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Abstract

Brown and Resnick (1977) introduce a max-stable process that is obtained as a limit of maxima of independent Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. As shown in Kabluchko et al. (2009) this process is dissipative and it therefore admits a mixed moving maxima representation. We show that the distribution of the spectral functions in this representation equals a well-known diffusion, namely a standard Brownian motion with drift conditional on taking negative values only. This can be used for fast simulation methods.
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1. Introduction

The Brown-Resnick process was first introduced in Brown and Resnick (1977) as the stochastic process given by

\[
Ψ(t) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} [X_i + B_i(t)], \quad t \in \mathbb{R},
\]

where \( B_i, i \in \mathbb{N}, \) are independent copies of a standard Brownian motion on the real line with drift \(-|t|/2\) and \( \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \delta_{X_i} \) is a Poisson point process on the real axis with intensity \( e^{-|x|} \, dx, x \in \mathbb{R}, \) independent of the \( B_i, i \in \mathbb{N}. \) Moreover, it was shown that \( Ψ \) is stationary. This property still holds if the Brownian motion is replaced by any Gaussian process with stationary increments and a suitable drift (Kabluchko et al., 2009). The major importance of these processes arise from the fact that they constitute the limits of maxima of suitably normalized and rescaled Gaussian processes. This makes them an interesting objective in applications such as modeling extreme events (Buishand et al., 2008). To this end, it is crucial to obtain exact and efficient simulation methods. However, algorithms based on the standard definition of Brown-Resnick processes as in (1) yield very slow convergence. Alternative simulation techniques have already been considered in Oesting et al. (2011) which are also applicable to the generalization of \( Ψ \) by Kabluchko et al. (2009). In the situation of the process in (1) the Markovian structure of Brownian motion can beneficially be used to establish a mixed moving maxima representation of \( Ψ. \)
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Definition 1. Let \( \{F(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \) be a measurable process and suppose that \( \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(F(t))dt < \infty \). Further, let \( \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \delta_{(0,y_i)} \) be a Poisson point process on \( \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \) with intensity \( dt e^{-y}dy \) and let \( F_i, i \in \mathbb{N} \), be independent copies of \( F \). A process of the form

\[
\zeta(t) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [y_i + F_i(t - t_i)]}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},
\]

is called a mixed moving maxima process.

It was shown (Theorem 14 in Kabluchko et al. (2009)) that \( \Psi \) admits a representation as a mixed moving maxima process (i.e., it is a dissipative process, cf. Wang and Stoev (2010)). The distribution of the process \( F \) in Definition 1 is however still unknown. In this paper we show that this distribution equals a well known diffusion process. Namely, it is a standard Brownian motion with drift \(-|t|/2\) conditioned on not taking positive values.

2. Theorem and proof

Theorem 1. Let \( B \) be a Brownian motion on the real line with drift \(-|t|/2\) and \( B(0) = 0 \). Then the following two processes have the same distribution:

1. \[
\Psi_1(t) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [X_i + B(t)]}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},
\]

where \( B_i, i \in \mathbb{N} \), are independent copies of \( B \) and \( \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \delta_{X_i} \) is a Poisson point process on the real axis with intensity \( e^{-x}dx \), \( x \in \mathbb{R} \), independent of the \( B_i, i \in \mathbb{N} \).

2. \[
\Psi_2(t) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [V_i + R_i(t - S_i)]}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},
\]

where \( \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \delta_{(S_i,V_i)} \) is a Poisson process on \( \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \) with intensity \( \frac{1}{2}dt e^{-x}dx \), \( t, x \in \mathbb{R} \), independent of the \( R_i, i \in \mathbb{N} \). The \( R_i \)'s are independent copies of the process

\[
R(t) = 1_{\mathbb{R}^+}R(-t) + 1_{\mathbb{R}^-}R(t),
\]

where \( R_- \) and \( R_+ \) are independent processes which follow \( t \geq 0 \) the law of the nonpositive diffusion determined by the stochastic differential equation for

\[
\frac{d\bar{R}(t)}{dt} = dW(t) + \frac{1}{2} \coth(\bar{R}(t)/2) dt,
\]

starting at \( R_+(0) = R_-(0) = 0 \), where \( W \) is a standard Brownian motion.

Remark 1. For \( y \leq 0 \), the diffusion defined by (4) and started at \( \bar{R}(0) = y \) has the same distribution as a Brownian motion \( \{B(t)\}_{t \geq 0} \) with drift \(-|t|/2\) and \( B(0) = y \) conditioned on not taking positive values for all \( t \geq 0 \). For details see Lemma 55.1 in Rogers and Williams (2000).

