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The acoustical properties of wood for instruments have mostly been studied on a few archetypal woods in Western musical instruments. The objective of this paper is to extend knowledge on the diversity in wood properties and uses in instruments from different geo-cultural areas. A wide set of data has been collected on vibrational properties of 452 species, through experiments and literature survey. Property distributions within broad categories confirm the known characteristics of softwoods, but also evidence specificities of tropical hardwoods compared to temperate-zone species. A relational database has been created to link wood properties and uses in musical instruments of the world. Two case studies on acoustically important functions in different geo-cultural areas show contrasted trends: (i) species used for xylophone bars and slit-drums in different continents all share a very low internal friction, (ii) on the contrary, the only characteristic common to soundboards’ woods is a lower than average density, whereas their acoustical properties differ widely between them and with the “Western” standard in wood choice. All these materials being nevertheless adapted to their context, cultural specificities in the structure, playing mode and “sonority” preferences should also be taken into account. © 2012 Acoustical Society of America. [DOI: 10.1121/1.3651233]
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I. INTRODUCTION

Wood, as the constitutive material of many musical instruments, plays a major role in their design and building process, and contributes to their behavior and cultural identity. Wood material properties can impact, primarily, the acoustic response, but also mechanical resistance, physical stability, visual aesthetics, tactile properties, or a combination of these. The contribution of wood vibrational properties to the physical acoustics of a complete instrument depends on the part considered and family of instrument (e.g., Fletcher and Rossing, 1998; Wegst, 2006). They play a direct role in idiophone instruments, such as xylophones, in which wood constitutes the primary vibrating body. In string instruments, their importance is widely recognized for soundboards, which serve to transmit and radiate the vibration of a string, and act as a “filter” that colors the timbre. Relevant properties include density (ρ) and dynamic Young’s modulus (E) and their ratio (E/ρ), called specific modulus, which is proportional to the square of sound propagation speed and of resonance frequencies of a piece of given geometry. Given the significance of vibration damping in musical instruments, internal friction (tanδ) is especially relevant, being an intrinsic material property, as opposed to other loss mechanisms such as by radiation (e.g., Fletcher and Rossing, 1998). The anisotropy of these properties plays an important role in the vibration modes of plates and on the frequency response spectrum, related to “timbre” (Bucur, 2006; Yano, et al. 1994; Obataya et al., 2000).

However, wood being produced by living beings (trees from different species in their environment), and most high-grade musical instruments being also produced by living beings (makers of different musical instruments in their cultural context), diversity and variability are inherent to this topic. Apart from the Western classical musical instruments, nowadays spread worldwide, a huge diversity in instruments is found throughout different geo-cultural areas. Many of them are thought to share common origins, but to have evolved along different geo-historical pathways, linked with local flora and musical and craftsmanship cultures. With several tens of thousands of wood producing species, the potential range in material possibly used for instrument making is huge; however most species have a delimited geographical distribution. Thus, one might wonder if there is convergence, or on the contrary divergence, in the criteria for material choice between different areas?

As musical instruments combine acoustical and cultural functions, woods chosen for their building have been studied from two distinct viewpoints. Some ethnographic works include surveys and botanical identification of various species used in, usually extra-European, traditional instruments (e.g., Dechamps, 1972, 1973; Salazar Salvatierra, 1994). On the other hand, researches in musical acoustics and/or wood mechanics address wood vibrational properties (e.g., Ono and Norimoto, 1983; Yano et al., 1990, 1992; Holz, 1996a; Haines, 2000; Obataya et al., 2000; Bucur, 2006) and their influence in the instrument behavior (e.g., Schelleng, 1963; Hase, 1987; Barlow, 1997; Fletcher and Rossing, 1998; Wegst, 2006). But they are mostly based on a few archetypal...
woods in Western classical musical instruments, such as "resonance" spruce, or rosewood. Few connections, if any, exist between “diversity” and “acoustics” approaches. Only recently has emerged a very few works on the acoustic properties of some woods in Asian (Rujinirun et al., 2005; Yoshi-kawa, 2007) or African (Traoré et al., 2010) instruments.

The present work aims at bridging the gap between the diversity in wood species and traditional uses in musical instruments, and acoustical properties. It focuses on visco-elastic (i.e., including internal friction) vibrational properties, as data on this topic are up to now scattered and scarce. The central objective here is to determine whether the material choice for two acoustically important parts of instruments (chordophones soundboards and tuned idiophones vibrating bodies) could be considered as invariants in terms of acoustical properties. Or, on the contrary, if this choice would be different between geo-cultural areas, related to cultural aspects, or available wood species, or both.

II. CHARACTERIZATION AND COMPILATION OF VIBRATIONAL PROPERTIES OF WOOD
A. Experimental characterization

1. Material

Two main wood samplings were studied, covering the three broad categories of softwoods, temperate and tropical hardwoods. One sampling was provided by skilled instrument makers (eight French makers). It comprised mostly woods used for several string instruments, and a smaller set for xylophones, including both traditionally preferred and some potential alternative species. When necessary, the species identification was verified. Specimens mostly came from pieces actually used for building instruments, and when possible with the “quality” appreciations stated by the makers. Another sampling of many different species was collected from various sources, mainly from the well-identified wood stocks from CIRAD, in Montpellier, France. The total sampling under study contained a total of nearly 1400 test specimens prepared from 79 species (55 tropical and 12 temperate hardwoods and 12 softwoods). All the studied wood had been stored for several years in ambient conditions before testing.

