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ABSTRACT

We show how nuclear magnetic spin-lattice relaxation dispersion of protons-water (NMRD) can be used to elucidate the effect of cellulose ethers on water retention and hydration delay of freshly-mixed white cement pastes. NMRD is useful to determine the surface diffusion coefficient of water, the specific area and the hydration kinetics of the cement-based material. In spite of modifications of the solution’s viscosity, we show that the cellulosic derivatives do not modify the surface diffusion coefficient of water. Thus, the mobility of water present inside the medium is not affected by the presence of polymer. However, these admixtures modify significantly the surface fraction of mobile water molecules transiently present at solid surfaces. This quantity measured, for the first time, for all admixed cement pastes is thus relevant to explain the water retention mechanism.
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1. Introduction

Cellulose ethers (CE) are well known water-soluble semi-synthetic polymers derived from cellulose, the most abundant polysaccharide in nature. This polymer is build up from 1,4-anhydroglucose units linked through β-1,4 glycoside bonds. Among the various derivatives of this polymer, hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose (HPMC, Fig. 1a), hydroxyethyl methyl cellulose (HEMC, Fig. 1b) and hydroxyethyl cellulose (HEC, Fig. 1c) are extensively used in the formulation of various industrial products encountered in food stuffs, pharmaceuticals and building materials. For instance, the presence of cellulose ethers (CE) in mortars enhances drastically the water-retention [1]. Some experimental devices have been designed to evidence such water retention on a macroscopic lengthscale (Fig. 2). This specific property of CE is crucial to achieve sufficient mortar-substrate adhesion when the mortar is applied in thin layers on highly absorbent substrates. Other properties are also affected by CE, such as the delay of hydration and setting [2-3]. Some HPMC, HEMC and HEC were characterized in terms of their effect on mortar water retention [4-5]. A few recent works have been concerned with the remarkable mechanism of water retention capacity. A significant influence of the polymer molecular parameters like molecular weight and substitution degrees was evidenced [5], but no clear water retention mechanism could be clearly identified. During evaporation, no admixture loss is occurring, due to the very low vapour pressure of this admixture and a decrease in the water chemical potential occurs [6]. A decrease of water mobility due to the jamming of the diffusion space by the polymer molecules is even expected [7, 8]. However, a recent pulsed gradient field NMR study proved that the macroscopic bulk water self diffusion coefficient is not modified in CE solution or in admixed cement pastes [9]. Moreover, the interdiffusion imaging experiments demonstrated also that the water diffusion at the paste interface is not affected by the presence of cellulosic admixture [9]. So, the possibility of a potential microscopic diffusion barrier specifically at the cement hydrates interfaces is still
controversial and the origin of the mechanism of water retention due to CE admixture is still an open question.

The aim of the present work is precisely to answer the latter question and to propose a realistic mechanism for the water retention in presence of CE in mortars. To limit the interaction between mineral components, the system was reduced to a white cement paste. We propose another NMR measurement to sense the proton species dynamics specifically at the solid interface. Our primary measurement here is the magnetic field dependence or relaxation dispersion of the proton spin-lattice relaxation rate constant $1/T_1$ (NMRD, [10, 11]) in neat (without CE) and CE-admixed white cement pastes. For cement pastes, the NMRD technique is neither invasive nor destructive because one measures only the proton NMR response coming from the mixing water itself. This technique has proven useful to give a direct reliable value of the specific surface area of a cement-based material [12]. The remarkable features of the relaxation dispersion support an interpretation in terms of coupled solid/liquid relaxation at pore interfaces, surface diffusion and nuclear paramagnetic relaxation. The measurement is sufficiently fast to be applied continuously during the progressive hydration and setting of the material. In this study, we show the time evolution of the NMR-based specific surface area and the amount of water transiently present at the solid and growing interface. We also discuss the effects of the different CE and Starch Ether (SE) used on the surface diffusion coefficient and on the relative amount of water transiently present at the solid and growing interface.

2. Experiments

2.1. Mineral and organic products

Cement pastes were prepared with white cement in order to facilitate NMR relaxation experiments. However, we showed before by electronic spin resonance (ESR) that
paramagnetic $Fe^{3+}$ ions were clearly present in white cement (see Fig. 6 in ref. [12]). The amount of such paramagnetic ferric ions was quantitatively evaluated through a double integration of this calibrated ESR spectrum [12]. Chemical analysis of this cement was performed by X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy (XRF). The phase compositions were calculated using Bogue’s formula with a correction on CaO due to sulfates [13]. The cement composition is given in Table 1.

