mathcal{O}(\lambda) + \mathcal{O}(\mu)$ and split into coadjoint orbits. In this section, we establish that a similar rule stands for fusion product and convolution on K . if we denote by $\mathcal{O}(u)$ the orbit of the adjoint action of K on $u \in K$, informally the rule is : if you want to describe the fusion product of V_λ and V_μ then you have to take the product $\mathcal{O}(\exp(\nu^{-1}(\lambda)))\mathcal{O}(\exp(\nu^{-1}(\mu)))$ and split into adjoint orbits for the adjoint action of K on itself. Actually the fusion hypergroup can be seen as an approximation of the hypergroup of conjugacy classes of K .

For $\alpha \in \Sigma$ the fundamental reflection s_{α^\vee} is defined on \mathfrak{t} by $s_{\alpha^\vee}(x) = x - \alpha(x)\alpha^\vee$, for $x \in \mathfrak{t}$. We consider the extended affine Weyl group \hat{W} generated by the reflections s_{α^\vee} and the translations t_{α^\vee} by α^\vee , for $\alpha \in \Sigma$. The fundamental domain for its action on \mathfrak{t} is

$$A = \{x \in \mathfrak{t} : \alpha_i(x) \geq 0, \theta(x) \leq 1\}.$$

Notice that

$$\nu(A) = \{x \in \mathfrak{k}^* : (x|\alpha_i) \geq 0, x(\theta^\vee) \leq 1\},$$

where ν has been defined as the linear isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \nu : \mathfrak{k} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*, \\ h &\mapsto (h|\cdot). \end{aligned}$$

We can suppose without loss of generality that K is a subgroup of a unitary group. The adjoint action of K on itself, which is denoted by Ad , is defined by $\text{Ad}(k)(u) = kuk^*$, $k, u \in K$. We consider the exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow K$ defined by $\exp(x) = e^{2\pi x}$, where e^\cdot is the usual matrix exponential. We denote by Λ the kernel of the restriction $\exp|_{\mathfrak{t}}$ and by Λ^* the set of integral weights $\{\lambda \in \mathfrak{k}^* : \lambda(\Lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, which is included in P since $\alpha^\vee \in \Lambda$ (see [5]). The application $\exp(x) \mapsto e^{2i\pi\lambda(x)}$ is well defined, for $x \in \mathfrak{t}$, when $\lambda \in \Lambda^*$. The irreducible representations of K are parametrized by the set $\Lambda_+^* = \Lambda^* \cap C$. Let ρ_λ be the irreducible representation with highest weight $\lambda \in \Lambda_+^*$. The character of ρ_λ is defined as the trace of $\rho_\lambda(k)$,

$k \in K$. We have $\text{tr}(\rho_\lambda(\exp(x))) = \text{ch}_\lambda(x)$, $x \in \mathfrak{t}$. The Peter-Weyl theorem ensures that a probability measure μ on K which is invariant for the adjoint action of K , is characterized by the Fourier coefficients

$$\int_K \text{tr}(\rho_\lambda(k^{-1})) \mu(dk), \text{ for } \lambda \in \Lambda_+^*,$$

and that a sequence of $\text{Ad}(K)$ -invariant probability measures on K weakly converges towards a measure if and only if the Fourier coefficients converge towards those of this measure. We denote by $K/\text{Ad}(K)$ the quotient spaces of conjugacy classes. Recall that $K/\text{Ad}(K)$ is in one to one correspondence with A when K is simply connected (see [5]).

Proposition 7.1. *Let ξ and γ be in $\nu(A)$. Let $(\xi_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be two sequences of elements in P_+ such that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\xi_k \in P_+^k$, $\gamma_k \in P_+^k$, and such that $\frac{1}{k}\xi_k$ and $\frac{1}{k}\gamma_k$ respectively converge to ξ and γ , as k tends to $+\infty$. Let us define the sequence $(\mu_k)_{k \geq 1}$ of probability measures on $\nu(A)$ by*

$$\mu_k = \sum_{\beta \in P_+^k} q_{\gamma_k}(\xi_k, \beta) \delta_{\frac{\beta + \rho}{k+h^\vee}},$$

where q_{γ_k} is the Markov kernel of a random walk in P_+^k , defined in definition 5.1, with increment γ_k . Then $(\mu_k)_{k \geq 1}$ weakly converges toward a measure μ on $\nu(A)$, satisfying

$$\frac{\text{ch}_\lambda(-\nu^{-1}(\xi))}{\dim \lambda} \frac{\text{ch}_\lambda(-\nu^{-1}(\gamma))}{\dim \lambda} = \int_{\nu(A)} \frac{\text{ch}_\lambda(-\nu^{-1}(\beta))}{\dim \lambda} \mu(d\beta),$$

for every dominant weight $\lambda \in \Lambda_+$.

