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Use of TerraSAR-X Data to Retrieve Soil Moisture Over Bare Soil Agricultural Fields

Nicolas Baghdadi, Maelle Aubert, and Mehrez Zribi

Abstract—The retrieval of the bare soil moisture content from TerraSAR-X data is discussed using empirical approaches. Two cases were evaluated: 1) one image at low or high incidence angle and 2) two images, one at low incidence and one at high incidence. This study shows by using three databases collected between 2008 and 2010 over two study sites in France (Orgeval and Villamblain) that TerraSAR-X is a good remote sensing tool for the retrieving of surface soil moisture with accuracy of about 3% (rmse). Moreover, the accuracy of the soil moisture estimate does not improve when two incidence angles (26°–28° or 50°–52°) are used instead of only one. When compared with the result obtained with a high incidence angle (50°–52°), the use of low incidence angle (26°–28°) does not enable a significant improvement in estimating soil moisture (about 1%).

Index Terms—Soil moisture, TerraSAR-X images.

I. INTRODUCTION

RADAR SIGNAL is a function of soil moisture and surface roughness in the case of bare soil. The possibility of retrieving these soil parameters was little investigated from X-band synthetic aperture radar (SAR). However, many studies were carried out by using C-band radar data (e.g., [1]–[4]). With the launch of satellites using the X-band (9.6 GHz), such as TerraSAR-X and COSMO-SkyMed, the use of X-band data to derive soil parameters became possible. A radar configuration that minimizes the effects of surface roughness is recommended for a better estimate of soil moisture when using only one incidence angle. The optimal radar incidences in C-band for the retrieval of soil moisture are smaller than 35° [4].

Soil moisture estimation from SAR images is carried out by using physical or statistical models. Physical approach consists in using a physical model, such as the integral equation model [5], to predict the radar backscattering coefficient from SAR and soil parameters (wavelength, polarization, incidence angle, surface roughness, and soil dielectric constant). Statistical models based on experimental measurements are also often used in soil moisture estimation. For bare soils, the increase of radar signal (σ°) is supposed to be linear with the volumetric soil moisture for values between 5% and 35% [6]. Moreover, σ° increases with soil surface roughness and follows an exponential or logarithmic behavior (e.g., [4] and [7]).

Very few studies analyzed the sensitivity of TerraSAR-X data to bare soil surface parameters. Baghdadi et al. [8] have observed that the radar signal at X-band is slightly more sensitive to surface roughness at high incidence angle than at low incidence angle. The difference observed between radar signals reflected by the roughest and smoothest areas increases with the radar wavelength. Moreover, results showed that the sensitivity of radar signal to surface roughness is better with PALSAR in L-band than with TerraSAR-X in X-band and that the C- and X-bands are similar sensitivity results. In this letter, only in situ soil moisture measurements in very wet conditions between 25% and 40% are available. Results obtained showed that the backscattering coefficient at X-band is stable when the moisture content ranges between 25% and 35% and that it decreases beyond this threshold.

Aubert et al. [9] have showed that the sensitivity of the TerraSAR-X signal to soil moisture is very important at low 50° and high incidence angles. In comparison to results published in [8] with C-band SAR data, this sensitivity of the radar signal to soil moisture is higher in X-band. The second important result concerns the potential of the fine spatial resolution of TerraSAR 64 (1 m) in the detection of soil moisture variations at the within-plot scale. The spatial distribution of slaking crust could be detected when soil moisture variation is observed between soil crust and soil without crust. Indeed, areas covered by slaking crust could have greater soil moisture and, consequently, a greater backscattering signal than soils without crust.
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At least one research question remained open. It concerns the precision of the soil moisture estimates in bare agricultural soils. The objective of this study is to examine the potential of TerraSAR-X data for retrieving volumetric soil moisture over bare soils. This work evaluates if the use of two incidence angles at X-band [one low (26°–28°) and one high (50°–52°)] improves the accuracy of the estimate of surface soil moisture in comparison to only one incidence (low or high). TerraSAR-X sensor has the advantage to acquire on the same study site image pairs at low and high incidence angles within one day. The goal of this work is to compare the findings with C- and X-band data. At C-band, several studies have shown that the use of two incidence angles provides distinct improvement in the soil moisture estimate, in comparison with results obtained using a single incidence (e.g., [1], [2], and [4]). Moreover, low incidence angle is better than the high incidence angle for estimating soil moisture with C-band SAR data. This letter investigates this research question.

### II. Study Area and Data Set

#### A. Study Site

Data were acquired over two mainly agricultural sites (Fig. 1). The Villamblain site is located in the south of Paris, France (latitude 48°01' N and longitude 1°35' E) with soil composed of 30% clay, 60% silt, and 10% sand. The second site is situated in the Orgeval watershed, located in the east of Paris, France (latitude 48°51' N and longitude 3°07' E). The soil has a loamy texture, composed of 78% silt, 17% clay, and 5% sand. Both of these two sites are very flat.

