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This paper presents a general analytical subdomain model for the computation of magnetic field distribution in any number of stator slots and rotor poles with and without electrically-excited, permanent magnet-excited and hybrid-excited multiphase flux switching machines (FSM) topologies. The goal of this work is to elaborate an analytical general method based on subdomain model for predicting magnetic field in any FSM topology with defining in advance the number of subdomains and affect the general form of vector potential in each subdomain. The presented general subdomain method is comparable to finite element method (FEM) where the mesh elements can be compared to the number of harmonic terms used in each subdomain.

Index Terms—General subdomain model, magnetic field, flux switching machines, finite element method.

I. INTRODUCTION

Industrial demands are increasing for electromagnetic topologies combining high torque density, high efficiency and robustness. Hence, the Permanent Magnet-Flux Switching machine (PM-FSM) appears to be an excellent candidate. Indeed, this machine combines advantages of brushless PM machines having a high torque density, and those of switched reluctance machines (SRM) [1]-[4]. Nevertheless, the high flux control capability, required for variable speed applications, led designers to propose Hybrid-Excited Flux-Switching machines (HE-FSM) [5]-[9]. An additional wound exciter is combined with the PM to allow a good flux regulation. Currently, the current increase in rare-earth PM prices, combined with risks of shortages, pose great issues of cost and supply delays. To overcome these problems the electrically-Excited Flux-Switching machine (EE-FSM) was proposed [8].

Regarding the modeling of FSM, mainly Finite Element (FEM) methods are considered because of the inherent doubly-salient air-gap and the non-linear behavior of magnetic material. Despite their proven accuracy, FE simulations suffer from severe computational time requirements and offer limited ability to explore numerous designs. To overcome this problem, some authors proposed models of PM-FSM, HE-FSM and FE-FSM topologies in a more analytical manner, using Magnetic Equivalent Circuit (MEC) [10]-[11] or Fourier analysis methods based on subdomain model [12] or slot relative permeance calculation [13]-[14]. The subdomain model is more accurate than MEC and relative permeance calculation based model [10]. Of course, only radial flux density in the doubly salient air-gap is calculated with the proposed method and the magnetic interaction between the slots is not taken into account [13]-[14], which is a problem for the tangential force computation by the Maxwell stress tensor method and cannot handle with PM-FSM and HE-FSM topologies. To authors’ knowledge, only Gysen et al. in [12] have proposed an exact analytical subdomain model for the prediction of magnetic field (radial and tangential components) in a 12/10 PM-FSM. However, it can be seen in our paper that, it is not necessary to set the divergence of the magnetic flux density around the stator to zero to solve the unknown coefficients in permanent magnet region. Subdomain model is well known in magnetic field analytical prediction for permanent magnet machines [15]-[23]. It consists of solving directly the field governing equations (Laplacian and/or quasi-Poissonian equations) in different domains to obtain the overall field distribution by applying boundary conditions on the interfaces between each subdomain. No references in the literature addressing the issue of an analytical model for SRM, EE-FSM and HE-FSM machines were found.

In this paper, a general formulation of subdomain model for prediction magnetic field distribution in any number of stator slots and rotor poles combinations of multiphase internal and external rotor SRM, EE-FSM, PM-FSM and HE-FSM topologies is presented. Only some internal rotor topologies are developed for the sake of clarity. However, other internal and external rotor configurations magnetic field results are given in the appendix A. The objective of the paper is to elaborate a program based on general subdomain model to predict magnetic field in any FSM topology with defining as entry the number of subdomains and the general form of magnetic field in each subdomain. All results from the developed analytical model are then compared to those found by the finite element method (FEM) [24].

II. MAGNETIC FIELD IN DIFFERENT SUBDOMAINS

There are many topologies of SRM and FSM, constituted by different type of subdomains. Armature stator slots with single or double layer winding, rotor slots, excitation coils stator slots with single or double layer winding, permanent magnet slots. In some topologies, there are slots which have both permanent magnet and excitation coils or armature coils and excitation coils [8]. The proposed model is formulated in vector potential and two-dimensional polar coordinates with the following assumptions.
The stator and rotor cores are assumed to be infinitely permeable.

Eddy current effects are neglected.

The axial length of the machine is infinite i.e. end effects are neglected.

The current density has only one component along the z-axis.

To solve this type of problem by an analytical way, the sides of every region must be in radial or angular direction (directions of the polar coordinates system). Then, we have simplified the geometry of the studied machines by considering that the stator and rotor slots have radial sides [12].

In this section, we give the general solution of the magnetic vector potential in the different regions of a general machine (slots with or without current or with magnets, air-gap ...). Then, we use these solutions to study some particular machines.

A. Armature and Excitation Slot Subdomain

Magnetic field calculation in the rotor or the stator slots subdomains with single or double layer winding and with one side or two sides open is calculated analytically with solving Poisson’s or Laplace equations with the method of separation of variables. To simplify the notations, the magnetic vector potential in a slot is named \( A_i \), where \( i \) is the slot number (stator or rotor slots).

\[ A_i(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{2} \mu_0 J_i \ln(r) - \frac{1}{4} \mu_0 J_i r^2 \]

\[ + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ C_{i,0} r^{-\frac{m}{c}} + C_{i,m} r^\frac{m}{c} \right] \cos\left( \frac{m\pi}{c} \left( \theta - \alpha_i + \frac{c}{2} \right) \right) \]

\[ \text{with} \quad f_m(r) = r^{-\frac{m}{c}} + r^\frac{m}{c} \]

where \( m \) is a positive integer.

In the case where the slot is open on the two sides (Fig. 1.b.), the boundary condition (3) is omitted and the general solution of (1) is

\[ A_i(r, \theta) = C_{i,0} + C_{i,0} \ln(r) - \frac{1}{4} \mu_0 J_i r^2 \]

\[ + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ C_{i,n} r^{-\frac{m}{c}} + C_{i,m} r^\frac{m}{c} \right] \cos\left( \frac{m\pi}{c} \left( \theta - \alpha_i + \frac{c}{2} \right) \right) \]

In this case, we have four integration constants \( (C_{i,0}, C_{i,0}, C_{i,m}, C_{i,m}) \) to determine.

