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Abstract

Aging dogs naturally demonstrate cognitive impairment and neuropathology that models early Alzheimer’s disease (AD). In particular, there is evidence that canine cognitive dysfunction syndrome (CDS) in aged dogs is accompanied by cortical deposition of Aβ peptides and neurodegeneration. Plasma Aβ levels have been examined in humans as putative biomarkers for AD, but to date, no similar studies have been conducted for canine dementia. The aim of the present study was to assess plasma Aβ1-42 and Aβ1-40 levels in a blind study using pet dogs that were either successfully aging or exhibiting CDS. The severity of cognitive impairment was assessed using an owner-based questionnaire. On average, young dogs presented significantly higher plasma levels of Aβ1-42 and Aβ1-40 than aged, cognitively unimpaired dogs. Notably, among aged dogs, the levels of Aβ1-42 and the Aβ42/40 ratio were significantly higher in those showing mild cognitive impairment than in either cognitively unimpaired or severely affected dogs. These results suggest that increased plasma Aβ1-42 levels and Aβ42/40 ratio could be a biomarker for canine cognitive dysfunction, which is considered an excellent natural model of early AD.
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1. Introduction

Dogs may naturally suffer age-related cognitive deficits that parallel several key aspects of early Alzheimer’s disease (AD). There is evidence of β-amyloid (Aβ) deposits and amyloid angiopathy in the brain of aged dogs [1, 2]. Furthermore, the extent of Aβ deposition in the cerebral cortex has been shown to correlate with declines in select measures of cognitive function in aged beagle dogs and in aged pet dogs [3, 4]. Other neuropathological features of AD, such as neurodegeneration and oxidative damage, have also been reported in aged dogs [4-13]. Furthermore, from the molecular perspective, it has been found extensive homology for the canine Aβ (100% similarity), its precursor protein (APP, 98%), and the enzymes for APP processing (92-100%) with their human counterparts [14, 15]. Altogether, these data suggest the dog as an appropriate natural model for studying the biology of AD [16].

Research on the canine model of AD has been extensively conducted using laboratory beagle dogs rather than pet/companion dogs. However, the latter population may offer additional contributions to the investigation of AD because these dogs share a common environment with humans and, therefore, encounter similar environmental stressors during the aging process [17]. Cognitive dysfunction syndrome (CDS) in elderly pet dogs is characterized by behavioral and cognitive deficits that fall into four main categories: sleep-wake cycle, social interaction, housetraining, and orientation [18, 19]. Owner-based questionnaires show that CDS may affect more than 22% of geriatric dogs, and prevalence and severity increase strikingly with age [20, 21]. These data highlight the relevance of this canine syndrome, not only for research purposes as a model for AD but also plainly from a veterinary clinical point of view.

Increasingly, it appears that early diagnosis of AD, even at pre-symptomatic stages, is essential for effective therapeutic intervention. Therefore, there is an urgent need for
reliable biomarkers that can detect the onset of brain amyloid pathology before irreversible neurodegeneration has taken place. Plasma Aβ1-42 and Aβ1-40 peptides have been proposed as non-invasive peripheral biomarkers to distinguish between cognitively healthy people and patients with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) with a high degree of sensitivity and specificity [22-31]. However, considerable controversy remains in the field, and it is still too early to clearly establish the role of Aβ blood tests as a diagnostic tool for MCI and AD [32-39]. To date, no similar studies have been performed in pet dogs.

The aim of the present study was to assess the plasma levels of Aβ1-40 and Aβ1-42 in pet dogs with and without CDS. To this end, four groups of privately owned dogs were considered: young, middle-aged, cognitively unimpaired aged, and cognitively impaired aged animals. Taking into account our preliminary results in human patients, we hypothesized that plasma Aβ-peptides levels would differ among the different groups of animals and would relate to the presentation of cognitive dysfunction in the aged groups [40].

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study population

Two veterinary teaching hospitals (Universidad de Santiago de Compostela and Universidad de Zaragoza, Spain) contributed to the collection of cases. Dogs were recruited from animals in these hospitals’ populations that were not referred for behavioral consultations at the time of admission; they were all small to medium-sized dogs usually living with their owners (i.e., pets). There were no selection criteria regarding neuter status, but the groups were sex-balanced (Table 1).
In total, 88 animals were enrolled in the study. The subjects were sorted into four groups: i) young (YG, 1-4 years of age, n=9), ii) middle aged (MA, 5-8 years of age, n=10), iii) cognitively unimpaired aged (CU, ≥9 years of age, n=31), and iv) cognitively impaired aged (CI, ≥9 years of age, n=38). The animals were treated according to the European and Spanish legislations on animal handling (86/609/EU, Real Decreto 1201/2005) and the experiments and procedures were approved by the Ethical Committees of the University of Santiago and Zaragoza.