The admixtures used are cellulose ethers ($CE$) of chemical structures given in Fig. 1. The admixture amount was equal to 0.27% (compared to cement). The characteristics of the main physical-chemistry properties and labels used for the cellulose ethers studied are summarized in Table 2. The number of substituted hydroxyl groups per anhydroglucose unit is expressed as degree of substitution ($DS$). Moreover the molar ratio of alkoxy groups in the side chains to cellulose is expressed as the average molecular substitution ($MS$) [14]. The cellulose ether weight-average molecular mass ($\bar{M}_w$) was determined using size exclusion chromatography [5, 15]. We have prepared different samples with increased molecular mass $\bar{M}_w = \{225, 630, 910\}$ kDa labeled J1, J2 and J3, respectively (Table 2). Others starch ethers were also investigated, those are polymers providing very different water retentions (Table 3).

2.2. Water retention

For water retention measurements, mortars were prepared according to the CEReM mixture proportions consisting in 65% sand, 30% ordinary Portland cement (CEM I 52.5 R), 5% calcareous filler with a water to cement ratio $w/c=1$ [16]. Mixing procedure was in accordance with EN 196-1 [17]. Admixture amount (0.27%) was in addition to the total dry mixture (i.e. cement, sand and filler). The water retention capacity was assessed using the test from an American standard (ASTM C1506-09), based on application of an air depression [18]. It is also used in France for assessing one-coat renderings [19].
The experimental device to measure water retention is shown in Fig. 2. It is composed of a perforated dish attached to a vacuum assembly by a funnel. The dish was filled with the freshly mixed mortar and the vacuum was adjusted to maintain a depression of 50 mm Hg for 15 min. The initial weight of mixing water is labeled as $W_0$. Its loss ($W_1$) is weighted after the depression period. Hence, the water retention $WR$ was defined by the following equation:

$$WR (\%) = \frac{W_0 - W_1}{W_0} \times 100$$

2.3. Experiments of proton nuclear magnetic relaxation dispersion (NMRD) in admixed cement pastes

We performed proton nuclear magnetic relaxation dispersion (NMRD) on a fast-field cycling spectrometer from Stelar s.r.l., Mede, Italy, where the polarization magnetic field is 0.5 T while the evolution magnetic field ranges from 0.25 mT to 0.5 T. All samples were introduced into a 7 mm-tube which was inserted into a 10 mm-diameter standard NMR tube. Cement pastes were prepared with a water/cement ratio $w/c=0.4$ in order to have an appropriate consistency and for facilitating the NMR measurements at low fields. The proton nuclear magnetization originally at thermal equilibrium is oriented toward the external steady polarized magnetic field (typically 0.5 T). The external field is instantaneously decreased (in about 3ms) at an evolution magnetic field chosen in the range from 0.25 mT to 0.5 T in which the magnetization relaxes, then the magnetic field is fixed to 0.25T in which a classical free induced longitudinal magnetization decay measurement is then achieved by applying a $\pi/2$ pulse. Such a fast field cycling sequence is very well documented [10, 11]. The temperature was fixed at 298K. The experiment was repeated over a large range of proton Larmor frequencies $\omega/2\pi$ (10 kHz - 20 MHz) in order to obtain the complete dispersion profile of the longitudinal spin-lattice relaxation rate $R_1(\omega) = 1/T_1(\omega)$. 
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Basically, proton NMR relaxation is a stimulated (non spontaneous) phenomenon driven by the coupling of the proton spins to the magnetic noise induced by molecular motions (translation, rotation, exchange, etc). Varying the magnetic field changes the proton Larmor frequency $\omega_L/2\pi$, and thus allows exploring the time scales of the magnetic fluctuations (noise) to which the nuclear spin relaxation is sensitive. For diffusive liquids, NMRD gives also a rich source of dynamical information over a large range of length scales, from localized and fast motions at large frequency to a delocalized and slow motions at low frequency.

We propose two kinds of NMRD experiments for white admixed cement pastes. The first experiment consists of measuring the magnetic field dependence of the proton spin-lattice relaxation rate $R_1(\omega_L) = 1/T_1(\omega_L)$ of various admixtures of different CE (Figs. 3, 4). The second experiment consists in probing continuously the spin-lattice relaxation rate $1/T_1(\omega=2\pi \times 10\text{kHz}, t_{hydr})$ at a fixed and low frequency (10kHz) during the inducing period of cement paste with various admixtures of different CE (Figs. 5, 7-9). During this period, we observe a monoexponential longitudinal magnetization decay that rules out any distribution of $T_1$, the non exponential relaxation only appearing after ten hours of hydration [see Fig. 7 of 12]. The continuous measurement of $1/T_1(\omega=2\pi \times 10\text{kHz}, t_{hydr})$ in presence of various admixtures of cellulose ethers allows us to monitor the evolution of the specific surface area $S(t_{hydr})/V$, of the material during the hydration.