A-2 Double layer winding

The slot contains two coils as shown in Fig. 2. The Fourier series expansion of the current density is

\[ J_i(\theta) = J_{i,0} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} J_{i,m} \cos\left( \frac{m\pi}{c} \left( \theta - \alpha_i + \frac{c}{2} \right) \right) \]

where \( J_{i,0} = \frac{J_{i,1} - J_{i,2}}{c} \)

\[ J_{i,m} = \frac{2}{m\pi c} \sin\left( \frac{m\pi d}{c} \right) \left( J_{i,1} + (-1)^m J_{i,2} \right) \]

where \( J_{i,1} \) and \( J_{i,2} \) are the current densities of the corresponding slot region \( i \) with the thickness \( d \).
Replacing (6) in (1) and taking into account the boundary equations (2) (Fig. 2.a), leads to the general solution of equation (1)
\[
A_i(r, \theta) = C_{1,i} + C_{2,i} \ln(r) - \frac{1}{4} \mu_0 J_{i,0} r^2
+ \sum_{m=1} \left[ C_{1,m} r^{\frac{m \pi}{c}} + C_{2,m} r^{\frac{m \pi}{c}} + F_{i,m} r^2 \right] \cos \left( \frac{m \pi}{c} \left( \theta - \alpha_i + \frac{c}{2} \right) \right)
\]
where
\[
F_{i,m} = \frac{\mu_0 J_{i,0} c^2}{m^2 \pi^2 - 4c^2}
\]
The case \(m \pi = 2c\) can be solved easily.
For the case where slot is open on one side only (Fig. 2.b), the boundary condition (3) is introduced to get
\[
A_i(r, \theta) = C_{1,i} + \frac{1}{2} \mu_0 J_{i,0} r^2 \ln(r) - \frac{1}{2} \mu_0 J_{i,0} r^2
+ \sum_{m=1} \left[ C_{1,m} f_{i,m}(r) - G_{i,m} r^{\frac{m \pi}{c}} + F_{i,m} r^2 \right] \cos \left( \frac{m \pi}{c} \left( \theta - \alpha_i + \frac{c}{2} \right) \right)
\]
where
\[
G_{i,m} = \frac{2F_{i,m} r_i c}{r_i c + m \pi}
\]
It is important to note here that the solutions (4) and (5) corresponding to the single layer excitation can be deduced respectively from (8) and (7) by imposing \(J_{i,1} = J_i, J_{i,2} = 0\) and \(d = c\).

B. Permanent Magnet Subdomain

In each permanent magnet subdomain (i) (Fig. 3), we have to solve Poisson’s equation. We consider that the magnetization of permanent magnet is purely tangential.
\[
\frac{\partial^2 A_i}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 A_i}{\partial \theta^2} = -\mu_0 M_i \left( -1 \right) \frac{B_{rms}}{\mu_0}
\]
where \(M_i = M_j \left( -1 \right) \frac{B_{rms}}{\mu_0}\)
For \(Ns\) permanent magnet, \(i\) vary from 1 to \(Ns\) and \(B_{rms}\) is the remanence of magnetization.

For subdomain with permanent magnet slot open on two sides (Fig. 3.a) and taking into account the boundary condition (2), the general solution of (9) is given by
\[
A_i(r, \theta) = C_{1,i} + C_{2,i} \ln(r) - \mu_0 M_i r
+ \sum_{m=1} \left[ C_{1,m} r^{\frac{m \pi}{c}} + C_{2,m} r^{\frac{m \pi}{c}} + \frac{m \pi}{c} \left( \theta - \alpha_i + \frac{c}{2} \right) \right]
\]
The case of Fig. 3.b, where permanent magnet slot subdomain is open only on one side, the boundary condition (3) should be introduced and the general solution is
\[
A_i(r, \theta) = C_{1,i} - \mu_0 M_i r
+ \sum_{m=1} \left[ C_{1,m} f_{i,m}(r) - \frac{m \pi}{c} \left( \theta - \alpha_i + \frac{c}{2} \right) \right]
\]
C. Rotor or Stator Slot Subdomain

In each rotor or stator slot subdomain (i) without current and permanent magnet (Fig. 4), we have to solve Laplace’s equation
\[
\frac{\partial^2 A_i}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 A_i}{\partial \theta^2} = 0
\]
Fig. 4. Stator or rotor slot subdomain (i). a) Open on one side. b) Open on two sides.

The solution can be obtained directly from (11) with $M_i = 0$

$$A_i (r, \theta) = C_{i,0}$$

$$+ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C_{i,m} \left[ \frac{m \pi}{c} \left( \theta - \alpha_i + \frac{c}{2} \right) \right]$$

For the case of Fig. 4.b with slot open on the two sides, the solution can be obtained directly from (10) with $M_i = 0$

$$A_i (r, \theta) = C_{1,0} + C_{2,0} \ln(r)$$

$$+ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[ C_{1,m} r^{\frac{m \pi}{c}} + C_{2,m} \frac{m \pi}{c} \cos \left( \theta - \alpha_i + \frac{c}{2} \right) \right]$$

D. Combination of Subdomains in a Slot

Many combinations of subdomains in one slot can be found (Fig. 5). In this case, we have two subdomains, permanent magnet and/or current density subdomains. The current subdomain can be in single or double layer excitation. Opening of subdomain with current density can also be greater or lower than PM subdomain. Permanent magnet subdomain can also be replaced with single or double layer winding. General forms of vector potentials: in permanent magnet subdomain (Figs. 5. a, b) is given by equation (10), in current density subdomain (Fig. 5. a) is given by (4) and in current density subdomain (Fig. 5. b) is given by (5).

Generally, double-layer winding is set as left layer and right layer in the slot for SRM and FSM. However, the double-layer winding can be set as upper layer and lower layer [25] (Figs. 5. c, d). As for the permanent magnet and current density subdomains, the general form of vector potentials: in the coil represented by the current $J_{i1}$ subdomain (Fig. 5. c) is given by equation (4), in the coil $J_{i2}$ subdomain (Fig. 5. c) is given by (5) and in the coils $J_{i1}$ and $J_{i2}$ subdomains (Fig. 5. d) are given by (5). In reference [25], authors have considered this type of double-layer winding as one subdomain. In the general formulation of subdomain model, it is interesting to consider two domains with adding in the analysis an interface condition in the radius $r_s$.

Fig. 5. Slot with two subdomains (i). a) Open on one side. b) Open on two sides. c) Open on one side. d) Open on two sides.

