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Abstract. Depending on the magnitude of their eruptions, volcanoes impact the atmosphere at various temporal and spatial scales. The volcanic source remains a major unknown to rigorously assess these impacts. At the scale of an eruption, the limited knowledge of source parameters, including time variations of erupted mass flux and emission profile, currently represents the greatest issue that limits the reliability of volcanic cloud forecasts. Today, a growing number of satellite and remote sensing observations of distant plumes are becoming available, bringing indirect information on these source terms. Here, we develop an inverse modelling approach combining satellite observations of the volcanic plume with an Eulerian regional chemistry-transport model (CHIMERE) to characterise the volcanic SO\textsubscript{2} emissions during an eruptive crisis. The May 2010 eruption of Eyjafjallajökull is a perfect case study to apply this method as the volcano emitted substantial amounts of SO\textsubscript{2} during more than a month. We take advantage of the SO\textsubscript{2} column amounts provided by a vast set of IASI (Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer) satellite images to reconstruct retrospectively the time series of the mid-tropospheric SO\textsubscript{2} flux emitted by the volcano with a temporal resolution of \(\sim 2\) h, spanning the period from 1 to 12 May 2010. We show that no a priori knowledge on the SO\textsubscript{2} flux is required for this reconstruction. The initialisation of chemistry-transport modelling with this reconstructed source allows for reliable simulation of the evolution of the long-lived tropospheric SO\textsubscript{2} cloud over thousands of kilometres. Heterogeneities within the plume, which mainly result from the temporal variability of the emissions, are correctly tracked over a timescale of a week. The robustness of our approach is also demonstrated by the broad similarities between the SO\textsubscript{2} flux history determined by this study and the ash discharge behaviour estimated by other means during the phases of high explosive activity at Eyjafjallajökull in May 2010. Finally, we show how a sequential IASI data assimilation allows for a substantial improvement in the forecasts of the location and concentration of the plume compared to an approach assuming constant flux at the source. As the SO\textsubscript{2} flux is an important indicator of the volcanic activity, this approach is also of interest to monitor poorly instrumented volcanoes from space.

1 Introduction

Volcanic degassing has a major effect on atmospheric chemistry and represents an important source of forcing of climate at various spatial and temporal scales (Robock and Oppenheimer, 2003; Mather, 2008; Oppenheimer et al., 2011). Among major volcanic gases, sulfur-rich emissions play a crucial role, as their conversion into long-lived, micron-sized sulfate aerosols impacts the climate in various ways.
Until recently, it was conventionally admitted that only major eruptions would have a significant climatic effect, as they produce plumes able to quickly reach the tropopause and to penetrate the stratosphere where plume components cannot be washed out by precipitation (Robock, 2000). These large eruptions generate plumes rich in sulfate aerosols that can be transported and dispersed over a whole hemisphere within the course of a few weeks, screening solar radiation and cooling the atmosphere by some measurable amount (e.g. 0.6 °C over 2–3 years for Mount Pinatubo (Philippines) in 1991 (McCormick et al., 1995), a series of rigorous Northern Hemisphere winters after the Laki (Iceland) in 1783–1784 (Highwood and Stevenson, 2003)). Within the stratosphere, volcanic aerosols also contribute to ozone depletion at mid- and high latitudes (Solomon et al., 1998; Tabazadeh et al., 2002). This type of sporadic volcanic activity is one of the factors able to force climate variability with effects persisting from a few weeks to a few years according to the intensity, the duration and the location of the event. However, recent studies suggest that more frequent eruptions of lower magnitude (restricted to the low stratosphere) as well as persistent volcanic degassing in the troposphere may also significantly impact the radiative state of the atmosphere (Vernier et al., 2011; Schmidt et al., 2012). Consequently, studying volcanic gas emissions during eruptions, but also during periods of quiescent degassing, is of importance to assess the impact of volcanism as a whole on the atmosphere.

On the timescale of an eruption, knowledge of the volcanic source (e.g. gas mass flux, emission altitude/profile) is essential to simulate with reliability the atmospheric fate of the volcanic gas cloud (Webley and Mastin, 2009; Bonadonna et al., 2012). During the eruption of a volcano monitored by an observatory, ground geophysical and geochemical measurements may help to estimate these parameters (Mastin et al., 2009; Petersen et al., 2012). However, only few volcanological observatories exist in comparison with the numerous active volcanoes worldwide. Moreover, major ash-rich explosive eruptions often lead to a malfunction or even complete loss of most ground instruments (Surono et al., 2012). In particular, the ash-rich plume may become opaque to ultraviolet radiations, leading to a failure of UV-DOAS (ultraviolet differential optical absorption spectroscopy) methods (Surono et al., 2012), which are the only ground techniques allowing for a measurement of the gas flux (Oppenheimer, 2010; Galle et al., 2010). As an alternative to ground observations, recent advances in satellite remote sensing now provide images covering the full breadth of volcanic gas plumes at high spatial and temporal resolutions, which open new perspectives of reconstruction of the SO$_2$ flux (Carn and Bluth, 2003; Merucci et al., 2011). However, relating these observations (which consist in map views of vertically integrated plume concentration) to the gas flux released by the volcano, is a challenging task. Indeed, a description of the complex physical and chemical evolution of the plume from the source to the point of observation is required.

