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Abstract—The wireless measurement of various physical quantities from the analysis of the RADAR Cross Sections (RCS) variability of passive electromagnetic sensors is presented. A millimetre-wave Frequency-Modulated Continuous-Wave (FMCW) RADAR is used for both remote sensing and wireless identification of sensors. The RCS variability measurement has been successfully applied to the remote estimation of other physical quantities, such as temperature and pressure. We have not found reports published before our first papers where the physical quantity is directly used for the remote derivation of the applied pressure changes. The wireless sensing technique based on RCS-variability measurement has been successfully applied to the remote estimation of other physical quantities, such as temperature and pressure. The wireless identification technique based on RCS-variability measurement has been successfully applied to the remote estiamtion of other physical quantities, such as temperature and pressure. The wireless identification technique based on RCS-variability measurement has been successfully applied to the remote estimation of other physical quantities, such as temperature and pressure.

I. INTRODUCTION

The wireless measurement of a physical quantity from the analysis of the RADAR Cross Section (RCS) variability of passive sensors was proposed for the first time by the authors in 2008 [1] while the proof-of-concept was demonstrated in 2010 [2]. A Frequency-Modulated Continuous-Wave (FMCW) RADAR was used for the measurement of pressure-dependent RCS variation and for the remote derivation of the applied pressure changes. The wireless sensing technique based on RCS-variability measurement has been successfully applied to the remote estimation of other physical quantities, such as temperature and pressure. We have not found reports published before our first papers where the physical quantity is directly used for the RCS amplitude modulation of passive electromagnetic (EM) sensors and then remotely measured from FMCW RADAR interrogation. Recently Mandel et al. [8] has reported a very similar technique applied to strain sensors.

The wireless identification of passive and chipless EM sensors may be based on multi-band microwave resonators for encoding data into a specific spectral signature or microwave barcode [9]-[11]. By using an Ultra-WideBand (UWB) interrogator, such barcode may be remotely read. An alternative approach consists of creating a low-frequency barcode in the beat frequency (or Intermediate Frequency) spectrum synthesized by the FMCW RADAR [12]. The wireless identification of sensors may then be based on FMCW RADAR measurement of the time-arrivals of multiple echoes controlled by delay lines. This approach features the advantage of eliminating the challenging fabrication of UWB readers requiring, e.g., an Analog-to-Digital Converter with very high sampling rate. Our wireless system uses the same FMCW RADAR reader for both remote sensing and sensors identification. As shown in this communication it is convenient for long reading ranges (up to some decameters) but does not yet yield, at least in its present version, to high measurement resolution of physical quantities.

II. PASSIVE CHIPLESS DEVICES FOR WIRELESS SENSING

Passive (battery-less) and wireless sensors are very good candidates for measuring physical quantities in harsh environment (e.g., high radiation or extreme temperature) and/or for applications requiring sensing devices with low-cost of fabrication, small size and long-term measurement stability. In 2007 the authors report the first passive pressure sensing device based on an electromagnetic (EM) transduction [13]. The EM sensing device converts the variation of an applied pressure into a variation of millimetre-wave resonant frequency of a resonator. In general passive EM sensing devices convert the variation of a physical quantity (such as, e.g., pressure or temperature) into a known-specific variation of a given electromagnetic wave descriptor. These devices are battery-free and chipless. Based on the EM transduction the first gas sensor was presented in 2004 by Grath et al. [14] and the first strain sensor was reported in 2005 by Chuang et al. [15]. Unlike devices based on Surface Acoustic Waves (see, e.g., [16]-[19]) EM sensing devices do not require electromagnetic-to-acoustic wave conversion and consequently avoid high losses involved in this conversion (the survey of original passive EM sensing devices developed by the authors since 2007 is reported in [20]).

The remote sensing technique based on the RCS variability measurement described in the next Section is applied, for illustration purposes, to a passive EM pressure-sensing device. This device is composed of a high resistivity silicon membrane and a planar half-wavelength resonator deposited inside a circular Pyrex cavity (see Figure 1). The membrane and the resonator are separated by a thin air slab.
Electromagnetic coupling between the resonant mode in the planar resonator and the transverse stationary waves in the dielectric membrane takes place through the evanescent transverse EM field in the air slab. A pressure force applied to the membrane generates a deflection, modifies the air slab thickness, which consequently alters the electromagnetic coupling. As a result the resonant frequency of the sensing device is shifted (~1GHz/µm between 0.25µm and 6µm [21]). High sensitivity of the resonant frequency to the applied pressure may be obtained due to the abrupt spatial variation of the transverse evanescent EM field in the air slab. The sensor exhibits a measured sensitivity of 370MHz/bar between 0 to 3 bars [22].