E. Airgap Subdomain

The magnetic potential in the air-gap region is called $A_w$. The Laplace equation (15) in the airgap subdomain which is an annular domain delimited by the radii $R_w$ and $r_e$ is given by

$$\frac{\partial^2 A_w}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial A_w}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 A_w}{\partial \theta^2} = 0$$

The solution of (15) is well-known and is given by
\[ Aa(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( A1_n r^n + A2_n r^{-n} \right) \sin(n \theta) \]  
\[ + \left( A3_n r^n + A4_n r^{-n} \right) \cos(n \theta) \]  
where \( n \) is a positive integer.

### F. Outside Machine and Shaft Subdomains

For some topologies of FSM, there are permanent magnets or coils that have flux leakage with the outside of the machine for internal rotor and with the shaft for external rotor. In those cases, it is important to add one subdomain to take into account the outside region or the shaft of the machine.

The magnetic potential in these regions are called \( Ao \). The Laplace’s equation (17) in the outside region and in the shaft of the machine (where the relative recoil permeability is equal to 1) is given by

\[ \frac{\partial^2 Ao}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial Ao}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 Ao}{\partial \theta^2} = 0 \]  
Taking into account the finite value of vector potential at the infinity radius for internal rotor FSM and at null radius for external rotor FSM, the solution of equation (17) is

\[ Ao(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A1_n r^n \sin(n \theta) + A2_n r^{-n} \cos(n \theta) \]  
for internal rotor topology, and

\[ Ao(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A1_n r^n \sin(n \theta) + A2_n r^n \cos(n \theta) \]  
for external rotor topology.

To determine Fourier series unknown constants, boundary and interface conditions should be introduced.

### III. MAGNETIC FIELD PREDICTION IN INTERNAL ROTOR FSM TOPOLOGIES

The aim of this section is to predict analytically the magnetic field distribution in switching flux machines using a general subdomain model. Many FSM topologies are constituted with a combination of subdomains studied in the above section.

#### A. 6/4 SRM

The SRM (Fig. 6) has 6 stator slots, 3 phases’ double layer winding and 4 poles. This machine is constituted with three regions: rotor slots region with 4 subdomains, stator slot region with 6 subdomains and air gap region with 1 subdomain.

In internal rotor SRM, the general form of vector potential equations (16), (13) and (8) are used for air gap, rotor slots and stator slots regions respectively as

\[ AzI(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( A1_n r^n + A2_n r^{-n} \right) \sin(n \theta) \]  
\[ + \left( A3_n r^n + A4_n r^{-n} \right) \cos(n \theta) \]  
\[ AzII_j(r, \theta) = A5_j,0 \]  
\[ + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A5_{j,m} f_m(r) \cos\left( \frac{m \pi}{a} \left( \theta - g_j + \frac{a}{2} \right) \right) \]  
\[ f_m(r) = r \frac{\sin \frac{m \pi}{a}}{r_i \frac{\sin \frac{m \pi}{a}}{a}} \]  
where \( g_i \) is the angular position of the \( j \)th rotor slot and \( a \) the slot opening in radian.

\[ AzIII_i(r, \theta) = C_{i,0} + \frac{1}{2} \mu_0 J_{i,0} r_i^2 \ln(r) - \frac{1}{4} \mu_0 J_{i,0} r_i^2 \]  
\[ + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( C_{i,m} f_m(r) - G_{i,m} r^c + F_{i,m} r^2 \right) \cos\left( \frac{m \pi}{c} \left( \theta - \alpha_i + \frac{c}{2} \right) \right) \]  
\[ f_m(r) = r \frac{\sin \frac{m \pi}{c}}{r_i \frac{\sin \frac{m \pi}{c}}{c}} \]  
\[ G_{i,m} = \frac{2 F_{i,m} r_i^2 c}{r_i^c \frac{m \pi}{c}} \]  
where \( \alpha_i \) is the angular position of the \( i \)th stator slot and \( c \) the slot opening in radian.

There are only interface conditions at \( R_m \) and \( R_s \) as:

\[ AIII_j(R_m, \theta) = AzI(R_m, \theta) \]  
\[ HIII_j(R_m, \theta) = HII(R_m, \theta) \]  
\[ AzIII_i(R_s, \theta) = AzI(R_s, \theta) \]  
\[ HIII_i(R_s, \theta) = HII(R_s, \theta) \]  
The above boundary equalities deals with different subdomain frequencies therefore Fourier series expansions are necessary. From (23), we have
\[ A_{S_{f}} = \frac{1}{a} \int_{\gamma_{j}}^{\gamma_{j+1}} A(z) R_{m} d\theta \]

\[ A_{S_{f,m}} f_{m} (R_{m}) = \]

\[ 2 \frac{a}{a} \int_{\gamma_{j}}^{\gamma_{j+1}} A(z) R_{m} \cos \left( \frac{m\pi}{a} \left( \theta - g_{j} + \frac{a}{2} \right) \right) d\theta \]

From (24) we have

\[ \left( \frac{n}{\mu_{0}} \right) (A1 R_{m}^{-1} + A2 R_{m}^{-1}) = \]

\[ \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{s}} \int_{\gamma_{j}}^{\gamma_{j+1}} HII(t) (R_{m}, \theta) \sin (n \theta) d\theta \]

\[ \left( \frac{n}{\mu_{0}} \right) (-A3 R_{m}^{-1} + A4 R_{m}^{-1}) = \]

\[ \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{s}} \int_{\gamma_{j}}^{\gamma_{j+1}} HII(t) (R_{m}, \theta) \cos (n \theta) d\theta \]

From (25), we have

\[ C_{i,0} + \frac{1}{2} \mu_{0} j_{l} r_{i}^{2} \ln (R_{i}) - \frac{1}{4} \mu_{0} j_{l} r_{i}^{2} = \]

\[ \int_{\alpha_{i}}^{\alpha_{i+1}} A(z) R_{m} d\theta \]

\[ 
\begin{align*}
C_{i,m} f_{m} (R_{i}) - G_{i,m} R_{i}^{c} + F_{i,m} R_{i}^{c} = \\
\int_{\alpha_{i}}^{\alpha_{i+1}} A(z) R_{m} \cos \left( \frac{m\pi}{c} \left( \theta - \alpha_{i} + \frac{c}{2} \right) \right) d\theta
\end{align*}
\]

From (26), we have

\[ \left( \frac{n}{\mu_{0}} \right) (-A1 R_{i}^{-1} + A2 R_{i}^{-1}) = \]

\[ \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{s}} \int_{\gamma_{j}}^{\gamma_{j+1}} HII(t) (R_{i}, \theta) \sin (n \theta) d\theta \]

\[ \left( \frac{n}{\mu_{0}} \right) (-A3 R_{i}^{-1} + A4 R_{i}^{-1}) = \]

The system of 8 equations (27) to (34) permits to determine the coefficients of the vector potentials in the 3 regions (11 subdomains) of internal rotor SRM.