In this paper, we reconstruct the SO$_2$ flux history of the May 2010 Eyjafjallajökull eruption using an inverse modelling approach combining IASI infrared satellite imagery of the plume with the chemistry-transport Eulerian model CHIMERE. Compared to previous studies (Theys et al., 2013), the strength of our inverse modelling approach is that no a priori knowledge of the volcanic SO$_2$ flux is required. While this eruption was fairly modest compared to historical Icelandic eruptions, such as the 1783–1784 Laki eruption (Thordarson and Self, 2003), it was able to repeatedly disrupt air traffic over Europe, generating huge economic costs and losses (Harris et al., 2012). This eruption is a perfect case study, as the volcano emitted substantial amounts of ash and SO$_2$ for more than a month. Our study focuses on the period extending from 1 to 12 May 2010, which corresponds to a phase of renewal of the explosive activity following a few days of relative quiescence (Gudmundsson et al., 2012). A remarkable series of IASI satellite observations, tracking the long-lived tropospheric SO$_2$ plume of Eyjafjallajökull over thousands of kilometres, is used in the inversion scheme to reconstruct the SO$_2$ flux released by the volcano with a high temporal resolution. The exploitation of these satellite observations is motivated by the fact that no instrument was installed on the ground at the time of the eruption that could allow for the continuous monitoring of gas emissions (Laursen, 2010).

In a first section, we describe the methodology. Then, we present time series of the SO$_2$ emissions of Eyjafjallajökull in May 2010 deduced from retrospective assimilation of satellite observations. After assessing the robustness of this source reconstruction, we next show how its use for initialising chemistry-transport modelling allows for a consistent tracking of the volcanic SO$_2$ cloud, including heterogeneities within the plume which mainly result from the temporal variability of the emissions. We then discuss broad similarities between SO$_2$ and ash flux time series at Eyjafjallajökull determined for the same period by Stohl et al. (2011). Finally, we show how a sequential assimilation of satellite observations may yield a reliable forecast of the volcanic SO$_2$ plume over a timescale of a few days.

2 Methodology

2.1 Chemistry-transport model

CHIMERE is a state-of-the-art regional chemistry-transport model developed for studies of air quality (Rouil et al., 2009), transport of aerosols (Bessagnet et al., 2009), desert dust (Bessagnet et al., 2008) and, recently, volcanic plumes (Collette et al., 2011). Generally, this Eulerian model describes the physical and chemical processes affecting an emission during its transit in the atmosphere, including transport, turbulent mixing, diffusion, dry deposition, wet scavenging and gas/aqueous-phase chemistry. For more details, the reader is
referred to the online documentation of the CHIMERE model (CHIMERE, 2011). The model is driven in this study by meteorological forcing fields calculated using the mesoscale Weather Research and Forecast (WRF) model in its non-hydrostatic configuration. A horizontal 25 km × 25 km rectangular grid is defined over a large domain extending north–south from Greenland to North Africa, and west–east from the North American East Coast to eastern Europe. The model includes here 18 vertical levels extending up to 200 hPa. The vertical grid is a hybrid sigma-pressure coordinate system in the lower troposphere until the altitude at which the difference of pressure between two consecutive levels in this hybrid coordinate system exceeds 50 hPa. Above this altitude, levels are equally spaced in terms of pressure, with a spacing fixed to 50 hPa to allow for a higher vertical resolution in the mid-upper troposphere where the Eyjafjallajökull plume travelled in May 2010. SO₂ emissions are released along a 1 km FWHM semi-Gaussian profile centred at a height of 6 km a.s.l. in agreement with C-band radar and web camera observations of the altitude of Eyjafjallajökull emissions over the 4–12 May 2010 period (Petersen et al., 2012). The long lifetime (about a week) of the tropospheric plume of Eyjafjallajökull during the studied period of time indicates that the loss of SO₂ was very slow. Therefore, for the sake of simplicity, removal mechanisms of SO₂ (dry/wet deposition and SO₂ oxidation), which are moreover poorly constrained for tropospheric volcanic plumes (Oppenheimer et al., 1998; Delmelle, 2003), were not included in the modelling. As shown in Sect. 3.2, despite this simplification, a satisfactory agreement is reached between plume simulations and observations in terms of the decrease of the SO₂ column amount as a function of plume age.

2.2 Satellite observations

The Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer (IASI) carried on board the polar-orbiting MetOp-A satellite has provided, since 2006, global coverage of the atmospheric composition twice a day (overpass at ~09:30 and 21:30 LT at the Equator) in the nadir geometry (polar synchronous), with a footprint of 12 km diameter (at nadir) and full swath width of 2200 km (Clerbaux et al., 2009). The Fourier transform spectrometer spans a spectral range from 645 to 2760 cm⁻¹ with no gaps, an apodised resolution of 0.5 cm⁻¹ and a sampling of 0.25 cm⁻¹. It consequently covers three bands of SO₂ absorption in the mid-infrared. Here we use the IASI SO₂ product described in Clarisse et al. (2012). It is based on brightness temperature differences (BTD) and relies on lookup tables for the conversion of temperatures to SO₂ total columns. The retrieval algorithm was designed for plumes above the lower troposphere (~5 km), where it has a low theoretical uncertainty (~5%). The largest uncertainties in the product are due to plume altitude, which is not retrieved but assumed and fixed to a constant value. Various plume altitudes were tested, covering values close to the emission height, which varied around 6 km a.s.l in the first weeks of May 2010 (Petersen et al., 2012). For a 5 km altitude, the retrieval algorithm regularly fails to converge in the region close to Iceland, although BTD anomalies suggest the presence of the SO₂ plume. This may be due to an underestimation of the actual altitude of the plume, the presence of thick overlying meteorological clouds or the influence of a strong ash load in the plume. Assuming a 7 km altitude, the plume extent is well reproduced by the retrieval analysis, in agreement with anomalies in BTD. For the sake of stability of the retrieval outputs, we consequently assume a plume altitude of 7 km a.s.l. To reduce the impact of the noise, observations with a BTD smaller than 0.3 K were assumed to have a zero SO₂ column. This threshold corresponds to a SO₂ column amount of ~0.5 DU, according to the linear correlation observed between weak values of SO₂ BTD and total column amounts. Retrieved NaN values of column amounts, which result from occasional failure of the algorithm to converge, are disregarded in the further data analysis.