2. Method

Specimens (12 × 2 × 150 mm³, radial × tangential × longitudinal) were first dried, in order to reach equilibrium in adsorption, for 48 h at 60 °C. This mild drying did not significantly affect later measurements. All measurements were performed after at least 3 weeks stabilization at 20 ± 1 °C and 65 ± 2% relative humidity (RH), as vibrational properties are highly dependant upon moisture content and its variations (Obataya et al., 1998). Density (ρ in g cm⁻³) and equilibrium moisture content (EMC in %) were recorded.

Vibrational tests used non-contact forced-released vibrations of free-free bars, as shown in Fig. 1 (e.g., Obataya et al., 2000). Specimens were made to vibrate through a thin steel plate (weight 15 – 20 mg) glued on one end, facing an electric magnet. Their displacement at a belly of vibration was measured using a laser triangulation displacement sensor. Vibration emission and acquisition were computer-driven using a semi-automated interface that was specifically developed for this purpose (Brémaud, 2006). A first, broadband frequency scan detected the first resonance frequency (fᵣ), on which a second, narrow-band scan allowed determination of the quality factor Q (bandwidth at half-power). Next, the excitation (fixed at fₑ) was stopped and the logarithmic decrement (δ) of amplitudes was recorded. Damping coefficient (or internal friction) expressed as tanδ was calculated both in frequency (tanδ ≈ Q⁻¹) and in time (tanδ ≈ δ/π) domains. Both methods gave identical results on average. The specific dynamic modulus of elasticity (E/ρ in MPa m³ kg⁻¹ or in m² s⁻²) was deduced from the first fᵣ according to the Euler–Bernoulli equation. Given the high slenderness (length/height ratio of 75) of specimens, the influence of shear and rotary inertia could be ignored. Measurement frequencies were in the range of 200–700 Hz. Tests were performed in triplicate for each specimen and mean error on properties was ≤5%.

B. Collection of literature data

Experimental results were completed by an extensive literature survey on wood vibrational properties. Data were compiled from 40 sources, with systematic links to original
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FIG. 1. Device used for characterizations of vibrational properties. (a) Wood specimen (12 × 2 × 150 mm³ (R × T × L)); (b) supporting silk threads; (c) location of vibration nodes for first bending mode; (d) thin steel piece glued onto one end of a specimen; (e) electro-magnet; (f) triangulation laser displacement sensor.
The central entity is the association between a given species and a given part of a musical instrument in a given context (region and/or epoch). When available, information is also included about the frequency of use, stated criteria for selecting this wood, and various observations at use. This module currently records 300 woody species cited in about 900 “species-instrument parts” associations (ca. 700 for structural parts) covering chordophones, idiophones, membranophones, and aerophones from 5 continents.

B. Botanical aspects

Botanical information is gathered in a single module for all species, either those used in instruments, or with data on vibrational properties, or both. The basic taxonomy (family, genus, species) is systematically verified. Two related modules list vernacular names in different languages, and invalid Latin names (synonyms or ancient nomenclatures) that can be encountered. Other types of information are also collected for part of the species, such as their geographic distribution, conservation status, or various descriptions of their wood.

This “botany” module is crucial, given that a unique and valid botanical name is the only possible key to ensure dynamic connections between data on properties and on uses.

IV. BIODIVERSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF ACOUSTICAL - MECHANICAL PROPERTIES BETWEEN SPECIES

Depending on the instrument part and geo-cultural context, the chosen wood can belong to different broad categories. Typically, the best-known species for soundboards are softwoods, and those for xylophone keys belong to the huge diversity of tropical hardwoods. In order to get a global view of the physical meaning of such categories, their distributions in density, Young’s modulus and vibrational properties are compared in Fig. 3 over 441 wood species documented in our database.