During the period of February–April (our SAR acquisitions), the main crops are wheat and colza. They cover approximately 50% of the agricultural area. The remaining surface corresponds to plowed soils awaiting future cultivation (corn and potato).

#### B. TerraSAR-X Images

Fourteen TerraSAR-X images (X-band ∼9.65 GHz) were acquired during the years of 2008, 2009, and 2010 (Table I).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Pol-Inc.</th>
<th>Fields number</th>
<th>mv (%) (min:max)</th>
<th>rms (cm) (min:max)</th>
<th>L (cm) (min:max)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06-02-08</td>
<td>Villamblain</td>
<td>HH-52°</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>(27 : 34)</td>
<td>(1.3 : 3.1)</td>
<td>(4.5 : 9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07-02-08</td>
<td>Villamblain</td>
<td>HH-28°</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>(27 : 34)</td>
<td>(1.3 : 3.1)</td>
<td>(4.5 : 9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-02-08</td>
<td>Orgeval</td>
<td>HH-50°</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(31 : 36)</td>
<td>(1.8 : 3.3)</td>
<td>(5.0 : 9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-02-08</td>
<td>Orgeval</td>
<td>HH-26°</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(31 : 35)</td>
<td>(1.8 : 3.3)</td>
<td>(5.0 : 9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-03-09</td>
<td>Orgeval</td>
<td>HH-26°</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(25 : 32)</td>
<td>(1.7 : 2.3)</td>
<td>(4.8 : 6.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-03-09</td>
<td>Orgeval</td>
<td>HH-50°</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(24 : 30)</td>
<td>(1.7 : 2.3)</td>
<td>(4.8 : 6.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-03-09</td>
<td>Orgeval</td>
<td>HH-50°</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(28 : 29)</td>
<td>(2.0 : 2.7)</td>
<td>(4.8 : 5.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-03-09</td>
<td>Orgeval</td>
<td>HH-50°</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(24 : 31)</td>
<td>(2.0 : 2.7)</td>
<td>(4.8 : 5.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-04-09</td>
<td>Orgeval</td>
<td>HH-26°</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(17 : 26)</td>
<td>(1.1 : 2.1)</td>
<td>(3.7 : 6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09-04-09</td>
<td>Orgeval</td>
<td>HH-50°</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(15 : 26)</td>
<td>(1.1 : 2.1)</td>
<td>(3.7 : 6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01-03-10</td>
<td>Orgeval</td>
<td>HH-50°</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(33 : 40)</td>
<td>(1.9 : 2.9)</td>
<td>(5.9 : 7.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02-03-10</td>
<td>Orgeval</td>
<td>HH-26°</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(33 : 37)</td>
<td>(1.9 : 2.9)</td>
<td>(5.9 : 7.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-03-10</td>
<td>Orgeval</td>
<td>HH-50°</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(13 : 25)</td>
<td>(1.1 : 2.6)</td>
<td>(4.6 : 7.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-03-10</td>
<td>Orgeval</td>
<td>HH-26°</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(15 : 22)</td>
<td>(1.1 : 2.6)</td>
<td>(4.6 : 7.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This equation transforms the amplitude of backscattered signal for each pixel \((DN_i)\) into a backscattering coefficient \((\sigma^2)\) in decibels. \(Ks\) is the calibration coefficient, and \(NEBN\) is the noise equivalent beta naught. All TerraSAR-X images were geo-referenced using GPS points with a root-mean-square error of the control points of approximately one pixel (i.e., 1 m).

\[
s_i^2 (dB) = \log_{10} \left( Ks \cdot DN_i^2 - NEBN \right) + 10 \log_{10}(\sin \theta_i). \tag{1}
\]

This equation was used to create a spotlight with a pixel spacing of 1 m. Radiometric calibration using multilook ground range detected TerraSAR-X images was first carried out using the following equation [10]:
C. Field Data

Simultaneously with TerraSAR-X acquisition, field measurements of soil moisture and surface roughness have been achieved on several bare soil reference fields of at least 2 ha. In the case of TerraSAR-X in spotlight mode (pixel spacing of 1 m), this corresponds to a surface of 20,000 pixels or more.

The volumetric water content at field scale was assumed to be equal to the mean value estimated from several samples (20–40 measurements per field; Fig. 2) collected from the top 5 cm of soil using the gravimetric method. The soil moistures range from 13% to 40%.

In most studies of microwave measurements carried out over bare soils, the experimental relationship between soil moisture and backscattering coefficient is provided by mean volumetric water contents measured to a soil depth, generally 0–5 cm or 0–10 cm. Indeed, only some studies using theory results are available at X-band. These studies suggest a penetration depth maybe lower than 5 cm. No experimental measurements are made in field condition, and the low penetration depth is not yet well known.