2.3 Inversion scheme

We adopt an inverse modelling approach to reconstruct the volcano SO₂ emissions using satellite observations of the plume and a chemistry-transport model. In the discrete forward problem, the observed SO₂ column amounts (d) are a function (g) of the SO₂ flux released by the volcano as a function of time (m)

\[ d = g(m). \]  

(1)

The function g describes the physical processes affecting a parcel of SO₂ travelling from the volcano vent to the observation point. Theoretically, g could also include the description of chemical processes affecting SO₂, such as SO₂ oxidation processes. However, since these mechanisms are not required to correctly describe observations of the Eyjafjallajökull plume, only physical processes have been taken into account here (see Sect. 2.1). This problem can be considered linear as it is resolved given a prescribed meteorological field, with processes of transport, diffusion and deposition affecting linearly a given emission flux. Therefore, Eq. (1) can be written in matrix form: \[ \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{Gm}, \] where \( \mathbf{d} \) and \( \mathbf{m} \) represent vectors of data and model parameters. This means that we can find a linear combination of source elements \( \mathbf{m} \) whose projection into the data space matches best the satellite observations in \( \mathbf{d} \). Some minor effects, such as the detection limit of satellite observations, imply nevertheless a weak non-linearity. The vector \( \mathbf{m} \) is discretised with a 1 h timestep, as meteorological data are provided on a hourly basis. \( \mathbf{G} \) represents the forward operator whose values are calculated using the CHIMERE model (Sect. 2.1) on the grid of IASI observations (Sect. 2.2) which depends on latitude, longitude and time.
Tarantola c). Consequently, null observations should not be systematically interpreted as indications of the actual absence of the plume. Due to the combination of these three phenomena, numerous null observations (i.e. no plume detection) are illegitimately accounted for in the inversion algorithm, resulting in an underestimation of the modelled plume intensity. To circumvent this issue, we perform a decimation of the numerous observations indicating an absence of the plume. As shown in Fig. 2b, the decimation of null data yields a more accurate modelling of the plume intensity. Naturally, the degree of data decimation is bound to impact on the reconstructed \( \text{SO}_2 \) flux history. As less null data points are kept, the misfit in regions where the model cannot reproduce an apparent absence of \( \text{SO}_2 \) (especially near plume edges or in aged parts of the plume) tends to weight less significantly in the overall misfit. Therefore, the magnitude of the reconstructed emissions should increase as the strength of null data decimation. However, an excessive reduction of the number of null observations kept in the inversion degrades the quality of the simulation by enhancing the spreading of the plume (Fig. 2c).

Looking for a smoothed \( \text{SO}_2 \) flux solution, we seek the model parameters \( \hat{m} \) that minimise both the \( L_2 \) norm of the misfit function \( (d - Gm) \) (Tarantola, 2005) and the second derivative of \( m \) (e.g. Jónsson, 2002). This modifies the system of equations as follows

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{d}{dt} \\
\frac{1}{K^2 \Delta}
\end{bmatrix}
= G \times m,
\]

where \( \Delta \) represents the discrete Laplace operator and \( K^2 \) the Lagrange multiplier which characterises the degree of smoothing. Since a relevant physical value for the \( \text{SO}_2 \) flux is necessarily positive, an additional constraint of non-negativity of the solution is applied (Lawson and Hanson, 1974). In this inversion procedure, no assumption on the a priori solution is made.

\( K^2 \) is not an adequate quantity to determine the degree of smoothing adapted to the solution, as it depends on the number of data. Therefore, a new quantity is defined, the solution roughness \( \rho \), which represents the average discrete second derivative of \( \hat{m} \):

\[
\rho = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} |p_i|}{N},
\]

with \( p = \Delta \hat{m} \) and \( N \) the number of \( \text{SO}_2 \) flux values. Figure 1 shows how the fit to observations decreases significantly for roughness values \( < 90 \text{th}^{-3} \). However, the misfit remains constant for roughness exceeding a value of \( 100 \text{th}^{-3} \), which is consequently chosen as the optimal roughness in our inversion procedure. The magnitude of the smoothing constraint controls the spread in time (and hence in space) of any given emission peak. As a consequence, peak values of the reconstructed \( \text{SO}_2 \) flux depend on the amount of smoothing, whereas the total mass of the emissions (integral of the flux history) should be less sensitive to this parameter.

