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European eel (Anguilla anguilla): prediction of spawner escapement from continental population parameters

Eric Feunteun, Anthony Acou, Pascal Laffaille, and Antoine Legault

Abstract: This paper describes the assessment of silver European eel (Anguilla anguilla) escapement based on a "sedentary" population fraction analysis in a 60-km² watershed of northern Brittany (France). Downstream migration fluxes were monitored using eel traps and related to environmental factors. Intensive electrofishing and fyke-net fishing were conducted to assess eel biomass, densities, and population structure. A total of 564 eels, including 81 silver eels, were PIT tagged. In 1996, 616 eels were caught in the catchment including 68 silver eels (11%). During the following downstream migration period, 12 of the PIT-tagged silver eels, among a total of 678, were recaptured in the downstream traps. Seven were recaptured in the catchment in 1997. It was shown that (i) only about 20% of the silver eels present in the catchment emigrated during the following year, (ii) 12% stayed in the catchment including two (3.4%) that recovered yellow eel characteristics, and (iii) the other eels either died or settled in the catchment but were not recaptured. It was also estimated that 2% (650 eels) of the population (34 000 eels) among 3000 silver eels considered "emigration candidates" emigrated each year.

Résumé : Le but de cette note est de proposer une approche permettant d’estimer l’échappement des anguilles européennes argentées (Anguilla anguilla) basée sur une analyse de la fraction de la population sédentaire dans un bassin versant de 60 km² de l’ouest de la France. Les flux de migration catadrome ont été observés en utilisant des pièges à anguilles et ont été mis en relation avec les facteurs environnementaux. Des campagnes de pêche électrique et de verrouillage ont permis d’estimer les biomasses, densités et structures de la population d’anguilles. Cinq cents soixante-quatre anguilles, incluant 81 argentées, ont été marquées par PIT tag. En 1996, 616 anguilles comprenant 68 argentées ont été capturées dans le bassin versant. Lors du pic de migration, parmi les 678 argentées capturées dans le piège de dévalaison, 12 anguilles argentées marquées ont été recapturées. Sept anguilles argentées ont été recapturées dans le bassin versant en 1997. Ces résultats montrent que (i) seulement 20% des anguilles argentées présentes dans le bassin versant migrent dans l’année, (ii) 12% restent dans le bassin versant, dont 2 (3.4%) qui régressent au stade jaune et (iii) les autres anguilles meurent où se sédentarisent dans le bassin versant. Le stock total d’anguille du bassin versant est de 34 000 anguilles, parmi celles-ci, on estime à environ 3 000 individus le stock d’anguilles argentées considérées comme « candidates à l’émigration ». Mais seules 650 anguilles argentées dévalent réellement, soit environ 2% du stock.

Introduction

Since the 1980s, the European eel (Anguilla anguilla) stock has declined throughout its distribution range, including all accessible continental and coastal hydro systems that link with the Baltic Sea, the North Sea, the Channel, and the Atlantic and Mediterranean coasts between Iceland and Morocco (Moriarty and Dekker 1997). The eel is one of the major components of many estuarine and fluvial aquatic systems. It has an important commercial value throughout Europe (about Euro 180 million · year⁻¹), and its biological cycle is long (5–18 years) and remains insufficiently known to develop reliable sustainable management policies.

The European eel dominates fish communities of many inland aquatic systems. For instance, it represents more than 50% of the fish biomass in estuarine systems such as lagoons (Feunteun 1994; Feunteun and Marion 1994) and in rivers of the Mediterranean or Atlantic coast, at least in their downstream reaches (Chancerel 1994; Feunteun et al. 1998). The species is found in practically every type of adequate habitat, and it is quite often the only species that occurs in shallow waters unsuitable for any other species.

All species of eels (Anguilla spp.) are highly migratory amphihaline species. Since Schmidt’s (1922) work, spawning in European eel is thought to take place somewhere in the Sargasso Sea. At their arrival on the continental shelf, leptocephali larvae metamorphose into glass eels, which
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start colonising continental waters. The growth stage lasts 3–8 years in males and 5–15 years in females. After this growth stage, yellow eels lose their yellow pigments, their back becomes dark brown or black and their belly becomes white, the eye volume increases, and the pectoral fins grow longer. All these changes are characteristic of a second metamorphosis into silver eels adapted to live in deep marine waters. After a few months, silver eels start their downstream migration towards marine waters and eventually return to the spawning grounds in the Sargasso Sea. Silver eels leaving continental waters are considered to be maturing fish, gonad maturation occurring in oceanic waters (Fontaine 1994).

