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Abstract — One of the fundamental theorem in information theory is the so-called sampling theorem also known as Shannon-Nyquist theorem. This theorem aims at giving the minimal frequency needed to sample and reconstruct perfectly an analog band-limited signal. Compressive sensing (or compressed sensing, compressive sampling) or CS in short is a recent theory that allows, if the signal to be reconstructed satisfies a number of conditions, to decrease the amount of data needed to reconstruct the signal. As a result this theory can be used for at least two purposes: i) accelerate the acquisition rate without decreasing the reconstructed signal quality (e.g. in terms of resolution, SNR, contrast ...) ii) improve the image quality without increasing the quantity of needed data.

Even if medical ultrasound is a domain where several potential applications can be highlighted, the use of this theory in this domain is extremely recent.

In this paper we review the basic theory of compressive sensing. Then, a review of the existing CS studies in the field of medical ultrasound is given: reconstruction of sparse scattering maps, pre-beamforming channel data, post-beamforming signals and slow time Doppler data.

Finally the open problems and challenges to be tackled in order to make the application of CS to medical US a reality will be given.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Whereas the Shannon theorem fixes the limit for the sampling frequency of a signal to twice its maximum frequency component \( f_{\text{max}} \), the recently introduced compressed sensing (CS) theory allows — under certain assumptions — to recover a signal sampled far below this limit [1-3]. Compressed sensing (also known as compressive sensing or compressive sampling) can be applied for two main purposes. i) it can lower the amount of data needed and thus allows to speed up acquisition. An example in the field of medical imaging of such application is dynamic MRI [4] ii) it can improve the reconstruction of signals/images in fields where constraints on the physical acquisition set up yields very sparse data sets. A typical example is seismic data recovery in geophysics [5].

The application of this theory to the field of medical ultrasound is extremely recent even though there are applications that are excellent candidates such as e.g. triplex acquisitions [6] for CFM/B mode/Doppler or 3D imaging using matrix arrays. The objective of this paper is to give the reader an overview of the different attempts to show the feasibility of CS in medical ultrasound. The classification of the studies is done according to the data that are considered to be sparse (the scatterer distribution itself, the pre-beamforming channel data, the beamformed RF signal and even Dopple data). For each example the potential of using CS is highlighted as well as the specificity in terms of modeling or numerical implementation.

The paper is organized as follows: in section II the CS theory is recalled, section III presents different applications of CS in medical ultrasound and finally section IV gives a short discussion and conclusion.

II. COMPRESSIVE SENSING THEORY

Compressive sensing (CS) [2] allows the reconstruction of a signal \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) from a linear combination of a small number of random measurements \( y \in \mathbb{R}^m \) with \( m < n \).

In a general setting, the measurements \( y \) may be acquired in the so-called "sensing basis" \( \Phi \), which depends on the acquisition device. As an example, in MRI, \( \Phi \) is the Fourier basis.

\[
y = \Phi x
\]  

where \( \Phi \) is an \( m \times n \) matrix. The columns of \( \Phi \) have an entry one at random positions and zero elsewhere, thereby modeling the random selection of the measurements.

At the heart of CS is the assumption that \( x \) has a sparse representation in some model orthonormal basis \( \Psi \), i.e.: 

\[
x = \Psi v
\]
The framework described above assumes that we are given exact samples of the signal to be recovered. This is seldom the case in practice, since the measurements are very often corrupted by noise. In the case of measurements with additive noise, we have:

\[ y = Ax + e \]  

(6)

where \( e \) represent a noise term of bounded energy \( \|e\|_2 \leq \epsilon \), P1 can be then recast as [9, 11]:

\[ \hat{v} = \arg \min_{v \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|v\|_1 \quad \text{subject to} \quad y = Av \]  

(4)

The \( \ell_1 \)-\( \ell_0 \) equivalence, using the RIP, was presented by Candès in [9] (see also [1]).

The basic idea is to write the direct scattering problem and solve the inverse problem under the constraint that the signal \( v \) is sparse. In general, solving (4) is NP hard. Sub-optimal greedy algorithm attempt to solve this problem by successively adding non zero components to a sparse approximation of \( v \). (see [8]).