In fact, for \( y = 0 \), it follows from Theorem 3 in Rogers and Pitman (1981) that the opposite of the diffusion, namely \(-\bar{R} \), has the same distribution as a three dimensional Bessel process of drifting Brownian motion. More precisely, it can be obtained by taking the radial part of a Brownian motion in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) with drift \( 1/2 \) in the direction of the first coordinate axis. This is particularly important with regard to efficient simulation methods.
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Remark 2. In fact, we will prove more than the equality in law of $\Psi_1$ and $\Psi_2$. We will show that the random families of functions $[X_i + B_i(t)]_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $[V_i + R_i(-S_i)]_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ considered as point processes on $C(\mathbb{R})$, the space of continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}$, have the same law.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let us first set up some notation. For the Brownian motion $B$ with drift $-|t|/2$ and $B(0) = 0$ let $Y = \sup_{s < t} B(s)$ and $T = \arg \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} B(s)$. Moreover, denote by $Z(t) = B(t + T) = Y$ the process $B$ whose supremum is shifted into the origin.

Consider the Poisson point process $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \delta(X_i, B_i)$ on $\mathbb{R} \times C(\mathbb{R})$ with intensity $e^{-x} dx \mathbb{P}(d(f))$, where $C(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the space of continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{P}$ is the law of $B$. The mapping

$$\Phi : \mathbb{R} \times C(\mathbb{R}) \to C(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, (u, f) \mapsto (f((\cdot) + \arg \sup f) - \sup f, \arg \sup f + u)$$

is measurable (see proof of Lemma 2.13 in Oesting et al. (2011) for details), where the arg sup is the infimum of all points where the supremum is attained. The key idea of the proof is to compute the intensity measure $\Phi$ of the Poisson point process on $C(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ induced by $\eta$, namely

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \delta((B_i(t) + \arg \sup B_i, \sup B_i, \sup B_i, \arg \sup B_i))$$

The main difficulty here is to find the distribution of the random element $(Z, T, Y)$ which is essential in the later calculations. More precisely, for $t_1 \leq \ldots \leq t_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $y_1, \ldots, y_n < 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$, we will later establish the equation

$$d\mathbb{P}(Z(t_1) = y_1, \ldots, Z(t_n) = y_n, T = t, Y = y) = d\mathbb{P}(R(t_1) = y_1, \ldots, R(t_n) = y_n, R(-t) = -y) \frac{e^{-y}}{2},$$

where $R$ is the two-sided diffusion process which satisfies (4) and starts in 0. Thus, the distribution of the shifted Brownian motion $Z$ essentially equals the one of the reweighted diffusion $R$. In order to compute the measure $\Phi$ let $M \times I \times D \in \mathcal{B}(C(\mathbb{R})) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ be a measurable set with $M = C_{t_1, \ldots, t_n}(E) = \{g \in C(\mathbb{R}) : (g(t_1), \ldots, g(t_n)) \in E\}$, where $E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Furthermore, denote by $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ the underlying probability space.

$$\Phi(M \times I \times D) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}(Z(t_1) = y_1, \ldots, Z(t_n) = y_n, \arg \sup B = t, \sup B = y - x)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}(R(t_1) = y_1, \ldots, R(t_n) = y_n, R(-t) = -(y - x)) \frac{1}{2} e^{-((y - x))}$$

$$= \int_D \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}(R(t_1) = y_1, \ldots, R(t_n) = y_n, R(-t) = -(y - x))$$

$$= \mathbb{P}_R(C_{t_1, \ldots, t_n}(E)) \int_D \frac{1}{2} e^{-y} dy.$$
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where the fourth equality follows from (6) and \( \mathbb{P}_R \) is the law of \( R \) on \( C(\mathbb{R}) \).

Therefore, the intensity \( \Phi \) of the Poisson point process (5) is given by \( \mathbb{P}_R(\text{d}f) \frac{1}{t} \text{d}e^{-t} \text{d}x \). On the other hand, if we consider a Poisson point process \( \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \delta_{(S_i,Y_i)} \) with intensity \( \frac{1}{t} \text{d}e^{-t} \text{d}x \) and independent marks \( R_i \sim \mathbb{P}_R, \, i \in \mathbb{N} \), then we also obtain a Poisson point process \( \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \delta_{(R_i,S_i,Y_i)} \) with intensity \( \mathbb{P}_R(\text{d}f) \frac{1}{2} \text{d}e^{-t} \text{d}x \). This implies the equality in distribution of (2) and (3).