Density distributions [Fig. 3(a)] obtained in this work confirm the known differences between softwoods (lighter materials with narrow distribution) and temperate or tropical hardwoods. The latter cover both the lowest and highest densities, and values of $\rho$ higher than 1.0 g cm$^{-3}$ can barely be found outside of tropical hardwoods. Wood being a cellular material with nearly constant cell-wall density, $\rho$ mostly represents the porosity, i.e., the proportion of cell-wall material.
whereas $E'/\rho$ and $\tan \delta$ are properties directly proportional to those of the cell-wall substance. Mean $E'$ higher than 20 GPa are observed only among tropical species [Fig. 3(b)], which can combine high values of both $E'/\rho$ and $\rho$. The three broad categories differ significantly in their vibrational properties [$E'/\rho$ and $\tan \delta$, Figs. 3(c), 3(d)]. Interestingly, the difference between temperate and tropical hardwoods is here obvious, as tropical ones show properties’ distributions closer to softwoods. Softwoods are, however, distinguished by a “peak” towards highest values of $E'/\rho$ [Fig. 3(c)], corresponding mostly to spruces (Picea genus). Temperate hardwoods, on the contrary, are mostly distributed towards lower $E'/\rho$ values than the global average. Finally, the distributions in $\tan \delta$ [Fig. 3(d)] show that softwoods are nearly centered around the mean value for all woods, while temperate hardwoods mostly have higher $\tan \delta$ values. Although broadly distributed, tropical hardwoods include a majority of lower than average $\tan \delta$. The lowest (i.e., $\leq 0.006$) values of $\tan \delta$ are nearly exclusive to this category. The distributions in $\tan \delta$ are partly related to those in $E'/\rho$. A rather strong negative correlation, common to softwoods and hardwoods, exists between $\tan \delta$ and $E'/\rho$ of different specimens (Ono and Norimoto 1983, 1984). Data collected in the present work show that this “standard” trend is well conserved over average values of numerous species (Fig. 4). It explains, however, only 40% of the variability in $\tan \delta$ between species (TABLE I). This relation results from the effect on both properties of the orientation of wood elements, i.e., the angle of cellulose microfibrils within cell-walls (Obataya et al., 2000) and/or grain angle within a trunk or piece of wood (Brémaud et al., 2011b). The deviations of $\tan \delta$ from this standard trend are mainly related to chemical variations, and especially to some specific extractive compounds (Yano, 1994; Yano et al., 1994, 1995; Matsunaga et al., 1999; Brémaud et al., 2010, 2011a). Many tropical hardwoods have “abnormally low $\tan \delta$” (down to $-60\%$) as compared to the standard trend, probably due to their often high content of particular extractives. This, and their quite high proportion of high $E'/\rho$ values (although similar to softwoods) explains the observed characteristic of very low $\tan \delta$ for tropical hardwoods.

These global distributions of properties thus indicate that tropical hardwoods used in some instruments parts may hardly be replaced by temperate substitutes when a very...
high density and/or Young’s modulus, or a very low damping coefficient, are required.

However, the contribution of wood to the functioning of different instrument parts often involves several properties, which can be combined in “material performance indexes.” Frequently used indexes include

\[ z = \sqrt{E \rho}, \quad (1) \]
\[ R = \sqrt{\frac{E}{\rho^3}}, \quad (2) \]
\[ ACE = \frac{\sqrt{E/\rho^3}}{\tan \delta}. \quad (3) \]

Detailed explanations of such indexes are given notably by Schelleng (1963), Barlow (1997), Wegst (2006), Yoshikawa (2007), and Obataya (2000). Very schematically, the “characteristic impedance” \( z \) is related to the transmission of vibration from one medium to another, e.g., a string and a soundboard, or a soundboard and the air. The “radiation ratio” \( R \) describes the average amplitude or loudness, whereas the “acoustic conversion efficiency” \( ACE \) represents the peak response (Barlow, 1997; Wegst, 2006). Ideally, if complete data were available on enough species, indexes accounting for anisotropy would also be desirable to get descriptors of “timbre”, i.e., of frequency spectrum (Obataya et al., 2000; Aizawa et al., 1998; Barlow, 1997; Yano et al., 1994).

The distribution in \( ACE \) [Fig. 5(a)] shows that most temperate hardwoods are under the global average for this index, whereas tropical ones are rather centered on this value. Softwoods cover a broad range but mostly tend towards high values. A few percent of tropical hardwoods, however, also reach high \( ACE \) values. The distribution of \( z \) [Fig. 5(b)] reveals that low characteristic impedance is mostly a characteristic of softwoods, although temperate hardwoods are here less different than in term of \( \rho \) alone. Tropical hardwoods cover the widest range, including both lowest and highest values.

V. WOODS USED FOR TUNED IDIOPHONES

A. On the quality requirements of woods for xylophones bars

In idiophones, the primary vibrating part is the main structure of the instrument. The general term “xylophones” designates an arrangement of wooden bars with different pitches mounted on various types of supports. In Western instruments (concert marimbas and xylophones) the qualities required from wood were defined as high density, Young’s modulus, impact hardness and resistance to wear and good moisture-related dimensional stability (Holz, 1996a). Being impulsively excited instruments, sound decay parameters are particularly relevant (Hase, 1987; Holz, 1996a; Brancheriau et al., 2006; Aramaki et al., 2007; Chaigne and Doutaut, 1997; Wegst, 2006). Xylophones for Western music are mainly built from a few species of true rosewoods (Dalbergia genus), although some artificial composites could also be employed. Trials with some temperate hardwoods with high internal friction gave poor results—“short and dull sounds” (Holz, 1996a). Across very different species unknown to this trade, sensory evaluation by a xylophone maker was very well correlated with decay time and internal friction \( \tan \delta \) (Brancheriau et al., 2006). But not so with amplitude, eigen-frequency nor \( E/\rho \). Normalization of the pitch by sound synthesis, in order to dissociate the effect of the geometry of the bar, emphasized damping of the first partial and spectral bandwidth as perceptual criteria (Aramaki et al., 2007). A good correspondence was found between the quality evaluation on trimmed parallelepiped bars, and on complete marimbas (Hase, 1987). Within the preferred species (Honduran rosewood), the best perceived material “quality” was also a very low \( \tan \delta \) (Hase, 1987), even though \( \tan \delta \) variability was then confined to its very lowest range for wood (0.0025–0.0055). Wegst (2006) suggested that woods for xylophone bars have rather high values of \( ACE \) (peak response) but not of \( R \) (average loudness). Both their \( ACE \) and \( R \) are lower than spruce for string soundboards, corresponding to lower damping by radiation, and their higher \( z \)
would cause less energy losses through the supports. Indeed, numerical modeling showed that decay times of xylophone bars was mostly determined by internal friction, but very little so by losses through the supports (Chaigne and Doutaut, 1997).