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda_+$. Note that $\lambda(\theta^\vee) \leq k$ for k sufficiently large. The weyl character formula implies

$$\chi_\lambda(\xi_k) \chi_\lambda(\gamma_k) = \chi_{\xi_k}(\lambda) \frac{\chi_\lambda(0)}{\chi_{\xi_k}(0)} \chi_{\gamma_k}(\lambda) \frac{\chi_\lambda(0)}{\chi_{\gamma_k}(0)}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{\chi_\lambda(\xi_k)}{\dim(\lambda)} \frac{\chi_\lambda(\gamma_k)}{\dim(\lambda)} = \sum_{\beta \in P_+^k} N_{\xi_k, \gamma_k}^\beta \frac{\chi_\beta(0)}{\chi_{\xi_k}(0) \chi_{\gamma_k}(0)} \frac{\chi_\lambda(0)}{\dim(\lambda)} \frac{\chi_\lambda(\beta)}{\dim(\lambda)}.$$

and

$$\frac{\text{ch}_\lambda(-\nu^{-1}(\frac{\xi_k + \rho}{k+h^\vee}))}{\dim(\lambda)} \frac{\text{ch}_\lambda(-\nu^{-1}(\frac{\gamma_k + \rho}{k+h^\vee}))}{\dim(\lambda)} = \frac{\chi_\lambda(0)}{\dim(\lambda)} \int_{\nu(A)} \frac{\text{ch}_\lambda(-\nu^{-1}(\beta))}{\dim \lambda} \mu_k(d\beta).$$

As $\frac{\chi_\lambda(0)}{\dim(\lambda)}$ tends to 1 as k goes to infinity, proposition follows. \square

For $\lambda \in \Lambda_+^*$ the function $\psi_\lambda : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\psi_\lambda(u) = \frac{\text{tr}(\rho_\lambda(u))}{\dim(\lambda)}$, $u \in K$, satisfies

$$(10) \quad \forall u, v \in K, \quad \int_K \psi_\lambda(kuk^{-1}v) dk = \psi_\lambda(u)\psi_\lambda(v),$$

where dk is the normalized Haar measure on K , i.e. the function ψ_λ is spherical. Thus proposition 7.1 establishes a correspondence between fusion coefficients and convolution on K . We have the following corollary.

Corollary 7.2. *Let ξ and γ be in $\nu(A)$. If μ is the limit measure of proposition 7.1 associated to ξ and γ , and u is a random variable distributed according to the normalized Haar measure on K , then the random variable $\exp(\nu^{-1}(\xi))u \exp(\nu^{-1}(\gamma))u^*$ has the same law as $u \exp(\nu^{-1}(\beta))u^*$, where β is distributed according to μ .*

Let $(\gamma_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be a sequence defined as in proposition 7.1. For $k \geq 1$, corollary 7.2 implies that a random walk in P_+^k , with increment γ_k , can be seen as an approximation of an $\text{Ad}(K)$ -invariant random walk in K , with steps uniformly distributed on $\mathcal{O}(\exp(\nu^{-1}(\gamma)))$. Notice that Dooley and Wildberger have established a correspondence between convolution on a compact group and convolution on its Lie algebra, and thus between convolution on a compact group and tensor product of representations. They called this correspondence the wrapping map. It rests principally on the fact that Gelfand pairs $(K \times K, K)$ and $(K \rtimes \mathfrak{k}, K)$ have similar spherical functions. Nevertheless measures on the group K that they obtain from the wrapping map are signed measures. It is quite noticing that the measures obtained considering fusion product, instead of tensor product, are positive measures on K .