3. Theory of nuclear magnetic relaxation dispersion (NMRD) in cement pastes

We proposed previously a theoretical model necessary for interpreting all the NMRD relaxation features reported in Figs. 3 and 4 [12, 20-22]. In the following, we just present a self-contained outline of the basic hypothesis and equations of the model needed for probing the specific surface area and the water surface diffusion coefficient at the growing interface of the adjuvanted cement paste.
(i) Basically, when considering the nuclear relaxation of water embedded in solid hydrated cement, there are coupled relaxation equations for the solid and liquid magnetizations at pore interfaces. The return to equilibrium of either solid or liquid proton spin magnetization is thus a bilinear combination of exponentials with the rate constants for slow \((R_{\text{slow}})\) and fast \((R_{\text{fast}})\) components \([23, 24]\) given by:

\[
R_{\text{slow}} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ R_{1,s} + R_{1,w} + k (1 + 1/F) \mp \left[ R_{1,s} - R_{1,w} - k (1 - 1/F) \right]^2 + 4k^2/F \right\}^{1/2} 
\]

Here \(R_{1,s}=1/T_{1,s}\) and \(R_{1,w}(\omega)\) are the spin-lattice relaxation rate constants associated to solid protons and confined liquid proton-water, respectively. \(k\) is the dipolar cross-relaxation rate from the water protons to the solid proton species and \(F\) is the ratio of the solid-proton magnetization to the liquid-proton population at equilibrium: \(F = m_{s}^{eq}/m_{w}^{eq}\).

(ii) In most applications of field cycling experiments, the rapidly decaying component \(R_{\text{fast}}\) of the bi-exponential decay is not detected because of instrumental limitations and the slowly decaying component \(R_{\text{slow}}\) dominates the observations. Moreover, for the confined liquid, the intermolecular dipole-dipole interaction couples the water spin relaxation to that of the solid and the magnetic field dependence of the immobilized solid spin system.

(iii) The molecular exchange between the solid and liquid phases is sufficiently fast compared to their respective individual proton relaxation times that a single \(R_{1,w}(\omega)\) exists given by a linear combination of a bulk and a surface contributions \([25]\). The latter is weighted by the surface to volume ratio \(S/V\) present at a given time of hydration at the solid/liquid interface \([20]\).

(iv) The spin-lattice relaxation rate \(R_{1,w}(\omega)\) for the confined proton-liquid has a bilogarithmic frequency dependence \([26]\) that comes unambiguously from the two dimensional diffusion of the water molecules along the pore surface modulating the dipole-dipole interaction between the proton species and the paramagnetic \(Fe^{2+}\) ions fixed at the surface.
On the contrary, in the frequency range studied, the spin-lattice relaxation rate for the solid protons $R_{1,s}$ does not depend on the frequency [20] and the bulk relaxation rate $R_{1,bulk}$ is frequency independent [27].

(v) At high frequencies (10-100 MHz), the nuclear paramagnetic relaxation controls the proton relaxation [28]. This gives a typical bump in the NMRD profiles in this frequency range [29] that is not seen here.

(vi) The conservation of these frequency dependencies during the progressive hydration and the evolution of $1/T_1(\omega_j, t_{hydr})$ with the hydration time allowed us to renormalize the NMRD data to a single master curve $1/T_1(\omega_j, t_{hydr}) \propto [S_{p,NMR}(t_{hydr})]f(\omega_j)$ [20], where $S_{p,NMR}$ is a NMR-based specific surface area of the hydrated cement that appears to be directly proportional to the degree of advancement of chemical reaction [20].