In a first attempt, we have performed an inversion using all the available observations in a satellite image. However, the plume intensity modelled according to this procedure generally appears to be underestimated (Fig. 2a). Three reasons explain this discrepancy. First, a small shift in space between modelled and observed plumes may occur, owing to imperfections in the reanalysed meteorological fields (Fig. 3a). The error on the modelled plume location generally increases when the plume gets older, as errors on meteorological variables tend to accumulate with time. Secondly, the numerical diffusion inherent to Eulerian models leads to an overestimation of plume dispersion (Fig. 3b). Thirdly, because of the difficulty to detect low-altitude or very diluted plumes, some parts of the plume may be missed by satellite observations (Fig. 3c). Consequently, null observations should not be systematically interpreted as indications of the actual absence of the plume. Due to the combination of these three phenomena, numerous null observations (i.e. no plume detection) are illegitimately accounted for in the inversion algorithm, resulting in an underestimation of the modelled plume intensity. To circumvent this issue, we perform a decimation of the numerous observations indicating an absence of the plume.
In the following, we will apply the above-described assimilation procedure to either a single satellite image, or to a set of several images. These two procedures will be named “single-” or “multiple-image” inversion, respectively.

2.4 Main sources of uncertainty

2.4.1 IASI retrieval

Several factors may influence the SO$_2$ column amounts retrieved from IASI observations, with impacts on the estimated volcanic gas flux. For instance, the presence of cloud water droplets below the volcanic plume may have an impact on retrieved SO$_2$ abundances. According to Clarisse et al. (2012), this effect is, however, limited to $\sim 2\%$ as long as the plume is not completely obscured by their presence. Hence, the existence of underlying clouds marginally affects the retrieved SO$_2$ flux. On the other hand, the presence of cloud water droplets above the plume may give rise to 45% over-estimation of the SO$_2$ abundance, depending on the cloud aerosol load and altitude (Clarisse et al., 2012). In extreme cases, thick meteorological clouds extinguishing infrared radiations can even mask any underlying SO$_2$ plume. As a consequence, biases on the SO$_2$ retrieval resulting from the presence of meteorological clouds are expected to affect the estimated SO$_2$ flux. However, it is unlikely that the volcanic SO$_2$ plume will permanently travel below clouds. The short revisit time of IASI ($\sim 12\text{ h at the Equator, and less at higher altitudes thanks to overlapping satellite tracks}$) allows for regular observations of a given SO$_2$ parcel during its lifetime as it travels through the atmosphere. Therefore, although critical for a single-image inversion, the multiple-image inversion is expected to reduce the impact of these biases, as the procedure will incorporate various satellite observations of the same parcel of SO$_2$ at different ages, in cloudy but also in non-cloudy scenes. Similarly, the multiple-image inversion also mitigates the impact of gaps in satellite images caused by interruptions in satellite data transmission.

Another important issue is the SO$_2$ detection level of IASI satellite observations, which depends on both the instrument and the retrieval algorithm. Currently, the minimum SO$_2$
column amount detectable from space restricts the analysis of volcanic degassing to eruptive phases where a significant mass of SO$_2$ is expelled from the volcano. Our analysis suggests that a minimum SO$_2$ flux of $\sim 1$ kg s$^{-1}$ is required to exceed the IASI SO$_2$ detection threshold in the dispersed Eyjafjallajökull plume. However, this value is specific to the case studied here, and should vary from one eruption to another, depending mainly on meteorological conditions, plume altitude and latitude, which all impact the IASI retrieval. Generally, detection of the plume will be facilitated by low humidity and emission at high altitude, which favour a longer lifetime of the SO$_2$ plume (Graf et al., 2013), as well as low wind speed leading to a slower dispersion of the plume. Since the IASI SO$_2$ retrieval algorithm used here has limited sensitivity below $\sim 5$ km due to competing water absorption (Clarisse et al., 2012), lower tropospheric SO$_2$ emissions, which often correspond to low levels of degassing, cannot be detected.

Retrieved IASI SO$_2$ abundances may also be affected by the concomitant existence in the volcanic cloud of various particles, including ash, ice and sulfate aerosols. All these absorb infrared radiations in the spectral window used for the SO$_2$ retrieval. If the plume is not completely obscured by their presence, an overestimation of the SO$_2$ abundance is expected with a heavy load in particles (Clarisse et al., 2012). The specific impact of ash on the SO$_2$ retrieval is of interest as the Eyjafjallajökull plume could be ash-rich during the period of May 2010 (Gudmundsson et al., 2012). The presence of a thick layer of ash in the plume can cause a $\sim 20\%$ overestimation of the retrieved SO$_2$ column amount if the ash-rich plume does not become opaque to infrared radiations (Clarisse et al., 2012). This uncertainty mainly depends on the plume ash loading, and will decrease when the plume gets further from the volcano as ashes sediment. Consequently, 20% should represent an upper bound of the resulting uncertainty on the SO$_2$ flux, as the multiple-image inversion is based on satellite observations capturing a SO$_2$ parcel of the plume at various distances/ages from the volcano. Consequently, this bias in the SO$_2$ retrieval, related to the presence of ash, may have a moderate effect on the amplitude of the peaks in the SO$_2$ flux time series but will not affect their timing.