Roughness measurements were made using needle profiles (1 m long and with 2-cm sampling intervals). Ten roughness profiles were sampled for each training field (parallel and perpendicular to the row direction). From these measurements, the two roughness parameters, i.e., root mean square (rms) surface height and correlation length (L), were calculated using the mean of all correlation functions. The rms surface heights range from 1.1 to 3.3 cm, and the correlation length (L) varies from 2.3 cm in sown fields to 9.3 cm in plowed fields.

III. METHODOLOGY

The retrieval of soil moisture from TerraSAR-X images by means of empirical approaches requires the development of experimental relationships between $\sigma_T$ and the measured soil moisture. TerraSAR data acquired in two configurations of incidence angles (26° and 50°) were used with ground measurements conducted over bare soil. The sensitivity of TerraSAR signal to soil moisture is the greatest for low incidence angle (0.43 dB/% for 26°–28° and 0.29 dB/% for 50°–52°; Fig. 3). For a confidence level of 95%, there are significant relationships between the TerraSAR-X backscattering coefficient and the in situ soil moisture because the p-values are much less than 0.05 ($p$-value < $2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ for HH26°–28° and $p$-value = $1.52 \times 10^{-10}$ for HH50°–52°).

Studies using C-band (ERS, RADARSAT, ASAR, etc.) showed lower sensitivities between radar signal and soil moisture, between 0.2 and 0.3 dB/% for low incidence angles and about 0.1 dB/% for high incidence angles (e.g., [2] and [11–13]).

The objective of this study is to analyze the influence of incidence angle on the accuracy of the soil moisture estimate. Configurations in HH polarization with single incidence angle (26°–28° or 50°–52°) were studied. Next, multi-incidence TerraSAR-X images acquired at both low and high incidence angles were used to analyze the possible improvements in soil moisture estimates when two incidences are used.

The empirical relationship between the radar backscattering coefficient ($\sigma^2$) and the volumetric soil moisture ($m_v$) for bare soil surfaces without taking into account the rms surface height is given by (e.g., [14]; Fig. 3)

$$\sigma^2_{dB} = f(m_v, \theta)_{dB} = \delta m_v + \xi. \quad (2)$$

This simplified relationship is valid for $m_v$ values between 5% and 35% [6]. The coefficient $\delta$ is dependent on SAR parameters (radar wavelength, incidence angle, and polarization), while the coefficient $\xi$ is controlled by SAR parameters and surface roughness. Experimental data of $\sigma^2$ and $m_v$ show slope values of about 0.43 dB/% for HH26°–28° and 0.29 dB/% for HH50°–52°.

The relationship obtained between $\sigma^2$ and the rms height independent of row direction, correlation length, and soil moisture could be written as an exponential relationship of the form

$$\sigma^2_{dB} = g(rms, \theta)_{dB} = \mu e^{-k_{rms} + c} \quad (15), \quad (16)$$

or a logarithmic relationship of the form

$$\sigma^2_{dB} = g(rms, \theta)_{dB} = \mu \ln(rms) + c \quad (1)$$

With taking into account of both soil roughness and soil moisture, the radar signal in decibel scale may be written as

$$y = 0.43x - 16.12, \quad R^2 = 0.79$$

$$y = 0.29x - 15.82, \quad R^2 = 0.66$$

Fig. 2. Example of volumetric soil moisture measurements taken on a reference field.

Fig. 3. TerraSAR-X signal versus volumetric soil moisture (measured at a depth of 5 cm). Each point corresponds to the average backscattering coefficient in decibels for one reference field. Thirty points are used for each of the two configurations HH26°–28° and HH50°–52° (data sets of 2008 and 2009).
with one low incidence show inversion errors in the estimation of soil moisture. The results obtained in the validation phase reference field. The mean backscattering coefficients in decibels calculated for each of the two configurations HH26◦ was tested in using the data set of 2010 (13 points for each of squares method (cf. Table II). The validation of these models experimental data acquired in 2008 and 2009 by using the least used. 

The inversion procedures were applied in order to retrieve the soil moisture only. For two images acquired with low roughness and thus allows linking the backscattering coefficient to the soil moisture. For two images acquired with low incidence angles eliminates the effects of surface roughness that we try to eliminate is relatively weak at X-band. 

201 The sum of two functions that describe the dependence of the radar signal on soil moisture (f: linear) and surface roughness (g: exponential) (e.g., [1] and [4])

\[ \sigma_{dB}^0 = f(mv, \theta)_{dB} + g(rms, \theta)_{dB} = \delta, mv + \mu, e^{-krms} + \tau \] (3)

where \( k \) is the radar wavenumber (~2 cm\(^{-1}\) for TerraSAR-X).