By imposing a more restrictive bounds on the isometry constant, the sparsest solution \( \hat{x} \) of (3) can be found by solving the following basis pursuit (BP) problem P1 [9, 10]:

\[ \hat{v} = \arg \min_{v \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|v\|_1 \quad \text{subject to} \quad y = Av \]  

(5)

Optimization algorithms. The second one uses stochastic (Bayesian) algorithms. With this approach, statistical a priori laws are associated to each of the unknown variables, further combined using the Bayes law to find the a posteriori probability to be maximized.

\[ p(v|y) \propto p(y|v)p(v) \]  

(8)

In (8), \( p(y|v) \) represents the likelihood and \( p(v) \) contains prior information about the unknown \( v \). Note that if the noise is considered Gaussian and the prior law on \( v \) is a Laplacian, the maximization of the posterior probability in (8) is equivalent to the classical LASSO optimization.

III. APPLICATION TO ULTRASOUND IMAGING

A central concern in CS is that the data under consideration should have sparse expansion in some dictionaries (e.g., Fourier basis, wavelet basis, dictionary learned from data, etc...), i.e., the number of non-zero coefficients of the image or signal in this representation basis should be as small as possible. Moreover, US echographic data may be considered at various stages of the image formation pipeline. In a conventional configuration, the set of raw radio-frequency (RF) signals received at each transducer element (i.e. channel RF data) is beamformed to build the beamformed RF image. Detection applied to the latter yields the envelope-detected image, and finally the log-envelope image corresponding (possibly after some interpolation) to the conventional B-mode display is obtained. As a consequence, one of the main features of the existing studies is the type of signal/image to be reconstructed and the choice of the representation where the US data are assumed to be sparse. Another important characteristic of these studies corresponds to the formalization of the reconstruction problem and related algorithm (e.g., Bayesian modeling, deterministic basis pursuit, or matching pursuit).

A. Sparse diffusion map

Several groups of authors [12-18] have chosen to model the medium under investigation itself as a sparse distribution of scatterers. The discrete scattering model is commonly used in the literature and is the basis for the most used ultrasound simulation program (Field II [19, 20]). However considering that most of the scatterers have an echogenecity close to zero is more unusual.

The approach proposed by [12, 13] aims at producing, with only one single plane wave transmission, an image having equivalent spatial resolutions as with conventional imaging. The potential of the approach is to provide fast imaging. Indeed in such a situation the highest possible temporal resolution (1 image per transmitted pulse) would be reached. The basic idea is to write the direct scattering problem and solve the inverse problem under the constraint that the scatterer distribution is sparse. This can be written as follows:

\[ p^{sc}(e_\theta) = G(e_\theta)\gamma_K \]  

(9)

with \( p^{sc}(e_\theta) \) the scattered pressure received by the transducer elements after transmission of a plane wave in direction \( \theta \), \( G(e_\theta) \) represents propagation and interaction.
with the scatterers and $\gamma_k$ is the scatterer distribution lying on a $N_s$ times $N_r$ regular grid. Solving the inverse scattering problem is an ill posed linear problem equivalent to the CS problem described in equation (4) or (5) if $\gamma_k$ is assumed to be sparse. As a result it can be solved by $\ell_1$ minimization. Figure 1 shows a result from a simple phantom consisting in four isolated scatterers obtained with this approach. Here the sparsity assumption is obviously perfectly verified and the result outperforms even the synthetic aperture approach.

![Figure 1](image1.png)

Figure 1. Result from Shiffrer 2012 obtained from a phantom consisting in four isolated scatterers. The CS result (d) is compared with synthetic aperture (a), delay and sum (b) and Fourier back propagation (c).

With the same assumption [14, 15] proposed another approach based on finite rate of innovation and Xampling. The technique is used to reconstruct cardiac images as reported in Figure 2. In these images the edges are clearly preserved whereas the speckle patterns are much reduced and even lost in some parts of the images. This is consistent with the assumption of scatterer map sparsity. Indeed such an hypothesis tends to keep only the highest echoes and to reduce the small ones which are however extremely important for the construction of the speckle patterns.

![Figure 2](image2.png)

Figure 2. Result from Wagner 2012(a) original cardiac image (b) image obtained by CS. The edges are well reconstructed but the speckle is close to be completely lost.