**B. Comparison of wood species and acoustical properties in tuned idiophones of different continents**

“Xylophones” are of recent introduction in the Western instrumentarium: they first appeared in European orchestral music at the end of the 19th century (although the general principle probably pre-existed, e.g., in the Basque Txalaparta). The historical pathways of xylophone-like instruments (Africa, S.E. Asia and Indonesia, Mesoamerica, and lately Europe) have been the subject of several hypotheses, although an African origin would now prevail. Slit-drums are another interesting type of wooden tuned idiophones. Their functioning is not strictly the same, as they are also Helmholtz resonators. Slit-drums have strong cultural importance in the Pacific regions and served for long-distance transmission of “spoken” messages in Africa (Carrington, 1976; Dechamps, 1972). The ranges in properties of several wood species traditionally selected in different continents for these two groups of idiophones are compared in Fig. 6.

The preferred wood for Western concert xylophones is Honduran rosewood (Dalbergia stenestonii). Its damping coefficient is among the very lowest of all woods represented in our database. Rio Rosewood (D. nigra) has been used but its sound was considered “less bright” than Honduran, which is consistent with their respective tanδ values. Interestingly, in Central-America (which hosts several Dalbergia species), the preferred woods for traditional marimbas are mainly from another genus: Platymiscium spp. (Kaptain, 1991; Salazar Salvatierra, 1994). According to available data, woods from this genus appear to have also a very low damping, yet sometimes lower density. Alternatively, Myroxylon balsamum is stated as “the most durable and resistant wood” but is not as much esteemed (Salazar Salvatierra, 1994). Its much higher tanδ suggests that traditional choice strongly relied on acoustical criteria.

Xylophones and slit-drums are particularly important and diversified in Africa. Many species could be encountered in either xylophones designed for temporary use, or slit drums with strong aesthetical meaning, involving the ability of wood to be intricately carved (Déchamps, 1972, 1973). On the contrary, the more prominent the purely “acoustic” function of instruments was, the higher the proportion of use of Pterocarpus spp. (often P. soyauxii, African padauk, in Central Africa). This choice is nearly exclusive in most elaborate xylophones and in slit-drums that were used for message transmission—up to more than 10 km distances (Carrington, 1976; Dechamps, 1972, 1973). Padauk is not as dense as American xylophone woods, but its tanδ is very low. This species has also been adopted in Western instruments (Richter, 1988). From Mali to Burkina Faso, another species of this genus (Pterocarpus erinaceus, often called Vène) is the preferred material for xylophones. Its properties partially overlap those of P. soyauxii, but tend towards higher density and internal friction. Its vibrational properties depend both on the geographic origin and on the location within the trunk, which coincide with traditional criteria of makers (Traoré et al., 2010).

A.rtocarpus spp. are used from S.E. Asia to Melanesia, either for xylophone-like instruments in S.E. Asia, which also use local species of Dalbergia (Rujiniirun et al., 2005) or for slit-drums. For the monumental slit-drums of Vanuatu, Pterocarpus indicus is apparently considered as giving a “brighter” sound than Artocarpus species,1 which would be consistent with its lower tanδ. In Indonesia, both types of
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**FIG. 6.** (Color online) Ranges in density, dynamic Young’s modulus (a) and vibrational properties (b) for some woods chosen for vibrating bodies of xylophones and slit-drums through different continents. Each mark is the average of a stock. Ellipses: known ranges in properties of the different species; vertical hatching: woods originating from Latin-America; horizontal: woods native to S.E. Asia and Oceania; diagonal: woods native to Africa. Curve in (b): standard relationship between tanδ and $E'/\rho$ (Ono and Norimoto 1983).
instruments may use teak (*Tectona grandis*), which compares with both previous woods in its ρ and E', but which low tanδ is closer to *P. indicus*.

The average density (followed by E') shows a decreasing trend [Fig. 6(a)] between idiophone woods native to Latin America (0.75–1.15 g cm⁻³), Africa (0.7–0.9 g cm⁻³) and S.E. Asia–Oceania (0.45–0.9 g cm⁻³). This is consistent with the trends in distribution of density between continents obtained on 3000 species of hardwoods (Déttienne and Chanson, 1996). On the other hand, vibrational properties of used species do not depend on areas [Fig. 6(b)]. Their specific modulus is centered on the mean value for wood (20 MPa m³ kg⁻¹), but all these chosen woods have lower internal friction than the global average (8%). The differences in density are reflected in characteristic impedance (Fig. 7), but not in ACE ("peak response"). The lowest values of z here belong to woods used in slit-drum—where impedance mismatch with supports is not relevant, but easy transmission of vibrations into the air is. The only wood with both very high z and very low ACE is *M. balsamum*, stated as "not very good acoustically." Within the variability of Dalbergia stevensonii, or of *D. nigra*, ACE and z are slightly correlated. This could correspond to the suggestion (Wegst, 2006) that high values of both factors are beneficial for xylophone bars. However, such a trend is not observed within nor between the other species (Fig. 7). Globally all these idiophones woods share quite intricate ranges of ACE.