2.2. Medical assessment
Prior to inclusion in the study for Aβ peptides analysis, all dogs were screened by routine physical and neurological examination, complete blood count, serum biochemistry and thyroid hormone measurement, and urinalysis when needed. Animals with primary organ system failure (other than brain degeneration), hypothyroidism, untreated Cushing’s syndrome and seriously affected mobility were excluded from the study. Animals with severe loss of visual capacity were also excluded.

2.3. Cognitive assessment
Classification of cognitive status was carried out using an owner-based observational questionnaire (see Table 2). The design of the questionnaire was based on previously published models [3, 18-20] and included a number of behavioral and cognitive items grouped into four categories: (a) sleep-wake cycle, (b) socio-environmental interaction, (c) housetraining and commands, and (d) disorientation. The questionnaires were administered to the owners by two doctors in veterinary medicine (DVM) who specialize in behavior (AG-M and BR). The owners of the aged dogs were asked to compare the dog’s present behavior to its behavior prior to 9 years of age, when the dog was a younger adult. After matching the affected items (Yes or No answer), the owner
was asked to grade the severity of the impairment for each category using a five-point scale (0 = non-impaired; 4 = severely impaired).

A dog was considered to be impaired in a category if at least one item was affected and that category scored ≥1 points. A dog was considered cognitively impaired when two or more categories were impaired and the total dysfunction score (TDS, the sum of scores attributed by the owner to each of the four categories) was ≥2 points. A score of 2 to 5 points was described as mild cognitive impairment (mCI) and ≥6 points as severe cognitive impairment (sCI). Simultaneously, using the information obtained during the screening, the researchers filled out the canine dementia questionnaire published by Rofina et al. [4] for every animal. The dementia score obtained from that questionnaire is referred to hereafter as the Rofina dysfunction score (RDS).

2.4. Blood sampling and Aβ peptide analysis

Blood samples (5 ml) were collected from the jugular vein into polypropylene vials containing EDTA and a protease inhibitor cocktail (CompleteMini, Roche); they were centrifuged (2500 g, 4ºC, 15 min), aliquoted and coded without any reference to the age or cognitive state of the animals. The aliquots of plasma were immediately frozen at -80ºC and sent to the Araclon laboratory. Aβ1-42 and Aβ1-40 were measured in plasma using two specific ELISA sandwich kits (ABtest 40 and ABtest 42; Araclon Biotech Ltd., Zaragoza, Spain). Each sandwich kit is composed of an N-terminal Aβ-binding capture antibody and a C-terminal Aβ1-40 or Aβ1-42 binding detection antibodies, respectively. The samples and peptide standards were always assayed in triplicate. To test the reproducibility of the analysis, the ELISA was repeated on two different days. Average coefficients of variation (CV) between the two assays were 7.84 % for Aβ1-42 and 13.07 % for Aβ1-40. Furthermore, comparing the series of measurements using the Wilcoxon test indicated that the measurements of Aβ1-42 levels, Aβ1-40 levels, and the
Aβ42/40 ratio did not differ significantly between the two assays ($p = 0.472$, $p = 0.162$, and $p = 0.277$, respectively). Therefore, the averaged data from the two assays was used for further statistical analysis.

An additional 3-ml blood sample from each animal was collected for cell count and serum clinical biochemistry.

2.5. Statistical analysis

The plasma concentration of Aβ1-42, Aβ1-40 and the Aβ42/40 ratio were defined as dependent variables whose group mean values were compared using Mann-Whitney U tests. Correlations were analyzed using Spearman’s rank correlation tests. Chi-square tests were used to compare the distribution of categorical demographic or cognitive variables among groups. The differences in serum biochemical parameters were assessed either by ANOVA or Kruskal-Wallis test, if the parameter distribution was parametric or non-parametric, respectively. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were used to assess the accuracy of the dependent variables in classifying the presence versus absence of cognitive impairment. Calculations were carried out using the statistical program SPSS 15.0 for Windows (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), and $p \leq 0.05$ denoted statistical significance.