B. 6/4 EE-FSM

The internal rotor electrically-excited 6/4 FSM (Fig. 7) has 17 subdomains. In the air gap and rotor slots subdomains, the general forms of vector potentials are (20) and (21).

\[ f_{m} (r) = \sum_{m=1}^{N_{s}} A6_{k,m} R_{i} \cos \left( \frac{m\pi}{d} \left( \theta - \beta_{k} + \frac{d}{2} \right) \right) \]

\[ \begin{align*}
& = \frac{m\pi}{d} \frac{r_{1}^{c} - r_{2}^{c}}{r_{2}^{c}} + \frac{m\pi}{d} \frac{r_{1}^{c} - r_{2}^{c}}{r_{2}^{c}}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \beta_{k} \) is the angular position of the \( k \)th stator slot excitation coil and \( d \) the slot opening in radian.

Equations (27)-(32) issued from interfaces conditions (23)-(25) are the same. Of course, rotor slots and air gap subdomains for electrically-excited SFM are identical to SRM. The interface condition (26) is modified as

\[ HII(t) (R_{i}, \theta) = HII(t) (R_{i}, \theta) + HIV(t) (R_{i}, \theta) \]

And adding

\[ A(z) R_{i} (R_{i}, \theta) = A(z) (R_{i}, \theta) \]

From (36), we have

\[ \left( \frac{n}{\mu_{0}} \right) (-A1 R_{i}^{-1} + A2 R_{i}^{-1}) = \]
\[
\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} \int \frac{1}{\alpha_i - \frac{\pi}{2}} I_{HIIIi} (R_i, \theta) \sin (n \theta) d \theta + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{N_k} \int \frac{1}{\beta_k - \frac{\pi}{2}} I_{HIVk} (R_i, \theta) \sin (n \theta) d \theta
\]

\[
\left( \frac{n}{\mu_0} \right) ( -A3_n R_i^{n-1} + A4_n R_i^{n-1} ) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} \frac{1}{\alpha_i + \frac{\pi}{2}} I_{HIIIi} (R_i, \theta) \cos (n \theta) d \theta + \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \frac{1}{\beta_k + \frac{\pi}{2}} I_{HIVk} (R_i, \theta) \cos (n \theta) d \theta
\]

\[
A6_{k,0} + \frac{1}{2} \mu_0 J_k r_i^2 \ln (R_i) - \frac{1}{4} \mu_0 J_k R_i^2 = \frac{1}{d} \int A z I (R_i, \theta) d \theta
\]

\[
A6_{k,m} f_m(R_i) = \frac{2}{d} \int A z I (R_i, \theta) \cos \left( \frac{m \pi}{d} \left( \theta - \beta_k + \frac{d}{2} \right) \right) d \theta
\]

The system of 10 equations (27) to (32) and (38) to (41) permits to determine the coefficients of the vector potentials in the 4 regions (17 subdomains) of internal rotor electrically-excited FSM.

C. 6/4 PM-FSM

Internal rotor permanent magnet-excited 6/4 FSM (Fig. 8) has 18 subdomains. In the air gap and rotor slots subdomains, the general forms of vector potentials are (20) and (21).

From (37), we have

\[
A z I (r, \theta) = A6_{k,0} + A7_{k,0} \ln (r) - \mu_0 M_k r
\]

\[
+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( A6_{k,n} r \frac{m \pi}{d} + A7_{k,n} r \frac{m \pi}{d} \right) \cos \left( \frac{m \pi}{d} \left( \theta - \beta_k + \frac{d}{2} \right) \right)
\]

where \( \beta_k \) is the angular position of the \( k \)th permanent magnet and \( d \) the PM opening in radian.

The general form of vector potential of the outside machine region is given by equation (18) as

\[
A z V (r, \theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A8_n r^n \sin (n \theta) + A9_n r^n \cos (n \theta)
\]

Equations (27)-(32) issued from interfaces conditions (23)-(25) are not modified. However, the development of interface condition (26) is modified as

\[
\left( \frac{n}{\mu_0} \right) ( -A1_n R_i^{n-1} + A2_n R_i^{n-1} ) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} \frac{1}{\alpha_i + \frac{\pi}{2}} I_{HIIIi} (R_i, \theta) \cos (n \theta) d \theta + \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \frac{1}{\beta_k + \frac{\pi}{2}} I_{HIVk} (R_i, \theta) \cos (n \theta) d \theta
\]

Fig. 8. Studied 6/4 internal rotor PM-FSM.
And adding
\[ A_{zIV} (R_e, \theta) = A_z I (R_e, \theta) \]  
\[ A_{zIV} (R_{ext}, \theta) = A_z V (R_{ext}, \theta) \]  
\[ H_{IV} (R_{ext}, \theta) = H_{IV} (R_{ext}, \theta) \]

From (46), we have
\[ A_6 k_0 + A_7 k_0 \ln (R_e) - \mu_0 M_k R_e = \]
\[ \frac{1}{d} \int_{\beta_k^d}^{\beta_k^+ d} A_z I (R_e, \theta) d\theta \]
\[ A_6 k_{ext} R_{ext}^{\alpha} + A_7 k_{ext} R_{ext}^{\alpha} = \]
\[ \frac{2}{d} \int_{\beta_k^d}^{\beta_k^+ d} A_z V (R_{ext}, \theta) \cos \left( \frac{m \pi}{d} \left( \theta - \beta_k + \frac{d}{2} \right) \right) d\theta \]

From (47), we have
\[ A_6 k_0 + A_7 k_0 \ln (R_{ext}) - \mu_0 M_k R_{ext} = \]
\[ \frac{1}{d} \int_{\beta_k^d}^{\beta_k^+ d} A_z V (R_{ext}, \theta) d\theta \]
\[ A_6 k_{ext} R_{ext}^{\alpha} + A_7 k_{ext} R_{ext}^{\alpha} = \]
\[ \frac{2}{d} \int_{\beta_k^d}^{\beta_k^+ d} A_z V (R_{ext}, \theta) \cos \left( \frac{m \pi}{d} \left( \theta - \beta_k + \frac{d}{2} \right) \right) d\theta \]

From (48), we have
\[ \left( \frac{n}{\mu_0} \right) (A_8 k_{ext}^{n-1}) = \]
\[ \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{\beta_k^d}^{\beta_k^+ d} H_{IV} (R_{ext}, \theta) \sin (n \theta) d\theta \]
\[ \left( \frac{n}{\mu_0} \right) (A_9 k_{ext}^{n-1}) = \]
\[ \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{\beta_k^d}^{\beta_k^+ d} H_{IV} (R_{ext}, \theta) \cos (n \theta) d\theta \]

The system of 14 equations (27) to (32) and (44) to (45) and (49) to (54) permits to determine the coefficients of the vector potentials in the 5 regions (18 subdomains) of internal rotor permanent magnet-excited FSM. It is important to note here that it is not necessary to set the divergence of the magnetic flux density around the stator to zero to solve the unknown coefficients \( A_7 k, \theta \) of region IV as it is done in [12].