Finally, the rich content of the Eyjafjallajökull volcanic plume in water vapour of magmatic origin (Allard et al., 2010) can affect retrieved SO$_2$ abundances. The algorithm takes into account the water vapour column (from IASI level 2 products) below and above the volcanic plume. The algorithm has an inherently low dependency on the water vapour content though. Large water vapour concentrations within the plume have not been investigated, but will likely affect the retrieved SO$_2$ columns in a similar way as particles, leading to an overestimation of the retrieved SO$_2$.

### 2.4.2 Emission height and plume altitude

Volcanic gases generally tend to rise up to a certain altitude above the source, named here the emission height, prior to being transported and dispersed by atmospheric circulation. For the case of weak emissions, the associated plume may be bent by local wind (Oddsson et al., 2012; Woodhouse et al., 2013). More generally, emission height depends on several parameters, including the composition and temperature of the erupting mixture, the mass eruption rate, the volcano latitude and altitude and the local meteorological field (Sparks et al., 1997).

The influence of emission height on retrieved volcanic flux is twofold. First, the emission height plays a role in the plume simulation, as volcanic gas is introduced in the numerical grid within a certain range of pressure levels. Depending on meteorological conditions, horizontal shearing within the atmospheric column may lead to significant differences in the convection of gas parcels emitted at a given location but different altitudes. Therefore, if emission height is initialised incorrectly, a possible divergence between observed and modelled SO$_2$ maps with increasing plume age may occur. On the other hand, in the case of a poor knowledge of the emission height, one could determine the most likely emission height by comparing the predicted plume trajectory with the actual distribution of gas parcels imaged by satellite imagery using inverse modelling approaches (Eckhardt et al., 2008; Kristiansen et al., 2010; Krotkov et al., 2010). Following this strategy requires considering that the meteorological field is known with good confidence. In the specific case of the Eyjafjallajökull plume of May 2010, no significant divergence in predicted plume trajectories could be found among the different emission heights that have been tested (4 to 8 km). This suggests that the errors on emission trajectory resulting from uncertainty on emission height only produce modest errors on the reconstructed SO$_2$ flux history.

The emission height also conditions the altitude of the plume at distance from the volcano. In order to convert the brightness temperature collected by IASI into a SO$_2$ column amount, an assumption on the plume altitude, which is assumed constant in our retrieval algorithm, is necessary (Sect. 2.2). Since the sensitivity to SO$_2$ decreases below $\sim 10$ km with the algorithm used here, the retrieved IASI SO$_2$ concentration depends strongly on the assumed plume altitude and altitude and the local meteorological field.
Fig. 4. Time series of the SO$_2$ flux released during the Eyjafjallajökull eruption (May 2010) reconstructed using (a to m) single- or (*) multiple-image inversion. Each time series (from a to m) corresponds to a SO$_2$ column amount map gathering IASI measurements acquired over a 12 h time window, centred on the date indicated on the left. The multiple-image inversion scheme integrates all 13 IASI maps. SO$_2$ emissions released more than 3.5 days prior to the first acquisition time of the considered IASI image (grey lines) are not constrained by the inversion procedure.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Robustness of the source reconstruction explored through single- versus multiple-image inversion

In Fig. 4, we show the reconstructed SO$_2$ emissions deduced from the 13 maps of SO$_2$ column amounts derived from available IASI observations, which cover the period 1 May p.m.–12 May a.m. During this period, IASI always detects the Eyjafjallajökull SO$_2$ plume except on 1 May p.m. and 2 May a.m., in agreement with the recorded low level of volcanic activity characterised by low effusive magma discharge (Gudmundsson et al., 2012). Time series a to m displayed in Fig. 4 correspond to the inversion of single maps, i.e. independent of each other (“single-image inversion”). Strong temporal variations of the SO$_2$ flux are apparent in these time series. Observations span the period from 1 to 12 May, but no SO$_2$ emissions can be detected prior to 4 May. From 4 to 12 May, short and recurrent periods of intense degassing (3–7 h) are followed by longer intervals of weak degassing. The time and amplitude of SO$_2$ peaks are remarkably consistent among the different and independent inversions, which demonstrates the robustness of the SO$_2$ flux reconstruction (Fig. 4). This also confirms that heterogeneities observed within the plume by IASI can be mainly explained by temporal variations of the volcanic degassing at the source. Thanks to the short revisit time of IASI, SO$_2$ released during a given event of degassing is captured multiple times during its transit through the atmosphere (Fig. 5). Our inversion procedure procedure enables to associate regions of relatively higher SO$_2$ concentrations in IASI maps to individual peaks of SO$_2$ emissions at the source (Fig. 5).

Nevertheless, minor differences among SO$_2$ flux time series reconstructed from single-image inversions can be observed. The amplitude of most SO$_2$ peaks, as well as the SO$_2$ mass expelled during the associated degassing event, vary by a factor of $\sim 2$. The peak time locations vary on a maximum range of 2 h around the central peak location, which provides a rough estimate of the time resolution of the reconstructed SO$_2$ flux time series. Part of this variability is due to the fact that the single-image inversion suffers from an increase of the uncertainty on the SO$_2$ emissions as plume age increases. Moreover, a few artefactual degassing events can also be observed, as illustrated by the SO$_2$ peak appearing on 6 May at 20:00 UTC in the flux time series retrieved from an IASI image acquired on 10 May (Fig. 4i). This spurious peak is deduced from a $\sim 3.5$ day old plume, which is close to the age limit chosen in Sect. 2.3 to select observations taken into account in the inversion scheme. The error in the timing of the emission associated with this peak results from the difficulty of deducing valuable information on the source from the observation of the older part of the plume. For this case, the residual SO$_2$ column amount anomaly appears spread and uniform as a result of dispersion and shearing of the plume during its atmospheric transit.

