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Abstract—The focus of this paper is the efficient implementation of our compact operating system kernel as a bare-metal hypervisor for the SCC. We describe source, functionality, and the operation of our kernel, as well as the interaction with the already published communication layer. Furthermore, we give a detailed insight into the boot procedure of the SCC from reset to the starting point of our light-weight operating system kernel. This procedure is performed by a bare-metal framework, which is part of the MetalSVM project. Programmers can use our framework as a springboard for bare-metal programming on the SCC, which goes along with the first release of MetalSVM. Finally, we evaluate the performance of a paravirtualized Linux guest on the SCC hardware and present results of context switch latencies for Linux and MetalSVM hosts.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Single-chip Cloud Computer (SCC) experimental processor [1] is a concept vehicle created by Intel Labs as a platform for many-core software research, which consists of 48 cores arranged in a 6 × 4 on-die mesh of tiles with two cores per tile. The intended programming approach for this cluster-on-chip platform is based on message passing [2].

For the parallelization of data-intensive algorithms, especially with irregular access pattern a shared memory programming model like OpenMP which is based on memory coherence offers an attractive and efficient alternative. If future many core processor architectures have to waive the memory coherency implementation in hardware, MetalSVM can enable shared memory programming on those architectures using virtualization.

One logical, but parallel and cache coherent virtual machine runs on top of a virtualization layer. With a Shared Virtual Memory (SVM) system this implements a classic approach for the realization of memory coherence in software in a bare-metal hypervisor. The virtualized Linux instance, called guest, will have the impression of being executed on a symmetric multiprocessor system. As a result, standard shared memory parallelized applications can run on future many-core platforms. Since the shared memory paradigm shows advantages in many scenarios, we are convinced that it is valuable to transparently provide memory coherence even on an architecture without according hardware support.

This paper is structured as follows: In Section II, we motivate the realization of MetalSVM and summarize related work of our project. Afterwards, we present in Section III the structure and implementation details of the first version of MetalSVM. We describe the Boot process of the hypervisor kernel on the SCC platform in Section IV. Additionally, we compare context switch overhead and the hypervisor implementation performance between Linux and MetalSVM in Section V. In Section VI, we explain the benchmarks used for the evaluation of our kernel and present the respective performance results. The final Section VII summarizes this paper and gives an outlook to our next research goals.

II. MOTIVATION AND RELATED WORK

Initially by forking eduOS, we started the further development of MetalSVM. eduOS is a very minimalistic operating system used for educational purposes at the RWTH Aachen University. It is inspired by Unix but does not aim to be fully POSIX compliant as, for instance, the Linux kernel or the MINIX kernel, which are also used for operating system courses and research [3].

In fact, the simplicity of eduOS leads to an easy customizability and tasks running in kernel space are executed near bare-metal. As a lightweight and small monolithic kernel, it provides adequate functionality for running user space programs. Figure 1 shows the basic kernel structures of eduOS.

![Fig. 1: Kernel structure of eduOS](image)

MetalSVM, the further development of eduOS, represents a highly optimized codebase for running applications near bare-metal on the Intel SCC. Programmers can use our framework as a springboard for bare-metal programming on the SCC. In [4], we presented a first prototype, and in [5] further improvements of an SVM system, based on our framework. Here,
a shared memory application uses special SVM functions explicitly for shared memory allocation. A transparent use of the SVM layer by unchanged software will be enabled by a virtualization layer on top of the functionality of the **MetalSVM** kernel (see Figure 2).

From the application programmer’s view, Linux user space applications have limited control over the preemption time, which is affected by context switching and interrupt handling. Consequently, this can be a good reason to run applications bare-metal to avoid this kind of overhead. However, one may be not interested or be able to take care of the rest of the necessary low-level work, which is the common reason for using an operating system. Since **MetalSVM** is configurable, the possibility exists to switch off infrastructure, for instance the hypervisor or the communication layer, which makes our framework comparable to bare-metal frameworks presented at the Intel Communities page [6], [7].