3. Results

3.1. Demographic data

Demographic information for each group is shown in Table 1. Non-significant differences were found for sex, reproductive status, and weight or body condition among groups. Moreover, the CU and CI groups did not differ significantly in age. The CU group showed significantly higher serum albumin concentrations than the CI group (4.12 g/dl ± 0.52 vs 3.74 g/dl ± 0.56, respectively; $p = 0.01$). This difference was
considered clinically irrelevant, and there were no other biochemical or hematological differences between the aged groups (data not shown).

3.2. Clinical diagnosis of canine CDS

Table 2 shows the frequency distribution of impaired behavioral categories and items in each group. The proportion of dogs with affected items in the CI group was significantly higher than in the CU group for all items except for “vocalizing at night” and “decrease in recognizing familiar people”. Obviously, the CI group showed significantly higher TDS than the CU group (6.08 ± 3.26 vs 0.35 ± 0.48, respectively; \( p < 0.001 \)) and the same occurred for the RDS (9.79 ± 6.70 vs 1.23 ± 1.91, respectively; \( p < 0.001 \)). Furthermore, TDS was strongly correlated with the number of affected items \((r = 0.91, p < 0.001)\) and RDS \((r = 0.89, p < 0.001)\) in the aged groups (CU and CI).

3.3. Plasma \(A\beta1-40\) and \(A\beta1-42\)

The mean \(A\beta\) peptide concentrations and \(A\beta42/40\) ratio values for each group are shown in Table 3. The levels of \(A\beta1-42\) and \(A\beta1-40\) were correlated with each other across the study groups \((r = 0.68; p < 0.001)\), but they did not correlate with any hematological or serum biochemical parameters (data not shown). The plasma concentration of \(A\beta\) peptides, particularly \(A\beta1-42\), varied considerably between dogs, even within a given group, as denoted by the high standard deviations (SD).

The highest \(A\beta1-42\), \(A\beta1-40\) peptide concentrations and \(A\beta42/40\) ratio were found in the youngest group (YG). Thus, the YG and CU groups differed significantly for both \(A\beta\)-peptides and \(A\beta42/40\) ratio. The concentrations of \(A\beta1-42\) and the \(A\beta42/40\) ratio in the MA group were between those in the YG and CU, but the differences did not reach statistical significance (Fig 1A).
Within the aged animals, it was found that Aβ plasma levels differed with cognitive status. Thus, the CI group showed significantly higher Aβ1-42 levels and Aβ42/40 ratio, but not Aβ1-40 levels, than the CU group (Table 3). Nevertheless, because of the wide dispersion of the measurements, individual values for these two parameters showed considerable overlap between the two groups. This overlap compromised the capability of the tests to discriminate between CU and CI animals, with an area under the ROC curve (AUC) < 0.67 for the three parameters, and only statistically significant for Aβ1-42 (p = 0.02). Furthermore, measurements of Aβ1-42 levels, Aβ1-40 levels or Aβ42/40 did not correlate with the any dementia score in the aged groups (data not shown). However, when we split the CI group, the severely impaired animals (sCI, n = 17) showed a significantly lower Aβ1-42 level and Aβ42/40 ratios than the mildly impaired animals (mCI, n = 21, Table 4). Moreover, the average Aβ1-42 levels and Aβ42/40 ratio were 2.5 times and 1.8 times greater, respectively, in the mCI than in the aged unimpaired CU group (p < 0.01) (Table 4, Fig 1B). In concordance with these results, the ability of the Aβ1-42 level and the Aβ42/40 ratio, but not the Aβ1-40 level, to discriminate between CU and mCI animals (instead of between CU and CI groups) substantially improved (AUC = 0.78; p < 0.001) (Fig 2A-C). Notably, when CU and mCI animals were plotted together (without the sCI), both the Aβ1-42 level and the Aβ42/40 ratio showed a weak but significant correlation with TDS (r = 0.44 and 0.47, respectively; p ≤ 0.001), total number of positive items (r = 0.42 and 0.53, respectively; p ≤ 0.002) and RDS (r = 0.37 and 0.41, respectively; p ≤ 0.007).

4. Discussion

4.1. Plasma Aβ1-40 and Aβ1-42 in relation to age and cognitive dysfunction.

In the present study, classification of cognitive status was carried out using an owner-based questionnaire after ruling out other medical causes of dementia-like changes. This
questionnaire included four behavioral categories that were graded in severity by the owner according to a five-point scale to obtain a total cognitive dysfunction score (TDS). Owner-based questionnaires, including psychometric scales, have already been used for measuring and phenotyping behavior in dogs [3, 18-20]. The most affected categories in our geriatric animals were “sleep/wake cycle” and “socio-environmental interactions,” but cognitively impaired dogs were significantly more frequently affected in all categories and in the vast majority of items than the healthy aged group. The number of affected items was strongly related to the above-mentioned TDS, suggesting that the severity assessment carried out by the owner is greatly dependent on the number of contexts in which the dog shows impairment.