To avoid these shortcomings, we assimilate the full set of available satellite observations into a multiple-image inversion procedure (Fig. 4, *). This approach allows for the retrieval of SO$_2$ emissions with a higher accuracy on intensity and an improved temporal resolution. The reconstructed SO$_2$ emissions span the full period of available IASI observations (1 May p.m.–12 May a.m.) and the integral of the...
Fig. 5. Comparison of observed and modelled atmospheric evolution of the Eyjafjallajökull plume in May 2010. Data: maps of SO$_2$ column amounts (CA in DU) derived from the processing of IASI satellite observations acquired over a 12 h time window, centred on the date indicated above. Model: maps of SO$_2$ CA simulated with CHIMERE chemistry-transport model, using the source reconstructed by multiple-image inversion (bottom plot). Regions in grey indicate column amounts less than 0.5 DU, which corresponds to the detection threshold in IASI processing (see Sect. 2.2 for details). Source: time series of the SO$_2$ flux (kt h$^{-1}$) retrieved from the inversion procedure using the 13 IASI images available for the period from 1 to 12 May 2010 (numbered (a) to (m) and indicated with arrows). The complete time series of observed and modelled maps is available in the Appendix (Figs. A1 and A2). As illustrated by a few examples, peaks in the SO$_2$ flux create dense puffs in the plume which can be tracked up to 6 days after their emission.

flux history indicates that Eyjafjallajökull volcano emitted a total mass of SO$_2$ of $\sim$ 0.17 Tg (170 kt) during this period. Despite an uneven sampling in time due to a few missing acquisitions (2 May p.m., 3 May, 4 May a.m., 5 May a.m., 6 May, incomplete map for 8 May a.m.), the resolution appears to be relatively uniform. From the comparison of the flux histories derived from single- and multiple-image inversions (Fig. 4), we observe that more weight is attributed in the multiple-image inversion scheme to observations of young plume parts. Young parcels are generally the densest in SO$_2$, which gives them a significant role for minimising the overall residual of the fit to data in the inversion scheme. Since both errors on meteorological data and overestimation of modelled dispersion increase with plume age, the location and the intensity of young SO$_2$ parcels are modelled with a better accuracy than older parts of plume. Therefore, multiple-image inversion allows for pooling of the observations of young plume parts from each single image to provide a more accurate reconstructed source.

3.2 Linking in-plume heterogeneities to the temporal variability of the volcanic source

In Fig. 5, we show selected maps of observed and modelled SO$_2$ column amounts from the multiple-image inversion. The complete time series of plume maps is available in Figs. A1 and A2. At least nine well-defined peaks of SO$_2$ emission are deduced from the time series inversion. This highlights the strong variability of volcanic emissions during the Eyjafjallajökull May 2010 eruption. The strongest peaks correspond to spatially separated SO$_2$ parcels that can be tracked for up to 6 days after their emission, which represents a long lifetime for tropospheric SO$_2$. After 6 days, these old SO$_2$ puffs generally disappear from the maps as their intensity decreases below IASI detection threshold. We are also able to reproduce the entrainment of the plume in a large vortex over the Atlantic Ocean, leading to considerable shearing and mixing of SO$_2$ emissions (e.g. Fig. 5f–h). Moreover, due to the specific meteorological field that prevailed at the time of the eruption, SO$_2$ emissions released on 5–6 May are transported back to Iceland after travelling several thousands of kilometres, and overlap with younger emissions around
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10 May (Fig. 5g and h). Thanks to the criterion establishing a threshold on the age of modelled emissions used in the inversion (Sect. 2.3), we are able to rigorously reconstruct the source by discriminating between older and younger emissions. Despite the overestimation of plume dispersion inherent to Eulerian models (linked to numerical diffusion), the location, spatial extent and intensity of the simulated volcanic cloud using the reconstructed source is in strong agreement with observations. These various lines of evidence demonstrate the robustness of the approach used in this study to refine our ability to model the fate of volcanic plumes.

### 3.3 Comparison of the temporal evolutions of SO$_2$ versus ash fluxes

No ground techniques allowing for the continuous monitoring of gas emissions were operational for Eyjafjallajökull during its 2010 eruption (Laursen, 2010). In contrast, the significant release of ash, which is another major component of volcanic emissions during explosive eruptions, was studied in detail (Gudmundsson et al., 2012; Stohl et al., 2011). The comparison of ash and SO$_2$ release rates at Eyjafjallajökull is consequently not only of interest to assess the validity of our SO$_2$ source reconstruction but also to explore the underlying magma dynamics driving the eruption. However, such a comparison is not straightforward, as ash and SO$_2$ releases respond to distinct (though related) processes. Gases control the degree of explosivity of an eruption and subsequently the associated formation of ash. Explosive eruptions occur when magma decompresses as it rises toward the surface, allowing for dissolved volatiles to exsolve into gas bubbles. It is commonly assumed that magma fragmentation can occur when bubbles occupy $\sim 70$–$80$ vol% of the erupting mixture (Cashman et al., 2000). When fragmentation occurs, violently expanding bubbles tear the magma apart into fragments which are ejected into the atmosphere, where they solidify into ash particles. Therefore, if peaks in the ash release rate are observed during a specific eruptive episode, it can be expected that peaks in the gas emission rate have occurred simultaneously. However, gas can be released from the volcano without being accompanied by ash-rich explosions, for instance if processes of gas-melt separation occur during magma ascent (Gonnermann and Manga, 2007; Edmonds, 2008).