In [8], we evaluated the synchronization and communication hardware support of the SCC for inter kernel usage. For the integration of IRCCE into **MetalSVM**, this included an extension in the form of a mailbox system in combination with optimized synchronization support. The result is fast synchronous and asynchronous communication between user and kernel tasks of **MetalSVM** [9].

Besides **MetalSVM**, several projects handle the integration of an SVM system into virtual machines, for an easy application of common operating systems and development environments without changes. An example for such a hypervisor-based SVM system is vNUMA [10] that has been implemented on the Intel Itanium processor architecture. In [11] one founder of vNUMA proposed to extend this concept for Many-Core Chips. For x86-based compute clusters, the so-called vSMP architecture developed by ScaleMP² allows for cluster-wide cache-coherent memory sharing. This architecture implements a virtualization layer underneath the OS that handles distributed memory accesses via InfiniBand-based communication. In some respects, these approaches are similar to our hypervisor approach. Both implement the SVM system in an additional virtualization layer between the hardware and the operating system.

The main difference between these approaches is that vSMP and vNUMA explicitly use message-passing between the cluster nodes to transfer the content of the page frames, whereas our SVM system can cope with direct access to these page frames. In fact, we want to exploit the SVM system with SCC’s distinguishing capabilities of transparent read/write access to the global off-die shared memory. This feature will help to overcome a drawback of other hypervisor-based approaches regarding fine granular operations. A recent evaluation [12] of ScaleMP’s vSMP with synthetic benchmarks as well as with real-world applications has shown that vSMP architecture can stand the test if its distinct NUMA characteristic is taken into account. Moreover, this evaluation reveals that fine granular operations such as synchronization are the big drawback of this kind of architectures. Our aim is to avoid this shortcoming by using the distinguished capabilities of transparent remote read/write memory on the SCC.

**Rockyvisor** [13] is the name of another project for the realization of a hypervisor based symmetric multi-processing support for the SCC. In contrast to **MetalSVM**, this project targets the integration of its hypervisor into Linux and not on the base of a minimalistic kernel. Therefore, on the top of all Linux instances runs a virtualized Linux, which assumes that the SCC is an SMP system. From our point of view, such a Linux on Linux approach implies unneeded overhead.

III. Kernel Features

The intended usage for an SVM management system influences the hypervisor kernel. In this section, we detail the implementation of this monolithic kernel including interrupt handling, device drivers, file system, and hypervisor. Additionally, we give reasons for specific design decisions by concrete applications.

The focus in this paper is the kernel implementation for the SCC. However, we compare this implementation to different hardware architectures supported by **MetalSVM**, whose concept is divided in a hardware dependent and independent part.

A. Hypervisor

The fact that a guest kernel is aware that it runs as a guest and uses hypercalls to do privileged operations is called paravirtualization [14]. Using an existing hypervisor solution from the Linux kernel has been the first choice for the integration into **MetalSVM** [15]. This way we can avoid changes on the Linux kernel code, since interaction between host and guest is based on a de facto standard virtualization interface. **Lguest** is an appropriate match in this context, because its about 5000 lines of code keep it quite simple. Despite its small
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²http://www.scalemp.com
size it provides all required features for the realization of the MetalSVM project [16].

For development and testing purposes, we use QEMU, which is a generic and open source machine emulator and virtualizer. To simplify our tests of standard kernel components, we integrated a driver for the Realtek RTL8139 network chip, which is also supported by QEMU as an emulated device.

B. Device Drivers

Communication between the SCC cores running MetalSVM is not limited to the iRCCE library and its mailbox extension. With the integration of lwIP, a light-weight TCP/IP library, the flexibility is increased [17]. Consequently, BSD sockets are made available to user space applications to establish communication between the SCC cores and the MCPC. In [4], we demonstrated the convincing performance of the resulting network layer.