Taking these considerations into account, we find that the following theoretical analytical expression of $R_{1,w}$ allows us to reproduce all the observed frequency features:

$$R_{1,w}(\omega_j > \omega_d) = R_{1,bulk} + \frac{x_\epsilon}{60} \rho_w S_{p,NMR} (\gamma_1 \gamma_S \hbar) S(S+1) \times$$

$$\left[ \frac{\pi}{e^4 \tau_m} \left[ 3 \ln \left( \frac{1 + \omega_0^2 \tau_m^2}{(\tau_m/\tau_s)^2 + \omega_0^2 \tau_m^2} \right) + 7 \ln \left( \frac{1 + \omega_S^2 \tau_m^2}{(\tau_m/\tau_s)^2 + \omega_S^2 \tau_m^2} \right) \right] \right]$$

$$+ \frac{8n_\epsilon^2}{r_{IS}^6} \tau_c \left[ \frac{7}{1 + \omega_0^2 \tau_c^2 + \frac{3}{1 + \omega_S^2 \tau_c^2}} \right]$$

where $\rho_w$ is the density of the water, $\epsilon = 3.0$ Å is the water molecule size, $x_\epsilon \sim 10$ Å is an interfacial water layer according to previous calorimetry studies [30] and $r_{IS} = 2.7$ Å is the distance of minimal approach between $I$ and $S$ spins. The electronic spin $S = 5/2$ for $Fe^{3+}$; $n \approx 1$ is the number of bounded water molecules in the ligand field of the $Fe^{3+}$ ions. Since the gyromagnetic ratio of the electron, $\gamma_S$, is much larger than that of the proton, $\gamma (\gamma_S = 658.21$...
\( \gamma \), the electronic frequency is \( \omega_S = 658.21 \omega_I \). In Eq. 3, \( N_S/N = x e \rho \nu S_{p,NMR} \) represents the ratio of the number of water molecules transiently present at the pore surface, \( N_S \), to the total number, \( N \), of exchangeable water molecules in the sample. Also in Eq. (3), \( \tau_m \) is the correlation time characterizing the two-dimensional diffusion of the proton species at the surface of the pores. We introduce also the effects of the finite time of residence \( \tau_S >> \tau_m \) for the mobile proton species at the surface of the pores by an exponential cut-off in the time dependence of the pair correlations \( I_S \). The correlation time \( \tau_c \) of the nuclear paramagnetic relaxation is given by \( 1/\tau_c = 1/\tau_{ex} + 1/T_{1Fe} = 1/T_{1Fe} \) where \( \tau_{ex} \) (\( \tau_{ex} >> T_{1Fe} \)) is the lifetime of water in the ligand field of the ferric ions. The electronic spin-lattice relaxation time of the paramagnetic impurity \( T_{1Fe} \) (of the order of \( 2 \times 10^{-11} \)s) is constant at low frequency and has a frequency dependence at higher frequency defined in ref [12, 20, 28]. The relaxation rate in the bulk phase, \( R_{1bulk} \sim 0.3 \text{ s}^{-1} \) is caused by the fast molecular reorientations and translations and is independent of frequency in the low field range studied [27]. Last, Substituting Eq. (3) into Eq. (2) gives the theoretical expression that we can compare with the experiments in Figs. 3 and 4.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Water retention of freshly-mixed mortars

The water retention capacity of each admixed mortar was evaluated; the results are presented in Table 2 and 3. The cellulose ethers improved water retention up to 98.9% for HPMC J3. With respect to the water retention capacity of the non-admixed mortar (64.5%), this represents a very large increase. However the starch ethers procure very different water retention capacities ranging from 66.2% to 92.6%.
4.2. Surface diffusion coefficient

The surface diffusion coefficient is obtained from a fitting procedure with Eqs. (2) and (3) on the NMRD profiles of Figs. 3 and 4. Fig. 3a shows the NMRD profiles for the neat (without CE) white cement paste. Fig. 3b shows the NMRD profiles of a white cement paste admixed with HPMC J3. On both cases, we have varied the duration of the experiments by changing the number of frequencies explored. Using thirty points takes some time (about 5 minutes per point) and we note that frequency profiles were affected by the kinetics of cement hydration. Indeed, the time needed to register one complete profile is about 2h30. In consequence, we could not observe the characteristic 10/3 slope ratio of the bilogarithmic frequency dependence usually found in cement based materials [20]. A simple procedure that allows limiting such a kinetic effect during the measurements is to decrease the number of frequency points on the profiles. One observes on Figs. 3a, b that reducing the experimental time to 45 minutes allows decreasing the kinetic effect. For instance, we observe that the first 12 points almost merge at high frequency, then, the gap between the two profiles increases with time. In consequence, all NMRD dispersion curves of this work were obtained with twelve different and logarithmically spaced frequency values between 0.01 and 15 MHz to avoid the problems due to kinetics of cement hydration. This procedure allows a quite reasonable fit of the plateau dependence at low frequency and the bilogarithmic dependence at higher frequency (Figs. 3 and 4).

