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HIGHLIGHTS

- Physical incorporation of two types of POSS in two types of polymethacrylate matrices.
- Synthesis of copolymer methacrylate POSS.
- Synergy between phosphorus and silicon (POSS).
- Thermal degradation and fire behavior characterizations.

ABSTRACT

The influence of two different types of polyhedral oligomeric silsesquioxane (POSS): trisilanolphenyl-POSS (Tr.POSS) and methacrylateethyl-POSS (MA.POSS) on thermal degradation and flammability properties of both PMMA and a phosphonated modified PMMA (copo.(MMA–MAPC1)) has been investigated using TGA, PCFC and Py-GC/MS. The physical incorporation of MA.POSS in phosphonated modified PMMA (copo.(MMA–MAPC1)) enables to increase thermal stability properties compared to pristine phosphonated polymer due to the interaction between POSS radical sites and phosphorus species formed during thermal degradation. The reactive incorporation of POSS into PMMA was assessed by synthesizing copolymer and terpolymer of MA.POSS with MMA and MAPC1. It has been highlighted that, compared to the physical blends, the introduction of the POSS cage in the polymer chains induces a shift of degradation onset toward high temperature, an increase of the residue amount as well as a decrease of the heat release.

1. Introduction

Over the past decade, several research works have been devoted to the reduction of flammability of PMMA and several routes have been proposed [1–4]. Recently we reported the chemical incorporation of a phosphonated group into PMMA via copolymerization [5]. The study showed the effectiveness of this method (compared to physical method, blend of PMMA and phosphorus flame retardant) since a significant improvement of flame retardancy of PMMA was achieved. On the other hand, the combination of nanoparticles with traditional flame retardants has emerged recently as a promising way to reduce flammability of polymers [6]. Moreover, the combination of nanoparticles with a flame retardant which is chemically attached to polymer has proven to be an attractive and suitable method [7]. Among the nano-dimensional particles, polyhedral oligomeric silsesquioxane (POSS) has attracted much attention in recent years because of its interesting combination of properties [8,9]. POSS molecules form a continuous protective solid layer on the surface of polymer during burning and thus enhance its flame resistance [10,11]. Moreover, it has been shown by Zheng et al. [12] that POSS improved the thermooxidative stabilities of polymers. Furthermore, POSS can possess different chemical side groups which can facilitate its chemical incorporation into a given polymer via blending [13,14] or copolymerization [15–17].

The current work focuses on the effect of two different POSS (trisilanolphenyl-POSS and methacrylateethyl-POSS (Tr.POSS and MA.POSS)) addition on thermal stability and flammability of both PMMA and a phosphonated modified PMMA (copo.(MMA–MAPC1)). Moreover, the effect of methacrylateethyl-POSS in PMMA was investigated through chemical and physical...
were dried in a vacuum oven at 80 °C. A Petri dish to allow THF to freely evaporate. The obtained blends were stirred to obtain uniform dispersion. The mixture was cast onto a glass plate and heated at 65 °C under nitrogen were introduced MMA, MA.POSS (10 wt.%) and toluene.

Scheme 2. In a two necked flasks equipped with a condenser under nitrogen were introduced MMA and MA.POSS as solvents. First, PMMA or phosphonated modified PMMA were prepared by solution blending (casting) method using tetrahydrofuran (THF) as solvent. First, PMMA or copo.(MMA–MAPC1) was dissolved in THF at room temperature. MAPC1) was precipitated in methanol and the obtained polymer was dried under vacuum at 80 °C. This polymer was dried under vacuum at 80 °C prior to characterizations.

Blends of PMMA or phosphonated modified PMMA with POSS (MA.POSS or Tr.POSS) were prepared by solution blending (casting) method using tetrahydrofuran (THF) as solvent. First, PMMA or copo.(MMA–MAPC1) was dissolved in THF at room temperature. The calculated amount of POSS was added into this solution and stirred to obtain uniform dispersion. The mixture was cast onto a Petri dish to allow THF to freely evaporate. The obtained blends were dried in a vacuum oven at 80 °C prior to characterizations.