This equation neglects the effect of the correlation length \( L \) on the backscattering coefficient. To take account of the correlation length, Zribi and Deschambre [1] proposed a new roughness parameter \( Zs \), defined by \( rms^2/L \), which is the product of the \( rms \) surface height and the slope of the soil surface \( (rms/L) \). Thus, the empirical model linking \( \sigma^0 \) and \( Zs \) could be written as

\[ \sigma_{dB}^0 \approx \delta mv + \mu e^{-krms} + \tau \] (4)

The use of two incidence angles eliminates the effects of roughness and thus allows linking the backscattering coefficient to the soil moisture only. For two images acquired with low and high incidence angles, the estimate of soil moisture can be obtained by solving (3) for two incidences (substituting the \( e^{-krms} \) of \( \sigma^0(\theta_{low}) \) into \( \sigma^0(\theta_{high}) \))

\[ \sigma_{dB}^0 = \delta mv + \mu e^{-krms} + \tau \]

\[ \sigma_{dB}^0 = \mu e^{-krms} + \tau \] (5)

\( \mu \) and \( \tau \) depend on \( \delta \) and \( \mu \), whereas \( \gamma \) is a function of \( \delta, \mu, \) and \( \tau \) (in both incidence angles).

The form of (5) should be the same if the \( Zs \) parameter was used.

The empirical models given in (4) and (5) were then fitted to 226 experimental data acquired in 2008 and 2009 by using the least squares method (cf. Table II). The validation of these models was tested in using the data set of 2010 (13 points for each of the two configurations HH26◦ and HH50◦). The inputs are the mean backscattering coefficients in decibels calculated for each reference field.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TerraSAR-X data - HH</th>
<th>Calibration phase Model</th>
<th>R(^2)</th>
<th>Validation phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26°-28° and 50°-52°</td>
<td>( mv(%) = 2.31 \sigma_{dB}^0 + 37.19 )</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>Bias 0.52, std 2.76, RMSE 2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( mv(%) = 3.43 \sigma_{dB}^0 + 54.30 )</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The dependence of the radar signal on surface roughness was described as weak by 251 several works ([8], [14], and [17]). Results of these studies 252 show that the influence of surface roughness on the radar signal 253 increases with increasing radar wavelength. Moreover, this 254 dependence is mainly significant for low levels of roughness. At X-band, Baghdadi et al. [4], [8] showed that the sensitivity 256 of \( \sigma^0 \) to surface roughness becomes weak for \( rms > 1 \) cm. Thus, the effect of surface roughness on radar signal becomes weak in X-band, which improves the estimates of soil moisture, particularly for \( rms > 1 \) cm. Moreover, the multi-incidence approaches become less effective because the effect of surface roughness that we try to eliminate is relatively weak at X-band compared to C-band.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

252 The inversion procedures were applied in order to retrieve soil moisture. The results obtained in the validation phase with one low incidence show inversion errors in the estimation of \( mv \) of about 3% for the high 236 incidences (50°–52°) gives slightly poorer results with an rmse of about 4%. The accuracy is strongly improved with the use of both low and high incidences (rmse of about 3%) ([1], [2], and [4]).

In contrast, large errors in the retrieved soil moisture were observed at C-band for a single incidence angle (rmses of about 6% for 20° and 9% for 40°) [4]. This is due to the fact that the radar signal is much more sensitive to surface roughness at high 246 incidence angles where the dependency on \( Zs \) is weak. 248

The dependence of the radar signal at X-band on surface roughness in agricultural areas was described as weak by 251 several works ([8], [14], and [17]). Results of these studies 252 show that the influence of surface roughness on the radar signal increases with increasing radar wavelength. Moreover, this 254 dependence is mainly significant for low levels of roughness. At X-band, Baghdadi et al. [4], [8] showed that the sensitivity of \( \sigma^0 \) to surface roughness becomes weak for \( rms > 1 \) cm. Thus, the effect of surface roughness on radar signal becomes weak in X-band, which improves the estimates of soil moisture, particularly for \( rms > 1 \) cm. Moreover, the multi-incidence approaches become less effective because the effect of surface roughness that we try to eliminate is relatively weak at X-band compared to C-band.
TABLE III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>02 sep</th>
<th>03 sep</th>
<th>04 sep</th>
<th>05 sep</th>
<th>06 sep</th>
<th>07 sep</th>
<th>08 sep</th>
<th>09 sep</th>
<th>10 sep</th>
<th>11 sep</th>
<th>12 sep</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 (°)</td>
<td>-58</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>-26</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. CONCLUSION

This study examined the potential of TerraSAR-X data for estimating soil moisture (mm) over bare soils. TerraSAR-X images collected between 2008 and 2010 over two study sites in France were used. SAR images were acquired at HH polarization and for incidence angles of 26°, 28°, 50°, and 52°. The goal of this work was to compare estimates of mm obtained from various incidence configurations and to find the best sensor configuration in incidence angle for measuring the bare soil moisture.

This study tested empirical models for soil moisture inversion from one incidence (low or high) and multi-incidence TerraSAR-X data (both low and high incidences). The results of this study may be summarized as follows:

1) For a single incidence, the retrieval algorithm performed very well for low and high incidence angles. The rmses for the soil moisture estimate are about 3% for 26°–28° and 4% for 50°–52°.

