Absolute self-calibrated room-temperature terahertz powermeter

Christophe Pradère, Jean-Pascal Caumes, Jean Toutain, Emmanuel Abraham, Bruno Chassagne, Jean-Christophe Batsale

► To cite this version:

Christophe Pradère, Jean-Pascal Caumes, Jean Toutain, Emmanuel Abraham, Bruno Chassagne, et al.. Absolute self-calibrated room-temperature terahertz powermeter. Applied optics, 2013, 52 (11), pp.2320-2324. 10.1364/AO.52.002320 . hal-00812181

HAL Id: hal-00812181

<https://hal.science/hal-00812181>

Submitted on 8 Mar 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - ShareAlike| 4.0 International License

Absolute self-calibrated room-temperature terahertz powermeter

Christophe Pradere,^{1,*} Jean-Pascal Caumes,¹ Jean Toutain,¹ Emmanuel Abraham,²
Bruno Chassagne,³ and Jean-Christophe Batsale¹

¹I2M TREFLE department, UMR CNRS 5295, Esplanade des Arts et Métiers, Talence 33405, France

²LOMA, Université de Bordeaux, CNRS, UMR 5798, Talence 33405, France

³ALPhANOV, Technological Laser and Optical Centre, 351 Cours de la Libération, Talence 33405, France

*Corresponding author: christophe.pradere@ensam.eu

Coupling optical and thermal properties of a terahertz (THz) thermal converter based on the Seebeck effect provides an unsupplied room temperature measuring device dedicated to THz power metrology. Performance characteristics such as broadband response (0–30 THz), high sensitivity ($<25 \mu\text{W} \cdot \text{Hz}^{-0.5}$), and the possibility to develop an internal absolute self calibration estimated at $9.93 \text{ W} \cdot \text{V}^{-1}$ are reported. Advantages and drawbacks of this THz powermeter are discussed.

OCIS codes: 040.5150, 040.1880.

Since the potential of terahertz (THz) technology has been highlighted by its various applications in such large domains as security, biology, medicine, and nondestructive inspection, a great need exists for room-temperature efficient and wide-spectral-band THz sources (i.e., 30–3000 μm wavelength). Complementary to the development of such sources, extension of the low-temperature bolometer sensitivity has been largely achieved from infrared (IR) to THz frequencies [1]. Several promising THz detection techniques have hence emerged based on resonant excitation of a quartz tuning fork [2] or using a pressure transducer [3]. Despite this, the development of a more efficient THz detector has not yet reached a technological maturity state, particularly for absolute power quantification. In this context, our proposed patented physical system consists in using a THz to thermal converter (TTC) in order to efficiently absorb an incident THz radiation. The TTC temperature elevation induces a heat flux that could be precisely quantified using a contact thermal flux sensor. This technique

has performed sensitive enthalpy measurements of chemical reactions in a microfluidic device [4]. It has also been applied for electromagnetic-wave imaging using thermal plate temperature measurements by IR microbolometer cameras [5]. Hence, measuring the TTC thermal flux instead of its temperature elevation is the key point in order to realize sensitive power measurements of THz power.

In this paper, a new room-temperature THz detector scheme is presented, demonstrating several important properties, such as broadband response, high sensitivity, and the possibility of developing an internal absolute calibration. Compared to commercially available bolometers or Golay cells, our low-cost solution is fully integrated and does not require any external supply voltage.

The schematic of our system is detailed in Fig. 1. The multilayered thermal system is composed of a 5 mm thick high-density polyethylene (HDPE) insulator window and a TTC (a 30 μm thick carbon-doped paper [6,7]) in contact with a 3.2 mm thick thermopile flux sensor (Melcor Peltier module CP1.4-127-06L with differential voltage acquired using a microvoltmeter Agilent 3140A). A mechanical symmetry

Fig. 1. (Color online) Scheme of the room temperature THz detector. Front face: THz detection using a TTC placed on a thermopile behind an HDPE window (dotted squared). Rear face: *in situ* calibration using an electrical heater (see text for details). The semi infinite medium copper block minimizes flux transfer from front to rear sides.

(insulator, TTC, and a second thermopile) is designed at the rear face for the electrical power calibration setup using a thin resistive electrical heater. Both thermopiles are separated by a high-mass-density 20 mm long copper block.

Several aspects in this design are fundamental to perform an absolute powermeter calibration, meaning optimization of the THz absorption and heat flux detection. First, to avoid thermal losses away from the two thermopiles and along the copper block, the whole system was thermally isolated from the surrounding using an insulated 5 mm thick HDPE. To improve the detector sensitivity, we used two differential Peltier systems to minimize the surrounding room-temperature long time variation. Second, it is fundamental to precisely characterize the optical and thermal parameters of each material together with the heat flux (TTC, insulators, copper). An absorption coefficient of 200 cm^{-1} at 0.1 THz frequency has been measured for the TTC. The front sensor system aims to measure the THz incident radiation, whereas the rear side is used for power calibration. This is possible because a symmetrical mechanical system has been implemented, simulating a semi-infinite thermal medium with high mass density and the specific heat of the copper. Indeed, the block temperature is considered isothermal. For that, it defines a temperature reference between the rear and the front thermopiles.

