Accepted Manuscript

The p -folded cumulative distribution function and the mean absolute deviation from the p -quantile

Jing-Hao Xue, D. Michael Titterington

PII: S0167-7152(11)00097-6
DOI: [10.1016/j.spl.2011.03.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spl.2011.03.014)
Reference: STAPRO 5949

To appear in: *Statistics and Probability Letters*

Received date: 5 October 2010
Revised date: 23 February 2011
Accepted date: 6 March 2011

Please cite this article as: Xue, J.-H., Michael Titterington, D., The p -folded cumulative distribution function and the mean absolute deviation from the p -quantile. *Statistics and Probability Letters* (2011), doi:10.1016/j.spl.2011.03.014

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

The p -folded Cumulative Distribution Function and the Mean Absolute Deviation from the p -quantile

Jing-Hao Xue^{a,*}, D. Michael Titterton^b

^aDepartment of Statistical Science, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, UK

^bSchool of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, UK

Abstract

The aims of this short note are two-fold. First, it shows that, for a random variable X , the area under the curve of its folded cumulative distribution function equals the mean absolute deviation from the median (MAD). Such an equivalence implies that the MAD is the area between the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of X and that for a degenerate distribution which takes the median as the only value. Secondly, it generalises the folded CDF to a p -folded CDF, and derives the equivalence between the area under the curve of the p -folded CDF and the weighted mean absolute deviation from the p -quantile (MAD_p). In addition, such equivalences give the MAD and MAD_p simple graphical interpretations. Some other practical implications are also briefly discussed.

Keywords: Cumulative distribution function (CDF), Folded CDF, Mean absolute deviation from the median (MAD)

1. Introduction

The folded cumulative distribution function for a random variable can be easily obtained by folding down the upper half of the cumulative distribution function (CDF). It is a simple graphical method for summarising distributions, and has been used for the evaluation of laboratory assays, clinical trials and quality control (Monti, 1995; Krouwer and Monti, 1995).

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +44-20-7679-1863; Fax: +44-20-3108-3105.
Email addresses: jinghao@stats.ucl.ac.uk (Jing-Hao Xue),
michael.titterton@gla.ac.uk (D. Michael Titterton)

The mean absolute deviation from the median (MAD) is obtained by averaging the absolute deviations over a population from its median. It is a summary statistic for measuring the variability or dispersion of a distribution.

This short note first shows that the area under the curve of the folded CDF equals the MAD, and then generalises the folded CDF to a p -folded CDF and derives the equivalence between the area under the curve of the p -folded CDF and the weighted mean absolute deviation from the p -quantile, which has been used as a risk measure for portfolio optimisation (Ogryczak and Ruszczyński, 2002; Ruszczyński and Vanderbei, 2003).

2. Relationship between the folded CDF and the MAD

Consider a univariate, continuous random variable X , with probability density function (PDF) $f(x)$, with CDF $F(x)$ and with the support of $f(x)$ being the interval $[a, b]$. For a discrete X , a derivation similar to the one below can be obtained and is thus omitted here.

2.1. The theoretical case

The CDF $F(x)$ is a real-valued function in the range of $[0, 1]$, defined as

$$F(x) = \int_a^x f(y)dy . \quad (1)$$

The folded CDF, denoted by $G(x)$ hereafter, is obtained by folding down the upper half of the CDF. It is therefore a real-valued function in the range of $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$, defined by

$$G(x) = \begin{cases} F(x), & \text{if } F(x) \leq \frac{1}{2} , \\ 1 - F(x), & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

A folded CDF is also termed a mountain plot, in view of its shape.

The MAD is defined by

$$\text{MAD} = \int_a^b |x - m|f(x)dx , \quad (3)$$

where m is the median of the distribution $F(x)$ such that

$$\int_a^m f(x)dx = \int_m^b f(x)dx = \frac{1}{2} . \quad (4)$$

29 By elementary algebra and interchange of variables for integration, it
30 follows that the area under the curve of $G(x)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_a^b G(x)dx &= \int_a^m F(x)dx + \int_m^b \{1 - F(x)\}dx \\
 &= \int_a^m \left\{ \int_a^x f(y)dy \right\} dx + \int_m^b \left\{ \int_x^b f(y)dy \right\} dx \\
 &= \int_a^m \left\{ \int_y^m dx \right\} f(y)dy + \int_m^b \left\{ \int_m^y dx \right\} f(y)dy \\
 &= \int_a^b |y - m|f(y)dy .
 \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

31 That is, the area under the curve of $G(x)$ equals the MAD.

32 2.2. The empirical case

33 Suppose that we have a sample of N observations from the distribution
34 $F(x)$ and that, among the N observations, there are n distinct values $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$
35 with corresponding proportions $p(x_i)$. Without loss of generality, let $x_1 <$
36 $x_2 < \dots < x_n$.

37 By abuse of notation, we use the same symbols for $F(x)$, $G(x)$, m , MAD
38 and their empirical versions, when there is no ambiguity in the context.