Let us now proceed with the proof of equation (6). To this end, we will first relate the distribution of \((T,Y)\) to the one-dimensional distributions of the diffusion \( R \). In a second step, we then compute the distribution of the shifted Brownian motion \( Z \) conditional on \((T,Y)\).

By formulae 2.1.4 and 2.1.13.4 in Borodin and Salminen (1996) it follows that the bivariate random variable \((T,Y)\) has the density

\[
d\mathbb{P}(T = t, Y = y) = f(|t|, y)(1 - e^{-y}) \text{d}t \text{d}y, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathbb{R}_+,
\]

where \( f \) is given by

\[
f(t, y) = \frac{y}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \exp\left(-\frac{(y + t/2)^2}{2t}\right) = -e^{-y} f(t, -y).
\]

Note that \((1 - e^{-y})\) is the probability that \(\{B(s) : s \geq 0\}\) never hits \(y \in \mathbb{R}_+\). On the other hand, we can explicitly compute the entrance law and the transition densities of the diffusion \(\{R(t)\}_{t \geq 0}\) satisfying (4) and started at \(R(0) = 0\). For \(x, y < 0\) let

\[
p_x(y)\,\text{d}y \equiv \mathbb{P}(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} B(s) \leq 0, B(t) = y | B(0) = x), \quad t > 0.
\]

By Lemma 55.1 in Rogers and Williams (2000) we conclude that

\[
p_x(y) = (2\pi t)^{-1/2} e^{-(y-x)/2t} \left[ e^{-(y-x)^2/2t} - e^{-(y+x)^2/2t}\right]
\]

and

\[
p_x(y) = e^{-y-x} p_y(y, x).
\]

Thus, formula 2.1.1.4(1) in Borodin and Salminen (1996) shows that the transition density of \(R\) has the form

\[
q_y(t, x)\,\text{d}y \equiv \mathbb{P}(B(t) = y | B(0) = x, \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} B(s) \leq 0) = (1 - e^{-y})^{-1} p_y(x, y)(1 - e^{-y}) \text{d}y, \quad t > 0, x, y < 0.
\]

Consequently, the entrance law \(q_y(0, \cdot)\) of \(R\), i.e., \(q_y(0, y)\,\text{d}y \equiv \mathbb{P}(R(t) = y)\) for \(t > 0\) and \(y < 0\) is given by

\[
q_y(0, y) = \lim_{x \to 0} q_y(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-(y-x)^2/2t} \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{e^{-(y-x)^2/2t} - e^{-(y+x)^2/2t}}{1 - e^x}
\]

\[
= \frac{-2y}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-(y+x)^2/2t}(1 - e^x)
\]

\[
= -2f(t, y)(1 - e^{-y}).
\]

Note that this together with (7) implies

\[
d\mathbb{P}(T = t, Y = y) = f(|t|, y)(1 - e^{-y}) \text{d}t \text{d}y
\]

\[
= -f(|t|, -y)(1 - e^{-y}) e^{-y} \text{d}t \text{d}y = d\mathbb{P}(R(-t) = -y) e^{-y} \frac{1}{2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathbb{R}_+.
\]
Moreover, for the second factor in (11) we first compute explicitly 
\(d\mathbb{P}_w\) for 
\(p, l, m \in \mathbb{N}\) and 
\(r_1 \leq \ldots \leq r_p \leq -t \leq s_1 \leq \ldots \leq s_l \leq 0 \leq u_1 \leq \ldots \leq u_m\) define random events 
\[A_1 = \{Z(r_1) = w_1, \ldots, Z(r_p) = w_p\},\]
\[A_2 = \{Z(s_1) = x_1, \ldots, Z(s_l) = x_l\},\]
\[A_3 = \{Z(u_1) = z_1, \ldots, Z(u_m) = z_m\},\]
where \(w_1, \ldots, w_p, x_1, \ldots, x_l, z_1, \ldots, z_m \leq 0\). The above considerations yield 
\[
d\mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap A_3 | D_{t,y}) = d\mathbb{P}(A_1 | D_{t,y}) \cdot d\mathbb{P}(A_2 | D_{t,y}) \cdot d\mathbb{P}(A_3 | D_{t,y}). \tag{11}\]
As already mentioned above, we have 
\[
d\mathbb{P}(A_3 | D_{t,y}) = d\mathbb{P}(R(u_1) = z_1, \ldots, R(u_m) = z_m | R(0) = 0).
\]
Moreover, 
\[
d\mathbb{P}(A_1 | D_{t,y}) = \mathbb{P}(B(r_1 + t) = w_1 + y, \ldots, B(r_p + t) = w_p + y | B(0) = 0, B(s) \leq y \forall s \leq 0) \\
= \mathbb{P}(B(r_1 + t) = w_1, \ldots, B(r_p + t) = w_p | B(0) = -y, B(s) \leq 0 \forall s \leq 0) \\
= \mathbb{P}(R(r_1 + t) = w_1, \ldots, R(r_p + t) = w_p | R(0) = -y) \\
= \mathbb{P}(R(r_1) = w_1, \ldots, R(r_p) = w_p | R(-t) = -y),
\]
where we used Remark 1 and the fact that \(R\) is Markov.