Therefore, little is known about what happens between maturing silver eel stages and subsequent return of glass eels to the Northeast Atlantic and Mediterranean coasts. This lack of knowledge is at the origin of a controversy about the existence of a stock–recruitment relationship (Gascuel and Fontenelle 1994). ICES (1998) considers that the species is outside safe biological limits, and therefore, application of the precautionary principal is necessary. In particular, the ICES/EIFAC working group on eels recommended the enhancement of escapement for glass eels, yellow eels, and silver eels and the fixing of standard escapement objectives at the international level (ICES 1998).

This recommendation is weakened by the fact that the status of the stock is poorly assessed by a number of national or regional surveys of fluvial recruitment and that nothing is known about the minimal number of glass eels needed to sustain sufficient eel population and subsequent silver eel emigration (Feunteun and Vigneux 1998). Even weaker is the knowledge about silver eel escapement and related fisheries. Monitoring methods already exist and have been used for glass eels in a range of systems throughout Europe (e.g., Gascuel et al. 1994; Legault 1994; Moriarty and Dekker 1997), but no such approach has been conducted for silver eels.

The aim of our paper is to propose an approach to assess, at the scale of a whole river system, relationships between silver eel migration dynamics, structure of the “sedentary fraction” of eel populations, and environmental factors.

Material and methods

Study site

The Frénum (Fig. 1) is a small river of northern Brittany (France) that opens into the British Channel next to Saint Malo. Its catchment covers about 60 km², and the overall length of the river and its tributaries is 45 km, comprising 17 km for the main stream. The slope varies between 0.1 and 2% with an average of 0.6%. Despite its small size, the Frénum provides for a wide range of habitats from high-velocity streams of the trout zone to lentic waters of the bream zone in downstream areas, human-made ponds and reservoirs, wetlands, etc. The total extent of water is 75 ha including a reservoir to provide the county with drinking water. Most of the water overflowed the collecting gutter, permitting a 3 x 10³ m³ reservoir to provide the county with drinking water. Most of the time, it is not full and the flow is controlled by pipes. When it is full, the water flows over a discharge weir. It has been equipped with an eel lift to restore upstream migration, but no equipment has been provided for downstream migration (Fig. 1).

Sedentary stock assessment

Electrofishing was conducted in 30-m-long stream sections delimited by 3-mm-mesh stop nets. A “heron” (Lamarque et al. 1978) apparatus was used. It delivered direct current (150–365 V and 0.8–6 A). According to the width and the depth of river sections, fishing was conducted either wading in the water or from a boat (0.09% of the stations). A standardised depletion method (Feunteun 1994; Lambert et al. 1994; Feunteun et al. 1998) was used to assess fish abundance (number and grams per square metre) using a Carle and Strub (1978) estimator. In September 1996, a total of 34 river sections were sampled between the estuary and streams located 15 km upstream, covering 2.4% of the available river length. In September 1997, 32 stations were sampled, covering a total of 2.1% of the river length. In the deepest habitats (ponds and reservoirs), we also used unbaited fyke nets (3-mm mesh). Fyke-net results were used to describe the population structure but were not used for stock assessment. A total of five sites were sampled with fyke nets in 1996 and six in 1997, all located in the Bois Joli reservoir.

In spring 1997, a limited campaign using electricity was conducted to test marking efficiency and also permitted the recapture of a few fish marked in 1996.

Eels were measured (length and weight) and released directly onto the sampled river section immediately after their capture. Silver eels were identified using three criteria: colour of the back and belly, presence of a well-marked lateral line, and eye diameter according to Pankhurst (1982). If one of the three criteria (most often colour) did not occur, the eel was identified as yellow/silver. If only one (generally eye diameter) or none occurred, the eel was noted as yellow. Considering the shallowness of the stream, catchability was very high (on average, 70% of the standing stock was caught at the first removal). Therefore, the efficiency of the method appeared to be very good for eel sampling (including large eels), as has been stressed in previous studies (e.g., Feunteun 1994; Lambert et al. 1994; Feunteun et al. 1998).