2) The accuracy of the soil moisture estimate does not improve when two incidence angles (rmse is about 3%) are used.

These results appear promising for the development of simplified algorithms for retrieving soil moisture from TerraSAR-X data and for monitoring temporal moisture changes. Table III lists the different observation possibilities for the Orgeval study site over 11 days. This site could be imaged 8 times within 11 days (two images for each incidence: 26°, 28°, 50°, and 58°), and 24 times within one month. The soil moisture mapping frequency with low incidence angle (26°) or both low and high incidence angles (26° and 50°) is possible six times within one month. The incidence of 58° can also be used, which would increase to 12 the TerraSAR-X scene number within one month. This very short revisit time makes TerraSAR-X a very useful source for the soil moisture mapping. Moreover, the increase in the acquisition frequency is much awaited for the soil moisture data assimilation in hydrological modeling.

In addition, the very high spatial resolution (metric) of the TerraSAR-X sensor is also very promising for local estimation of soil moisture at the within agricultural field scale. It offers a great potential in terms of improving the quality of soil moisture mapping for catchment areas where the parcels are of small size.
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Abstract—The retrieval of the bare soil moisture content from TerraSAR-X data is discussed using empirical approaches. Two cases were evaluated: 1) one image at low or high incidence angle and 2) two images, one at low incidence and one at high incidence. This study shows by using three databases collected between 2008 and 2010 over two study sites in France (Orgeval and Villamblain) that TerraSAR-X is a good remote sensing tool for the retrieving of surface soil moisture with accuracy of about 3% (rmse). Moreover, the accuracy of the soil moisture estimate does not improve when two incidence angles (26°–28° or 50°–52°) are used instead of only one. When compared with the result obtained with a high incidence angle (50°–52°), the use of low incidence angle (26°–28°) does not enable a significant improvement in estimating soil moisture (about 1%).

Index Terms—Soil moisture, TerraSAR-X images.

I. INTRODUCTION

RADAR SIGNAL is a function of soil moisture and surface roughness in the case of bare soil. The possibility of retrieving these soil parameters was little investigated from X-band synthetic aperture radar (SAR). However, many studies were carried out by using C-band radar data (e.g., [1]–[4]). With the launch of satellites using the X-band (∼9.6 GHz), such as TerraSAR-X and COSMO-SkyMed, the use of X-band data to derive soil parameters became possible. A radar configuration that minimizes the effects of surface roughness is recommended for a better estimate of soil moisture when using only one incidence angle. The optimal radar incidences in C-band for the retrieval of soil moisture are smaller than 35° [4].

Soil moisture estimation from SAR images is carried out by using physical or statistical models. Physical approach consists in using a physical model, such as the integral equation model [5], to predict the radar backscattering coefficient from SAR data and soil parameters (wavelength, polarization, incidence angle, surface roughness, and soil dielectric constant). Statistical models based on experimental measurements are also often used in soil moisture estimation. For bare soils, the increase of radar signal (σ°) is supposed to be linear with the volumetric soil moisture for values between 5% and 35% [6]. Moreover, σ° increases with soil surface roughness and follows an exponential or logarithmic behavior (e.g., [4] and [7]).

Very few studies analyzed the sensitivity of TerraSAR-X data to bare soil surface parameters. Baghdadi et al. [8] have observed that the radar signal at X-band is slightly more sensitive to surface roughness at high incidence angle than at low incidence angle. The difference observed between radar signals reflected by the roughest and smoothest areas increases with the radar wavelength. Moreover, results showed that the sensitivity of radar signal to surface roughness is better with PALSAR in L-band than with TerraSAR-X in X-band and that the C- and X-bands are similar sensitivity results. In this letter, only in situ soil moisture measurements in very wet conditions between 54% and 40% are available. Results obtained showed that the backscattering coefficient at X-band is stable when the moisture content ranges between 25% and 35% and that it decreases beyond this threshold.

Aubert et al. [9] have showed that the sensitivity of the TerraSAR-X signal to soil moisture is very important at low and high incidence angles. In comparison to results published with C-band SAR data, this sensitivity of the radar signal to soil moisture is higher in X-band. The second important result concerns the potential of the fine spatial resolution of TerraSAR (1 m) in the detection of soil moisture variations at the within-plot scale. The spatial distribution of slaking crust could be detected when soil moisture variation is observed between soil crust and soil without crust. Indeed, areas covered by slaking crust could have greater soil moisture and, consequently, a greater backscattering signal than soils without crust.
At least one research question remained open. It concerns the precision of the soil moisture estimates in bare agricultural soils. The objective of this study is to examine the potential of TerraSAR-X data for retrieving volumetric soil moisture over bare soils. This work evaluates if the use of two incidence angles at X-band [one low (26°–28°) and one high (50°–52°)] improves the accuracy of the estimate of surface soil moisture in comparison to only one incidence (low or high). TerraSAR-X sensor has the advantage to acquire on the same study site image pairs at low and high incidence angles within one day. The goal of this work is to compare the findings with C- and X-band data. At C-band, several studies have shown that the use of two incidence angles provides distinct improvement in the soil moisture estimate, in comparison with results obtained using a single incidence (e.g., [1], [2], and [4]). Moreover, low incidence angle is better than the high incidence angle for estimating soil moisture with C-band SAR data. This letter investigates this research question.