Fig. 4a presents the proton NMRD data obtained for different admixed cement pastes with three HPMC (J1, J2 and J3). The correlation time \( \tau_m \) is determined using the model described above. For these four different cement pastes, we find similar results for the translational surface diffusion approximately equal to \( \tau_m \approx 1 \text{ns} \) and \( \tau_s \approx 10 \text{\mu s} \). This suggests a pore scale invariance of water dynamics at the pore surface in C-S-H whatever the paste composition. This value of \( \tau_m \) is in agreement with previous studies performed on cement pastes or on
The translational diffusion coefficient $D_{surf}$ at the pore surface can be deduced from the Stokes-Einstein relationship: $D_{surf} = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{(4\pi m)}$ where $\varepsilon = 3\text{Å}$ is the water molecules size. For all cement pastes (admixed or not), the surface diffusion coefficient is about $D = 2.25 \times 10^{-11} \text{m}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ i.e. about 1/100 of that of bulk water at 23°C. In consequence, the presence of cellulose ethers in cement paste does not modify the surface diffusion coefficient at the pore surface. This result is similar to those obtained in the macroscopic scale by pulsed field gradient NMR showing that the CE does not modify the diffusion coefficient of water in the bulk [9].

The effect of starch ethers was also investigated with M1 and M4. These polymers provide very different water retentions (92.6% and 66.2% respectively). The protocol was identical to that of cement pastes containing cellulose ethers. The results are shown on Fig. 4b. The translational correlation times are also $\tau_m \approx 1\text{ns}$ and $\tau_s \approx 10\mu\text{s}$ for the admixed cement paste with M1 and M4 respectively. This demonstrates that the translational diffusion coefficient at the surface of the solid interfaces is not affected by starch ether’s presence.

These experiments thus demonstrate that cellulose and starch derivatives do not change the surface diffusion coefficient of water. The water mobility at pore surface is thus not modified in presence of such polymers.

### 4.3. Specific surface area and relative quantity of water transiently present at pore surface

On Figs. 3 and 4, one observes a constant value for $1/T_1$ when the proton frequency becomes $\omega_I = \omega_d = 2\pi \times 30 \text{kHz}$. Such a cross-over frequency $\omega_d$ is of the order of the dipolar interaction in the solid state between two protons separated by 0.155 nm. On this low frequency range, the confined proton liquid explores relaxation processes induced by characterized long correlation times encountered in the solid state: $\tau_{rigid} = 1/\omega_d = 5.3\mu\text{s}$. We have shown in Section 3 (i) that owing to the cross relaxation process, the intermolecular dipole-dipole interaction then couples the water spin relaxation to that of the solid and the
magnetic field dependence of the immobilized spin system. From a mathematical point of view, Eq. (2) tends to the constant relaxation rate of the solid matrix $R_{1,s}$ when the dipolar cross-relaxation rate is lower than the individual relaxation rates, i.e.: $k \ll R_{1,w}, R_{1,s}$ and $|R_{1,w} - R_{1,s}|$ and providing that $F << 1$ and $k/F \gg k$:

$$R_{\text{slow}}(\omega_i < \omega_f) = R_{1,s} + k/F, \quad \text{with} \quad R_{1,s} \equiv R_{1,w}(\omega_i = \omega_f) = \text{Cte}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The observed data presented in Fig. 5 confirm the plateau observed at low frequency (at 10 kHz) for $R_{\text{slow}}$ during the first hundred minutes. Then, relaxation rate increases due to cement hydration and development of the specific surface area. Moreover, a zoom on the Fig. 5 shows that small but significative differences exist between each adjuvanted cement pastes.

The observed plateau for frequency below $\omega_i$ is thus characteristic of the rigid-lattice limit of the solid-proton hydrates. The absolute value of such a plateau, measured for instance at 10 kHz, is thus indicative of the specific surface area precisely at the solid-liquid interface:

$$R_{\text{slow}}(\omega_i = 2\pi \times 10\text{kHz}, t_{\text{hydr}}) = R_{1,s}(t_{\text{hydr}}) = R_{1,w}(\omega_i = \omega_f, t_{\text{hydr}})$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