The network capabilities besides other devices of MetalSVM will be forwarded to the guest operating system through the hypervisor via virtio. Virtio is Rusty Russell’s draft to create an efficient and well-maintained framework for I/O virtualization of virtual devices commonly used by different hypervisors [18]. In our scenario, for instance the network capabilities of MetalSVM are used as a backend by just forwarding the requests of the Linux guest operating system to the hypervisor.

C. Interrupt Management

The SCC platform includes 48 P54C cores. As a second generation of Pentium cores, the P54C is the first processor which is based on an on-chip local Advanced Programmable Interrupt Controller (APIC). This local APIC is used to program the local timer interrupt, which can be used to trigger the scheduler periodically. MetalSVM uses a simple priority-based round-robin scheduler, described in detail in Section V.

Beside the timer interrupt, the local APIC possesses two programmable local interrupts (LINT0 and LINT1). Interrupts achieve an important role, because the SCC does not use the traditional way to integrate I/O devices (IO-APIC) or to send inter-processor interrupts (IPIs). Therefore, a core configuration register exists for each core of the SCC, which is mapped to the address space of all cores. A special bit in these registers triggers a LINT0 or a LINT1. As a result, core \( x \) is enabled by the memory-mapped configuration registers to trigger an interrupt on core \( y \). However, with this mechanism the receiving core is now able to determine the origin of the interrupt.

The update of Intel sccKit to version 1.4.0 includes a Global Interrupt Controller (GIC), which provides a more flexible way to handle interrupts [19]. If an interrupt is triggered by the GIC, the receiver is able to determine the origin of this interrupt. MetalSVM uses the GIC especially for inter-core communication via iRCCE or our mailbox system [5]. Here, the information about the origin of an interrupt increases the scalability.

D. File system

Since the SCC provides no non-volatile storage, a file system is physically limited in use. Nevertheless, MetalSVM has an elementary inode file system with an initial population loaded from a ramdisk file. This file system can be manipulated at runtime.

The integration of newlib, which is a C library intended for use on embedded systems, extends the usage of MetalSVM. Regarding the mode to run user-space applications on MetalSVM arises the possibility to access custom character devices by the provided /dev directory. These can be implemented very comfortably using a well defined interface.

IV. BOOT ON THE SCC

MetalSVM is Multiboot compliant. This means that the project framework creates an ELF kernel file and an initial ramdisk image file. A boot loader like GRUB can easily use these files to boot MetalSVM on commodity x86 hardware.

Because the available SCC hardware is a research prototype, the booting process differs from commodity hardware. Differences to commodity hardware are the absence of BIOS support and the lack of stand-alone memory initialization of this experimental platform. The only possibility to bootstrap the SCC cores is preloading their memory content into a bootable state. Thus, the general system initialization is realized by a standard PC (MCPC) with direct access to the memory of the SCC and its configuration registers.

In the following, we describe the function of our framework to bring the SCC Platform into a Multiboot compliant state. As a result, an entry point for our 32 bit minimalist Multiboot kernel is created. Additionally, we describe the interaction with the common sccKit tools to boot up the SCC platform with MetalSVM.

Initially, the boot procedure starts by pulling the reset pins of the SCC cores. Next, its Lookup Tables (LUT) are initialized and the memory is set into a bootable state for each core. After a reset pin release the instruction pointer of each core holds the hardwired address \( 0x\text{fffffff0} \). As the SCC does not provide any form of boot loader, our framework provides minimal assembler code for this purpose, which needs to be located at this position. Starting the operation in real mode, this code initializes the stack pointer, installs a rudimentary GDT, switches the processor to protected mode and subsequently to 32 bit mode. As a last step, this setup procedure jumps to the alignment value of the MetalSVM kernel, address \( 0x100000 \).

The compiler has to support the pentium architecture for the generation of ELF format output of our minimalist kernel for the SCC. ELF, as the standard binary file format for Unix systems, is currently not supported by the sccKit tools.