Fig. 1 : The first passive pressure-sensing device based on electromagnetic transduction and operating in the millimetre-wave frequency range (dimensions: 3.8mm x 5.8mm x 1.4mm): (a) top view of the planar half-wavelength resonator deposited inside a circular Pyrex cavity and (b) top view of the high resistivity silicon membrane placed at the top of the planar resonator.

III. REMOTE READING AND IDENTIFICATION OF PASSIVE EM SENSORS BASED ON THE RCSs VARIABILITY MEASUREMENT

A solution for the remote sensing and wireless identification of passive and chipless sensors in a wireless network consists of allocating a unique and controlled spectral distribution of RCSs to each sensor. Such specific RCSs distribution may be used as a signature of the sensor, as proposed in [9][10] while analyzing the RCS variation at a particular frequency –or else, tracking the frequency for pressure may be obtained due to the abrupt spatial variation of the transverse evanescent EM field in the air slab. The sensor exhibits a measured sensitivity of 370MHz/bar between 0 to 3 bars [22].

A. Remote Sensing based on FMCW RADAR Reader

In FMCW RADAR, the transmitted signal (transmitted power $P_T$) or chirp has a linear sawtooth variation of frequency with time. For such modulation the frequency is tuned linearly as a function of time by using a Voltage-Controlled Oscillator (VCO). The chirp of carrier frequency $f_c$, bandwidth (or excursion frequency) $\Delta f$ and sawtooth modulation period $T_b$ is radiated by using a transmitting antenna (gain $G_T$) and is back-scattered by the target or scatterer. In free-space the back-scattered signal or echo consists of an attenuated replica of the chirp delayed by the two-way propagation delay $\Delta t=2R/c$ where $R$ designates the range and $c$ denotes the vacuum celerity of light. The instantaneous frequency difference between the chirp and its delayed replica is constant and given by $2AF\Delta t/T_b$. In order to measure this difference and deriving the range $R$ the echo received by the RADAR receiving antenna (Gain $G_R$) is mixed with the transmitted chirp (homodyne principle). An Analog-to-Digital conversion and Fast Fourier Transform by Hamming windowing at the mixer output signal are finally performed for obtaining the beat frequency-or Intermediate Frequency- spectrum. A millimetre-wave carrier frequency for the FMCW RADAR is preferred here to a lower frequency. As a matter of fact, higher frequencies allow for reducing the sensor and antenna sizes and/or designing directional (high gain) antennas for beamforming, multi-beam (for increasing interrogation beam width) or beam-steering RADAR reader. Moreover, higher frequency improves the sensor immunity to objects located at its vicinity by increasing the electrical length separation distance to them. Additionally using millimetre-wave carrier frequency offers high bandwidth $\Delta F$: as range resolution scales as $1/\Delta F$, higher bandwidth enables spread-spectrum for identification of greater number of sensors in a wireless network. In the proof-on-concept experiment dated on 2010 [2], a millimetre-wave FMCW RADAR operating at $f=29.45$GHz ($AF=650$ MHz, $T_b=1$ms, $P_T=13$dBm=$20mW$, $G_T=14$dB and $G_R=14$dB) is used for the remote derivation of applied pressure from the measurement of the RCS of passive sensor. Such sensor was composed of an antenna (Gain $G_a=20$dB at the carrier frequency) connected to a 50Ω coaxial cable of physical length $L=1m$ and relative permittivity $\varepsilon_r=1.7$ (or refractive index $n=1.3$), which is in turn connected to one port of the pressure EM sensing device described in Section II. This cable could be replaced by a millimetre-wave delay line providing a propagation delay of 4.3ns at the carrier frequency over a 2% bandwidth. The other port of the sensing device is loaded by 50Ω. The transmitted chirp interrogates the sensor, placed at a distance $R=1.4m$ from the RADAR antennas, at a frequency near the resonant frequency of the sensing device. The pressure is applied on sensing device via a nozzle placed at 40µm high from the thin sensing device membrane.