As the correlation times $\tau_m$ and $\tau_s$ are constants whatever the cement paste composition, the continuous measurement of $R_{\text{slow}}(t_{\text{hydr}})$ at a fixed and low frequency $\omega_i = 2\pi \times 10\text{kHz}$, allows to probe directly the time evolution of the specific surface area of the cement paste $S_{p,\text{NMR}}$:

$$S_{p,\text{NMR}}(t_{\text{hydr}}) = \frac{1}{T_{1,\text{observed}}(10\text{kHz}, t_{\text{hydr}})} \frac{\chi \rho \sigma S \rho w (\gamma_1 \gamma_3 h)^2 S(S + 1) \tau_m}{60e^4} \left[ 3 \ln \left( \frac{1 + \omega_i^2 \tau_m^2}{(\tau_m/\tau_s)^2 + \omega_i^2 \tau_s^2} \right) + 7 \ln \left( \frac{1 + 659^2 \omega_i^2 \tau_m^2}{(\tau_m/\tau_s)^2 + 659^2 \omega_i^2 \tau_s^2} \right) \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)
The continuous measurement of $1/T_1(\omega=2\pi \times 10\text{kHz}, t_{\text{hydr}})$ in presence of various admixtures of cellulose ethers thus allows to monitor the evolution of the specific surface area $S_{\text{P,NMR}}(t_{\text{hydr}})$ of the material during the hydration.

4.4. How NMR relaxation can elucidate water retention mechanisms

In the very early aged of the hydration, the amount of CSH is not very large and the admixed polymer is still in the interstitial solution within the pores of the paste, even if the cellulose ethers are rather large. The relaxation of the mobile proton-water thus will be influenced by the presence of the polymer. We show that measuring the time evolution of the spin-lattice relaxation rate $R_{\text{slow}}(10 \text{kHz}, t_{\text{hydr}})$ at 10 kHz, especially in the first minutes of hydration allows probing quantitatively the relative population of water transiently present at the solid hydrate surfaces $N_S/N(t_{\text{hydr}})$.

On Fig. 5, the continuous lines exhibit the time evolution of $R_{\text{slow}}(10 \text{kHz}, t_{\text{hydr}})$ for the non adjuvanted (neat) white cement and with HPMC J1, J2, J3 admixtures. The periods $d_{CE}$ during which the plateau remains constant are evidenced ($d_0$ is related to neat cement) and ordered as followed:

$$d_0 = 11 \text{ min} < d_{J3} = 60 \text{ min} < d_{J2} = 79 \text{ min} < d_{J1} = 107 \text{ min}.$$  

This period $d_{CE}$, measured at low frequency, is a clear indicator of the delay of hydration induced by the CE polymer introduced into the material. The fact that $d_0$ stays much smaller than the other $d_{CE}$ is a clear indication of the delayed hydration and setting induced by the HPMC J1, J2, J3 admixtures. We show on Fig. 6, that one can correlate these delays of hydration measured by NMRD to the ones measured from isotherm calorimetry measurements (determined using the method described in ref. [31]) on similar materials. The quasi linear dependence ($r^2=0.99$) shows very good accuracy between the microscopic (NMRD) and macroscopic (calorimetry) measurements.
Another important parameter can be extracted from the behaviour of the time evolution of $R_{\text{slow}}(10 \text{ kHz}, t_{\text{hydr}})$ during the first 100 minutes of hydration. This is the value of the plateau itself, noted, $R_{CE} = R_{\text{slow, observed}}(10 \text{kHz}, t_{\text{hydr}})$ which depends critically on the nature of the admixtures. The results found for the neat white cement paste and HPMC-admixed cement pastes J1, J2, J3 are ordered as followed:

$$R_0 = 30 \text{ s}^{-1} < R_{J1} = 35.9 \text{ s}^{-1} < R_{J2} = 36.3 \text{ s}^{-1} < R_{J3} = 37.6 \text{ s}^{-1}$$

where $R_0$ is the value of the low-frequency plateau for neat cement. According to Eqs. (2) and (3), $R_{CE}$ is proportional to the correlation time $\tau_m$ and directly related to the relative amount of water $N_s/N$ transiently present during the first 100 minutes at proximity of solid interfaces that is proportional to the specific surface area:

$$N_S(t_{\text{hydr}} < d_{CE}) = x \varepsilon w S_{p,NMR}(t_{\text{hydr}} < d_{CE})$$

$$N_{S}(t_{\text{hydr}} = 2 \text{ min})/N = 1.06\%$$

for the neat white cement paste and

$$N_{S}(t_{\text{hydr}} = 2 \text{ min})/N = 1.36\%$$

for the admixed cement pastes with HPMC J3. One obtains intermediate values for the other admixtures HPMC J1 and HPMC J2.