Therefore, the utility objcopy is used to generate a directly loadable, raw binary kernel file by discarding all symbols and relocation information. The previously described startup
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\(^3\)http://www.qemu.org/

\(^4\)http://sourceware.org/newlib/

\(^5\)http://www.gnu.org/software/grub/manual/multiboot/
VI. BENCHMARKS

Benchmark results of different subsystems of MetalSVM, excluding the kernel, have been already published. An evaluation of the synchronization support, including different spin lock and barrier implementations is presented in [8]. In [4], the network layer and a first prototype of the SVM layer are evaluated. Further optimizations of the SVM layer and the mailbox extension of iRcce are presented in [5].

In this section of the paper, we analyze advantages of a bare-metal implementation of MetalSVM. We compare the context switch overhead of sccLinux 2.6.38 to MetalSVM 0.1 on the SCC platform. Additionally, we compare the Iguest implementation of MetalSVM to the implementation of the Linux kernel 2.6.32 and 2.6.38.3. For a comparison of the results, the benchmark application is the single process running on sccLinux.

For the benchmark in this section, we obtained measurements by running a single instance of the selected host operating system on a single core of the SCC platform\(^6\). Because, sccLinux in a version 2.6.32 is currently not available in a configuration with Iguest support, we used an Intel Celeron 550 test system with a frequency of 2 GHz, to benchmark the context switch latencies.

A. Context Switch Latency

For the measurement of context switch latency, two tasks are running on a single core with a high priority. Each task periodically reads the time stamp counter in a loop and stores the result at a shared memory location. Measured gaps, which are shorter than a timeslice and longer than an iteration without interruption, are recorded as an indicator for the latency of a context switch and visualized as a scattered plot in Figure 3. This method is comparable to the hourglass benchmark [22]. But in contrast to our benchmark, the hourglass benchmark tests the general preemption time and gives no information about the context switch latency.

Thus, the benchmark results from Figure 3 can be used for a comparison of context switch latencies between sccLinux and MetalSVM. As reported by Figure 3a, sccLinux has a minimal context switch overhead of about 6400 processor cycles. Figure 3a indicates a certain noise, which has no clear signature and changes from time to time. The picture is different for MetalSVM, which generates a minimal context switch overhead of 2100 processor cycles. This is more than 3 times faster. However, Figure 3b shows a second level of about 5000 ticks for context switch latencies. This effect is caused by the process of the lwIP driver, which is running with a high priority.

The scale-up from Figure 3b visualizes the differences between hardware and software context switch for MetalSVM on the SCC platform. Here, no significant effect of the context switch method to the context switch latency, except a constant offset, can be identified.

\(^6\)core/mesh/memory frequency: 533 MHz/800 MHz/800 MHz
B. Hypervisor Performance

The hypervisor plays an important role to establish a transparent shared virtual memory environment. Obviously, its overhead has a significant impact on the performance of its guest machine, for instance concerning memory management, context switches and process handling.

Measurements of three representative latencies identify a reduced virtualization overhead of $l_{guest}$ in combination with MetalSVM. The context switch from guest execution to host execution is performed at each hypercall and at the majority of interrupts. Page faults in a guest application can involve up to 3 guest-host roundtrips. Therefore, a fast resolving is aimed for. We measured the duration of system calls, exemplary for `getpid()`, `fork()`, `vfork()`, and `pthread_create()`. Here, `getpid()` indicates the overhead of a system call, since its payload execution time is very low. Due to optimizations in interrupt delivery, `getpid` does not involve a host-guest context switch. The difference of 400 ticks between Linux and MetalSVM as the host operating system can be explained by cache effects. `fork` and `vfork` are used to show the amount of ticks needed for the creation of a task and the copy operation of a whole page directory of the original task. A huge difference between Linux and MetalSVM for the execution time of `pthread_create()` is noticeable. This effect can be explained by the coarse granularity of the current timer implementation of MetalSVM. Here, the processor frequency has a direct impact.

As a real-life example we used a floating point operation intensive application in the form of the jacobi solver algorithm. We measured the overall execution efficiency within the virtual guest machine. Additionally, a second setup indicates the overhead of a task plus floating point context switch by running two instances of the solver.