Figure 2 displays the beat frequency spectrum synthesized by the millimetre-wave FMCW RADAR reader when no pressure is applied. As expected this spectrum exhibits two spikes or echoes at specific frequencies: at the beat frequency $f_{\text{echo}}=12$KHz=$2(\Delta F/T_b)(2R/c)$, the echo level $A_{\text{echo}}$ corresponds to the backscattering from the sensor antenna while the second echo level $A_{\text{pair}}$ occurring at a beat frequency $f_{\text{pair}}=24$KHz=$2(\Delta F/T_b)(2R+nL)/c$ is associated with the reflection of the millimetre wave on the sensing
device after reception by the sensor antenna and propagation inside the coaxial cable.

Fig. 2: Measured beat frequency spectrum synthesized by the RADAR reader when the pressure is not applied on the sensing device

As displayed in Figure 3 the echo level $A_{str}$ does not depend on the applied pressure (the echo level accuracy is estimated at $\pm 0.5 \text{dB}$) and is called the structural scattering mode: it is not useful for the remote measurement of the applied pressure but the corresponding beat frequency $f_{str}$ allows the remote derivation of the sensor range with a resolution $c/2\Delta f \approx 23\text{cm}$.

Moreover, as shown in Figure 4, the echo level $A_{ant}$ depends on the applied pressure. It is called the antenna scattering mode. Between 0 and $2.5\text{bars}$ the measured sensitivity is $0.8\text{dBm/Bar}$. The full-scale echo measurement range is $5\text{dBm}$ when the applied pressure ranges from 0 to $3.5\text{bars}$. When a pressure is applied on the membrane of the sensing device, the RCS of antenna-scattering-mode is varied, received back-scattered power $P_R$ changes and as a result echo level $A_{ant}$ is modified. The measurement of this modification allows, at least in principle, the remote estimation of the applied pressure variation. This summarizes the wireless reading principle based on the FMCW RADAR measurement of sensor-RCS variation. The unambiguous identification of echoes associated with the structural and antenna scattering modes among the multiple spikes in the beat frequency spectrum (as shown in Figure 2) is possible only for sensor having a structural-scattering-mode RCS sufficiently high, that is, providing an echo $A_{str}$ significantly higher than the other peaks. It is the limitation of the proposed technique in its present form. The beat frequency $f_{str}$ can then be derived and since the delay between the structural- and antenna-scattering-modes is known (fixed by the delay line), the location in the spectrum of the antenna-scattering-mode beat frequency $f_{ant}$ can be deduced.

Fig. 4: Measured echo level of passive EM pressure sensor versus applied pressure at the beat frequency $f_{str}$ of the antenna scattering mode.

Following the proposed remote sensing technique based on RCS-variability measurement, the passive EM sensor yields to a full-scale echo measurement range $\Delta A = -10\log_{10}(A_2/A_1)$ (in dB) [or equivalently a full scale RCS range $\Delta \sigma = \sigma_2 - \sigma_1$ (in dB)] for a physical quantity range $\Delta \sigma = \phi_2 - \phi_1$. Consider a passive scatterer composed of a horn antenna ($G_{horn}=20\text{dB}$ and size $30\text{mm} \times 40\text{mm}$) loaded by a passive EM having an input impedance of $50\Omega$ when $\phi=\phi_1$ and $0\Omega$ when $\phi=\phi_2$. The RADAR transmitting antenna operating at $29.75\text{GHz}$ is a parabolic antenna ($G_r=35\text{dB}$) and the RADAR receiving antenna is horn antenna ($G_r=14\text{dB}$). The transmitted power is $P_e=13\text{dBm}$ ($20\text{mW}$). In this out-door experiment the distance between the RADAR and the loaded scatterer is $R=30\text{m}$ (Figure 5). The Figure 6 displays the resulting measured low-frequency spectrum provided by the millimetre-wave FMCW RADAR reader when $\phi=\phi_1$ and $\phi=\phi_2$. The sensitivity of the reader or minimum detectable received power is $-70\text{dBm}$. At the expected beat frequency $260kHz=2(\Delta f/T_\delta)(2R/c)$, $(\Delta f=650\text{MHz}$ and $T_\delta=1\text{ms}$) a high echo level is observed when $\phi=\phi_2$. 
Fig. 5: Outdoor environment for the measurement of the beat frequency spectrum synthesized by a FMCW RADAR operating at 29.75 GHz. The scatterer is placed on the ground surface at 30m from the RADAR.