The fact to probe, in the first minutes of cement hydration, the fraction of mobile water molecules transiently present at solid interfaces $N_s/N$ in presence of different nature and quantity of cellulose ethers is a key result of this study that can be directly linked to the relative amount of water retained on the pores surface.

We have applied the same NMRD method for starch ethers M1, M4 (Fig. 7) and found the following results: $d_0 = 11 \text{ min} < d_{M4} = 19 \text{ min} < d_{M1} = 22 \text{ min}$ and $R_0 = 30 \text{ s}^{-1} <$
\[ R_{M4} = 33.6 \text{ s}^{-1} < R_{M1} = 35.2 \text{ s}^{-1} \] that reveal a much weaker microscopic water retention
effect than the ones obtained with CE polymers.

Moreover, the effect of the substitution of CE on the water transiently present at the solid
interfaces for HEMC C4 and HEC N7 molecules is shown on Fig. 8. These two polymers
have been chosen to evidence the effect of hydrophobic methoxyl groups present on HEMC
and absent on HEC. We check that the ASTM method cannot discriminate the important
water retention (WR=98.8\%) for the cements adjuvanted by these two polymers [31]. We
remind that this discrimination is limited by the depression imposed by the method (50
mmHg). To improve the sensibility of the ASTM method, one should increase largely such a
depression. On the contrary, we show here that the NMRD data can indeed discriminate the
observed value \( R_{CE}=39 \text{ s}^{-1} \) for the hydrophilic HEC N7 molecule and \( R_{CE}=37\text{ s}^{-1} \) for the
hydrophobic HEMC molecule, because NMR is very sensitive to the local environment of the
proton spins. So the macroscopic ASTM measurement is not able to distinguish polymer’s
effect while NMRD is more sensitive to the local physical-chemistry at the solid interfaces.

Last, Fig. 9 shows the NMRD results for HPMC J1 with two different concentrations
namely 0.1 and 0.4\% giving 82.3 and 98.0\% macroscopic water retention, respectively. As
expected, the value of the plateau \( R_{CE} \) increases significantly with the concentration of
admixture. Finally, on Fig. 10, the linear correlation \( (r^2 = 0.93) \) of \( R_{CE} \) and water retention of
mortar admixed with three different concentrations 0.1, 0.27 and 0.4\% of HPMC J1 proves
that our microscopic NMRD measurements on cement pastes could be correlated with the
macroscopic water retention on mortars.

5. Conclusion

An original method based on two different applications of proton-water magnetic
relaxation dispersion (NMRD) has been proposed to elucidate the effect of cellulose ethers
(CE) on water retention of freshly-mixed white cement paste.
The first application of NMRD probes directly the proton-water surface dynamics from the magnetic field dependence of the nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate. In spite of modifications of the solution’s viscosity, we find that the cellulosic derivatives do not modify the surface diffusion coefficient of water that is about two orders of magnitude smaller than that of bulk water.

The second application of NMRD concerns the continuous measurements of the spin-lattice relaxation rates at a fixed and very low Larmor frequency. At the very early ages, this application probes continuously and for the first time, the relative population of water transiently present at the surface of the time-growing solid hydrate interfaces. Compared to neat white cement, the CE modify significantly the surface fraction of mobile water molecules transiently present initially at solid surfaces. Our results show that the larger the fraction, the better water-retention capacity of the hydrated cement or mortar samples at the proximity of a solid support. This quantity measured for all admixed cement pastes is thus relevant to explain the water retention mechanism. Furthermore, a linear correlation is found between the NMR surface fraction and the standard ASTM method used by the mortar industry to estimate the water retention capacity of admixed mortars. However for very strong water retention, it seems that the ASTM is not able to distinguish the polymer’s effect while NMRD enables us to make the difference between two admixtures.
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Table 1. Phase composition of the white cement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phases</th>
<th>C\textsubscript{3}S</th>
<th>C\textsubscript{2}S</th>
<th>C\textsubscript{3}A</th>
<th>C\textsubscript{4}AF</th>
<th>Sulfates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phase composition (%)</td>
<td>68.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>10.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>10.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>7.5 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Molecular parameters and water retention for cellulose ethers used in this work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CE</th>
<th>(\bar{M}_w) (kDa)</th>
<th>(\text{OCH}_3) (%)</th>
<th>DS</th>
<th>(\text{OC}_2\text{H}_4\text{OH}) (%)</th>
<th>(\text{OC}_3\text{H}_6\text{OH}) (%)</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>Water retention (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HEMC C4</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>98.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMC J1</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>96.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMC J2</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>98.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMC J3</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>98.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEC N7</td>
<td>2900</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>98.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\text{OCH}_3\) = Methoxy group

\(\text{OCH}_2\text{H}_4\text{OH}\) = Hydroxyethyl group

\(\text{OCH}_3\text{H}_6\text{OH}\) = Hydroxypropyl group

Informations provided by the manufacturer.