The copolymer, copo.(MMA–MA.POSS), was obtained via copolymerization of MMA and MA.POSS. This copolymer was prepared via free radical polymerization technique as shown in Scheme 2. In a two necked flask equipped with a condenser under nitrogen were introduced MMA, MA.POSS (10 wt.%) and toluene. Then, three molar percent equivalents of initiator 2,2-azo-bis-iso-butyronitrile (AIBN) were added to the solution which was stirred and heated at 65 °C for 15 h to achieve the polymerization. The solution was then precipitated in methanol and the obtained polymer was dried under vacuum at 80 °C.

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, δ, ppm): 0.55 (POSS−CH$_2$−CH$_3$), 0.86 (CH$_3$−C), 0.93 (POSS−CH$_2$−CH$_3$), 1.74 (CH$_2$−C), 3.53 (O−CH$_3$ and O−CH$_2$−POSS).

2. Materials and methods

PMMA and phosphonated modified PMMA (copo.(MMA–MAPC1)) (Scheme 1 – a, b) were synthesized via radical polymerization method. Their synthesis methods were described in a previous work [5]. Trisilanophenyl-POSS® (SO1458) (named as Tr.POSS) and methacrylateethyl-POSS® (MA0716) (named as MA.POSS) were used as purchased from Hybrid Plastics (Scheme 1 – c, d).

The copolymer, copo.(MMA–MA.POSS), was obtained via copolymerization of MMA and MA.POSS. This copolymer was prepared via free radical polymerization technique as shown in Scheme 2. In a two necked flask equipped with a condenser under nitrogen were introduced MMA, MA.POSS (10 wt.%) and toluene. Then, three molar percent equivalents of initiator 2,2-azo-bis-iso-butyronitrile (AIBN) were added to the solution which was stirred and heated at 65 °C for 15 h to achieve the polymerization. The solution was then precipitated in methanol and the obtained polymer was dried under vacuum at 80 °C.

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, δ, ppm): 0.55 (POSS−CH$_2$−CH$_3$), 0.86 (CH$_3$−C), 0.93 (POSS−CH$_2$−CH$_3$), 1.74 (CH$_2$−C), 3.53 (O−CH$_3$ and O−CH$_2$−POSS).

Blends of PMMA or phosphonated modified PMMA with POSS (MA.POSS or Tr.POSS) were prepared by solution blending (casting) method using tetrahydrofuran (THF) as solvent. First, PMMA or copo.(MMA–MAPC1) was dissolved in THF at room temperature. The calculated amount of POSS was added into this solution and stirred to obtain uniform dispersion. The mixture was cast onto a Petri dish to allow THF to freely evaporate. The obtained blends were dried in a vacuum oven at 80 °C prior to characterizations.

The copolymer, copo.(MMA–MA.POSS), was obtained via copolymerization of MMA and MA.POSS. This copolymer was prepared via free radical polymerization technique as shown in Scheme 2. In a two necked flask equipped with a condenser under nitrogen were introduced MMA, MA.POSS (10 wt.%) and toluene. Then, three molar percent equivalents of initiator 2,2-azo-bis-iso-butyronitrile (AIBN) were added to the solution which was stirred and heated at 65 °C for 15 h to achieve the polymerization. The solution was then precipitated in methanol and the obtained polymer was dried under vacuum at 80 °C.

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, δ, ppm): 0.55 (POSS−CH$_2$−CH$_3$), 0.86 (CH$_3$−C), 0.93 (POSS−CH$_2$−CH$_3$), 1.74 (CH$_2$−C), 3.53 (O−CH$_3$ and O−CH$_2$−POSS).

The calculated amount of POSS was added into this solution and stirred to obtain uniform dispersion. The mixture was cast onto a Petri dish to allow THF to freely evaporate. The obtained blends were dried in a vacuum oven at 80 °C prior to characterizations.

The name and chemical composition of all materials are summarized in Table 1.