39 The empirical CDF, $F(x)$, can be defined as

$$F(x) = \sum_{x_i \leq x} p(x_i) . \tag{6}$$

40 Empirically, the median m is any point such that

$$F(m) \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{x_i \geq m} p(x_i) \geq \frac{1}{2} . \tag{7}$$

41 If $m = x_K$ and $m = x_{K+1}$ both satisfy (7) then any x -value such that
42 $x_K \leq x \leq x_{K+1}$ qualifies to be the sample median. Otherwise, m is the
43 unique x_K for which (7) holds and in this case both inequalities are strict;
44 this argument includes the case in which all the N observations are distinct.

45 Hence, the area under the curve of $G(x)$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{i=1}^{K-1} \{G(x_i)(x_{i+1} - x_i)\} + G(x_K)(m - x_K) \\
 & + G(m)(x_{K+1} - m) + \sum_{i=K+1}^{n-1} \{G(x_i)(x_{i+1} - x_i)\} \\
 & = \sum_{i=1}^{K-1} \{F(x_i)(x_{i+1} - x_i)\} + F(x_K)(m - x_K) \\
 & + \{1 - F(m)\}(x_{K+1} - m) + \sum_{i=K+1}^{n-1} [\{1 - F(x_i)\}(x_{i+1} - x_i)] . \quad (8)
 \end{aligned}$$

46 If we substitute equation (6) into equation (8), the area becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{i=1}^{K-1} \left\{ (x_{i+1} - x_i) \sum_{j=1}^i p(x_j) \right\} + (m - x_K) \sum_{j=1}^K p(x_j) \\
 & + (x_{K+1} - m) \sum_{j=K+1}^n p(x_j) + \sum_{i=K+1}^{n-1} \left\{ (x_{i+1} - x_i) \sum_{j=i+1}^n p(x_j) \right\} \\
 & = \sum_{j=1}^K \{ (m - x_K + x_K - x_{K-1} + \dots + x_{j+1} - x_j) p(x_j) \} \\
 & + \sum_{j=K+1}^n \{ (x_{K+1} - m + x_{K+2} - x_{K+1} + \dots + x_j - x_{j-1}) p(x_j) \} \\
 & = \sum_{j=1}^K \{ (m - x_j) p(x_j) \} + \sum_{j=K+1}^n \{ (x_j - m) p(x_j) \} \\
 & = \sum_{j=1}^n \{ |x_j - m| p(x_j) \} . \quad (9)
 \end{aligned}$$

47 As the MAD can be defined as

$$\text{MAD} = \sum_{i=1}^n \{ |x_i - m| p(x_i) \} , \quad (10)$$

48 equation (9) shows that the area under the curve of $G(x)$ equals the MAD.

Furthermore, equations (5) and (9) suggest that the MAD is the area, or a measure of absolute difference, between $F(x)$ and the CDF for a degenerate distribution which takes the median m as the only value.

3. Generalisations to the p -folded CDF and the MAD_p

The folded CDF can be generalised to a p -folded CDF, denoted by $G_p(x)$ hereafter and given by

$$G_p(x) = \begin{cases} F(x), & \text{if } F(x) \leq p, \\ 1 - F(x), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where $p \in (0, 1)$.

Similarly, the MAD can also be generalised to a mean absolute deviation from the p -quantile, denoted by MAD_p hereafter and given by

$$MAD_p = \int_a^b |x - m_p| f(x) dx, \quad (12)$$

where, for $p \in (0, 1)$, $m_p = F^{-1}(p)$ is the p -quantile.

Then, as implied by equation (5), the p -folded CDF is related to the MAD_p through $\int_a^b G_p(x) dx = MAD_p$. In addition, the MAD_p is a measure of absolute difference between $F(x)$ and the CDF for a degenerate distribution which takes m_p as the only value.

However, when p is a value other than $1/2$, $G_p(x)$ is not continuous at m_p . Hence, here we define $G_p(x)$ as a weighted version of that in equation (11):

$$G_p(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1-p}{p} F(x), & \text{if } F(x) \leq p, \\ 1 - F(x), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

for $p \in (0, 1)$, such that $G_p(x)$ is continuous at m_p with $G_p(m_p) = 1 - p$.

Accordingly, the MAD_p is defined as a weighted version of that in equation (12):

$$MAD_p = \int_a^b \max \left\{ \frac{1-p}{p} (m_p - x), x - m_p \right\} f(x) dx, \quad (14)$$

69 such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_a^b G_p(x)dx &= \int_a^{m_p} \frac{1-p}{p} F(x)dx + \int_{m_p}^b \{1 - F(x)\}dx \\
 &= \int_a^{m_p} \frac{1-p}{p} (m_p - y) f(y)dy + \int_{m_p}^b (y - m_p) f(y)dy \\
 &= \int_a^b \max \left\{ \frac{1-p}{p} (m_p - y), y - m_p \right\} f(y)dy; \quad (15)
 \end{aligned}$$

70 that is, the weighted MAD_p equals $\int_a^b G_p(x)dx$, the area under the curve of
 71 $G_p(x)$.