Figure 6 shows the temporal evolution of Eyjafjallajökull SO$_2$ degassing determined by this study and the ash release rate estimated by Stohl et al. (2011). These authors developed an inverse modelling approach to reconstruct the altitude and the rate of ash emissions at Eyjafjallajökull, combining ash satellite observations (from SEVIRI and IASI) with the Lagrangian particle dispersion model FLEXPART. Broad similarities are observed between the two time series, with major episodes of ash and SO$_2$ discharge detected quasi-simultaneously in the period 6–12 May, and low emissions before 4 May. From 6 to 8 May inclusive, amplitudes of ash and SO$_2$ peaks, relative to the baseline estimated respectively at $\sim 1$ t s$^{-1}$ and $\sim 0.1$ ktha$^{-1}$ from 1 to 3 May, are in agreement. Episodes of SO$_2$ degassing are nevertheless of shorter duration than concurrent ash release events, possibly owing to the higher time resolution of the inversion procedure developed in the present study. This similarity illustrates the robustness of the SO$_2$ source reconstruction. More generally, to our knowledge, such a similarity between ash and SO$_2$ release rates has never been quantitatively highlighted at a volcano before, certainly due to the difficulty to simultaneously monitor ash and gas emissions. Hence, this similarity can be considered as observational proof that ash and SO$_2$ can present the same overall evolution during an explosive eruption, as would be theoretically expected.

Nevertheless, some discrepancies are also noticed between the time series of ash and SO$_2$ release rates. Uncertainties associated with inversion procedures, including errors on the retrieval of satellite observations and on plume modelling, may explain this discrepancy. Depending on the style of eruptive activity, we also expect SO$_2$ and ash releases to exhibit a distinct behaviour. From 9 to 12 May, SO$_2$ emissions are relatively twice weaker than ash, with average SO$_2$ and ash emission rates respectively in excess within a factor 3 and 6 of baseline values. A constant plume altitude of 7 km is assumed in the IASI retrieval, whereas emission height seems to progressively decrease down to $\sim 4$ km from 8 to 12 May according to combined radar and web camera observations (Petersen et al., 2012). By comparing outputs from IASI retrieval assuming two different Eyjafjallajökull plume altitudes of 5 and 7 km (IASI being almost insensitive to emissions $< 5$ km), we observe variations of SO$_2$ column amounts within a factor of 2–3. Therefore, this assumed overestimated plume height may lead to an underestimation of the same order of the SO$_2$ flux at source reconstructed by inversion, and could explain the factor $\sim 2$ observed between ash and SO$_2$ emission rates.

However, errors on plume altitude cannot be invoked to explain the strikingly different behaviours of SO$_2$ and ash discharge on 4 and 5 May. After several days of low volcanic activity, an intense degassing episode (peaking at $\sim 0.4$ ktha$^{-1}$) is recorded on 4 May a.m., a few hours before an ash release event reaching $\sim 2$ t s$^{-1}$. It is followed by a few hours of low SO$_2$ degassing (under the detection level) before an abrupt and significant SO$_2$ release of $\sim 0.7$ ktha$^{-1}$ in the early hours of 5 May, which coincides with weak ash emissions. Strong values of the SO$_2$ flux, increasing between 0.15 and 0.8 ktha$^{-1}$, are also recorded on 5 May p.m., followed by a few hours of quiescence preceding the largest SO$_2$ degassing episode for the studied period, which peaks on 6 May around 8 a.m. at 2.1 ktha$^{-1}$. The behaviour of ash discharge is different, with weak emissions until the end of 5 May, and a more or less abrupt increase (depending on the meteorological field used to force the simulation) culminating on 6 May with the major ash event of the period characterised by a rate of $\sim 20$ t s$^{-1}$. These different release behaviours of ash and
SO$_2$ could be compatible with processes of gas/melt separation during magma ascent in the days preceding the major explosive phase on 6 May (Gonnermann and Manga, 2007; Edmonds, 2008).