The total heat release capacity (HRC) values were obtained from this test with an experimental error of 5% [18] (sumHRC is the sum of all peaks of heat release rate (HRR) values). FT-IR measurements were carried out in the range of 4000–400 cm$^{-1}$, with 8 scans and spectral resolution of 1 cm$^{-1}$. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out on a Perkin Elmer Pyris-1 instrument. The temperature range was varied from 50 °C to 900 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C min$^{-1}$ under nitrogen and air atmospheres. Specimen weighed 10 mg ± 2 mg. This TGA instrument was coupled to a Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (FT-IR) (Bruker-IFS66) to analyze the evolved gases (The heating rate and temperature range are identical to a simple TGA analysis.). FT-IR measurements were carried out in the range of 4000–400 cm$^{-1}$, with 8 scans and spectral resolution of 1 cm$^{-1}$. Flammability results were obtained from Pyrolysis Combustion Flow Calorimeter (PCFC) instrument (FTT Company) (specimen size 2 mm x 2 mm x 0.63 mm, with 8 scans and spectral resolution of 1 cm$^{-1}$). All images were obtained using a Scanning Electron Microscopy (FEI Quanta 200 SEM) under high vacuum at a working distance of 8.2 mm. The evolved gases during thermal degradation were analyzed using Pyrolyzer-GC/MS analysis. A Pyroprobe 5000 pyrolyzer (CDS Analytical) was used to flash pyrolyze the samples in a helium environment. This pyrolyzer is supplied with an electrically heated platinum filament. The sample (less than 1 mg) was placed in a quartz tube between two pieces of rockwool. The same sample was heated at 400 °C. This
3.1.1. SEM analysis

(MMA peak molecular weight range 162 carried out with narrow polystyrene standards (Varian Standards, eluent at 1.0 mL min⁻¹) was used as the carrier gas, a split ratio was set to 1:50. The gases were introduced from the GC transfer line to the ion trap analyzer of the 240-MS mass spectrometer (Varian) through the direct-coupled capillary column. Identification of the products was achieved comparing the observed mass spectra to those of the NIST mass spectral library. ²H and ³¹P NMR spectra were recorded in deuterium solution (using 5–10 mg of each compound dissolved in 0.6 ml of solvent) on a Bruker Avance 400 MHz spectrometer. ¹H and ³¹P chemical shifts are expressed in ppm/TMS and ppm/H₃PO₄. Molecular weight distributions were computed (Fig. 3).

3.1.2. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)

Fig. 2 shows TGA and DTG analysis of PMMA and copolymer with MA.POSS or Tr.POSS. PMMA degrades in two steps (290 °C and 390 °C, maximum of the DTG curve peaks). According to other authors [19–22], the steps of degradation of PMMA correspond to the different types of polymer chains obtained from radical polymerization. The first step of degradation (around 290 °C) takes place by scissions at the unsaturated chain ends (resulting from termination by disproportionation) involving homolytic scission of the vinyl group. The second step (around 390 °C) is a random scission within the polymer chain [5]. PMMA/MA.POSS degrades in three steps (186 °C, 290 °C and 390 °C). It seems that addition of POSS does not change the PMMA degradation pathway, since the first step of PMMA/MA.POSS could be attributed to degradation of MA.POSS only (Fig. 4a, b). PMMA/Tr.POSS degrades in two steps as PMMA and presents a better thermal stability over the whole temperature range than PMMA and PMMA/MA.POSS samples. The residue amounts are around 6% for PMMA/Tr.POSS blends (at 700 °C), 0% for PMMA and about 1% for PMMA/MA.POSS. The residue seems to consist essentially of silica from degraded POSS. Copo.(MMA–MAPC1) and copo.(MMA–MAPC1)/Tr.POSS samples degrade in two steps (330 °C and 417 °C) (Fig. 2d). The copolymer blended with MA.POSS degrades in three steps (190 °C, 344 °C and 417 °C). The onset degradation temperatures of this sample (copo.(MMA–MAPC1)/MA.POSS) are decreased compared to pure copolymer. However, the copo.(MMA–MAPC1)/MA.POSS blend presents a better thermal stability than pure copolymer after 320 °C. In the case of copo.(MMA–MAPC1)/Tr.POSS, a better thermal stability is observed all over the analysis. The residue contents are around 23%, 26% and 30% for copo.(MMA–MAPC1), copo.(MMA–MAPC1)/MA.POSS and copo.(MMA–MAPC1)/Tr.POSS, respectively. DTG curves reveal that only copo.(MMA–MAPC1)/MA.POSS sample could present a different degradation pathway. It has been suggested in the literature that a non-interacting behavior between the components results in a linear combination of the TGA curves of the individual components [23,24]. In order to scrutinize this interaction, theoretical TGA curve obtained by linear combination of the TGA curves of MA.POSS or Tr.POSS has been computed (Fig. 3).