Another group of authors [21-24] consider that the raw channel data gathered at each transducer element during receive have a sparse decomposition in some basis. The objective of such an approach is to reduce the quantity of pre-beamformed data acquired and evaluate the ability of this approach to reconstruct B-mode images of good quality. In [21] three sparsifying bases $\Psi$ have been tested: wavelets, Fourier and the recently introduced wave-atoms basis [25]. The acquisition of the raw data measurements was done using a spatially uniform random sampling. Reconstruction was done using $\ell_1$ minimisation. The study was carried out through simulations using Field II and experimental data acquired with the Ultrasonix MDP scanner.

![Figure 3](image3.png)

Figure 3. Result from [21] obtained from simulated data. The plot compares the reconstruction error as a function of removed quantity of data and sparsifying basis. Wave atoms lead to the best result.

**B. Sparse Raw RF**

Another group of authors [21-24] consider that the raw channel data gathered at each transducer element during receive have a sparse decomposition in some basis. The objective of such an approach is to reduce the quantity of pre-beamformed data acquired and evaluate the ability of this approach to reconstruct B-mode images of good quality. In [21] three sparsifying bases $\Psi$ have been tested: wavelets, Fourier and the recently introduced wave-atoms basis [25]. The acquisition of the raw data measurements was done using a spatially uniform random sampling. Reconstruction was done using $\ell_1$ minimisation. The study was carried out through simulations using Field II and experimental data acquired with the Ultrasonix MDP scanner.

![Figure 4](image4.png)

Figure 4 shows experimental results obtained from only 20% of the original data using CS and wave atoms.

According to this result one might be extremely confident on the ability of CS to provide the right framework for ultrasound image reconstruction. However one important point that has not been mentioned here is the measurement setup. The obtained results indeed correspond to a spatially uniform random acquisition of the raw channel data-set. Acquiring the data this way is probably as difficult as just acquiring all the channel data set. An interesting approach would be to remove entire columns of the raw data set. This would indeed consist in disconnecting some elements of the probe. This could be extremely interesting for 3D imaging with matrix arrays in order to reduce the typical huge number of elements of such arrays. Unfortunately the initial results of this approach which are not given here show that this strategy leads to images of much lower quality.
Thus, considering an additive Gaussian noise 2D Fourier transform of the RF image to be reconstructed. From a theoretical point of view, the ideal sampling mask is shown in Figure 5 (for its extension in 3D, see [26, 28]). An example of such a 2D sampling mask has been proposed in [26-28]. It considers that randomly chosen RF lines are not sampled at all, and is thus less incoherent with the Fourier transform. Thanks to this sampling scheme, the number of US pulses emitted during the acquisition is potentially reduced and as a consequence the acquisition time reduced. An example of such a 2D sampling mask is shown in Figure 5 (for its extension in 3D, see [26, 28]).

C. Sparse assumption of the RF images Fourier transform
In this section the reconstruction of post-beamforming 2D RF images via CS technique is discussed. The sparsity assumption is related here to the assumption of bandlimited RF signal acquisition. Thus, the 2D Fourier transform of RF images is assumed to be sparse. With the notations introduced in section II, \( \Phi \) stands in this section for the spatial acquisition mask (showing the random positions where spatial samples are measured), \( \Psi \) is the 2D inverse Fourier transform, \( y \) are the randomly measured post-beamformed RF samples and \( v \) is the 2D Fourier transform of the RF image to be reconstructed. Thus, considering an additive Gaussian noise \( \eta \) with zero-mean and variance \( \sigma^2 \), the acquisition model is:

\[
y = Av + \eta \tag{10}
\]

With \( y = (y_1, \ldots, y_M) \in \mathbb{C}^M \), \( v = (v_1, \ldots, v_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N \) and \( \eta = (\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_M) \in \mathbb{C}^M \) representing the vectorized forms of the corresponding images.