This synthesis on some important woods in tuned idiophones indicates that (i) relatively high density is frequent, but far from being systematic; (ii) specific Young’s modulus is not a key factor: changes in geometry can efficiently deal with actual frequencies and overtones during the tuning process; (iii) these woods selected for “xylophones” and/or slit drums are all characterized by low damping coefficients, irrespective of their E'/ρ, and whatever the region of the world and local or imported flora. This finding supports and extends previous results which considered only Western musical instruments (Hase, 1987; Holz, 1996a; Wegst, 2006; Brancheriau et al., 2006; Aramaki et al., 2007). It also suggests that, in such idiophones, the acoustical role of the material predominates and leads to rather comparable choices in different continents and cultures.

Another interesting fact is that, apart from the *Artocarpus* spp. (Moraceae) and *Tectona grandis* (Verbenaceae), most of the species presented here belong to the same family (Leguminosae-Papilionoideae) and even to the same tribe (Dalbergioideae). These taxa are characterized, within wood biodiversity, by abnormally low internal friction (Brémaud et al., 2009). For some *Dalbergia* (Yano et al., 1994,1995) and *Pterocarpus* species (Brémaud et al., 2010, 2011a; Traoré et al., 2010), this was found to be due to their specific extractives. It suggests some connection between chemotaxonomy, and the empirical selection of these materials for acoustical functions throughout different continents.

**VI. WOODS FOR SOUNDBOARDS OF STRING INSTRUMENTS**

In chordophones, as their name implies, the primary vibrating body is a tight string, set into vibration by plucking, striking or bowing. A resonator is needed to amplify and radiate the sound. In the most elaborate instruments, the string vibration is transmitted through a bridge to a soundboard. The material of the soundboard “should” allow for adequate transmission of energy from the string, limit losses, and be able to radiate efficiently (e.g., Fletcher and Rossing, 1998; Barlow, 1997; Wegst, 2006; Yoshikawa, 2007). It also serves as a filter, which colors the frequency spectrum and thus participate to timbre (e.g., Yano et al., 1994; Obataya et al., 2000; Haines, 2000). Due to the importance of string instruments in Western classical music, much research has been conducted on the acoustical properties of their soundboard wood, chiefly European spruce (*Picea abies*).

**A. On « resonance » spruce, the archetypal wood for soundboards of Western classical stringed instruments**

Spruce selected as high-grade has a quite low density (ρ ≈ 0.45 g cm⁻³) and a very high specific modulus of elasticity (around 26–36 MPa m³ kg⁻¹, leading to sound velocities up to 6000 m s⁻¹) (e.g., Haines, 2000; Bucur, 2006; Yano et al., 1990; 1992). In spruce, internal friction tanδ is very strongly negatively correlated to E'/ρ, i.e., there is little extractive-related variability. So that high-grade samples are within the higher range of E'/ρ and the lower range of tanδ for this species, due to a small angle of microfibrils in cell-walls (Ono and Norimoto, 1983; Yano et al., 1994; Obataya et al., 2000). This, and a structure with a majority of axial cells (tracheids), also determine a high axial-to-shear and axial-to-transverse anisotropy (Obataya et al., 2000; Bucur, 2006).

Considering “acoustical performance,” a very high E'/ρ combined with a low ρ leads to high radiation ratio [Eq. (2)], and, together with quite low tanδ, high acoustic conversion efficiency [Eq. (3)]. From the standpoint of the coincidence...
frequency of plates, a high $E'/\rho$ should ensure sufficient radiation at lower frequencies (Holz, 1996b). Being quite light, spruce has moderate characteristic impedance [Eq. (1)], which should benefit to sound transmission into the air (Wegst, 2006). Its high anisotropy strongly influences vibration modes of a soundboard, and thus frequency response (Barlow, 1997). As the apparent frequency dependence of tan$\delta$ in bending results partly from the influence of shear (Ono and Kataoka, 1979), the high axial-to-shear anisotropy of spruce contributes to higher losses at high frequencies (Yano et al., 1994; Obataya et al., 2000).

However, properties of woods classified as “good,” “medium,” and “poor” for violin overlap, although “good” is closer to above trends and less variable (Yano et al., 1992). Quality grading (between many “resonance” and few “general” supplies) by several violin makers appeared hardly correlated to acoustical properties, but rather to physical and visual criteria (Buksnowitz et al., 2007). Indeed, density and parameters related to growth rings or color belong to transmitted knowledge as found in treatises. But they reflect only indirectly variations at the mechanically relevant, lower structural scales in wood (Kuboijima et al., 1997; Hori et al., 2002). Additionally, violin structure, although constrained by historical models, allows for significant adjustments with its sculpted vault. The maker’s know-how allows dealing with a non-optimal material by adjusting the construction. Luthiers generally reckon that they can build a good violin from a “medium” wood—but not from a “very poor” one. Empirical grading of piano top-plates followed similar trends and more related to acoustic properties, although pieces can be rejected for only visual defects (Ono and Norimoto, 1983; Norimoto et al., 1984). The geometry of guitar blanks allows testing “by hand” damping and longitudinal/radial stiffness. Classification is just slightly better defined by basic acoustic properties than for violins (Yano et al., 1990), but multi-variable psychosensorial analyses can reveal quite fine quality grading (Douau, 1986). Altogether, these different studies suggest that (i) wood selection and qualification by string instrument makers is by essence multi-criteria; (ii) the relative importance of mechanical/acoustical properties depends on the degree of freedom in the geometry of the instrument and/or of blank plates; (iii) properties of different instrument-making grades can overlap, but form a distinct group within the *Picea abies* variability, with much higher than average $E'/\rho$ and anisotropy, and low tan$\delta$.