II. STUDY AREA AND DATA SET

A. Study Site

Data were acquired over two mainly agricultural sites (Fig. 1). The Villamblain site is located in the south of Paris, France (latitude 48°01′ N and longitude 1°35′ E) with soil composed of 30% clay, 60% silt, and 10% sand. The second site is situated in the Orgeval watershed, located in the east of Paris, France (latitude 48°51′ N and longitude 3°07′ E). The soil has a loamy texture, composed of 78% silt, 17% clay, and 5% sand. Both of these two sites are very flat.

During the period of February–April (our SAR acquisitions), the main crops are wheat and colza. They cover approximately 50% of the agricultural area. The remaining surface corresponds to plowed soils awaiting future cultivation (corn and potato).

B. TerraSAR-X Images

Fourteen TerraSAR-X images (X-band ~9.65 GHz) were acquired during the years of 2008, 2009, and 2010 (Table I). The radar data are available in HH polarization, with incidence angles (θ) of 26°, 28°, 50°, and 52°. The imaging mode used was spotlight with a pixel spacing of 1 m. Radiometric calibration using multilook ground range detected TerraSAR-X images was first carried out using the following equation [10]:

$$\sigma_i^2 (\text{dB}) = \log_{10} \left(K_s \cdot DN_i^2 - N_{EBN}\right) + 10 \log_{10}(\sin \theta_i).$$

This equation transforms the amplitude of backscattered signal for each pixel ($DN_i$) into a backscattering coefficient ($\sigma_i^2$) in decibels. $K_s$ is the calibration coefficient, and $N_{EBN}$ is the noise equivalent backscattered power. All TerraSAR-X images were then georeferenced using GPS points with a root-mean-square error of the control points of approximately one pixel (i.e., 1 m). This coregistration error was overcome by removing two boundary pixels from each training plot relative to the limits defined by the GPS control points. The mean backscattering coefficients were calculated from calibrated SAR images by averaging the linear $\sigma_i^2$ values of all pixels within reference fields.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Pol.-Inc.</th>
<th>Fields number</th>
<th>mv (%) (min:max)</th>
<th>rms (cm) (min:max)</th>
<th>L (cm) (min:max)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06-02-08</td>
<td>Villamblain</td>
<td>HH-52°</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>(27:34)</td>
<td>(1.3:31)</td>
<td>(4.5:9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07-02-08</td>
<td>Villamblain</td>
<td>HH-28°</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>(27:34)</td>
<td>(1.3:31)</td>
<td>(4.5:9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-02-08</td>
<td>Orgeval</td>
<td>HH-50°</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(31:36)</td>
<td>(1.8:3.3)</td>
<td>(5.0:9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-02-08</td>
<td>Orgeval</td>
<td>HH-26°</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(31:35)</td>
<td>(1.8:3.3)</td>
<td>(5.0:9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-03-09</td>
<td>Orgeval</td>
<td>HH-26°</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(25:32)</td>
<td>(1.7:2.3)</td>
<td>(4.8:6.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-03-09</td>
<td>Orgeval</td>
<td>HH-50°</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(24:30)</td>
<td>(1.7:2.3)</td>
<td>(4.8:6.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-03-09</td>
<td>Orgeval</td>
<td>HH-50°</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(28:29)</td>
<td>(2.0:2.7)</td>
<td>(4.8:5.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-03-09</td>
<td>Orgeval</td>
<td>HH-26°</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(24:31)</td>
<td>(2.0:2.7)</td>
<td>(4.8:5.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-04-09</td>
<td>Orgeval</td>
<td>HH-26°</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(17:26)</td>
<td>(1.1:2.1)</td>
<td>(3.7:6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09-04-09</td>
<td>Orgeval</td>
<td>HH-50°</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(15:26)</td>
<td>(1.1:2.1)</td>
<td>(3.7:6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01-03-10</td>
<td>Orgeval</td>
<td>HH-50°</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(33:40)</td>
<td>(1.9:2.9)</td>
<td>(5.9:7.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02-03-10</td>
<td>Orgeval</td>
<td>HH-26°</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(33:37)</td>
<td>(1.9:2.9)</td>
<td>(5.9:7.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-03-10</td>
<td>Orgeval</td>
<td>HH-50°</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(13:25)</td>
<td>(1.1:2.6)</td>
<td>(4.6:7.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-03-10</td>
<td>Orgeval</td>
<td>HH-26°</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(15:22)</td>
<td>(1.1:2.6)</td>
<td>(4.6:7.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
III. Methodology