D. Topology1: 6/4 HE-FSM

The internal rotor hybrid-excited FSM (Fig. 9) has 6 regions and 24 subdomains. The \( N_s \) permanent magnet subdomains of Fig. 8 are replaced with \( 2*N_s \) subdomains combining both permanent magnet and single layer excitation coils in the slots.

General forms of vector potentials in rotor slots, air gap, armature slots, permanent magnet and outside machine are given by (20)-(22) and (42)-(43). In the excitation coil (single layer winding), the general form of vector potential is given by equation (5) as
\[ A_{zIV} (r, \theta) = A_10 k_0 + A_11 k_0 \ln (r) - \frac{1}{4} \mu_0 J_r r^2 \]  
\[ + \sum_{l=1}^{L} \left( A_{10 l} k_0 r^{\frac{l \pi}{d}} + A_{11 l} k_0 r^{\frac{l \pi}{d}} \right) \cos \left( \frac{l \pi}{d} \left( \theta - \beta_k + \frac{d}{2} \right) \right) \]

Interfaces conditions (23)-(25) and (36)-(37) are valid with adding
\[ A_{zIV} (R_{ext}, \theta) = A_z V (R_{ext}, \theta) \]  
\[ H_{IV} (R_{ext}, \theta) = H_{IV} (R_{ext}, \theta) \]  
\[ A_{zIV} (r_j, \theta) = A_z V (r_j, \theta) \]  
\[ H_{IV} (r_j, \theta) = H_{IV} (r_j, \theta) \]

From (56), we have
\[ A_{10} k_0 + A_11 k_0 \ln (R_{ext}) - \frac{1}{4} \mu_0 J_r R_{ext}^2 = \]
\[ \frac{1}{d} \int_{\beta_k^d}^{\beta_k^+ d} A_z V (R, \theta) d\theta \]
\[ A_{10} k_0 r^{\frac{l \pi}{d}} + A_11 k_0 r^{\frac{l \pi}{d}} = \]
\[
\frac{2}{d} \int \left( \frac{\beta_y d}{2} \right) A z V(R, \theta) \cos \left( \frac{l \pi}{d} \left( \theta - \beta_k + \frac{d}{2} \right) \right) d\theta
\]

From (57), we have
\[
\left( \frac{n}{\mu_0} \right) \left( A 8_n R_n^{-1} \right) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{N_s} \left( \frac{\beta_y d}{2} \right) H V l_t \left( R_n, \theta \right) \sin(n\theta) d\theta
\]

\[
\left( \frac{n}{\mu_0} \right) \left( A 9_n R_n^{-1} \right) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{N_s} \left( \frac{\beta_y d}{2} \right) H V l_t \left( R_n, \theta \right) \cos(n\theta) d\theta
\]

From (58), we have
\[
A 6_k \alpha + A 7_k \alpha \ln(r_3) - \mu_0 M_0 r_3 = \frac{1}{d} \int A z V l_k \left( r_3, \theta \right) d\theta
\]

\[
A 6_k \alpha + A 7_k \alpha \frac{m \pi}{d} + A 7_k \alpha \frac{m \pi}{d} = \frac{2}{d} \int A z V l_k \left( r_3, \theta \right) \cos \left( \frac{m \pi}{d} \left( \theta - \beta_k + \frac{d}{2} \right) \right) d\theta
\]

From (59), we have
\[
\left( \frac{1}{\mu_0} \right) \left( -A 11_k b \alpha \frac{m \pi}{d} + \frac{1}{2} \mu_0 J_k r_3 \right) = -A 7_k \alpha \frac{m \pi}{d}
\]

\[
\left( \frac{l \pi}{d} \right) \left( A 10_k b \alpha \frac{m \pi}{d} - A 11_k b \alpha \frac{m \pi}{d} \right) = 0
\]

The system of 18 equations (27) to (32) and (38) to (41) and (60) to (67) permits to determine the coefficients of the vector potentials in the 6 regions (24 subdomains) of internal rotor hybrid-excited FSM.

### E. Topology2: 12/10 HE-FSM

Internal rotor HE-FSM (Fig. 10) has 6 regions and 36 subdomains. The study of this topology use general vector potential forms of equations (20)-(21) and (42)-(43) which are the same as PM-FSM. Equation (35) is modified as
\[
A z V l_k \left( r_3, \theta \right) = A 10_k \alpha + \frac{1}{2} \mu_0 J_k r_3^2 \ln(r) - \frac{1}{4} \mu_0 J_k r_3^2
\]

\[
+ \sum_{m=1}^{n} A 10_{k,m} f_m \left( r \right) \cos \left( \frac{m \pi}{d} \left( \theta - \beta_k + \frac{d}{2} \right) \right)
\]

\[
f_m \left( r \right) = r \frac{m \pi}{d} + \frac{m \pi}{d} \frac{r d}{r_3 d}
\]

where \( \lambda_k \) is the angular position of the \( k \)th stator excitation slot and \( d \), the slot opening in radian.