### 3.4 Forecasting volcanic plume evolution

The stability of the source reconstructed retrospectively through single-map inversions demonstrates that the transit of in-plume heterogeneities through the atmosphere can be modelled reliably over a timescale of several days (Fig. 4). This result suggests that forecasting the fate of the volcanic cloud could be readily achieved by sequential data assimilation. Figure 7 shows a comparison between, on the one hand, the forecasts initialised using the source reconstructed by the inversion of the 7 May 2010 image, and, on the other hand, independent images acquired on 9 and 10 May 2010 (+2 days and +3 days, respectively). This simulation mimics the situation that could prevail at the beginning of a volcanic crisis, when only one image of the plume would be available in the few hours following the inception of the eruption. The entrainment of the plume in the Atlantic vortex at +2 days is correctly described, although a slight advance of the modelled plume front can be noticed. A broad agreement between model and data is reached with the forecast at +3 days, including the appropriate description of an elongated old part of the plume over thousands of kilometres from North Africa to Iceland. These agreements further demonstrate the robustness of the source reconstruction as the forecasts are compared with independent IASI images, i.e. images that were not used in the inverse modelling procedure to constrain the source. Nevertheless, we note some shift between the location of the modelled and observed plume fronts due to the slight error on plume front location already visible on the previous day. The CHIMERE model is forced here by re-analysed WRF meteorological fields. We consequently do not expect the same degree of agreement for a near-real-time analysis, where only prognostic meteorological fields would be available. Other differences between observations and simulations are not linked to the quality of the forecast but rather result from the renewed discharge of SO$_2$ by Eyjafjallajökull on 8 and 9 May, which mixes in the atmosphere with older emissions. Alternatively, assuming a constant SO$_2$ flux in the time interval following the last satellite observation could help to achieve a more conservative forecast. In the near future, significant improvements in the reliability of volcanic plume forecasts will likely be achieved thanks to the planned acceleration of revisit time and increased sensitivity of future spaceborne gas sounders (Veefkind et al., 2012; Clerbaux and Crevoisier, 2013), as well as ever-advancing progress in operational meteorology.

### 4 Conclusions and perspectives

This paper illustrates how a simple inversion procedure combining IASI satellite observations and the chemistry-transport model CHIMERE permits for retrospective reconstruction of the SO$_2$ flux history at Eyjafjallajökull in May 2010 with a temporal resolution of $\sim$2 h. This method could more generally be developed with any satellite product. In this approach, the synergy of short-revisit-time satellite observations and accurate modelling of atmospheric dynamics make a priori assumptions on the emissions unnecessary. This is a major advantage when little information is available on the volcanic source, which is generally the case. The
The stability of the source reconstructed by single- or multiple-image inversions demonstrates the robustness of the method, as well as the agreement over the timescale of a few days between observed and modelled location, extent and internal structures of the volcanic SO$_2$ cloud. The broad similarity between SO$_2$ (this study) and ash (Stohl et al., 2011) emissions also supports the consistency of the retrieved SO$_2$ source.

As SO$_2$ represents an important indicator for the volcanic activity, the reconstruction of the volcanic SO$_2$ flux from spaceborne data assimilation opens new perspectives for the monitoring of poorly instrumented volcanoes. A variety of satellite sensors are available for capturing the atmospheric dynamics of a volcanic SO$_2$ plume. While IR sensors (e.g. IASI, AIRS) provide images covering the full breadth of SO$_2$ plumes every 12 h, UV-spaceborne observations (e.g. OMI) are less frequent but can potentially detect SO$_2$ at lower altitude (McCormick et al., 2013). Nevertheless, only ground-based methods relying on UV differential optical absorption spectroscopy are currently sensitive enough to estimate the low SO$_2$ fluxes that characterise pre-eruptive phases (e.g. Boichu et al., 2010). However, these methods reach their effective limit during explosive phases. In such conditions, even if the ground installations have been spared by the eruption, the ash-rich plume may become opaque to UV, leading to a failure of spectroscopic methods. Moreover, in contrast to IR satellite imagery, UV spectroscopic methods do not operate during night-time. Therefore, gathering both ground-based and spaceborne UV/IR SO$_2$ measurements is crucial to continuously monitor the volcanic SO$_2$ flux from quiescent through to eruptive periods. This study opens the path for such developments in the near future.

No ground instruments were installed on Eyjafjallajökull, at the time of the 2010 eruption, for monitoring gas emissions. Consequently, the reconstructed bi-hourly resolved time series of the SO$_2$ flux presented in this paper brings new information on the volcanic and magmatic activities at Eyjafjallajökull in May 2010. Coupling these new geochemical data with available geophysical and petrological observations should make it possible to reinvestigate the processes responsible for changes in the volcanic behaviour observed during this eruptive phase.

SO$_2$ satellite observations are often used to perform ash plume forecasts, as SO$_2$ is generally considered as a good proxy for the presence of ash in a volcanic cloud (Carn et al., 2009). However, whereas the two species are generally co-located in the volcanic cloud, they can sometimes follow separate trajectories in the atmosphere (Prata et al., 2010). If such an event is not detected, it may represent a considerable hazard for air traffic. In this paper, we show that the proposed inversion method, once implemented in a sequential data assimilation mode, could help to improve the reliability of delivered forecasts of SO$_2$ volcanic plumes. Little would be required to develop a similar inversion approach for reconstructing ash emissions in order to carry out a combined analysis of SO$_2$ and ash plumes, which is the best method to rigorously follow the fate of a volcanic plume in the atmosphere.

Fig. 7. Forecast at (middle) +2 days and (bottom) +3 days of the SO$_2$ plume using the source reconstructed from (top) the IASI image acquired on 7 May 2010 on a 12 h time window centred at 12:00 UTC (source e in Fig. 4).
Fig. A1. Complete time series of observed and modelled maps, numbered a to m in Fig. 5, which illustrates the atmospheric evolution of the Eyjafjallajökull plume from 1 to 12 May 2010. The reader is referred to the legend of Fig. 5 for details.
Fig. A2. Continuation of Fig. A1 including maps numbered h to m.
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