The two groups of aged animals were highly homogeneous regarding age, sex and reproductive status distributions, weight or body condition. Furthermore, there were not any significant hematological or biochemical differences between these two aged groups except for serum albumin that appeared slightly increased in the CU with respect to the CI group. It has been reported that mild decreases of serum albumin is related to an increased risk of mortality, disability, sarcopenia and frailty in people over 65 years [41]. However, this type of information is scarce in veterinary practice. Recently it has been reported an increasing mortality risk in dogs as albumin decreased from 40 to 15 g/L (i.e., a very substantial decrease) [42]. However, it is important to note that this study was carried out in hospitalized dogs, not in the normal population. The subtle serum albumin difference between our two aged groups (4.12 g/dl ± 0.52 in CU vs 3.74 g/dl ± 0.56 in the CI) was considered clinically irrelevant.

The primary objective of the present study was to analyze plasma levels of Aβ1-42 and Aβ1-40 peptides in relation to age and cognitive dysfunction in pet dogs. The concentrations of these two Aβ isoforms were correlated, and Aβ1-40 levels were
higher than Aβ1-42 levels in all the study groups. Both these features agreed with the results reported in the majority of similar studies conducted in humans [25, 26, 28, 30, 43].

We found that plasma Aβ peptides levels tended to decrease with age in cognitively intact dogs (i.e., in young, middle-aged and cognitively unimpaired aged groups). In particular, dogs less than 4 years old showed significantly higher Aβ1-42 and Aβ1-40 levels, as well as higher Aβ42/40 ratio values, than those more than 9 years old. A recent study carried out in healthy beagles (4-16 years old) showed that Aβ1-42, but not Aβ1-40, levels decrease slightly in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) as brain amyloid deposition increases with age [44]. Our results suggest that the observed reduction of plasma Aβ1-42 and Aβ1-40 concentrations in cognitively unimpaired aged dogs might also be a consequence of increasing brain amyloid deposition, although a decreased production of mentioned peptides could not be excluded. In contrast, higher Aβ1-42 plasma levels and Aβ42/40 ratio were detected in dogs suffering from CDS when compared with cognitively unimpaired dogs. Thus, it appeared that the onset of the clinical condition was accompanied by an increase in Aβ1-42 production that might reverse the decrease in Aβ peptides levels observed in successful aging. These results are consistent with numerous studies on AD that have reported a relationship between elevated plasma Aβ peptide levels and the disease in humans [22-31]. From those studies, it is becoming increasingly clear that the elevation in Aβ blood levels is an early event that could precede the onset of cognitive symptoms and increase the risk of developing AD [45-49]. Notably, when we separated dogs with a CDS diagnosis into two subgroups according to the severity of the syndrome (i.e., mCI and sCI), new differences emerged. Thus, the mCI animals showed significantly higher Aβ1-42 levels and Aβ42/40 ratio values than the sCI dogs and the CU dogs; no significant differences
appeared between these latter groups. In contrast, plasma Aβ1-40 levels did not change according to diagnosis or severity of CDS. Again, these results were similar to studies reporting that a faster cognitive decline and/or progression of AD were accompanied by decreases in plasma Aβ1-42 [22, 24, 26, 28, 29, 31]. In a pilot study including 40 participants, we recently found that human plasma Aβ1-42 and Aβ1-40 concentrations were significantly higher in MCI patients than in non-demented controls. Furthermore, in that study, we observed that, although the different markers of the Aβ pool in blood did not vary significantly between MCI and AD groups, they tended to decrease in the AD patients with the lower MMSE [40]. The amyloid hypothesis states that AD pathology starts when Aβ peptides, particularly Aβ1-42, begin to aggregate and precipitate in the interstitial spaces of the brain. In this situation, diffusion of brain Aβ toward the ventricular system will be severely hampered, leading to a reduction in Aβ1-42 levels in the CSF that has been shown to herald cognitive decline [32, 45, 50, 51]. At the initial stage of the disease, probably without extensive capillary damage, the increased Aβ blood levels observed in humans and, in this study, in mCI dogs might reflect the increasing peptide levels in brain tissue. The subsequent drop of plasma Aβ1-42 observed in both canines and humans as the disease progresses could be related to the deposition of Aβ around capillaries, which would seal off the blood-brain barrier. Thus, the results of the present work revealed a similar pattern of plasma Aβ changes in dogs and humans during the progression of cognitive impairment. This parallelism reinforces the similarities between canine CDS and AD and suggests that those dogs suffering mild cognitive impairment could model human MCI, whereas those displaying severe impairment could model early or mild sporadic AD. A longitudinal study (already in progress), rather than a cross-sectional approach, will help better explore these disease-related changes in plasma Aβ peptides in dogs.
Some limitations still remain regarding classification of the cognitive status in aged dogs. Notably, the available tools and criteria for diagnosis of age-associated cognitive impairment, mild cognitive impairment and dementia in canines (or other animals) are not as developed as in humans. In addition, it is possible that a CDS diagnosis in dogs could include dementias other than AD-like dementia (e.g., vascular dementia). We found that our owner-based TDS was strongly correlated with the RDS obtained with the test of Rofina et al. [4]. In RDS, the severity of cognitive impairment was not assessed by the owner, as it is in our questionnaire, but a pre-established score was arbitrarily assigned to each item. These authors showed a correlation between their dementia score and several brain lesions, including amyloid. In contrast, we did not find a correlation between plasma Aβ peptide levels and any cognitive score in our aged dogs (CU and CI groups). However, if we considered only the CU and mCI animals, plasma Aβ1-42 concentrations and the Aβ42/40 ratio significantly correlated with TDS, RDS and the total number of affected items. These results are congruent with the idea that changes in Aβ blood levels could be an early marker for the onset of brain amyloid pathology and cognitive impairment. At more advanced stages, probably after development of extensive cerebral amyloid angiopathy, plasma peptide levels would not correlate with ongoing brain pathology and cognitive dysfunction [46, 48, 49]. Furthermore, in spite of the uncertainties of the veterinary clinical criterion standard, the AUC obtained for plasma Aβ1-42 levels and the Aβ42/40 ratio would allow for discrimination between mCI and CU dogs with a sensitivity and specificity close to, although still lower than, that considered suitable for most AD biomarkers. In our opinion, this is of the greatest interest because, from any practical point of view, it is at these early stages of AD when the diagnoses should be improved, and the canine model would be particularly useful in this context.
4.2. Conclusion