![Fig. 10. Studied 12/10 internal rotor HE-FSM.](image)

Interfaces conditions (23)-(25), (46)-(48) are the same with modifying (26) as
\[
H l l (R_3, \theta) = H l l l (R_3, \theta) + H V l (R_3, \theta) + H V l (R_3, \theta) + H V l (R_3, \theta)
\]

And adding
\[
A z V l_k \left( R_3, \theta \right) = A z l (R_3, \theta)
\]

From (69), we have
\[
\left( \frac{n}{\mu_0} \right) \left( -A 11_n R_n^{-1} + A 2_n R_n^{-1} \right) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{N_s} \left( \frac{\beta_y d}{2} \right) H V l_t \left( R_n, \theta \right) \sin(n\theta) d\theta + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{N_s} \left( \frac{\beta_y d}{2} \right) H V l_t \left( R_n, \theta \right) \cos(n\theta) d\theta
\]

\[
\left( \frac{n}{\mu_0} \right) \left( -A 3_n R_n^{-1} + A 4_n R_n^{-1} \right) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{N_s} \left( \frac{\beta_y d}{2} \right) H V l_t \left( R_n, \theta \right) \sin(n\theta) d\theta + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{N_s} \left( \frac{\beta_y d}{2} \right) H V l_t \left( R_n, \theta \right) \cos(n\theta) d\theta
\]

\[
\left( \frac{n}{\mu_0} \right) \left( -A 5_n R_n^{-1} + A 6_n R_n^{-1} \right) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{N_s} \left( \frac{\beta_y d}{2} \right) H V l_t \left( R_n, \theta \right) \sin(n\theta) d\theta + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{N_s} \left( \frac{\beta_y d}{2} \right) H V l_t \left( R_n, \theta \right) \cos(n\theta) d\theta
\]
From (70), we have

\[
\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{N_e} \beta_n \frac{d_2}{d_1} = H_{III}(R_s, \theta) \cos(n\theta) d\theta + \frac{N_e}{\pi} \beta_n \frac{d_2}{d_1} \int H_{IV}(R_s, \theta) \cos(n\theta) d\theta
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{N_e} \lambda_k \frac{d_2}{d_1} = H_{Vt}(R_s, \theta) \cos(n\theta) d\theta
\]

From (70), we have

\[
A_{10_i,0} \frac{1}{2} \mu_0 J_{1} R_s^2 \ln(R_s) - \frac{1}{4} \mu_0 J_{k} R_s^2 = \frac{1}{d_1} \int AzI(R_s, \theta) d\theta
\]

\[
A_{10_i,m} = \frac{2}{d_1} \int AzI(R_s, \theta) \cos \left( \frac{m\pi}{d_1} \left( \theta - \lambda_i + \frac{d_2}{2} \right) \right) d\theta
\]

The system of 16 equations (27)-(32) and (49)-(54) and (71)-(74) permits to determine the coefficients of the vector potentials in the 6 regions (36 subdomains) of internal rotor HE-FSM.

IV. RESULTS AND VALIDATION

The developed general formulation of subdomain model is used to determine the magnetic field distribution in the middle of the air gap of FSM. The main dimensions and parameters of the studied machines are given in Table I. Then, analytical results are verified by finite element method (FEM) [24].

For 6/4 switched flux machines with double layer winding, armature current density in slots is defined by two matrix connections between the 3 phases current and the stator slots as

\[
C_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
-1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
C_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Stator current densities in slots for double layer armature winding are defined as

\[
J_{i1} = \frac{N_c}{S} C_1^{T} \begin{bmatrix} I_a \\ I_b \\ I_c \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
J_{i2} = \frac{N_c}{S} C_2^{T} \begin{bmatrix} I_a \\ I_b \\ I_c \end{bmatrix}
\]

where \(S\) is the area of slot coil region and \(N_c\) the number of conductors in slot coil region.

Single layer excitation coils current densities for 6/4 and 12/10 switched flux machines are defined as

\[
J = \frac{N_i I_f}{S_f} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
\]

where \(N_i\) is the number of conductors in slot, \(I_f\) the DC excitation current and \(S_f\) the surface of stator slot.

For slotted structures, computation of flux linkage with the method of winding function theory is not suitable. The method based on Stokes theorem using the vector potential in stator slots is used. First, we determine at a given rotor position \(\theta_s\) the flux over each coil of slot \(i\) of cross section \(S\). We have supposed that the current is uniformly distributed over the slot coil area, so the vector potential can be averaged over the slot coil area to represent the coil.

For the coil with a current density \(J_{i1}\) (Fig. 2), we obtain:

\[
\phi_{i1} = \frac{L_u}{S} \int \int_{R_s} A_{III}(r, \theta) r dr d\theta
\]

For the coil with a current density \(J_{i2}\) (Fig. 2) we have

\[
\phi_{i2} = \frac{L_u}{S} \int \int_{R_s} A_{IV}(r, \theta) r dr d\theta
\]

where \(S = \frac{d(r_2^2 - r_1^2)}{2}\) is the surface of the stator slot coil (inner radius \(R_s\) and outer radius \(r_2\)).

For the coil with current density \(J_{i1}\), the phase flux linkage vector is given by

\[
\psi_{1,i} = N_c C_1 \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{i1,1} \\ \phi_{i1,2} \\ \vdots \\ \phi_{i1,\Theta_2-1} \\ \phi_{i1,\Theta_2} \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\psi_{1,i} = N_c C_1 \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{i1,1} \\ \phi_{i1,2} \\ \vdots \\ \phi_{i1,\Theta_2-1} \\ \phi_{i1,\Theta_2} \end{bmatrix}
\]
For the coil with current density $J_{i,2}$, the phase flux linkage vector is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \psi_{2a} \\ \psi_{2b} \\ \psi_{2c} \end{bmatrix} = N_c C_2 \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_{2,1} & \varphi_{2,2} & \cdots & \varphi_{2,Q_2-1} & \varphi_{2,Q_2} \end{bmatrix} \psi_{2}$$

(85)

where $C_1'$ and $C_2'$ are the transpose of connecting matrix that represent the distribution of stator windings in the slots.

The total flux linkage per phase is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \psi_a \\ \psi_b \\ \psi_c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{1a} \\ \psi_{1b} \\ \psi_{1c} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \psi^2_a \\ \psi^2_b \\ \psi^2_c \end{bmatrix}$$

(86)

The three phase back-EMF vector is calculated by

$$\begin{bmatrix} E_a \\ E_b \\ E_c \end{bmatrix} = \Omega \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d}{d\theta_r} \psi_a \\ \frac{d}{d\theta_r} \psi_b \\ \frac{d}{d\theta_r} \psi_c \end{bmatrix}$$

(87)

where $\Omega$ is the rotor angular speed.