The comparison of theoretical (calculated) and experimental TGA curves shows that in the case of copo.(MMA–MAPC1)/MA.POSS, the experimental curve presents a higher thermal

![Scheme 3. Terpolymerization of MMA with MAPC1 and MA.POSS (x = 0.45, y = 0.45, z = 0.1).](image-url)
Fig. 1. SEM images of a) PMMA/MA.Poss, b) PMMA/Tr.Poss, c) copo.(MMA–MAPC1)/MA.Poss, d) copo.(MMA–MAPC1)/Tr.Poss.

Fig. 2. TGA and DTG curves of pure PMMA and copo.(MMA–MAPC1) blend with MA.Poss and Tr.Poss at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ under nitrogen.
stability after 230 °C than the calculated curve. In other words, an interaction is evidenced between copo.(MMA–MAPC1) and MA.POSS. In the case of Tr.POSS, experimental and calculated TGA curves are well superposed, indicating a non-interacting behavior.

It appears that this difference is directly related to the nature and the different degradation pathway of both POSS. The thermal degradation of Tr.POSS occurs in two steps (Fig. 4 around 246 °C and 616 °C). The first step corresponds to the loss of water molecules from the condensation reactions between silanols functions of POSS molecules. The hypothesis is that two Tr.POSS molecules contain six silanols groups which can give after condensation three water molecules. The weight loss of this first step of degradation (3 wt.%) is in accordance with a loss of water molecules formed by silanols condensation (2.9 wt.%). The second step of degradation corresponds to release of phenyl groups [10]. The residue content is constant after 700 °C (around 70 wt.%).

The weight loss of MA-POSS, in TGA, takes place in two steps. The first step (80% weight loss) begins at 165 °C (maximum of DTG peak at 250 °C). The second step begins around 300 °C and presents 10% of weight loss. The obtained residue is around 10% after 600 °C. It seems that the first step corresponds to the degradation of ethyl and methacrylate groups and the second step concerns the partial degradation of the POSS cage (Scheme 4). Fig. 2a indicates that the first step of MA.POSS degradation occurs at a lower temperature when incorporated in PMMA. The obtained POSS at this stage can act as radical trap which can react with radicals derived from degradation of copolymer to form more stable products [25]. These reactions occur near 320 °C.

In the case of Tr.POSS, the release of phenyl groups occurs at high temperature [17]. Therefore the silicon radical sites are not available when radicals obtained by degradation of the copolymer are formed, as described for MA.POSS.

3.1.3. Pyrolysis-combustion flow calorimeter (PCFC)

Fig. 5 displays PCFC curves of PMMA and copo.(MMA–MAPC1) with MA.POSS or Tr.POSS. These results are summarized in Table 1. HRC is a parameter representative of polymer flammability. When a polymer decomposes in several steps, sumHRC must be considered. Both PMMA/MA.POSS and PMMA/Tr.POSS blends present two HRR peaks, similarly to PMMA.

sumHRC values are 345 J g⁻¹ K⁻¹ and 414 J g⁻¹ K⁻¹ for PMMA/MA.POSS and PMMA/Tr.POSS, respectively (Table 2). Therefore, the decrease of sumHRC values is respectively around 21% and 5% compared to PMMA (438 J g⁻¹ K⁻¹). In the case of PMMA/MA.POSS, the decrease of sumHRC is higher than that expected from the residue increase. This can be explained by the interaction between the products which formed from degradation of MA.POSS and PMMA. For PMMA/Tr.POSS sample, these interactions do not occur since the degradation of Tr-POSS occurs within a temperature range much higher than that of PMMA.

A slight decrease of THR values are also observed for both blends compared to pure PMMA.

The incorporation of both POSS into copo.(MMA–MAPC1) leads to increase the sumHRC values (207 J g⁻¹ K⁻¹ and 249 J g⁻¹ K⁻¹ respectively for copo.(MMA–MAPC1)/MA.POSS and copo.(MMA–MAPC1)/Tr.POSS compared to PMMA). A slight
decrease of THR is observed for copo.(MMAeMAPC1)/MA.POSS. In the case of copo.(MMAeMAPC1)/Tr.POSS, THR values increased compared to that of copo.(MMAeMAPC1).