### B. Comparison of other soundboard woods chosen in instruments from different geo-cultural areas

Due to its acoustical performances and its cultural status of “historical resonance wood,” European spruce is often considered as the “reference” to which all other woods for top plates should be compared or even matched.

Besides, other species of the *Picea* genus have been used in past decades in piano, guitar, and even violin-family. Table II compares axial vibrational properties of nine *Picea* species, either used in instruments or not, from different regions. Although the number and pre-selection of specimens is very different between species, most of them have properties with ranges very similar to *Picea abies*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Distribution rangea</th>
<th>N Sources</th>
<th>N specimens</th>
<th>$\rho$ (g cm$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>$E'/\rho$ (MPa m$^3$ kg$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>tan$\delta_L$(10$^{-3}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Picea abies</em> (L.) Karst.</td>
<td>Europe (North, Central and Eastern)</td>
<td>9b</td>
<td>1056c</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.04</td>
<td>28.4 ± 3.9</td>
<td>7.1 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Picea engelmannii</em> Parry ex Engel.</td>
<td>North America (West Canada and USA, North Mexico)</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>2f</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.09</td>
<td>28.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Picea glauca</em> (Moench) Voss</td>
<td>Northern America (Canada and North USA)</td>
<td>1c</td>
<td>3f</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.03</td>
<td>30.8 ± 3.2</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Picea rubens</em> Sarg.</td>
<td>Northeastern America (Canada and USA)</td>
<td>1c</td>
<td>14f</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.03</td>
<td>27.1 ± 7.8</td>
<td>7.6 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Picea sitchensis</em> (Bong.) Carr.</td>
<td>Northwestern America (Canada and USA)</td>
<td>10f</td>
<td>718f</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.05</td>
<td>28.7 ± 4.6</td>
<td>7.7 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Picea brachytyla</em> var. complanata (Mast.)</td>
<td>South China to North Myanmar and India</td>
<td>1d</td>
<td>3f</td>
<td>23.9 ± 2.5</td>
<td>8.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Picea glehnii</em> (F. Schmidt) Mast.</td>
<td>North-Eastern Asia (Sakhalin, Kuriles and North Japan)</td>
<td>3b</td>
<td>228e</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.03</td>
<td>29.0 ± 2.9</td>
<td>6.0 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Picea jezoensis</em> (Sieb. et Zucc.) Carr.</td>
<td>North-Eastern Asia (North of Japan, China and Korea and Far-Eastern Russia)</td>
<td>6b</td>
<td>166f</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.02</td>
<td>29.9 ± 3.0</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Picea likiangensis</em> (Franch.) Pritzl</td>
<td>South-West China to Bhutan</td>
<td>1d</td>
<td>6f</td>
<td>27.2 ± 4.6</td>
<td>8.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other woods of Pinaceae (26 species from 5 genus)</td>
<td>Northern hemisphere (+ Mexico, Mediterranean and S.E. Asia)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>104f</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.08</td>
<td>22.1 ± 4.9</td>
<td>7.9 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other softwoods (27 species from 15 genus in 4 families, Pinophyta)</td>
<td>Cosmopolitan (with different ranges and frequencies)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>333f</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.11</td>
<td>19.1 ± 5.4</td>
<td>7.8 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Notes:**

- Earle (2010).
- Hori et al. (2002).
- Specimens selected for instrument making.
- Specimens partially selected for instrument making.
- Specimens not specifically selected for instrument making.

---

**Sources:**

- Haines (2000) and S.E. Asia)
The main other wood for guitars’ top plates, western red cedar (Thuja plicata, Cupressaceae), already deviates from the “spruce reference.” Red cedar has quite lower density, specific modulus and characteristic impedance, much lower than and often higher “acoustical conversion efficiency” (Figs. 8 and 9) both in axial and radial directions (Yano et al., 1990; 1992). Whereas the reduced $E'/\rho$ can be easily dealt with by adjusting the thickness, the much lower tan $\delta$ of red cedar might be desirable for plucked strings where vibration is not continuously excited. However, the choice of this species or of spruce is dictated by subjective preferences mainly based on timbre and responsiveness (Douau, 1986) which suggests that, even within a given instrument and musical culture, material selection is already linked to some musical/cultural specificities.