PIT tags

All eels >200 mm, including silver eels, were marked individually using PIT tags (Prentice et al. 1990). The PIT tags were injected with a syringe into the general cavity. Induced mortality and PIT tag rejection were tested according to Prentice et al. (1990), Haines and Modde (1996), and Baisez (1997). Fourteen percent of the tags were rejected within an hour after the injection (Parker 1995; Baisez 1997). After this period, tag losses were very low. Therefore, the eels were kept for at least an hour after tagging before they were released in the river.

Downstream migration dynamics

A trap was installed on the Pont es Omnès dam; it was designed to capture every descending eel >200 mm under practically every flow condition. Daily monitoring was conducted between September 1996 and June 1998 in order to count the number of descending eels. Each fish was measured, weighed, and the presence of PIT tags detected using a magnetic detector. Environmental parameters (temperature, flow, rainfall, etc.) were measured.

A total of 19 escapement tests were regularly conducted by releasing eel batches (19–57 fish) at the top of the downstream trap of Pont es Omnès. The escapements were about 0% except during a very short period (5 days) when the river flow exceeded 1500 L s⁻¹. The water overflowed the collecting gutter, permitting eels to escape. Then the average escapement amounted to 37% (9–67%). The daily eel catches were corrected for the daily escape rates.
Population size estimates

Population size was estimated by two means: extrapolation from Carle and Strub (1978) estimates and the mark–recapture method. The average density and biomass estimated in sampled river sections by electrofishing were extrapolated to the whole stream surface using the Carle and Strub (1978) estimation. The size of the silver eel stock in the basin was calculated from the size of the total silver eel run (trap data) and the fraction of the tagged population recaptured in the run (recapture data) using

\[ S = N_{se} \times n_{se}/m \]

where \( S \) is the size of the silver eel stock, \( N_{se} \) is the number of silver eels tagged, \( n_{se} \) is the number of silver eels caught in the trap, and \( m \) is the number of marked silver eels recaptured in the trap.

Results

Sedentary fraction of the population

The population comprised eels between 60 and 780 mm (Fig. 2). In both years, a low proportion of elvers (young recently pigmented eels) was observed, confirming the low recruitment of glass eels due to physical obstruction of the river by major dams (Feunteun et al. 1998). In 1996, the strength of the 300- to 420-mm size-class seemed to be higher than in 1997 with, respectively, 40% and only 25% of the sample. Silver and yellow/silver eel population structure is dominated by the 300- to 420-mm size-class, which represents 89% of this category in both years. This size-class is dominated by males (Tesch 1977; Rossi and Colombo 1979; Adam 1997). The proportion of males was 88.9% in 1996.
and 89.3% in 1997. Silver and yellow/silver eels represented 13.9% of the sample in 1996 and 11.6% in 1997. There seems to be a decrease in the silver eel proportion in the samples during the study period. However, this phenomenon may be biased by the sampling methodology and needs to be verified by other means (see Population size estimates section above).

During the study period, the densities of all stages remained stable (Table 1), with an average of 0.45 eel m\(^{-2}\). The proportion of silver eels remains stable, with 8.7% in 1996 and 8.9% in 1997. The proportion of yellow/silver eels did not vary significantly either (2.2% in 1996 and 1997). Therefore, the proportion of potential breeders (silver and yellow/silver eels) amounts to roughly 11% of the stock in both 1996 and 1997.

The average biomass decreased from 21 g m\(^{-2}\) in 1996 to 17 g m\(^{-2}\) in 1997. This decrease was mainly due to yellow eels dropping from 15 g m\(^{-2}\) in 1996 to 12 g m\(^{-2}\) in 1997. None of these changes were statistically significant (Student \(t\) test, \(p = 0.30\)). Silver eel biomass represented 5 g m\(^{-2}\) (23.1% of the stock) in 1996 and 4 g m\(^{-2}\) (23.5% of the stock) in 1997, these differences being statistically insignificant (Student’s \(t\) test, \(p = 0.31\)).