From a theoretical point of view, the ideal sampling mask \( \Phi \) (the most incoherent with the Fourier transform) is a uniform random pattern in the two spatial directions. As previously mentioned, it has however only a limited interest in US imaging. For this reason, a more suitable random sampling mask has been proposed in [26-28]. It considers that randomly chosen beamformed RF lines are not sampled at all, and is thus less incoherent with the Fourier transform. Thanks to this sampling scheme, the number of US pulses emitted during the acquisition is potentially reduced and as a consequence the acquisition time reduced. An example of such a 2D sampling mask is shown in Figure 5 (for its extension in 3D, see [26, 28]).

At this stage, the inverse problem has two unknowns, the variance of the noise and \( v \), the 2D Fourier transform of the RF image. For the latter, two assumptions are taken into account in order to construct the prior distribution: the Gaussian property of the RF images and image sparsity in the Fourier domain. Thus, each pixel \( v_i \) of the Fourier domain has been assigned a mixture of a Gaussian statistical law and a mass at the origin.

\[
p(v_i | w, \sigma_v^2) = (1 - w) \delta(|v_i|) + \frac{w}{\pi \sigma_v^2} \exp\left(-\frac{|v_i|^2}{\sigma_v^2}\right) \tag{12}
\]

Where \( w \) is the prior probability of having a non-zero in the Fourier domain. In [30], \( w \) was considered a priori uniform random. In [29], assuming that the non-zero pixels in the Fourier domain of a RF image are located in compact regions around the central frequency of the probe, a further spatial regularization is taken into account by the prior on \( w \).

Note that the Gaussian characteristics may be replaced by other laws reported in the literature. For example, in the context of the application of CS in US imaging, Achim et al. used in [31] an \( \alpha \)-stable distribution.

The posterior distribution is maximized using a MCMC method (also known as Gibbs sampler). The details concerning the sampling of the unknown variables over the iterations are given in [29, 30]. We give hereafter a simulation result extracted from [29], showing the original simulated image, the samples used for reconstruction and the results obtained using a gradient descent algorithm and with the Bayesian framework. In this case, 10% of the original image pixels are used for reconstruction (30% randomly located samples from 30% randomly chosen RF lines).
D. Doppler
CS has also been proposed for Doppler imaging [32, 33]. In [32], the authors applied CS on duplex ultrasonography which is a mode of medical ultrasonography that allows to visualize at the same time the inner structure of the body (B-mode) and the blood flow at a particular point in the body (Doppler mode). This mode requires a strategy for alternating B-mode and flow emissions. Traditional strategies either halve the maximum measurable velocity or introduce gaps in the flow data.

Figure 6. B-mode images corresponding to: (a) original simulated RF image, (b) RF samples used for reconstruction, (c) reconstructed RF image using a reweighted conjugate gradient optimization, (d) reconstructed RF image using the Bayesian framework proposed in [20].

In [32], CS is used to reconstruct the Doppler signal segment by segment. More precisely, the authors made the assumption that the Fourier transform of the Doppler signal is sparse. Using the notation introduced in section II, \( y \) stands in this section for the temporal acquisition mask (defining the random positions where temporal Doppler samples are measured), \( s \) is the inverse Fourier transform, \( y \) are the randomly measured Doppler samples and \( \Phi \) is the Fourier transform of the Doppler signal to be reconstructed. One particularity of this work is the decomposition of the signal to be reconstructed \( y \) in several segments of same length. \( f_t \), minimisation is then applied on each segment separately. By doing so, the reconstruction algorithm, which is by far the most consuming step, is applied on a reduced number of samples allowing to reach real-time applicability. The proposed method has been evaluated on both simulated and real sonograms. In both cases, reconstructed Doppler signals with acceptable SNR (higher than 10 dB) are obtained using 50%

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION
As presented in this paper, compressed sensing medical ultrasound is a very recent field of research that can lead to drastic modifications in the way ultrasound scanners are developed. The technique is feasible but far from its technological applicability. The key points for CS to work are 1) a sparsifying basis \( \Psi \), 2) a measurement basis \( \Phi \) incoherent with \( \Psi \), 3) dedicated acquisition material (electronics) and 4) fast and robust reconstruction algorithms. Improvements are necessary for all of these points and compressive sensing medical ultrasound remains an open problem. In particular, efforts should be made in order to maintain the real-time characteristic of medical ultrasound which still makes it a particular imaging modality.
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