Illustration. Let us illustrate corollary 7.2 with the example of $K = SU(2)$. In that case,

$$\mathfrak{k} = \{M \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) : M + M^* = 0\},$$

$$T = \{T_x = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2i\pi x} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2i\pi x} \end{pmatrix} : x \in [0, 1]\}, \quad \mathfrak{t} = \{H_x = \begin{pmatrix} ix & 0 \\ 0 & -ix \end{pmatrix} : x \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

There is a single positive root α , which is defined by $\alpha(H_x) = 2x$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus $\alpha^\vee = \theta^\vee = H_1$. The normalized inner product is defined by $(M|N) = \text{tr}(MN^*)$.

$$A = \{H_{x/2} : x \in [0, 1]\},$$

$$\exp(A) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e^{\pi ix} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\pi ix} \end{pmatrix} : x \in [0, 1] \right\}.$$

Irreducible representations of $SU(2)$ have highest weight λ such that $\lambda(H_1) = n \in \mathbb{N}$. In that case, we write n rather than λ in the level k fusion coefficients., which are given by

$$N_{ij}^s = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |i-j| \leq s \leq \min(i+j, 2k-i-j), \text{ and } i+j+s \in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For any X in $SU(2)$ it exists a single $x \in [0, 1]$ such that $X = k \exp(H_{x/2})k^{-1}$ for some $k \in SU(2)$. Let us call it the radial part of X . Corollary 7.2 implies that if U is distributed according to the Haar measure on $SU(2)$ the radial part of $UT_{x/2}U^{-1}T_{y/2}$, for $x, y \in [0, 1]$, has a density defined on \mathbb{R} by

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\pi \sin(\pi z)}{\sin(\pi x) \sin(\pi y)} \mathbf{1}_{[u,v]}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $u = \min(|x-y|, \min(x+y, 2-(x+y)))$, $v = \max(|x-y|, \min(x+y, 2-(x+y)))$. This result should to be compared with the example of $SU(2)$ given in [7].

8. UNITARY BROWNIAN MOTION AND FUSION COEFFICIENTS

A Brownian motion $(b_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on K is defined as an $Ad(K)$ -invariant continuous Lévy process on K whose semi-group $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies for any $\lambda \in \Lambda_+$,

$$\int_K \psi_\lambda(g) \mu_t(dg) = e^{-ct[\|\lambda+\rho\|^2 - \|\rho\|^2]}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$. The radial process $(a_t)_{t \geq 0}$ associated to $(b_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is defined as the unique continuous process on A such that for any $t \geq 0$ it exists $k \in K$ such that $b_t = k \exp(a_t) k^*$. Notice that continuity is important for the definition to make sense. Actually, when K is simply connected, the conjugacy classes are in one-to-one correspondence with the fundamental domain A and for a given process $(x_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$, the associated radial process is defined with no ambiguity. In general, we know that the map from $(K/T, A)$ to K_r , which sends (gT, v) to $g \cdot \exp(v) \cdot g^*$, where K_r is the set of regular elements of K , is a universal covering. Thus if $(x_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a continuous path such that $x \in K_r$ for any $t > 0$ and $x_0 = 0$, the covering homotopy property and the fact that the exponential map is a local homeomorphism about the origin, implies that the radial part of a process $(x_t)_{t \geq 0}$, such that $x_0 = 0$ and $x_t \in K_r$ for all $t > 0$, is well defined if we impose the continuity of the trajectories. As a Brownian motion on K lives, except at time 0, in K_r , the associated radial process on A is well defined.

Let γ be a dominant weight. We consider a sequence

$$(\Lambda_{[nt]}^{(n)}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)_{n \geq 1}$$

of random processes such that for any n , $(\Lambda_k^{(n)})_{k \geq 1}$ is a Markov process in $P_+^{[\sqrt{n}]}$ with Markov kernel defined by (6) with level $[\sqrt{n}]$ fusion coefficients and discretized characters: $(\Lambda_k^{(n)})_{k \geq 1}$ is the random walk in $P_+^{[\sqrt{n}]}$ with increment γ defined in definition 5.1. The following convergence is in the sense of convergence in distribution in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathfrak{t})$ endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets.