The retrieval of soil moisture from TerraSAR-X images by means of empirical approaches requires the development of experimental relationships between $\sigma_T^{\text{TerraSAR-X}}$ and the measured soil moisture. TerraSAR data acquired in two configurations of incidence angles ($\sim 26^\circ$ and $\sim 50^\circ$) were used with ground measurements conducted over bare soil. The sensitivity of TerraSAR signal to soil moisture is the greatest for low incidence angle (0.43 dB/% for $26^\circ$–$28^\circ$ and 0.29 dB/% for $50^\circ$–$52^\circ$; Fig. 3). For a confidence level of 95%, there are significant relationships between the TerraSAR-X backscattering coefficient and the in situ soil moisture because the p-values are much less than 0.05 ($p$-value $< 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ for HH$26^\circ$–$28^\circ$ and $p$-value $= 1.52 \times 10^{-10}$ for HH$50^\circ$–$52^\circ$).

Studies using C-band (ERS, RADARSAT, ASAR, etc.) showed lower sensitivities between radar signal and soil moisture, between 0.2 and 0.3 dB/% for low incidence angles and about 0.1 dB/% for high incidence angles (e.g., [2] and [11–13]).

The objective of this study is to analyze the influence of incidence angle on the accuracy of the soil moisture estimate. Configurations in HH polarization with single incidence angle ($26^\circ$–$28^\circ$ or $50^\circ$–$52^\circ$) were studied. Next, multi-incidence TerraSAR-X images acquired at both low and high $\theta$ values were used with one-day-spaced dates and small deviations in soil characteristics.

The empirical relationship between the radar backscatter coefficient ($\sigma^2$) and the volumetric soil moisture ($m_v$) for bare soil surfaces without taking into account the rms surface height is given by (e.g., [14]; Fig. 3)

$$\sigma_{\text{dB}}^2 = f(m_v, \theta)_{\text{dB}} = \delta m_v + \xi.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

This simplified relationship is valid for $m_v$ values between 5% and 35% [6]. The coefficient $\delta$ is dependent on SAR parameters (radar wavelength, incidence angle, and polarization), while the coefficient $\xi$ is controlled by SAR parameters and surface roughness. Experimental data of $\sigma^2$ and $m_v$ show slope $\delta$ values of about 0.43 dB/% for HH$26^\circ$–$28^\circ$ and 0.29 dB/% for HH$50^\circ$–$52^\circ$

The relationship obtained between $\sigma^2$ and the rms height is independent of row direction, correlation length, and soil moisture could be written as an exponential relationship of the form $\sigma_{\text{dB}}^2 = g(r_m, \theta)_{\text{dB}} = \mu e^{-kr_m} + c$ [15], [16] or a logarithmic relationship of the form $\sigma_{\text{dB}}^2 = g(r_m, \theta)_{\text{dB}} = \mu \ln(r_m) + c$ [1].

With taking into account of both soil roughness and soil moisture, the radar signal in decibel scale may be written as $200$
201 the sum of two functions that describe the dependence of the
202 radar signal on soil moisture ($f$: linear) and surface roughness
203 ($g$: exponential) (e.g., [1] and [4])

$$\sigma_{\text{db}}^2 = f(\mu, \theta)_{\text{db}} + g(\text{rms}, \theta)_{\text{db}} = \delta \mu + \mu e^{-k \text{rms}} + \tau$$

(3)

204 where $k$ is the radar wavenumber ($\sim$ 2 cm$^{-1}$ for TerraSAR-X).
205 This equation neglects the effect of the correlation length
206 $L$ on the backscattering coefficient. To take account of the
207 correlation length, Zribi and Deschambre [1] proposed a new
208 roughness parameter $Z_s$, defined by $\text{rms}^2/L$, which is the
209 product of the $\text{rms}$ surface height and the slope of the soil
210 surface ($\text{rms}/L$). Thus, the empirical model linking $\sigma^2$ and $Z_s$
211 could be written as $\sigma_{\text{db}}^2 = \delta \mu + \eta e^{-k Z_s} + \psi$.
212 In the case of one SAR image characterized by one incidence ($\theta = 26^\circ$–$28^\circ$ or $50^\circ$–$52^\circ$), inversion model is written as
213 follows:

$$\mu = \alpha \sigma^2(\theta) + \beta.$$  

(4)

214 The use of two incidence angles eliminates the effects of
215 roughness and thus allows linking the backscattering coefficient
216 to the soil moisture only. For two images acquired with low
217 and high incidence angles, the estimate of soil moisture can
218 be obtained by solving (3) for two incidences (substituting the
219 $e^{-k \text{rms}}$ of $\sigma^2(\theta_{\text{low}})$ into $\sigma^2(\theta_{\text{high}})$)

$$\mu = \alpha \sigma^2(\theta_{\text{low}}) + \beta \sigma^2(\theta_{\text{high}}) + \gamma.$$  

(5)

217 $\alpha$ and $\beta$ depend on $\delta$ and $\mu$, whereas $\gamma$ is a function of $\delta$, $\mu$, $218 \tau$ (in both incidence angles).
219 The form of (5) should be the same if the $Z_s$ parameter was
220 used.
221 The empirical models given in (4) and (5) were then fitted to
222 experimental data acquired in 2008 and 2009 by using the least
223 squares method (cf. Table II). The validation of these models
224 was tested in using the data set of 2010 (13 points for each of
225 the two configurations HH26° and HH50°). The inputs are the
226 mean backscattering coefficients in decibels calculated for each
227 reference field.

### IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

230 The inversion procedures were applied in order to retrieve
231 soil moisture. The results obtained in the validation phase
232 with one low incidence show inversion errors in the estimation
233 of $\mu$ of about 3% for incidence angles. The use of high 234 incidences ($50^\circ$–$52^\circ$) gives slightly poorer results with an rmse 235 of about 4%. The accuracy is strongly improved with the use of both low and high incidences (rmse of about 3.5%) (e.g., 238 [1], [2], and [4]).

In contrast, large errors in the retrieved soil moisture were 243 observed at C-band for a single incidence angle (rmse of about 244 6% for 20° and 9% for 40°) [4]. This is due to the fact that the 245 radar signal is much more sensitive to surface roughness at high 246 radar wavelength. The accuracy is strongly improved with the 247 use of both low and high incidences (rmse of about 3.5%) (e.g., 248 [1], [2], [4]).

The dependence of the radar signal at X-band on surface 250 roughness in agricultural areas was described as weak by 251 several works ([8], [14], and [17]). Results of these studies 252 show that the influence of surface roughness on the radar signal 253 increases with increasing radar wavelength. Moreover, this 254 dependence is mainly significant for low levels of roughness. 255 At X-band, Baghdadi et al. [4], [8] showed that the sensitivity 256 of $\sigma^2$ to surface roughness becomes weak for $\text{rms} > 1$ cm. 257 Thus, the effect of surface roughness on radar signal becomes 258 weak in X-band, which improves the estimates of soil moisture, 259 particularly for $\text{rms} > 1$ cm. Moreover, the multi-incidence 260 approaches become less effective because the effect of surface 261 roughness that we try to eliminate is relatively weak at X-band 262 compared to C-band. 263

![Fig. 4. Comparison between the estimated \( \mu \) values and those measured. The error bars on the measured soil moisture values correspond to one standard deviation.](image-url)
TABLE III  
TERRASAR-X COVERAGE SIMULATION FOR ORGEVAL SITE BETWEEN SEPTEMBER 2 AND 12, 2010 (ORBIT CYCLE)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>02 sep</th>
<th>03 sep</th>
<th>04 sep</th>
<th>05 sep</th>
<th>06 sep</th>
<th>07 sep</th>
<th>08 sep</th>
<th>09 sep</th>
<th>10 sep</th>
<th>11 sep</th>
<th>12 sep</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 (°)</td>
<td>-39</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>-26</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. CONCLUSION  

This study examined the potential of TerraSAR-X data for estimating soil moisture (\(m_{\nu}\)) over bare soils. TerraSAR-X images collected between 2008 and 2010 over two study sites in France were used. SAR images were acquired at HH polarization and for incidence angles of 26°, 28°, 50°, and 52°. The goal of this work was to compare estimates of \(m_{\nu}\) obtained from various incidence configurations and to find the best sensor configuration in incidence angle for measuring the bare soil moisture. 

This study tested empirical models for soil moisture inversion from one incidence (low or high) and multi-incidence TerraSAR-X data (both low and high incidences). The results of this study may be summarized as follows: 

1) For a single incidence, the retrieval algorithm performed very well for low and high incidence angles. The rmse for the soil moisture estimate are about 3% for 26°–28° and 4% for 50°–52°. 

2) The accuracy of the soil moisture estimate does not improve when two incidence angles (rmse is about 3%) are used. 

These results appear promising for the development of simplified algorithms for retrieving soil moisture from TerraSAR-X data and for monitoring temporal moisture changes. Table III lists the different observation possibilities for the Orgeval study site within one orbit cycle (11 days). This site could be imaged 8 times within 11 days (two images for each incidence: 26°, 28°, 50°, and 58°) and 24 times within one month. The soil moisture mapping frequency with low incidence angle (26°) or with both low and high incidence angles (26° and 50°) is possible six times within one month. The incidence of 39° can also be used, which would increase to 12 the TerraSAR-X scene number within one month. This very short revisit time makes TerraSAR-X a very useful source for the soil moisture mapping. 

Moreover, the increase in the acquisition frequency is much awaited for the soil moisture data assimilation in hydrological modeling.

In addition, the very high spatial resolution (metric) of the TerraSAR-X sensor is also very promising for local estimation of soil moisture at the within agricultural field scale. It offers a great potential in terms of improving the quality of soil moisture mapping for catchment areas where the parcels are of small size.
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