### Table I: Benchmark results for the Intel Celeron platform (Linux 2.6.32)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Hypervisor</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Linux</td>
<td>MetalSVM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host-guest context switch</td>
<td>1 406</td>
<td>1 347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page fault</td>
<td>40 426</td>
<td>31 978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>getpid()</td>
<td>1 039</td>
<td>626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fork()</td>
<td>446 224</td>
<td>301 831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vfork()</td>
<td>163 421</td>
<td>117 536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pthread_create()</td>
<td>3 678 968</td>
<td>40 022 838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacobi solver (128x128 Matrix)</td>
<td>156 · 10^9</td>
<td>99 · 10^9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacobi solver (2 instances)</td>
<td>317 · 10^9</td>
<td>199 · 10^9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in processor ticks

The 3 tables (I, II, and III) show the tick count of both hypervisor implementations, Linux and MetalSVM, for different stages of the development. The light weight MetalSVM kernel results in a successful reduction of overhead for our implementation in combination with memory handling code optimizations of the hypervisor (cf. Table I). However, these measurements were taken at an earlier development stage of the hypervisor.

Table II shows benchmark results of MetalSVM version 0.1 and a more recent Linux kernel (2.6.38.3), which is available with sccKit 1.4.1 for the SCC platform. The Linux kernel has undergone performance improvements from version 2.6.32 to 2.6.38.3, which affects the benchmark results. However, we see a major advantage of a light weight solution, concerning customizability and transparent performance analysis.
TABLE II: Benchmark results for the Intel SCC platform (Linux 2.6.38.3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Hypervisor</th>
<th>Ratio MSVM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Linux</td>
<td>MetalSVM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host-guest context switch</td>
<td>2.042</td>
<td>2.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page fault</td>
<td>919.679</td>
<td>867.676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>getpid()</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fork()</td>
<td>3216.767</td>
<td>3101.387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vfork()</td>
<td>220.317</td>
<td>236.207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pthread_create()</td>
<td>16256982</td>
<td>1088339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacobi solver (32x32 Matrix)</td>
<td>3.74 · 10^5</td>
<td>3.74 · 10^5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacobi solver (2 instances)</td>
<td>7.51 · 10^5</td>
<td>7.48 · 10^5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in processor ticks

TABLE III: Benchmark results for the Intel Celeron platform (Linux 2.6.38.3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Hypervisor</th>
<th>Ratio MSVM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Linux</td>
<td>MetalSVM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host-guest context switch</td>
<td>3.020</td>
<td>2.590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page fault</td>
<td>40388</td>
<td>43985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>getpid()</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fork()</td>
<td>351907</td>
<td>371381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vfork()</td>
<td>132142</td>
<td>137366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pthread_create()</td>
<td>1020630</td>
<td>40049784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacobi solver (32x32 Matrix)</td>
<td>2.04 · 10^9</td>
<td>2.03 · 10^9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacobi solver (2 instances)</td>
<td>4.08 · 10^9</td>
<td>4.13 · 10^9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in processor ticks

VII. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

In this paper we presented a bare-metal hypervisor, with the roots of a Unix-like monolithic kernel, used for educational purposes. Our framework extends the software package sccKit of the many-core platform to run our configurable lightweight bare-metal programming environment. Performance evaluation of the context switch latency proves the assumption that kernel tasks can be executed close to bare-metal. Thus, broad functionality like interrupt handling and inter core communication in a synchronous as well as asynchronous manner is provided.

This meets the requirements for the integration of an SVM system perfectly, which we have already shown in [4] by using an adapted shared memory application. Here, the light-weight kernel benefits from the efficiency of its subsystems.

The benchmark results of selected system calls for a Linux guest system underline the potential of a bare-metal hypervisor implementation. Considered as a whole, it features a convenient development base for research due to its simplicity and limited base of supported hardware architectures.

For transparent execution of shared memory parallelized applications, we plan to boot and connect multiple instances of the presented kernel and run a single paravirtualized Linux instance on top of the hypervisor layer.
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