Accepted Manuscript

Mechanics of the Fouetté turn

Akiko Imura, M.R. Yeadon

PII: S0167-9457(10)00120-X
DOI: [10.1016/j.humov.2010.08.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2010.08.002)
Reference: HUMOV 1282

To appear in: *Human Movement Science*

Please cite this article as: Imura, A., Yeadon, M.R., Mechanics of the Fouetté turn, *Human Movement Science* (2010), doi: [10.1016/j.humov.2010.08.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2010.08.002)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Mechanics of the Fouetté turn

Akiko Imura¹, and M.R. Yeadon²

¹Graduate School of Arts and Sciences, University of Tokyo, 3-8-1, Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8902, Japan

²School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences, Loughborough University, Loughborough LE11 3TU, UK

Corresponding author: Dr M.R. Yeadon

M.R.Yeadon@lboro.ac.uk

Phone: +44 1509 226307

Fax: +44 1509 226301

Second resubmission to Human Movement Science, July 2010

Abstract: 228 words Article: 3007 words

1 **Abstract**

2 The Fouetté turn in classical ballet is performed repeatedly on one leg with swinging of the
3 free limbs, producing a continued sequence of turns with one turn leading into the next. The
4 purpose of this study was to determine the possible time history profiles of the twisting
5 torque between the supporting leg and the remainder of the body that will allow continued
6 performances of the Fouetté turn. Simulations were performed using a model which
7 comprised the supporting leg and the remainder of the body to find torque profiles that
8 maintain the initial angular velocity so that the state after one revolution is the same as the
9 initial state. The solution space of torque profiles was determined for various rotation times
10 and coefficients of friction between foot and floor. As the time for one revolution became
11 shorter the solution space became smaller and for a given turn time there was a lower limit
12 on the coefficient of friction. As the frictional coefficient became smaller the solution space
13 became smaller and for a given coefficient there was a lower limit on the turn time. Turns of
14 a given tempo can be performed on floors with different friction by modifying the twisting
15 torque profile. When a turn is completed with a net change in angular velocity this can be
16 compensated for in the next turn by adjusting the twisting torque profile.

17 **KEY WORDS:** Turn; Simulation; Angular Momentum; Ballet.

18 **PsycINFO classification code:** 2330, 3720, 3740

1. Introduction

Skilled ballet dancers can continuously perform repeated Fouetté turns (Fig. 1) and while there are various performance styles, such as Italian and Russian Fouetté turns according to the ballet style of the dancer (Warren, 1990), the basic technique is the same. The turn is started from one or two revolutions of the pirouette which is initiated with both feet in contact with the floor to produce the initial angular momentum. The dancer then keeps turning to music, swinging the arms and the free leg while the supporting foot is stationary in full contact with the floor (Figs.1 A-D). After the swinging, the dancer adopts the pirouette position during which the foot slips (Fig.1 E-I) before starting to swing the free leg again (Fig. 1 J-K). The dancer regains the angular momentum lost due to friction during the slipping phase by swinging the free limbs when the foot is stationary, which enables the floor to exert a large frictional torque T_F on the foot in the same direction as the swinging (Laws, 1984, 1998; Imura, Iino, & Kojima, 2008). The dancer can keep turning for more than 30 revolutions by repeating these movements.

The frictional torque T_F is the only external torque during the Fouetté turn and consequently determines the changes in the angular momentum of the whole body. This frictional torque is dependent on the limiting frictional torque (limiting T_F) and

37 the twisting torque T used to swing the free limbs. The supporting foot during the
38 Fouetté turn is essentially on tiptoe during the slipping or in full contact with the floor
39 when the foot stops turning.

40 *** insert Fig. 1 here ***

41 Dancers have to perform the Fouetté turn in time to the music in the
42 choreography, facing the front at the same position for the aesthetics of ballet (Laws,
43 1984). However, they sometimes turn to music tempo that is too fast or too slow and
44 struggle with performing successful turns. The friction coefficient between the shoes
45 and the floor of the performance stage may be different to that of the practice studio
46 and this will require technique to be modified.