### TABLE I

**PRINCIPAL PARAMETERS OF STUDIED INTERNAL SWITCHED FLUX MACHINES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value and unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Magnet remanence (Ferrite)</td>
<td>$B_r$</td>
<td>0.4 T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative recoil permeability of magnet</td>
<td>$\mu_r$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of conductors per stator slot</td>
<td>$N_c$</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak phase current</td>
<td>$I_p$</td>
<td>15 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC excitation current</td>
<td>$I_d$</td>
<td>20 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of conductors per rotor slot</td>
<td>$N_f$</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of stator slots</td>
<td>$N_s$</td>
<td>6/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of rotor poles</td>
<td>$N_r$</td>
<td>4/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal radius of rotor slot</td>
<td>$r_1$</td>
<td>58 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External radius of magnet</td>
<td>$r_s$</td>
<td>105 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal radius of stator slot</td>
<td>$r_2$</td>
<td>111.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External radius of stator slot</td>
<td>$r_3$</td>
<td>115.3 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of the external stator surface</td>
<td>$R_{eo}$</td>
<td>132 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of the stator internal surface</td>
<td>$R_s$</td>
<td>91 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of the rotor inner surface at the rotor surface</td>
<td>$R_{eo}$</td>
<td>90.2 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-gap length</td>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>0.8 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stack length</td>
<td>$L_s$</td>
<td>70 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of the shaft</td>
<td>$R_a$</td>
<td>30 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator slot opening width (6/4 FSM)</td>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>20°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator slot opening width (16/10 FSM)</td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>9°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator slot coil opening width (6/4 FSM)</td>
<td>$e$</td>
<td>4°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator slot coil opening width (12/10 FSM)</td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>9°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnet opening (6/4 FSM)</td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>16°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnet opening (12/10 FSM)</td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>16°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excitation stator slot opening (12/10 FSM)</td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>2.5°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excitation stator slot opening (6/4 FSM)</td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>16°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor slot opening (6/4 FSM)</td>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>60°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor speed</td>
<td>$\Omega$</td>
<td>157 rad/s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analytical calculation of magnetic field in the middle of air gap in all FSM topologies is done for 1 phase fed by a constant current. Figs. 11, 12, 14, 16 and 18 show a comparison between analytical and FEM radial and tangential flux densities results of internal rotor SRM, EE-FSM, PM-FSM and HE-FSM. The results are in excellent agreement, which confirm the accuracy of the analytical subdomain model. To avoid many figures, the contribution of armature reaction current, excitation coil current and permanent magnet are given at the same time. Back-EMF waveforms due to excitation current, permanent magnet and hybrid excitation for EE-FSM, PM-FSM and HE-FSM respectively are shown in Figs. 13, 15, 17 and 19. The comparison between analytical and FEM methods shows an excellent agreement.

The computational time requirements for magnetic field calculation in studied FSM topologies with subdomain model and FEM are shown in Table II. Analytical calculation time for used number of harmonics $n$, $m$ and $l$ (200, 80 and 80) is greater when the number of subdomains increases. FEM computational time increases also when the number of elements is higher for the same studied domain (surface). It is important to notice that when calculating cogging torque and electromagnetic torque with FEM, the number of elements given in Table II is not sufficient to get a good accuracy. However, the subdomain model is accurate without changing the number of harmonics and then computational time.
Fig. 13. Back-EMF due to excitation current in internal rotor 6/4 EE-FSM.

Fig. 14. Radial (a) and tangential (b) flux density due to phase A and permanent magnet in internal rotor 6/4 PM-FSM.

Fig. 15. Back-EMF due to permanent magnet in internal rotor 6/4 PM-FSM.

Fig. 16. Radial (a) and tangential (b) flux density due to phase A, excitation current and permanent magnet in internal rotor 6/4 HE-FSM (Topology 1).

Fig. 17. Back-EMF due excitation current and permanent magnet in internal rotor 6/4 HE-FSM (Topology 1).

Fig. 18. Radial (a) and tangential (b) flux density due to phase A and excitation current in internal rotor 12/10 HE-FSM (Topology 2).

Fig. 19. Back-EMF due to excitation current and permanent magnet in internal rotor 12/10 HE-FSM (Topology 2).

TABLE II
COMPUTATIONAL TIME COMPARISON BETWEEN SUBDOMAIN MODEL AND FEM
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FSM topologies</th>
<th>Subdomain model (s)</th>
<th>FEM (s)</th>
<th>Mesh (nodes, elements)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6/4 SRM</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>111517, 222169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/4 FE-FSM</td>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>42.62</td>
<td>167840, 334951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/4 PM-FSM</td>
<td>15.43</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>191769, 382816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/4 HE-FSM</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>40.53</td>
<td>194499, 388276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/10 HE-FSM</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>193422, 386122</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have proposed a general formulation of subdomain model for predicting magnetic field distribution in any number of stator slots, rotor poles and phases FSM topologies. The developed method can be compared to finite element method where each element is a subdomain and the form function of element the general form of the vector potential in a subdomain. As shown, many topologies of FSM can be analyzed with subdomain method as we can do with finite element method. The main drawback of subdomain method is that the soft magnetic material must be considered as linear or with infinite permeability. With the subdomain method, we can elaborate a general program that can predict magnetic field distribution and optimize many types of FSM. This general model can be extended to multiphase permanent magnet and wound machines with any number of stator slots and rotor poles taking into account rotor and stator tooth tips. Analytical results are in excellent agreement with the ones obtained by FEM.

APPENDIX A

The prediction of magnetic field distribution in external rotor SRM and FSM topologies is practically the same as internal rotor topologies. However, some modifications should be introduced for PM-FSM and HE-FSM. Common parameters of the different considered structures are detailed in Table III.

**TABLE III**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value and unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Internal radius of rotor slot</td>
<td>( r_1 )</td>
<td>115.3 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External radius of magnet</td>
<td>( r_5 )</td>
<td>58.3 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal radius of stator slot</td>
<td>( r_3 )</td>
<td>61.8 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External radius of stator slot</td>
<td>( r_a )</td>
<td>58 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of the external stator surface</td>
<td>( R_{ext} )</td>
<td>132 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of the stator internal surface</td>
<td>( R_i )</td>
<td>82.3 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of the rotor inner surface at the rotor surface</td>
<td>( R_w )</td>
<td>83.1 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of the shaft</td>
<td>( R_e )</td>
<td>30 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. 6/4 External Rotor SRM

For external rotor SRM (Fig. 20), the same equations as internal rotor SRM are used. However, there is a numerical limitation for the harmonic \( m \) to be solved with adding a proper scaling of machine as explained in [19] and [20]. This numerical limitation can be also solved with changing the general form of vector potential in armature slots subdomains from (8) to (7) and adding a Dirichlet boundary condition at \( r_e \). Radial and tangential flux densities are shown in Fig. 21, where a very good agreement between analytical model and FEM is obtained.