72 From equation (14), we can make the following observations. First, when
 73 $p = 1/2$, the MAD_p reverts to the MAD . Secondly, the relative weight re-
 74 ceived by the values of X larger than m_p is $\frac{p}{1-p}$. When $p > 1/2$, $\frac{p}{1-p} > 1$;
 75 hence, the values of X larger than m_p receive a heavier weight than that
 76 received by the values smaller than m_p , and the larger the p , the larger the
 77 relative weight $\frac{p}{1-p}$. Such a pattern reverses if $p < 1/2$. In both cases, it
 78 indicates that, roughly speaking, a deviation from m_p to a more extreme sit-
 79 uation receives a heavier weight than a deviation from m_p to a less extreme
 80 situation, when the overall variability is summarised by the MAD_p .

81 Therefore, such an MAD_p can be used as a measure of risk, as adopted
 82 in mean-risk models for portfolio optimisation by Ogryczak and Ruszczyński
 83 (2002), Ruszczyński and Vanderbei (2003), Miller and Ruszczyński (2008)
 84 and Choi and Ruszczyński (2008), for example. These studies have discussed
 85 the relationship between the MAP_p and expected shortfall, sometimes termed
 86 conditional value at risk, average value at risk or expected tail loss.

87 4. Implications for practice

88 Our results have a number of practical implications.

89 First, analogously to the Bland-Altman difference plot (Altman and Bland,
 90 1983; Bland and Altman, 1986, 1999), which is popular in medical statistics
 91 and analytic chemistry, the folded CDF is also a graphical tool for assessing
 92 agreement between two assays or methods, often by representing the differ-
 93 ence between the two assays by a random variable X . Both plots can be
 94 readily understood by the users who may not be statisticians or operations
 95 research analysts.

96 Compared with the Bland-Altman difference plot, the folded CDF stresses
 97 more the median and tails of the difference. If the two assays are ‘unbiased’
 98 with each other (Krouwer and Monti, 1995), the median would be close to
 99 zero. If the variability between the two assays is large, the width near the
 100 bottom of the folded CDF would be large, analogously to a confidence inter-
 101 val.

102 Complementary to such a width, the area under the curve of the folded
 103 CDF is another measure of the variability between the two assays, roughly
 104 through visual inspection or precisely through quantitative computation.
 105 Therefore, the equivalence between the under-curve area and the MAD sug-
 106 gests, and provides a theoretical justification of, this measure.

107 Secondly, the weighted mean absolute deviation from the p -quantile, shown
 108 as the MAD_p in equation (14), includes the MAD as a special case and, more
 109 importantly, has been adopted as a risk measure in mean-risk models for
 110 portfolio optimisation. It is well defined and investigated (Ruszczyński and
 111 Vanderbei, 2003). Moreover, it is a very generic measure of dispersion or
 112 risk, and can be used in other risk-management practice.

113 Lastly but importantly, the equivalences give the MAD and MAD_p sim-
 114 ple graphical interpretations for practitioners from outside the statistics and
 115 operations research communities.

116 Acknowledgments

117 This work was partly supported by funding to J.-H.X. from the Internal
 118 Visiting Programme, under the EU-funded PASCAL2 Network of Excellence.
 119 Thanks to the Associate Editor for the comments.

120 References

- 121 Altman, D. G., Bland, J. M., 1983. Measurement in medicine: the analysis of
 122 method comparison studies. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series*
 123 *D (The Statistician)* 32 (3), 307–317.
- 124 Bland, J. M., Altman, D. G., 1986. Statistical methods for assessing
 125 agreement between two methods of clinical measurement. *The Lancet*
 126 327 (8476), 307–310.
- 127 Bland, J. M., Altman, D. G., 1999. Measuring agreement in method com-
 128 parison studies. *Statistical Methods in Medical Research* 8 (2), 135–160.

- 129 Choi, S., Ruszczyński, A., 2008. A risk-averse newsvendor with law invariant
130 coherent measures of risk. *Operations Research Letters* 36 (1), 77–82.
- 131 Krouwer, J. S., Monti, K. L., 1995. A simple, graphical method to evaluate
132 laboratory assays. *European Journal of Clinical Chemistry and Clinical*
133 *Biochemistry* 33 (8), 525–527.
- 134 Miller, N., Ruszczyński, A., 2008. Risk-adjusted probability measures in port-
135 folio optimization with coherent measures of risk. *European Journal of*
136 *Operational Research* 191 (1), 193–206.
- 137 Monti, K. L., 1995. Folded empirical distribution function curves–mountain
138 plots. *The American Statistician* 49 (4), 342–345.
- 139 Ogryczak, W., Ruszczyński, A., 2002. Dual stochastic dominance and related
140 mean-risk models. *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 13 (1), 60–78.
- 141 Ruszczyński, A., Vanderbei, R. J., 2003. Frontiers of stochastically nondom-
142 inated portfolios. *Econometrica* 71 (4), 1287–1297.