These results show that plasma Aβ1-42 and Aβ1-40 levels differ as a function of age in dogs and relate to the level and severity of cognitive impairment. Younger animals showed higher plasma Aβ1-42 and Aβ1-40 than normal aged dogs, suggesting that plasma Aβ levels may decrease as brain Aβ deposition increases with age. High Aβ1-42 and Aβ42/40 levels were found in dogs suffering mild cognitive impairment, as compared to both severely impaired dogs and control subjects. These findings suggest that changes in plasma Aβ1-42 levels in pet dogs during pathological aging might exhibit patterns similar to those previously reported for human MCI and AD.
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Figure legends

Fig 1 Bar graphs for plasma Aβ1-42 and Aβ1-40 concentrations (in pg/ml) and for the Aβ42/40 ratio (multiplied by 100 for clarity of the graph). In A, the four groups are represented. In B, the CI group was split into mCI (n = 21) and sCI (n = 17), and the same three parameters were compared with the CU group. Asterisks in A represent significance compared to the CU group and in B represent significance compared to the mCI group. *, ** or *** means \( p < 0.05, 0.01 \) or 0.001, respectively. ‡ (in A) means \( p < 0.05 \) compared to YG.

Fig 2 A dot-plot for Aβ1-42 levels in pg/ml (A) and the Aβ42/40 ratio (B) in CU, mCI and sCI dogs. Numbers beside * indicate the value for outliers, which are not represented at the same scale of the ordinate axis for clarity of the graph. A considerable overlap was seen for these two parameters between CU and CI dogs. However, plasma concentrations of Aβ1-42 and the Aβ42/40 ratio, as shown by their ROC curves in C and D, respectively, allow for discrimination between CU and mCI dogs with sensitivity and specificity similar to those considered suitable for most diagnostic tests (AUC ≥ 0.85).
Table 1. Demographic data in the studied canine population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Males (%)</th>
<th>Females (%)</th>
<th>Neutered (%)</th>
<th>Age (months) Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Weight (Kg) Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Body condition (1-5) Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YG (n=9)</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>31.1 ± 17.7</td>
<td>11.8 ± 5.7</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA (n=10)</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>83.2 ± 16.7</td>
<td>12.8 ± 10.6</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU (n=31)</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>146.4 ± 35.2</td>
<td>12.2 ± 7.7</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI (n=38)</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>152.6 ± 25.1</td>
<td>14.8 ± 9.2</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p = 0.35\(^a\)  p = 0.97\(^a\)  p = 0.18\(^b\)  p = 0.59\(^c\)  p = 0.42\(^a\)

YG: young; MA: middle aged; CU: cognitively unimpaired aged; CI: cognitively impaired aged.