B. 6/4 External Rotor EE-FSM

For external rotor EE-FSM (Fig. 22), the same equations as for internal rotor EE-FSM are used. Analytical results are in very good agreement with those obtained by FEM (Fig. 23).

This structure (Fig. 26) uses the same equations for all subdomains as internal rotor HE-FSM, except for the shaft region which replaces outside machine region is given with (19). Radial and tangential flux densities due to phase A current, excitation current and permanent magnet are shown in Fig. 27.

E. Topology 2: External rotor 12/10 HE-FSM

The same development as for internal rotor HE-FSM can be done for external rotor HE-FSM (Fig. 28) with introducing interfaces conditions and replacing outside region with the shaft region (19). Fig. 29 shows a comparison between analytical and FEM radial and tangential flux densities due to phase A current, permanent magnet and excitation current. The results are in very good agreement. However, it can be observed that high flux density levels up to 2 T are obtained. This indicates that the soft-magnetic material will be saturated and in general the flux density in the air gap will be lower.
Fig. 28. Studied 12/10 external rotor HE-FSM (Topology 2).

Fig. 29. Radial (a) and tangential (b) flux density due to phase A, excitation current and permanent magnet in external rotor HE-FSM (Topology 2).

F. Topology 1: 6/4 HE-FSM with Iron Flux Bridges

The topology shown in Fig. 30 has 6 regions and 24 subdomains. The study is comparable with topology of Fig. 9 changing the general forms of vector potentials in excitation coil and permanent magnet as given by (4) and (11) and omitting interface condition between permanent magnet and excitation coil. Permanent magnet or excitation coil flux lines present a short circuit and no flux lines pass through the air gap. Analytical radial and tangential flux densities due to permanent magnet alone or excitation current alone are zero in the air gap. However, FEM give a small value of radial and tangential flux densities due to permanent magnet. Fig. 31 shows the radial and tangential flux densities due to armature current only where a very good agreement can be observed between analytical and FEM results. FEM prediction of magnetic field distribution due to armature reaction, excitation coil and permanent magnet gives a small difference with analytical results (Fig. 32). Analytical model which do not take into account the effect of modulating flux lines in the iron, gives zero magnetic field in the air gap when a short circuit exist.

Fig. 30. Studied 6/4 internal rotor HE-FSM with iron flux bridges (Topology 1).

Fig. 31. Radial (a) and tangential (b) flux density due to phase A current in internal rotor HE-FSM with iron flux bridges (Topology 1).

Fig. 32. Radial (a) and tangential (b) flux density due to phase A, excitation current and permanent magnet in internal rotor HE-FSM with iron flux bridges (Topology 1).

The same development as above internal rotor HE-FSM is applied for external rotor HE-FSM (Fig. 33) with replacing only the general form of outside machine subdomain with shaft region given by (19). Radial and tangential flux densities obtained analytically and by FEM are in very good agreement when only phase A current exist (Fig. 34).
Fig. 33. Studied 6/4 external rotor HE-FSM with iron flux bridges (Topology 1).

Fig. 34. Radial (a) and tangential (b) flux density due to phase A in external rotor HE-FSM with iron flux bridges (Topology 1).

G. Topology 2: 6/4 HE-FSM with Iron Flux Bridges

This topology (Fig. 35) is studied extensively with FEM by [9]. It is interesting to predict magnetic field distribution analytically with dimensions and parameters variation. There are 23 subdomains. The general forms of vector potentials in each subdomain are given by equation (8) for double layer armature winding slots (6 subdomains), (8) for double layer excitation coil slots (6 subdomains), (10) for permanent magnet slots (6 subdomains), (16) for air gap (1 subdomain) and (13) for rotor slots (4 subdomains). Applying interfaces conditions at $R_m$, $R_o$ and $r_i$ permits to determine the vector potential in each subdomain. Flux lines due to permanent magnet only constitute a short circuit and analytical magnetic field in the air gap is then null. FEM permits to get in the air gap a small value of magnetic field when only permanent magnet is considered. Of course, analytical method can not take into account the effect of modulating flux by permanent magnet as FEM. When only phase A current and excitation current are considered, analytical and FEM results are in excellent agreement (Fig. 36).

Fig. 35. Studied 6/4 internal rotor HE-FSM with iron flux bridges (Topology 2).

Fig. 36. Radial (a) and tangential (b) flux density due to phase A and excitation current in internal rotor HE-FSM with iron flux bridges.

The same number of subdomains and general forms of vector potentials in each subdomain are used for external rotor HE-FSM (Fig. 37) study. Fig. 38, show radial and tangential flux densities due to phase A current and excitation current in the middle of air gap, where an excellent agreement is also observed.

Fig. 37. Studied 6/4 external rotor HE-FSM.
found in [19] and are easy to calculate.

\[
\int \sin \left( n\theta \right) \cos \left( \frac{m\pi}{a} \left( \theta - g_j + \frac{a}{2} \right) \right) d\theta \quad (A-1)
\]

\[
\int \cos \left( n\theta \right) \cos \left( \frac{m\pi}{a} \left( \theta - g_j + \frac{a}{2} \right) \right) d\theta \quad (A-2)
\]

\[
\int \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{d} \left( \theta - \beta_i + \frac{d}{2} \right) \right) \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{d} \left( \theta - \beta_i + \frac{d}{2} \right) \right) d\theta \quad (A-3)
\]

Fig. 38. Radial (a) and tangential (b) flux density due to phase A and excitation current in external rotor HE-FSM with iron flux bridges.

**APPENDIX B**

The development of interfaces conditions in all studied FSM topologies uses this type of integration which can be found in [19] and are easy to calculate.

\[ g_{j, a} \sin \left( n\theta \right) \cos \left( \frac{m\pi}{a} \left( \theta - g_j + \frac{a}{2} \right) \right) d\theta \]

\[ g_{j, a} \cos \left( n\theta \right) \cos \left( \frac{m\pi}{a} \left( \theta - g_j + \frac{a}{2} \right) \right) d\theta \]

\[ \beta_{j, a} \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{d} \left( \theta - \beta_i + \frac{d}{2} \right) \right) \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{d} \left( \theta - \beta_i + \frac{d}{2} \right) \right) d\theta \]
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