HRR curve reveals that copo.(MMAeMAPC1)/MA.POSS presents a different degradation pathway compared to copo.(MMAeMAPC1)/Tr.POSS. As can be seen from Fig. 5b, the first step of heat release is similar for copo.(MMAeMAPC1) and copo.(MMAeMAPC1)/Tr.POSS. Conversely, in the case of copo.(MMAeMAPC1)/MA.POSS, a remarkable decrease of the first HRR peak is observed whereas the second peak is enhanced. The decrease of HRR peak can be explained by the reaction between the evolved phosphorus products obtained by degradation of copo.(MMAeMAPC1) and silicon radical sites obtained by degradation of MA.POSS (Scheme 5). These reactions can lead to more stable POSS species and thus lower HRR values within this range of temperature.

This hypothesis is in accordance with the release of phosphorus species observed between 325 °C and 425 °C, as proved by the Gram–Schmidt curve of P–O–C band (1026 cm⁻¹) obtained from TGA coupled FT-IR analysis of copo.(MMAeMAPC1) (Fig. 6).

These results have been confirmed using Py-GC/MS analysis. Fig. 7a shows the chromatogram generated from the analysis of copo.(MMAeMAPC1) and copo.(MMAeMAPC1)/MA.POSS samples at 400 °C. The common peaks are identified in Fig. 7b.

The GC chromatograms were used to evaluate the difference between the two samples. For each chromatogram the areas of the different peaks have been measured and divided by the area value of the reference peak corresponding to MMA (peak ▲). It should be noted that with the exception of MMA, the other observed peaks correspond to phosphorus products (retention time = 5.38, 6.51, 7.3, 9.5, 14.09 and 17.7 min). The main results are summarized in Table 3. It may be supposed that the absence of the reaction between copo.(MMAeMAPC1) and MA.POSS could lead to similar peaks ratios for copo.(MMAeMAPC1) and copo.(MMAeMAPC1)/MA.POSS samples. Nevertheless, the results show that all the ratios (except one) are lower in the case of copo.(MMAeMAPC1)/MA.POSS what correspond to a decrease of phosphorus products formation during the pyrolysis phase. The Py-GC/MS analysis seems to confirm the hypothesis of reaction between phosphorus products obtained by degradation of copo.(MMAeMAPC1) and the silicon radical sites obtained by degradation of MA.POSS.

It can be concluded that the physical incorporation of Tr.POSS into PMMA or copo.(MMAeMAPC1) improves thermal stability, which is not the case with MA-POSS. However, PMMA/MA-POSS presents a better HRC value than PMMA/Tr-POSS. For copo.(MMAeMAPC1) blends, a better flammability behavior is observed with MA-POSS. In all cases, blends of PMMA or copo.(MMAeMAPC1) with Tr-POSS or MA-POSS, it is difficult to obtain a homogeneous dispersion of POSS molecules on a molecular scale (1–3 nm). This observation led us to use a new strategy based on the incorporation of the POSS cage in the polymer via a copolymerization method.

3.2. Chemical incorporation of POSS into PMMA (copo.(MMAeMA.POSS))

3.2.1. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)

MA-POSS has been chemically incorporated (10 wt.%) into PMMA via a radical copolymerization and named as...
copo.(MMA–MA.POSS) (as described in Materials and methods part). This copolymer is compared to physical blend of PMMA/MA.POSS (10 wt.%) in term of thermal stability and flammability properties.

Fig. 8 displays the TGA and DTG curves of chemically and physically incorporated POSS into PMMA. The copo.(MMA–MA.POSS) exhibits three steps of degradation (at 220 °C, 300 °C and 365 °C). A higher residue percentage (~8 wt.%) and a better thermal stability (except between 345 °C and 400 °C) is observed for this sample compared to the PMMA/MA.POSS sample.

The chemical incorporation of POSS into PMMA induces a change of weight loss curve. As for PMMA, we suppose that the three steps of degradation of the copolymer in TGA could correspond to the possible three types of chains that can be generated from termination reactions during the polymerization (Scheme 6).

![Scheme 5](image)

Scheme 5. Reactions between POSS silicon radical sites and phosphorus compounds released from copo.(MMA–MAPC1).

The first step of degradation (220 °C) could correspond to the degradation of the chain issue from recombination (Scheme 6a). The second step of degradation (300 °C) corresponds to the chains obtained by disproportionation (Scheme 6b and c). Finally, the third step of degradation (365 °C) corresponds to a random scission within the polymer chain.