Theorem 8.1. *The sequence $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \nu^{-1}(\Lambda_{[nt]}^{(n)}), t \in \mathbb{R}_+)_{n \geq 1}$ of random processes converges towards the radial process associated to a Brownian motion on K .*

Theorem follows from lemma 8.2 and proposition 8.4.

Lemma 8.2. *As n goes to infinity, the sequence*

$$\left(\exp \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \nu^{-1}(\Lambda_{[nt]}^{(n)}) \right], t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \right)_{n \geq 1}$$

of $K/Ad(K)$ -valued random processes converges - in the sense of finite dimensional distributions convergence - towards $(\exp(a_t))_{t \geq 0}$, where $(a_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is the radial process associated to a Brownian motion on K .

Proof. Let σ be a dominant weight in Λ_+ . It exists an integer n_0 such that $\sigma(\theta^\vee) \leq [\sqrt{n}]$, for all $n \geq n_0$. For $n \geq n_0$ and $t \geq 0$, one has,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\chi_{\Lambda_{[nt]}^{(n)}}(\sigma)}{\chi_{\Lambda_{[nt]}^{(n)}}(0)} \right] = \left[\frac{\chi_\gamma(\sigma)}{\chi_\gamma(0)} \right]^{[nt]},$$

where the discretized characters are level $[\sqrt{n}]$ discretized characters. As for any $\lambda \in P_+^{[\sqrt{n}]}$, the Weyl character formula implies

$$\frac{\chi_\lambda(\sigma)}{\chi_\lambda(0)} = \frac{\text{ch}_\sigma(-\nu^{-1}(\frac{\lambda+\rho}{[\sqrt{n}]+h\nu}))}{\text{ch}_\sigma(0)} \frac{\text{ch}_\sigma(0)}{\chi_\sigma(0)}.$$

one obtains taking the conjugates,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\text{ch}_\sigma(\nu^{-1}(\frac{\Lambda_{[nt]}^{(n)}+\rho}{[\sqrt{n}]+h\nu}))}{\text{ch}_\sigma(0)} \right] = \frac{\chi_\sigma(0)}{\text{ch}_\sigma(0)} \left[\frac{\text{ch}_\sigma(\nu^{-1}(\frac{\gamma+\rho}{[\sqrt{n}]+h\nu}))}{\text{ch}_\sigma(0)} \frac{\text{ch}_\sigma(0)}{\text{ch}_\sigma(\nu^{-1}(\frac{\rho}{[\sqrt{n}]+h\nu}))} \right]^{[nt]}.$$

The central limit theorem for $\text{Ad}(K)$ -invariant random walks on compact Lie groups (see [20]) implies that the right hand side of the identity converges to

$$\int_K \psi_\sigma(k) \mu_t(k),$$

where $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is the semi-group of a Brownian motion $(b_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on K . If we denote by $(a_t)_{t \geq 0}$ the corresponding radial process, one obtains that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(\psi_\sigma(\exp(\nu^{-1}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\Lambda_{[nt]}^{(n)})))) = \mathbb{E}(\psi_\sigma(\exp(a_t))).$$

It implies that in $K/\text{Ad}(K)$, $\exp[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\nu^{-1}(\Lambda_{[nt]}^{(n)})]$ converges in distribution towards $\exp(a_t)$ as n tends to infinity. As the function ψ_σ satisfies (10), a Lévy process $(k_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on K satisfies for $s, t \geq 0$

$$\mathbb{E}(\psi_\sigma(k_{t+s})|k_r, r \leq s) = \psi_\sigma(k_s)\mathbb{E}(\psi_\sigma(k_t)).$$

Thus the following identity

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\chi_{\Lambda_{[n(t+s)]}^{(n)}(\sigma)}(\sigma)}{\chi_{\Lambda_{[n(t+s)]}^{(n)}(0)}(0)} \middle| \Lambda_{[nr]}^{(n)}, r \leq s \right] = \frac{\chi_{\Lambda_{[ns]}^{(n)}(\sigma)}(\sigma)}{\chi_{\Lambda_{[ns]}^{(n)}(0)}(0)} \left[\frac{\chi_\gamma(\sigma)}{\chi_\gamma(0)} \right]^{[n(t+s)]-[ns]},$$

proves that for any sequences $0 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_m$, and $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m \in \Lambda_+$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \psi_{\sigma_i}(\exp(\nu^{-1}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\Lambda_{[nt_i]}^{(n)}))) \right) = \mathbb{E} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \psi_{\sigma_i}(\exp(a_{t_i})) \right),$$

which implies the lemma. \square

When K is simply connected the lemma implies that $(\nu^{-1}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\Lambda_{[nt]}^{(n)}), t \geq 0)$ converges - in the sense of finite dimensional distributions - towards $(a_t)_{t \geq 0}$. We will show that this convergence holds even when K is not simply connected. For this we'll use a tightness result for the sequence of processes $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\Lambda_{[nt]}^{(n)}, t \geq 0)$.