In 1996, a total of 59 silver eels, 17 yellow/silver eels, and 328 yellow eels were PIT tagged (Table 2). In 1997, 22 silver eels were caught (eight had already been PIT tagged as yellow or silver eels in 1996 and the remaining 14 were PIT tagged), 14 yellow/silver eels were tagged (one had been tagged the year before), and 106 yellow eels (19.7%) were tagged (48 had been tagged the year before).

**Catadromous migrations**

**General characteristics**

A total of 1333 eels (204 kg) were caught in the downstream migration trap (Fig. 3). The number of emigrants was stable during both seasons: 678 (85 kg) in 1996 and 655 (119 kg) in 1997. The biomass appeared to be 40% higher in 1997 despite a reduced number of eels, indicating an increase in the sizes in 1997 (see below). A very large majority of individuals were silver eels (99%), and only about 1% were yellow/silver eels. During the 1996 season, 2.2% of the population were yellow/silver eels and none were yellow/silver eels in 1997.

The population structures were very similar in the 1996 and 1997 seasons, with size ranges from 290 to 991 mm. The length–frequency appears to be bimodal (Fig. 3): 300–420 and >420 mm in both years. The first mode represents 73.4% of the population in 1997 versus 80.0% in 1996. All eels in this size-class are assumed to be males and those >420 mm to be females (Tesch 1977; Rossi and Colombo 1979; Adam 1997). Therefore, the emigrating eel population appeared to be dominated by males in the Frémur catchment during the study period.

**Migration dynamics**

Downstream migrations occurred during the whole study period (Fig. 4), but 84.9% of the migration fluxes occurred within 7 weeks. Two peaks were observed: the first lasted 4 weeks starting in February 1997, comprising 88.3% of “1996 season” migrants, and the second lasted 3 weeks starting in April 1998, representing 81.4% of “1997 season” migrants. Both migration peaks occurred very late in the season compared with usual migration periods occurring in the region and were triggered mainly by high water discharges.

Most downstream migration only occurred when the Bois Joli reservoir was full, allowing water to flow over the dam (Fig. 4). Acou et al. (2000) showed that once the water flowed over the dam, other environmental factors such as flow, atmospheric pressure, rainfall, and lunar phase (Fig. 5) influenced migration intensity. However, no significant relationship was found between temperature and migration intensity during the peak, which lasted a too short a time for this parameter to play a role (Acou 1999). A general model was developed to predict escapement of silver eels based on population parameters and environmental conditions (Acou 1999; Acou et al. 2000).

**Stock – downstream migration relationship**

**Stock estimates from electrofishing**

During the study period, electrofishing was used to assess the resident stock of eels, including silver and yellow/silver eels (Table 1). These eels were assumed to be "candidates for emigration" during the next season. According to stock estimates based on electrofishing, a total of 3000 silver eels and 750 yellow/silver eels were expected in the downstream trap during the 1996 and 1997 seasons (Table 1). But in fact, the emigrant silver eel run amounted to 678 silver and
Comparison of population structures

Population structures of silver eels sampled in the catchment (electrofishing and fyke nets) were very similar to those of migrant eels captured in the downstream trap (Fig. 3). A very slight difference in the size of males occurred, with those of the catchment being 15 mm smaller on average than those caught in the trap. Also, females were slightly less represented in the catchment than in the trap. However, none of the observed differences were statistically significant, according to Student’s *t* and Kolmogorov–Smirnov tests (*p* > 0.95), respectively. Therefore, both approaches gave a very similar representation of silver eels population in the catchment, and since the traps constitute an exhaustive method, we assume that the sampling plan that we used provides for reliable information on silver eel populations at the scale of the river system.

Stock estimates using PIT tags

Among the 59 silver eels tagged in 1996, 12 in 1996 and five in 1997 were found in the downstream trap (Table 2). In other words, 20.3% of the candidates for emigration (silver eels) present in the catchment actually emigrated during the following migration season. The stock of silver eels in the total catchment is estimated (Petersen estimate) at 3340 in 1996 and 2885 in 1997. These results are very similar to those obtained by electrofishing (see Table 1), suggesting that probably both stock assessment methods are efficient.