\(^a\)Body condition scale: 1(too thin) - 3(thin) - 3(ideal) - 4(heavy) - 5(too heavy).

\(^a\) Chi-square test, \(^b\) Mann-Whitney U test between CU and CI groups, \(^c\) Kruskal-Wallis test.
Table 2. Frequency distribution (percentage) of impaired categories and items in each group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Items</th>
<th>YG (n=9)</th>
<th>MA (n=10)</th>
<th>CU (n=31)</th>
<th>CI (n=38)</th>
<th>p&lt;sup&gt;†&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sleep-wake cycle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Walking/Pacing at night</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vocalizing (barking/whining) at night</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sleeping less at night</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sleeping noticeably more during the day</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Switching between insomnia and hypersomnia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-environmental interactions</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decrease in greeting owners</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decrease in soliciting attention from the owners</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increase in following the owners around the house</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decrease in playing with the owners</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decrease in playing with other dogs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Changes in personality (irritability, new fears, lack of interest on stimuli)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House-training and commands</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>63.2</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Starting urinate/defecate in the house</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decrease in signalling to go out for eliminating</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decrease in urine marking (non-castrated males)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decrease in responding to prior learned commands</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disorientation</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Staring into space (star gazing) or getting stuck</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Getting lost in the house or during routine walks</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wandering (aimless walking) in the house</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trying to pass through narrow places</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Standing at the wrong side of the door to go out</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Difficulty in navigating around or over obstacles</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decrease in recognizing familiar people</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

YG: Young; MA: Middle aged; CU: cognitively unimpaired aged; CI: cognitively impaired aged.  
<sup>†</sup> p values corresponding to statistical differences between groups 3 and 4. *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01; ***, p<0.001; NS, Non-significant difference.
Table 3. Amyloid β-peptides concentrations (pg/µl) and Aβ42/40 ratios in each group of study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Aβ40</th>
<th>Aβ42</th>
<th>Aβ42/40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YG</td>
<td>88.7 ± 35.3\textsuperscript{ma, cu}</td>
<td>59.2 ± 58.6\textsuperscript{cu}</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.38\textsuperscript{CU}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>61.5 ± 19.4</td>
<td>31.4 ± 32.5</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU</td>
<td>64.7 ± 24.1</td>
<td>23.3 ± 12.0</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>79.1 ± 33.0</td>
<td>46.1 ± 53.7\textsuperscript{cu}</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.35\textsuperscript{cu}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

YG: young; MA: middle aged; CU: cognitively unimpaired aged; CI: cognitively impaired aged. Different letters indicate significant differences between groups (capital letters: \(p<0.001\), lower case letters: \(p<0.05\)).
Table 4. Amyloid β-peptides concentrations (pg/µl) and Aβ42/40 ratios in the aged groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cognitive status</th>
<th>Aβ40 Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Aβ42 Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Aβ42/40 Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CU</td>
<td>64.7 ± 24.1</td>
<td>23.3 ± 12.0&lt;sup&gt;M&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.20&lt;sup&gt;M&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mCI</td>
<td>82.1 ± 38.8</td>
<td>58.3 ± 65.5</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sCI</td>
<td>75.3 ± 24.7</td>
<td>31.1 ± 29.5&lt;sup&gt;m&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.26&lt;sup&gt;m&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CU: cognitively unimpaired; mCI: mild cognitive impairment; sCI: severe cognitive impairment. Letters m/M indicate significant differences with regard to the mCI group (capital letters: p<0.01; lower case letters: p<0.05).
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Research highlights.
1º) plasma Aβ was analyzed for the first time in relation to age in a pet dog population.
2º) aged dogs with cognitive dysfunction have higher Aβ42 plasma levels than controls.
3º) Aβ42 levels were higher in mildly cognitive impaired than in severely affected dogs.
4º) plasma Aβ42 could be an early biomarker for cognitive dysfunction in aged dogs.
5º) canine cognitive dysfunction syndrome appears as an excellent natural model for early AD.