### 3.2.2. Pyrolysis-combustion flow calorimeter (PCFC)

Fig. 9 presents the HRR curves of copo.(MMA–MA.POSS) and PMMA/MA.POSS samples. These results are summarized in Table 4 (sumHRC and THR values). Copo.(MMA–MA.POSS) presents three peaks of HRR instead of two peaks for PMMA/MA.POSS. The comparison of sumHRC and THR values shows a difference between these samples in terms of flammability. Chemical incorporation of

![Table 3](image)

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Retention time (min)</th>
<th>(Area value peak) / (area value of peak ▲)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▲</td>
<td>4.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▲</td>
<td>5.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⊖▲</td>
<td>6.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▲▲</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▲▲</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⊖▲</td>
<td>14.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⊖▲</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The comparison shows a difference between these samples in terms of flammability. Chemical incorporation of

![Fig. 6](image)

Fig. 6. Gram–Schmidt curve, as a function of temperature, for P–O–C band of copo.(MMA–MAPC1) obtained from TGA-coupled FT-IR analysis (under N₂, 10 °C min⁻¹).

![Fig. 7](image)

Fig. 7. Py-GC/MS analysis of copo.(MMA–MAPC1) and copo.(MMA–MAPC1)/MA.POSS samples.

![Table 4](image)

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Retention time (min)</th>
<th>(Area value peak) / (area value of peak ▲)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▲</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▲</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▲</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▲</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▲</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▲</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The comparison shows a difference between these samples in terms of flammability. Chemical incorporation of
POSS into PMMA offers better results (lower sumHRC and THR) than physical incorporation.

### 3.3. Chemical incorporation of POSS into phosphonated modified PMMA (terpo.(MMA–MAPC1–MA.POSS))

A terpolymer terpo.(MMA–MAPC1–MA.POSS) was synthesized as described in Materials and methods part. The quantity of MA.POSS was fixed at 10 wt.% in order to compare this terpolymer with a physical blend of copo.(MMA–MAPC1) and MA.POSS.

#### 3.3.1. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)

The TGA and DTG curves of copo.(MMA–MAPC1), copo.(MMA–MAPC1)/MA.POSS and terpo.(MMA–MAPC1–MA.POSS) samples are presented in Fig. 10. The results of the 1st and 2nd samples were interpreted in part 3.2.1. Terpo.(MMA–MAPC1–MA.POSS) degrades in four steps (220 °C, 295 °C, 400 °C, 600 °C). The T onset of terpo.(MMA–MAPC1–MA.POSS) (165 °C) is higher than for copo.(MMA–MAPC1)/MA.POSS blend. However, copo.(MMA–MAPC1)/MA.POSS sample presents a better thermal stability than the terpolymer between 220 °C and 420 °C. The residue amount is quasi-similar for both samples after 620 °C (~25 wt.%).

#### 3.3.2. Pyrolysis-combustion flow calorimeter (PCFC)

Fig. 11 displays the HRR curves of these samples. sumHRC and THR values are reported in Table 5. Copo.(MMA–MAPC1)/MA.POSS presents the better results (lower values for sumHRC and THR) compared to terpo.(MMA–MAPC1–MA.POSS). This difference can be explained in part by the reaction that we explained in part 3.1.3.

#### Table 4 Summary results of PCFC tests for pure PMMA/MA.POSS and copo.(MMA–MA.POSS).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>sumHRC (J g⁻¹ K⁻¹)</th>
<th>THR (kJ g⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PMMA/MA.POSS</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copo.(MMA–MA.POSS)</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Conclusion

The thermal degradation and flammability behavior of PMMA and phosphonated PMMA in the presence of POSS molecules were investigated using TGA and PCFC analysis. TGA has shown a better thermal stability for PMMA and copo.(MMAeMAPC1)/MA.POSS filled with Tr.POSS but the copo.(MMAeMAPC1)/MA.POSS blend presented a reduced flammability in PCFC test. This reduction was attributed to interactions between phosphorus compounds resulting from the degradation of copo.(MMAeMAPC1) and the silicon radical sites resulting from the degradation of MA.POSS. The chemical incorporation of MA.POSS into the PMMA chains via a copolymerization route leads to a greater improvement of thermal stability, residue amount and flammability properties than the physical incorporation. These promising results need to be confirmed at macroscopic scale. Indeed, additional actions, such as barrier effect, could be expected from POSS molecules during combustion. However this effect can only be evaluated by confronting PCFC and cone calorimeter tests.
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