Table 1. Characteristics of the sedentary fraction of the eel population in 1996 and 1997 estimated by electrofishing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>All stages</th>
<th>Silver eels</th>
<th>Yellow eels</th>
<th>Yellow/silver eels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Density (no.·m⁻²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock (no.)</td>
<td>34 500</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>30 700</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass (g·m⁻²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock (kg)</td>
<td>1 575</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>1 125</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Density (no.·m⁻²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock (no.)</td>
<td>33 750</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>30 000</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass (g·m⁻²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock (kg)</td>
<td>1 275</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Stock is the estimation of the population size in the whole catchment in numbers and in kilograms (mean × water surface of the catchment) and N is the number of sampled stations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tagging</th>
<th>Recapture</th>
<th>Silver eels</th>
<th>Yellow silver eels</th>
<th>Yellow eels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>Autumn 1996</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 1997</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Autumn 1997</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow/silver</td>
<td>Autumn 1996</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 1997</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Autumn 1997</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Autumn 1996</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 1997</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Autumn 1997</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The eels were recaptured either in the catchment (EF) or in the traps (Trap).
Fig. 3. Comparison of silver eel population structure caught in the
catchment (river) by electrofishing and fyke nets in (a) Sep-
tember 1996 and (b) September 1997 and the emigrating silver
eel population (descending) caught in the downstream trap dur-
ing the subsequent 1996 season (October 1, 1996, to September
9, 1997) and 1997 season (October 1, 1997, to June 1, 1998).
Open bars, silver eels caught in the river (68 and 47 eels in
1996 and 1997, respectively); solid bars, silver eels caught in the
downstream trap (678 and 655 in 1996 and 1997, respectively).

Migrating behaviour and mortality
Among the 59 silver eels tagged in 1996, 12 (20.3%) were
found in the downstream trap during the 1996 season (Ta-
ble 2). A total of three were recaptured in the river in 1997;
among them, two were still silver eels and one had lost sil-
ver eel characteristics. During the 1997 season, five of the
eels tagged in 1996 were caught in the downstream trap.
Combined with the two caught in the river at silver eel
stages, at least seven eels (11.9%) remained silver during
more than a year and five (8.5%) emigrated more than
18 months after metamorphosis into silver eels. This means
that 20 eels (33.9%) were recaptured, from which 17
(28.8%) were recaptured in the downstream trap. At the end
of the experiment, the remaining eels were still in the catch-
ment, died, or escaped during floods. Among the 22 silver
eels tagged in 1997, only five (22.7%) were recaptured dur-
ing the following migration season.

Among the 17 yellow/silver eels tagged in 1996, two were
recaptured in the catchment during autumn 1997, one as a
silver eel and the other as a yellow eel. None of the yellow/
silver eels marked in 1996 and in 1997 were recaptured in
the downstream trap. At the end of the experiment, it was
possible to obtain reliable information on the size (3000 silver
eels) and length ratio, and sex ratio (85% males) of the silver eel population in the whole river system. The silver eel stock present in the river before emigration was estimated at 3340 in 1996 and 2885 in 1997. Results were similar to those obtained by electrofishing (3000 silver
eels in both years), suggesting that both methods are reliable.

The characteristics of the resident stock were compared
with downstream migration data collected at the trap. The
basic question was “is it possible to predict the downstream
migration fluxes from quantitative data about the sedentary
fraction of an eel population and from environmental param-
eters?”

Discussion
The present study permitted the characterisation of the eel
stock in the Frémur catchment, showing that silver eels re-
presented almost 10% of the sedentary population. We as-
sume that this percentage is representative of short and
obstructed rivers, but extrapolation to other systems should
be considered carefully according to local environmental
conditions and population parameters.

The sampling was conducted in September in both years,
when most silvering eels have already started or finished
their metamorphosis (Tesch 1977; Fontaine 1994). There-
fore, it was possible to obtain reliable information on the
size (3000 silver eels), length ratio, and sex ratio (85%
males) of the silver eel population in the whole river system.
The silver eel stock present in the river before emigration
was estimated at 3340 in 1996 and 2885 in 1997. Results
were similar to those obtained by electrofishing (3000 silver
eels in both years), suggesting that both methods are reliable.