MS=Cellulose ether weight-average molecular mass determined using size exclusion chromatography

DS= Number of substituted hydroxyl groups per anhydroglucose unit expressed as degree of substitution

MS=Molar ratio of alkoxy groups in the side chains to cellulose expressed as the average molecular substitution

Table 3. Molecular parameters and water retention for starch ethers (SE) used in this work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SE</th>
<th>(\bar{M}_w) (kDa)</th>
<th>Amylopectin / amylose ratio</th>
<th>Degrees of polymerization</th>
<th>Water retention (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Amyleose</td>
<td>Amylopectin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE M1</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>80 / 20</td>
<td>4 000</td>
<td>2 000 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE M4</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>80 / 20</td>
<td>4 000</td>
<td>2 000 000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Informations provided by the manufacturer.
Figure captions

Fig. 1. Structure of cellulose ethers (a): HPMC, (b): HEMC, (c): HEC. Substituent positions are arbitrary; they may differ slightly from one molecule to another.

Fig. 2. Experimental device to measure water retention with ASTM C1506-09 standard.

Fig. 3. Measured water $^1$H magnetic relaxation dispersion profiles for hydrated white cement paste ($w/c=0.4$) as a function of the proton Larmor frequency. The experiments have been realized in the early hydration period (0-45 min, filled circles) and on a larger hydration time (up to few hours, filled squares) for neat (without CE) cement (a) and cement admixed with HPMC J3 (b). The continuous lines are the best fits obtained with Eqs. (2) and (3).

Fig. 4. Measured water $^1$H spin-lattice relaxation rates of hydrated white cement pastes ($w/c=0.4$) as a function of the proton Larmor frequency. (a) Effect of three cellulose ethers (HPMC J1, J2, J3). The J3 data sets are the same as those in Fig. 3. (b) Effect of two starch ethers (M1 and M4). In both cases, we have reported the results obtained with the neat white cement paste. The continuous lines are the best fits obtained with Eqs. (2) and (3).

Fig. 5. Water $^1$H spin-lattice relaxation rates of hydrated cement pastes ($w/c=0.4$) as a function of hydrating time measured at a Larmor frequency of 10 kHz. Effect of three cellulose ethers (HPMC, J1, J2, J3). The inset represents a zoom on the frequency range of the plateau.

Fig. 6. Correlation between the delays obtained by the NMRD and isotherm calorimetry.

Fig. 7. Water $^1$H spin-lattice relaxation rates of hydrated cement pastes ($w/c=0.4$) as a function of hydrating time measured at a Larmor frequency of 10 kHz. Effect of three cellulose ethers
(Neat, starch CE: M1, M4). The inset represents a zoom on the frequency range of the, plateau.

**Fig. 8.** Comparison of the water $^1$H spin-lattice relaxation rates of hydrated cement pastes ($w/c=0.4$) as a function of hydrating time measured at a Larmor frequency of 10 kHz. Effect of different cellulose ethers (Neat, HEC N7, HEMC C).

**Fig. 9.** Comparison of the water $^1$H spin-lattice relaxation rates of hydrated cement pastes ($w/c=0.4$) as a function of hydrating time measured at a Larmor frequency of 10 kHz. Effect of the CE concentration of HPMC.

**Fig. 10.** Correlation between the water retention evidenced from the macroscopic measurement described in Fig. 2 (ASTM C1506-09 standard) and the NMRD method. The different concentrations of HPMC J1 are indicated.
Fig. 2
Fig. 3

White cement

(a) (0-45 min)

(b) (0-45 min)

(30 min – 3 hours)

(2-4 hours)

\[ \frac{1}{T_1} [s^{-1}] \]

Larmor Frequency [MHz]

(a) White cement (0-45 min)

(b) White cement (0-45 min)
Fig. 4

- **White cement**
- + J1
- + J2
- + J3

(a) 

(b) 

Larmor Frequency (MHz)

$1/T_1$
Fig. 5
Fig. 6
Fig. 7
Fig. 8

White cement
White cement + HEC N7
White cement + HEMC C4

Time (min)

$1/T_1$ (s$^{-1}$)
Fig. 9
Fig. 10