From a thermal point of view, the complete system could be modeled [8] by a multilayered scheme with an internal heat source induced by the incident THz radiation. Nevertheless, according to the thickness of the TTC, a simplified model is proposed here. For that, we assumed that the thermal behavior of the TTC could be represented by a thin body model with internal heat sources (due to incident THz radiation or electrical heater) and that the heat transfer from the TTC to the brass bulk is characterized by a thermal resistance acting as thermal heat losses. Finally, we also assumed that the heat sources always have duration lower than the response time of the system given by the heat-loss characteristic time. In fact, the usual temporal form of used sources is a pulse, step, or periodic pulse, where the duration can be considered very short. From such a point of view, the transient

measured voltage of the Peltier element is represented by the following equation:

$$U(t) = U_f(1 - \exp(-t/H)), \quad (1)$$

where U_f [V] corresponds to the final value (in steady state) of the measured voltage and H [s] is the characteristic response time of the thermal heat losses. Hence, due to the Seebeck effect, the acquired voltage U across the thermopile is proportional to the incident thermal heat flux P [W] induced by the electrical power through the Joule effect or the incident THz radiation. This thermal flux is classically given by

$$P = \alpha_P U \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha_P = \frac{\lambda G}{\beta}, \quad (2)$$

where λ is the thermal conductivity [$\text{W} \cdot \text{m}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$], β is the Seebeck coefficient [$\text{V} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$], and G is the shape factor [m]. The calibration coefficient α_P [$\text{W} \cdot \text{V}^{-1}$] corresponds to the Peltier sensitivity.

In order to self-calibrate the powermeter, it is necessary to find both the response time H of the system and the calibration coefficient α_P . For that, a typical experimental calibration protocol using the Joule effect is performed as illustrated in Fig. 2. For a given electrical power in the 300 mW to 1.5 W range, we recorded the voltage U versus time across the thermopile until the thermal steady state was reached. From these measurements, it is possible with the inverse-processing method to minimize the measured value with the analytical solution given by Eq. (1) in order to find the two parameters H and α_P . First, the response time H of the system is estimated from the four curves of Fig. 2 according to Eq. (1). The estimated values are $H_1 = 85.7 \text{ s}(0.3 \text{ W})$, $H_2 = 85.6 \text{ s}(0.5 \text{ W})$, $H_3 = 90.2 \text{ s}(0.9 \text{ W})$, and $H_4 = 87.9 \text{ s}(1.5 \text{ W})$. From these values, the average response time of the system $H_A = 87.3 \text{ s}$ is deduced. We can notice that the standard deviation is lower than 2%. This average value is in agreement with the Peltier responding time, which is approximatively given by the ratio between the Peltier thickness and the thermal diffusivity ($t = e^2/a = 102 \text{ s}$ with $e = 3.2 \text{ mm}$ and $a = 10^{-7} \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, data given by

Fig. 2. (Color online) *In situ* Joule effect calibration: measurement of thermopile voltage response versus time for different imposed electrical powers.

manufacturer, Melcor Peltier module CP1.4-127-06L). Then, the applied electrical powers are reported in Fig. 3 as a function of the final estimated values of the measured voltage U_f . This evolution is perfectly linear with a fitted slope corresponding to the calibration coefficient $\alpha_P = 5.56 \text{ W} \cdot \text{V}^{-1}$. Finally, from this thermal calibration and according to Eqs. (1) and (2), we obtained the total transfer function of the system, which allows us to directly estimate the power measured by the Peltier from the following formulation:

$$P(t) = \alpha_P U(t) = \alpha_P U_f (1 - \exp(-t/H_A)) \quad (3)$$

with $P(t)$ [W], the power measured by the Peltier as a function of time, H_A [s] the average estimated response time, and α_P [$\text{W} \cdot \text{V}^{-1}$] the estimated calibration

Fig. 3. (Color online) *In situ* Joule effect calibration: measured differential steady state voltage versus imposed electrical power fitted by linear regression giving the calibration coefficient of the system.

coefficient. In order to avoid a long time drift due to external heat losses, it is possible to use the short time development of Eq. (3), available when the ratio $t/H_A < 0.1$. In this case, an asymptotic expansion close to zero is applied to the exponential function and Eq. (3) can be written as

$$\log[P(t)] = \log(t) + \log\left(\frac{\alpha_P U_f}{H_A}\right), \quad \text{if } t/H_A < 0.1. \quad (4)$$

This simplified formulation exhibits the linear relation between $\log[P(t)]$ and $\log(t)$. Moreover, the y intercept of the linear fit can be used in order to earlier estimate the final value $\alpha_P U_f$ of the power measured by the Peltier. With this technique, long time drift is avoided and the measurement of the response time of the system can be decreased 10 times.