All the above researches only concern woods used in western classical musical instruments. But the possibility of generalizing conclusions to instruments from other cultures has seldom been questioned. In the previous analysis about xylophones’ woods, some inter-continental constants in wood choice could be found. But the influence of the material was predominant, and the introduction in Europe is quite recent. In the case of string instruments, the material-structure combination is most often quite complex, and historical-geographical pathways are much more ancient and intricate. An hypothesis is that this could have led to much deeper cultural differentiation. Indeed, many wood species are cited as being used for soundboards throughout the world. The database built by the author lists 82 occurrences with species belonging to 21 families—although the survey is far from being exhaustive, and some documented uses are probably anecdotal. However, data on vibrational properties are available for only a fraction of these species.

Table III lists some species frequently used in soundboards of Asian and Latin American string instruments, and for which data on vibrational properties are known (Fig. 8). Quite striking differences appear between woods from different geo-cultural areas. Their only shared characteristic is lower density than the global average (i.e., $\rho \leq 0.7 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$), also reflected in a lower than average characteristic impedance (Fig. 9). But their vibrational properties $E'/\rho$, tan $\delta$ and ACE cover most of the ranges known for wood. An important observation is that, while the notion of “soundboard wood” is often associated to softwoods, several hardwood species are chosen in Asia and in Latin America, although local resources in softwood can exist. The Picea genus is restricted to Northern regions, but several species, with properties similar to European spruce, are native to Japan and China or can be found in Mexico (Table II). Other genera of

![Figure 8](image1.png)

**FIG. 8.** (Color online) Ranges in density, dynamic Young’s modulus (a) and vibrational properties (b) for some woods chosen for string instruments’ top plates in different geo-cultural areas. Each mark is the average of a stock. Ellipses: known ranges of the different species; cross hatching: typical woods in Western musical instruments; vertical: woods used in traditional instruments from Middle-East to Far-East Asia; horizontal: woods used in “folk” instruments of Latin-America. Curve in (b): see Fig. 6.
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**FIG. 9.** (Color online) Ranges in “material indexes of performance” ACE and $z$ for some woods of string instruments’ top plates in different geo-cultural areas. Legend: see Fig. 8.
Pinaceae and other softwood families can be found on a wider range and, although they have lower vibrational properties in average, some could sometimes reach equivalent values.

In Central America, both local softwoods and hardwoods are used in traditional stringed instruments. These softwoods are not presented here, as data on their vibrational properties are lacking. But hardwoods such as Cedrela or Swietenia species (Meliaceae), which are also cited in South American countries where few softwoods grow, have properties close to the average of softwoods and at the lower margin of spruce (i.e., from similar to slightly higher $\rho$ and $\tan\delta$, lower $E^*/\rho$ and ACE, Figs. 8 and 9). A similarity in material properties may be consistent for instruments historically derived from European ones (as it seems that nearly no stringed instruments are known from pre-Hispanic times).

On the contrary, some woods used in Asia (from Middle-East to Far-East) are clearly different from the “Western” wood choice. The Paulownia used for Chinese lute Pipa and Japanese zither Koto has a very low density ($\leq 0.3$ g cm$^{-3}$) and characteristic impedance, vibrational properties $E^*/\rho$ and $\tan\delta$ between spruce and red cedar, and ACE as high as or higher than spruce. On the other hand, the mulberry (Morus alba) used for Persian lute Setar and Japanese lute Biwa, and the jackfruit tree (Artocarpus spp.) and teak (Tectona grandis) used for Indian Sitar, Vina or Tambura have clearly higher $\rho$, lower $E^*/\rho$ and lower ACE (Figs. 8 and 9). Especially surprising is that the “superior” quality of mulberry selected for Biwa (Yoshikawa 2007) has the highest $\tan\delta$ of all wood lots represented in Fig. 8(b). Yoshikawa (2007) described mulberry as closer in properties to a back-plate than top-plate wood and discussed its choice for the Biwa. One explanation for using a material with a very high internal friction and very low ACE was related to the “Sawari” mechanism in Biwa, a reverberating effect due to the “buzzing” vibration of strings on bridge or frets (Yoshikawa, 2007). However, Artocarpus and Tectona woods used for Indian instruments with a similar effect (“Jawari”), appear to have comparatively much lower $\tan\delta$ and quite higher ACE. The mulberry (Pourahmadi and Se Golpayegani, 2008) selected by Iranian makers of instruments without such “buzzing” mechanism also has lower $\tan\delta$ and slightly higher ACE than the superior one for Japanese Biwa. There was more difference between two “qualities” of mulberry from Japan, than between different growth origins. Similarly, no significant differences were found between vibrational properties of Paulownia tomentosa from Japan or China (Minato et al., 2005). Wood choice in Biwa and Pipa is interesting as geometries are more comparable than with other considered instruments. Yoshikawa (2007) suggested that the more “efficient” but fragile Paulownia would be better suited for Pipa, without “buzzing” effect, played with fingertips instead of being stroked with a wide wooden plectrum, and with different sound expectations. Playing techniques could also justify the choice of Paulownia for the thick soundboard or the Koto zither, in relation to the structure of the instrument (Yoshikawa, 2007).