The characteristics of the resident stock were compared
with downstream migration data collected at the trap. The
basic question was “is it possible to predict the downstream
migration fluxes from quantitative data about the sedentary
fraction of an eel population and from environmental param-
eters?”

Around 20% of the eels identified as silver eels migrated
during the following year, as soon as favourable environ-
mental conditions occurred. The quite stable ratio that was
observed in both years is probably due to stable population
parameters and to favourable migration conditions that oc-
urred sometime in both years. At least 5% stayed in the
river where they were found the next year during electro-
fishing and 1.5% recovered yellow eel characteristics. Re-
covery of yellow eel characteristics has previously been
shown to be real candidates for emigration.
the Frémur, fishing pressure is low (no professional fishery, while anglers mainly focus on cyprinids, esocids, or percids), predation mortality is low because there are few cormorants or herons, and no massive mortality related to eutrophication has been observed (E. Feunteun, unpublished data). Lastly, anthropogenic mortality due to the management of Bois Joli dam has to be considered. Emigration is only possible once the reservoir is full and the water spills over the discharge weirs. The rest of the time, a minimal water flow is controlled by pipes. Emigrant silver eels are probably forced to go through them, but they are killed by pressure at the outlet. A study has just begun to determine this mortality factor. Preliminary results show that about 12% of the descending silver eels are probably killed because of the pipes (E. Feunteun et al., unpublished data). This is consistent with mortality caused by passage through turbines, which ranges between 5 and 100% according to Berg (1987) and Langon and Dartidelongue (unpublished).²

The current study confirmed the influence of various environmental parameters (river discharge, rainfall, atmospheric pressure, temperature, moon phase, etc.) on the emigration dynamics (e.g., Tesch 1977; Haraldstad et al. 1985; Vollestadt et al. 1986). However, the influence of dam management overruled environmental factors: emigration was impossible until the reservoir was full and water overflowing permitted eels to slide down the 14-m-high weir. The reservoir overflowed quite late in both seasons (February 1997 and April 1998), provoking important delays in the migration peaks compared with nonobstructed rivers (Tesch 1977; Vollestadt et al. 1986). Although silver eels are known to delay emigration by up to a whole year until favourable conditions occur (Vollestadt et al. 1994), the consequences of such a delay on breeding success remain unknown. We assume that if the silver eels do not feed during that period, their fat content will obviously diminish, compromising migration and breeding success. The obstructions due to hydraulic works on downstream migration have rarely been looked at, despite the probably important damage that they cause to the eel stocks by reducing breeder escapement from continental waters (Fontenelle et al. 1997). Once the dam was full, downstream migration was triggered by flow increases. After the flow peak, rainfall and (or) low atmospheric pressure may have influenced the migration intensity.

In conclusion, the results of our study were obtained during a period that was too short to provide for a reliable model of the stock–emigration relationships. However, this approach needs to be conducted at least on the mean term to see the effects of unfavourable environmental conditions on migration dynamics. Indeed, during the study period, the conditions permitted emigration, even if a delay in the migration peaks was observed. But how would the silver eel population react to dry weather conditions as observed between 1989 and 1991?

The Frémur is very much like a wide range of small catchments of western France, and therefore, the results could eventually be extended to define a regional escapement index without actually measuring escapement everywhere. However, downstream migration dynamics probably vary according to the catchment’s size, habitat index, geographic situation, etc., since such parameters are known to influence sex ratio, population structure, and size. Thus, such an approach needs to be conducted at the European and

North African level to choose a range of representative aquatic continental systems and to define a European silver eel breeder escapement index based on stock characteristics and extrinsic environmental parameters. Such a methodology is required to start reliable monitoring of the species, as recommended by ICES/EIFAC working group on eels (Moriarty and Dekker 1997). This is the first step in defining a stock (breeder escapement) – recruitment (subsequent glass eel immigration) relationship, which is needed to develop a reliable sustainable management policy for the spe-
cies. Moreover, this kind of approach is important in understanding the implementation of management measures to mitigate impact of dams on silver eel escapement from riverine systems.
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