Let $(\pi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables such that π_1 is uniformly distributed on the Littelmann module $B\pi_\gamma$. We let $\pi(t) = \pi_1(t) + \pi_2(t) + \dots + \pi_{[t]+1}(t - [t])$, $t \geq 0$. Donsker theorem implies in particular that $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\pi([nt]), t \geq 0)$ converges in distribution in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathfrak{t}^*)$ endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets. It has been proved in [3] that it exists a continuous map \mathcal{P}_{w_0} , where w_0 is the longest element of W , defined from $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathfrak{t}^*)$ to $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathfrak{t}^*)$, such that the random process $(Y_k, k \geq 0)$ defined by

$$Y_k = \mathcal{P}_{w_0}(\pi)(k), k \geq 0,$$

is a Markov chain living on P_+ , starting at zero, whose transition kernel s_γ is defined by

$$s_\gamma(x, y) = \frac{\dim(y)}{\dim(x) \dim(\gamma)} M_{x\gamma}^y, \quad x, y \in P_+,$$

where the $M_{x\gamma}^y$'s are the Litlewood-Richardson defined by (1).

Lemma 8.3. *For any $T \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, there exists a constant C such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and any measurable positive function $f : \mathcal{D}([0, T], \mathfrak{t}^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$,*

$$\mathbb{E}(f(\Lambda_{[nt]}^{(n)}, t \in [0, T])) \leq C \mathbb{E}(f(Y_{[nt]}, t \in [0, T]))$$

Proof. Using the inequality (4), one obtains

$$\mathbb{E}(f(\Lambda_0^{(n)}, \dots, \Lambda_{[nT]}^{(n)})) \leq \mathbb{E}(f(Y_0, \dots, Y_{[nT]})) \frac{|\chi_{Y_{[nT]}}(0)|}{\dim(Y_{[nT]})} \left[\frac{\dim(\gamma)}{\chi_\gamma(0)} \right]^{[nT]}.$$

As for $x \in P_+^k$,

$$\frac{\chi_x(0)}{\dim(x)} = \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} \frac{\sin\left(\pi \frac{(x+\rho|\alpha)}{[\sqrt{n}] + h^\vee}\right)}{\frac{(x+\rho|\alpha)}{[\sqrt{n}] + h^\vee}} \frac{\frac{(\rho|\alpha)}{[\sqrt{n}] + h^\vee}}{\sin\left(\pi \frac{(\rho|\alpha)}{[\sqrt{n}] + h^\vee}\right)},$$

$|\frac{\chi_x(0)}{\dim(x)}|$ is uniformly bounded in $x \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. As $\left[\frac{\dim(\gamma)}{\chi_\gamma(0)}\right]^{[nT]}$ converges when n goes to infinity, it exists a constant C such that for any $x \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$

$$\frac{|\chi_x(0)|}{\dim x} \left[\frac{\dim \gamma}{\chi_\gamma(0)} \right]^{[nT]} \leq C,$$

which proves the lemma. \square

As \mathcal{P}_{w_0} is a continuous map which commutes with the scaling, the sequence of processes $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} Y_{[nt]}, t \geq 0)$ converges in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathfrak{t}^*)$ endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets. Thus it satisfies the tightness property of the following proposition which is consequently - thanks to the previous lemma - also proved to be satisfied by the sequence of processes $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \Lambda_{[nt]}^{(n)}, t \geq 0)$. Thus we have the following proposition.