For the second factor in (11) we first compute explicitly \(d\mathbb{P}(A_2 \cap D_{t,y})\). To this end, put \(s_0 = -t, x_0 = -y, \Delta x_j = s_j - s_{j-1}\) and \(\xi = (x_1, \ldots, x_l, t, y)\).
\[
d\mathbb{P}(A_2 \cap D_{t,y}) = \mathbb{P}(Z(s_1) = x_1, \ldots, Z(s_l) = x_l, T = t, Y = y) \\
= f(|x_1|, -x_1)(1 - e^{x_1}) \prod_{i=1}^{l} p_{\Delta x_i}(x_{i-1}, x_i) d\xi \\
= f(|x_1|, -x_1)(1 - e^{x_1}) e^{-(x_1 + x_0)} \prod_{i=1}^{l} p_{\Delta x_i}(x_i, x_{i-1}) d\xi \\
= -f(|x_1|, x_1)(1 - e^{x_1}) e^{x_1} \prod_{i=1}^{l} q_{\Delta x_i}(x_i, x_{i-1}) d\xi \\
= -f(|x_1|, x_1)(1 - e^{x_1}) e^{x_1} \prod_{i=1}^{l} q_{\Delta x_i}(x_i, x_{i-1}) d\xi \\
= \frac{e^{-y}}{2} q_{|x_0|}(0, x_1) \prod_{i=1}^{l} q_{\Delta x_i}(x_i, x_{i-1}) d\xi \\
= d\mathbb{P}(R(x_1) = x_1, \ldots, R(s_l) = x_l, R(-t) = -y) e^{-y} \frac{1}{2}.

where we used (8) and (9). The second equation follows by an elementary computation of the transition probabilities for the shifted Brownian motion $Z$. Starting from the point $(s_0, x_0)$ it moves to $(s_l, x_l)$ and then attains the one-sided maximum at the origin (with probability $f(|s_l|, -x_l)$, see (7)). At the same time, the process $B$ stays below level $y$ on the negative half-axis, which has probability $(1 - e^{x_0})$. Thus, (10) yields
\[
\frac{d\mathbb{P}(A_2 | D_t, y)}{d\mathbb{P}(R(s_1) = x_1, \ldots, R(s_l) = x_l | R(-t) = -y)}.
\]

Putting the parts together, formula (6) follows from (10) and (11).

3. Simulation method

The representation of the Brown-Resnick process in (3) turns out to be a promising basis for simulations. Schlather (2002) gives an algorithm for the simulation of mixed moving maxima processes where the spectral functions have finite support. Here, this approach can be adopted, the only difference being that in our case the spectral function, i.e., the conditional negative Brownian motion, does not have finite support. Diffusions from anywhere outside the simulation window can therefore influence the value of the Brown-Resnick process inside the simulation window. However, since the diffusions quickly tend to negative infinity, the probability of this event is exponentially decreasing with the size of the interval which is added on both sides of the simulation window. This error probability can therefore be made arbitrarily small. In fact, calculations show that, independent of the size of the simulation window, additional intervals of length 45 on both sides ensure that the probability of not drawing a path from the desired distribution is less than 0.5%. Furthermore, paths starting in these additional intervals do not need to be simulated on the whole enlarged area since knowing the diffusion entrance law enables us to directly jump into the simulation window. Owing to these facts, the algorithm based on the mixed moving maxima representation is about 60 times faster than the more general algorithms considered in Oesting et al. (2011).

Another advantage of this simulation method is its flexibility. In particular, the technique is not restricted to grids but can easily be performed on any set of points. Moreover, also large simulation windows are feasible since the computational costs increase first quadratically, then linearly, for increasing simulation intervals.
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