Due to the mechanical and thermal symmetry of the system (Fig. 1), this calibration is also valid for the front thermopile. Hence, when a THz radiation illuminates the front TTC, the measured voltage is similarly converted into a heat flux using this former coefficient α_P but corrected by the interface Fresnel losses. Indeed, for an incident THz power P_0 , the TTC will absorb $P_{\text{TTC}} = A_{\text{TTC}} P_0$, where A_{TTC} is the TTC absorption of the incident THz radiation. This parameter is defined as $A_{\text{TTC}} = T_1(1 - T_2 - R_2)$, with the transmission $T_1 = 1 - (1 - n_{\text{HDPE}})^2 / (1 + n_{\text{HDPE}})^2$, the reflection $R_2 = (n_{\text{HDPE}} - n_{\text{TTC}})^2 / (n_{\text{HDPE}} + n_{\text{TTC}})^2$ calculated from for the reflection losses at the air/insulator and insulator/TTC interfaces, and the transmission T_2 measured by time-resolved THz spectroscopy as shown in Fig. 4 for the 0.1–2.5 THz spectral range. In this spectral range, the respective refractive indexes are given by $n_{\text{HDPE}} = 1.5$ and $n_{\text{TTC}} = 2$. We found $T_1 = 0.96$, $T_2 = 0.6$ and $R_2 = 0.02$. This gives $A_{\text{TTC}} = 0.56$ for the 30 μm thick TTC. As a result, only 56% of the incident THz power is converted into a heat flux in the TTC. One must note that even if we could optimize

Fig. 4. (Color online) Calculated absorbance of the TTC from measured transmission using THz time resolved spectroscopy.

the TTC absorption until 100%, this would result in a power conversion into a heat flux of 94%. This will only increase the detector performance by a factor of 1.7. Finally, we can write the relation $P_{\text{TTC}}(t) = A_{\text{TTC}}P_0(t) = \alpha_P U(t)$ and give the final expression of the measured power $P_0(t)$ of the incident THz radiation directly converted from the measured voltage $U(t)$:

$$P_0(t) = k_{P\text{-TTC}} U(t), \quad (5)$$

where $k_{P\text{-TTC}} = \alpha_P/A_{\text{TTC}} = 9.93 \text{ W} \cdot \text{V}^{-1}$ is the detector responsivity in the 0.1–2.5 THz spectral range.

To illustrate the performance of the TTC detector, we illuminated it with a 0.11 THz frequency continuous emitted Gunn diode. Figure 5 shows the time evolution of the measured power $P_0(t)$ and the estimated one (by using the calibration coefficient estimated in the calibration part), from the switching-on (step) of the source up to the steady-state regime reached after 400 s. This long time stabilization is due to the heat flux time transfer through the 3.2 mm thick thermopile. Nevertheless, thanks to the thermal calibration and the short time method, it is possible to estimate the final power (before reaching the steady state) as a function of time in agreement with the Eq. (3) or Eq. (4). Figure 6 shows that the value of the estimated power according to Eq. (3) is unstable at short time, but becomes quite stable from 50 s with an average value of $P_0 = (20.5 \pm 0.1) \text{ mW}$ and a standard deviation of 1% over all the time. At long time ($t > 200 \text{ s}$) we observed the influence of the external heat losses. To avoid such a problem the measurements are practically stopped after 150 s. Nevertheless, by using the short time approximation of Eq. (4), the average value of the power can be estimated before 10 s and without any sensitivity to the long time thermal drift of the system. In this time range, the estimated average value of the final power

Fig. 5. (Color online) Measured power response versus acquisition time of a 0.11 THz Gunn diode and fitted value using thermal modelization and inverse processing methods [Eq. (3)].

Fig. 6. (Color online) Estimated power as function of time of a 0.11 THz Gunn diode using the thermal self calibrated model.

is equal to $P_0 = (20.4 \pm 0.1) \text{ mW}$. For this 0.11 THz Gunn diode, the obtained results are in good agreement with the 20 mW supplier datasheet [9]. At around 10 s, the estimated power has an error lower than 2.5% compared with both measured averages values. This result proves that with a good thermal calibration it is possible to obtain in real time an absolute value of the power emitted by a THz source in 10 s (only 2% of the Peltier response time). To improve this time of 10 s, a good way is to use a thinner thermopile with lower response time (some of them could reach 1 ms [10]) or an IR camera.