Proposition 8.4. *For any $T, \eta, \epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{\substack{0 \leq t, t' \leq T \\ |t - t'| \leq \delta}} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \Lambda_{[nt]}^{(n)} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \Lambda_{[nt']}^{(n)} \right| \geq \eta\right) \leq \epsilon.$$

Proof of theorem 8.1 Suppose that a subsequence of $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \Lambda_{[nt]}^{(n)}, t \geq 0)_{n \geq 0}$ converges towards a process X . Lemma 8.2 implies that in $K/\text{Ad}(K)$, $(\exp(X_t), t \geq 0)$ has the same finite dimensional distributions as $(\exp(a_t), t \geq 0)$. As $\max_k (|\Lambda_{k+1}^{(n)} - \Lambda_k^{(n)}|)$ is bounded, theorem 10.2 of [8] shows that X has continuous trajectories, which implies (see discussion above) that $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ has the same law as $(a_t)_{t \geq 0}$. The theorem follows, as $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \Lambda_{[nt]}^{(n)}, t \geq 0)_{n \geq 0}$ is tight.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. H. BÉRARD, *Spectres et groupes cristallographiques. I. Domaines euclidiens*. Invent. Math., 58(2):179-199, 1980.
- [2] BIANE, PH., *Minuscule weights and random walks on lattices*, Quant. Prob. Rel. Topics 7 51-65, 1992.
- [3] PH. BIANE, PH. BOUGEROL AND N. O'CONNELL, *Littelmann paths and Brownian paths*, Duke Math. J., 130, no. 1, 127-167, 2005.
- [4] A. BORODIN, V. GORIN, *Lectures on integrable probability*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1212.3351, 2012
- [5] T. BRÖCKER, T. DIECK, *Representations of compact Lie groups*, Graduate texts in Mathematics, Vol 98, 1985
- [6] P. DI FRANCESCO, P. MATHIEU, D. SENECHAL, *Conformal Field Theory*, Springer, New York, 1997
- [7] A.H. DOOLEY, N.J. WILDBERGER, *Harmonic Analysis and the Global Exponential Map for Compact Lie Groups*, Funktsional. Anal. i. Prilozhen **27** 2532. MR1225907 (94e:22032), 1993.
- [8] S. N. ETHIER, T. G. KURTZ, *Markov Processes : Characterization and Convergence*. Wiley Series in Probab. Math. Stat., 1986.
- [9] J. FUCHS, *Affine Lie Algebras and Quantum Groups*, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- [10] I.M. GESSEL AND D. ZEILBERGER, *Random walk in a Weyl chamber*, Proc. Amer. Math. **115** 27–31, 1992.
- [11] D.J. GRABINER, *Random walk in an alcove of an affine Weyl group, and non-colliding random walks on an interval*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series A **97** 285–306, 2002.
- [12] J.E. HUMPHREYS, *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 9, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.
- [13] V. G. KAC, *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, third edition, Cambridge university press, 1990
- [14] KIRILLOV, A. A. *Lectures on the Orbit Method*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. **64**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI. MR2069175 (2005c:22001), 2004
- [15] C. KRATTENTHALER, *Asymptotics For Random Walks In Alcoves Of Affine Weyl Groups*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series A **97** 285–306, 2003.
- [16] C. LECOUCVEY, E. LESIGNE, M. PEIGNÉ, *Conditioned random walks from Kac-Moody root systems*, arXiv:1306.3082 [math.CO], 2013
- [17] P. LITTELMANN, *Paths and root operators in representation theory*, Annals of Mathematics 142, pp. 499–525, 1995.
- [18] J.F. VAN DIEJEN AND E. EMSIZ, *Discrete harmonic analysis on a Weyl alcove*, arxiv: 1209.3296v1, 2012.
- [19] M.WALTON *Affine Kac-Moody Algebras and the Wess-Zumino-Witten Model*, arxiv:hep-th/9911187v1, 1999
- [20] D. WEHN, *Limit distributions on Lie groups*, Yale thesis, 1960.
- [21] N. J. WILDBERGER, *Finite commutative hypergroups and applications from group theory to conformal field theory, Applications of Hypergroups and Related Measure Algebras*, 413434, Contemp. Math., 183, 1995.

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES APPLIQUÉES À PARIS 5, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS 5, 45 RUE DES SAINTS PÈRES, 75270 PARIS CEDEX 06.

E-mail address: manon.defosseux@parisdescartes.fr