Altogether, all soundboards species considered here are not so much differentiated according to “characteristic impedance” (Fig. 9), except for Paulownia and Gyrocarpus, used for harps or zithers, which have very low values of $z$. On the contrary, they are notably different according to “acoustical converting efficiency.” Woods traditionally used in lute- or guitar-like instruments in Latin America and in Asia might be described as, respectively, a little and a lot “less performant” than spruce on the basis of ACE. On the contrary, woods from these areas chosen for harp/zithers appear as much or more “performant” than spruce. Nevertheless, the very important point is that wood choice results from a long-term material-structure-music co-evolution, so that these woods are integrated to the “identity” of their respective instruments. Probably the same instruments built up with a “resonance” spruce top plate would be judged quite poorly by traditional musicians. Thus, this comparative analysis suggests that (i) although chosen woods appear to perform very differently according to “material performance indexes” (derived assuming comparable geometry), caution should be taken considering the variations in the structure of instruments. (ii) Differences in acoustical functioning, in playing modes and in cultural preferences on “sonority” further interact with the material-structure co-adaptation. As a result, the “western classical music instruments” choice of wood, considered as a “standard,” cannot be extrapolated to other cultures for stringed instruments soundboards.

---

**TABLE III. Some wood species used for soundboards of string instruments from different geo-cultural ensembles (Western classical music, Middle-East to Far-East Asia and Latin America) and for which data on vibrational properties are available.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Common names</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Instruments</th>
<th>Geo-cultural ensemble</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Picea abies</em> (L.) Karst.</td>
<td>Spruce</td>
<td>Softwood</td>
<td>Violin family, Piano, Guitar, Lutes</td>
<td>«Western»</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Thuja plicata</em> D. Don</td>
<td>Western Red Cedar</td>
<td>Softwood</td>
<td>Guitars</td>
<td>«Western»</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Paulownia tomentosa</em> (Thunb.) Steud.</td>
<td>Paulownia</td>
<td>Hardwood</td>
<td>Pipa (short-necked lute)</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Morus alba</em> L.</td>
<td>Mulberry</td>
<td>Hardwood</td>
<td>Biwa (short-necked lute)</td>
<td>Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Artocarpus</em> spp.</td>
<td>Jacqfruit tree</td>
<td>Hardwood</td>
<td>Vina, Tambura (long-necked lutes)</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tectona</em> grandis L. f.</td>
<td>Teak</td>
<td>Hardwood</td>
<td>Sitar, Tambura (long-necked lutes)</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cedrela</em> spp.</td>
<td>Cedro</td>
<td>Hardwood</td>
<td>Various folk instruments (plucked and bowed)</td>
<td>Latin America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Swietenia</em> spp.</td>
<td>Mahogany</td>
<td>Hardwood</td>
<td>Various folk instruments (plucked and bowed)</td>
<td>Latin America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gyrocarpus</em> americanus Jacq.</td>
<td>Tacote</td>
<td>Hardwood</td>
<td>Harp, Guitaron, Vihuela (plucked strings)</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Iris Brémaud: Diversity of woods in strings and idiophones
VII. CONCLUSIONS

A wide databank has been collected on the diversity of axial vibrational properties between 452 woody species, through experimental tests on a wide sampling from 79 species, extended by a thorough compilation of literature data. Distributions between broad categories show that softwoods, traditionally preferred for soundboards of Western string instruments, are indeed represented by lower densities and higher specific dynamic modulus, but that their internal friction ranges around the average value for all woods. On the other hand, tropical hardwoods are the only category in which the highest densities and Young’s modulus, and the lowest internal friction, can be found. Thus they could hardly be replaced by temperate substitutes for some instrument parts. A relational database dedicated to “wood and instruments diversity” has been created for relating wood vibrational properties and uses in musical instruments of the world. This allowed comparing different wood species used in acoustically important parts of musical instruments from different geo-cultural areas. In the case of xylophone bars or slit-drums, woods used in different continents were widely scattered according to density and had overlapping, and average ranges in $E'/p$. But they were all characterized by very low internal friction and often belonged to close botanical groups. This suggests that several civilizations selected, from very diverse local flora, species appropriate to these instruments in which the damping properties of wood play a prominent role. On the contrary, in the case of woods used for strings soundboards, the only characteristic shared by species traditionally used in Asian, Latin American, and Western classical instruments is a moderate density. Hardwoods are often used even when local resources in softwoods are available. Vibrational properties of soundboard woods covered most of the global range for wood, some of them being more and some less “performant” (from a material indexes point of view) than “resonance” spruce for Western instruments. However, all the considered wood materials are perfectly adapted to the expected behavior of their respective instruments. Thus the present findings suggest that, besides differences in structure and acoustical functioning, cultural differences in playing mode and in subjective “sound” preferences probably participate in such different material choices. In the case of string instruments, the “Western” standard in wood choice could not be extrapolated to other geo-cultural areas.

However, although the collected set of data is probably the widest up to now, much effort is still needed, both on the vibrational characterization of various woody species, and on collecting reliable botanical information on species used in many instruments of the world. More data would also be needed concerning anisotropy, and within-species variability in properties. Additionally, much work is still needed to better understand wood qualification by makers. This is already the case for Western instruments, but nearly no information is available for other cultures of instrument making.
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1Information obtained by Dr. D. Cardon (CNRS, UMR5648, Lyon, France) during an ethno-botanical enquiry among craftsmen from Ambrym island, May 2008.

1No African string instruments are represented here, partly because many have a stretched skin “soundboard,” but also because properties of the few documented woods are still unknown.