The power metrology of different monochromatic sources largely used in the THz community has been tested with our TTC system. Corresponding measured powers are presented in Fig. 7. From this wide THz sources panel [electronic sources, backward-wave oscillator (BWO), quantum cascade laser (QCL), CO₂ laser] covering a large bandwidth spectral domain (0.1–30 THz), a high dynamical power range from 0.02 to 60 mW is demonstrated (power measurement error <5%).

Fig. 7. (Color online) Experimental results obtained for various THz sources going from 0.1 to 30 THz with power ranging from 0.05 to 1000 mW.

To fully characterize the performances of the TTC, we finally evaluated its noise equivalent power (NEP). First we estimated the output noise $\sigma = 1 \mu\text{V}$ of the detector computing the standard deviation in our background measurements. Knowing the fluxmeter responsivity $k_{P\text{-TTC}}$, the NEP reported to a 1 Hz bandwidth is given by [11]

$$\text{NEP} = k_{P\text{-TTC}} \sigma \sqrt{2\pi t_{\text{acq}}}, \quad (6)$$

where t_{acq} is the acquisition time. For $k_{P\text{-TTC}} = 9.93 \text{ W} \cdot \text{V}^{-1}$, we infer an NEP value of $25 \mu\text{W} \cdot \text{Hz}^{0.5}$ in the 0.1–2.5 spectral range. This result compares well with other reported NEP values (photoacoustic absolute powermeter with $5 \mu\text{W} \cdot \text{Hz}^{0.5}$ [3]). Actually, the main drawback of the TTC is the time (close to 10 s; see Fig. 6) necessary to perform stable measurement of the power.

In conclusion, we presented a new absolute THz powermeter based on a TTC. The system combines broadband response, room-temperature operation, portability, easy integration, and high sensitivity. By using two thermal sensors, we described the possibility to provide a thermal calibration using an electrical heater. This versatile and cheap device is suited to characterize THz and sub-THz monochromatic sources (electronic diodes, BWO, QCLs, and molecular lasers), bringing an added value for THz metrology. In a next step, we will study the potential of the TTC powermeter for the characterization of pulsed and broadband THz sources generated from photoconductive antennas

or nonlinear crystals. Another improvement will be to find a new thermopile with higher time response.

References

1. A. J. Kreisler and A. Gaugue, “Recent progress in high temperature superconductor bolometric detectors: from the mid infrared to the far infrared (THz) range,” *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **13**, 1235–1245 (2000).
2. U. Willer, A. Pohlkötter, W. Schade, J. Xu, T. Losco, R. P. Green, A. Tredicucci, H. E. Beere, and D. A. Ritchie, “Resonant tuning fork detector for THz radiation,” *Opt. Express* **17**, 14069–14074 (2009).
3. Thomas Keating, THz power meter, <http://www.terahertz.co.uk/>.
4. C. Pradere, J. P. Caumes, B. Chassagne, and J. C. Batsale, French patent FR0952097 (01 April 2009).
5. C. Hany, H. Lebrun, C. Pradere, J. Toutain, and J. C. Batsale, “Thermal analysis of chemical reaction with a continuous microfluidic calorimeter,” *Chem. Eng. J.* **160**, 814–822 (2010).
6. G. N. Kulipanov, N. G. Gavrilov, B. A. Knyazev, E. I. Kolobanov, V. V. Kotenkov, V. V. Kubarev, A. N. Matveenko, L. E. Medvedev, S. V. Miginsky, L. A. Mironenko, V. K. Ovchar, V. M. Popik, T. V. Salikova, M. A. Scheglov, S. S. Serednyakov, O. A. Shevchenko, A. N. Skrinky, V. G. Tcheskidov, and N. A. Vinokurov, “Research highlights from the Novosibirsk 400 W average power THz FEL,” *Terahertz Sci. Technol.* **1**, 107–125 (2008).
7. D. Balageas and P. Levesque, “A photothermal tool for electro magnetic phenomena characterization,” *Rev. Gén. Therm.* **37**, 725–739 (1998).
8. J. Pailhes, C. Pradere, J. Toutain, J. L. Battaglia, A. Kusiak, W. Aregba, and J. C. Batsale, “Thermal quadrupole method with internal heat sources,” *Int. J. Thermal Sci.* **53**, 49–55 (2012).
9. Gunn Oscillators, ZAX Millimeter Wave Corporation, www.millimeterwave.com.
10. Micropelt manufacturer, <http://micropelt.com/>.
11. J. P. Caumes, B. Chassagne, D. Coquillat, F. Teppe, and W. Knap, “Focal plane micro bolometer arrays for 0.5 THz spatial room temperature imaging,” *Electron. Lett.* **45**, 34–35 (2009).