A low but still measurable echo level of $-48\text{dBm}$ (just above the noise level $A_{\text{noise}}=-50\text{dBm}$) is measured when $\phi=\phi_1$ (as expected, if the scatterer is removed or is moved away from the RADAR, this echo level disappears at $260\text{kHz}$). The full-scale measurement range at 30m is then found to be $\Delta A=10\text{dB}$. Since the accuracy on the echo level measurement is here $\delta A=\pm 1\text{dB}$, the resulting resolution $\delta A/\Delta A$ is low (approximately $10\%$). SAW devices sensors offer high measurement resolution but with reading range significantly lower.

Fig. 6: Measured beat frequency spectrum in the outdoor environment shown in Fig. 4 for two values of the physical quantity $\phi$: - - - for $\phi=\phi_1$ (or equivalently, the scatterer is loaded by $50\Omega$); — for $\phi=\phi_2$ (or equivalently, the scatterer is short-circuited).

B. Remote Identification of Passive EM Sensors using FMCW RADAR Reader

The FMCW-RADAR reader used for the remote reading of passive sensors can also be utilized for identification purposes. When the passive sensor is composed of an antenna connected to a transmission line (or delay line) which loads in turn the sensing device, the measurement of the difference between the beat frequencies of the antenna-scattering-mode $f_{sc}$ and structural-scattering-mode $f_{st}$ allows the remote estimation of the transmission line electrical length (or propagation delay). By allocating a specific electrical length (or delay) to each sensor in a wireless network, this simple measurement may be used, at least in principle, for identification purpose. In the proof-of-concept experiment reported recently by the authors in [12] the identification is based on an antenna connected to multiple transmission lines, each being loaded by various fixed impedances. All transmission lines used in this experiment are $50\Omega$ coaxial lines filled with dielectric material of relative permittivity $\varepsilon_r=1.7$ and having $2\text{dB/m}$ of losses. The setup is composed of two horn antennas at distances $R_1=1\text{m}$ and $R_2=2.5\text{m}$ from the RADAR antennas (see Figure 7).

Fig. 7: (a) Proof-of-concept set-up for demonstrating the feasibility of the wireless identification of passive sensors based on the millimetre-wave FMCW-RADAR used for remote sensing and (b) Schematic of the experimental set-up (the load impedance $Z_i$ is infinite).

One horn antenna is connected to a coaxial cable denoted by cable 1 of physical length $L_1=30\text{cm}$ which is loaded by an open-circuit (the load impedance $Z_1$ is infinite). The second horn antenna is connected to a coaxial cable denoted by cable 2 of physical length $L_2=75\text{cm}$. This cable is in turn connected to the input port of a splitter. One splitter output is directly connected to an open-circuit (the load impedance $Z_2$ is infinite) while the second output is connected to a coaxial
cable (cable 3) of physical length $L_3=75$ cm which is loaded by an open-circuit (the load impedance $Z_3$ is infinite). Figure 8 displays the resulting beat frequency spectrum synthesized by the millimetre-wave FMCW RADAR Reader ($f_0=29.75$ GHz, $\Delta f = 700$ MHz and $T_r = 1$ ms). As expected five echoes are detected in this spectrum: two echoes (at 9 kHz and 23 kHz) correspond to the time-arrivals of structural scattering mode of the two horn antennas while the three others (at 14 kHz, 33 kHz and 43 kHz) are associated with the reflection of the millimetre-wave on the loads $Z_1$, $Z_2$ and $Z_5$. The unique and controlled distribution of these peaks can then be advantageously used for the remote identification of the scatterer.

![Graph showing beat frequency spectrum](image)

**Fig. 8**: Beat frequency spectrum synthesized by the millimetre-wave FMCW RADAR Reader when interrogating the scatterer shown in Fig. 5.

**IV. CONCLUSION**

The use of a millimetre-wave FMCW Radar reader for both the wireless reading and identification of passive EM sensors has been presented in this communication. It is shown that the wireless measurement of various physical quantities is possible from the analysis of the RADAR Cross Sections variability of passive electromagnetic sensors. Additionally the same FMCW RADAR can be used for identifying the passive sensors in a wireless network. However, the measurement resolution is poor (typically 10% of the full-scale measurement range). An alternative solution would consist of tracking in the beat frequency spectrum the frequency at which the RCS reaches its maximum value as the physical quantity varies [24][26]. This solution, under investigation by the authors, may achieve higher measurement resolution while not significantly alters the reading range.
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