47 The purpose of this study was to determine the possible time history profiles of
48 the twisting torque between the supporting leg and the remainder of the body that will
49 allow continued performances of the Fouetté turn. Techniques for coping with changes
50 in tempo and friction were also investigated.

51

52 **2. Methods**

53 A computer simulation model of the Fouetté turn was used to investigate the
54 solution space of twisting torque profiles that permitted performances of successful

turns for various coefficients of friction between foot and floor and various time periods of turn. The body (mass 49.5 kg) of a typical dancer who participated in a previous study (Imura et al., 2008) was modeled as two cylinders (Fig. 2): the supporting leg L and the remainder of the body B whose moment of inertia I_B about a vertical axis changed according to the positions of the free leg and arms. The time profiles of the foot radius r , the moment of inertia I_B , and the normal ground reaction force N were based on experimental data from the study of Imura et al. (2008) and were represented by joining adjacent maximum and minimum values using monotonic quintic functions with zero first and second time derivatives at the endpoints (Fig. 3).

*** insert Fig. 2 here ***

The radius r was taken to be 0.12 m at the maximum when the supporting foot is fully in contact with the floor and to be 0.05 m at the minimum when the dancer stands on tiptoe (Fig. 3a). These bounding values were determined using the distance between the toe and the center of the pressure (CoP) from the experimental data of Imura et al. (2008). The foot radius time profile was matched to the experimental data with time normalized to the turn time, recognizing that CoP locations were unreliable when the normal reaction force was small.

The time profile of I_B (Fig. 3b) was calculated using the theorem of parallel

axes by scaling inertia data (Ae, Tang, & Yokoi, 1992) of a subject with similar body mass as the model and using arm and leg positions based upon those exhibited in the experimental study of Imura et al. (2008). The maximum and minimum I_B were calculated to be 2.67 and 1.06 kg.m², respectively. The moment of inertia of the leg I_L was assumed to be constant during the turn and was calculated to be 0.085 kg.m². The normal ground reaction force N was determined by the following four values based on experimental data from the study of Imura et al. (2008): 2.68 body weights at the time of full foot contact, 0.0 body weights once slipping had started, 1.24 and 0.82 body weights during slipping on tiptoe. It was assumed that the fitted profile was symmetrical about the mid-turn time (Fig. 3c). The average N was one body weight during one turn.

The friction coefficient μ between the shoes and the floor was estimated to be 0.2, calculated from the slipping phase of the experimental data of Imura et al. (2008) using the equation $\mu = T_F / N_r$. The leg L was rotated further than B (Fig. 2) by 0.22 radians at the start of the turn according to the experimental data of Imura et al. (2008).

Simulations were performed for 1 s starting with the supporting foot in full contact with the floor (Fig. 1A), with the requirement that the dancer rotates 2π in 1 s using an appropriate twisting torque T . Three variables were used to define the time

91 profile of T : $T_{\max}$ the initial (positive) torque to swing the free limbs and trunk, $T_{\min}$ the
 92 (negative) torque which is maintained during the sliding to reverse the swinging, and
 93 the time t_l at which T becomes $T_{\min}$. $T_{\max}$, and $T_{\min}$ were joined by monotonic quintic
 94 functions, assuming the profile of T to be symmetric since the dancer swings the limbs
 95 at the end of the turn as at the start (Fig. 3d).

96 *** insert Fig. 3 here ***

97 The frictional torque T_F while the dancer is slipping can be assumed to be the
 98 limiting T_F , which is the product of the friction coefficient μ , the normal ground
 99 reaction force N , and the radius r of the foot contact area with the floor. When the foot
 100 is stationary, the frictional torque T_F acting on the supporting foot is equal to T . The
 101 frictional torque T_F was defined as $T_F = T$ when $T \leq \mu Nr$ and $T_F = \mu Nr$ once L
 102 slipped. Values for μ , N , r , I_B , I_L , and T were input into the equations of motion.

103 The angular momentum of the body B is given by $h = I_B \dot{\phi}_B$ where ϕ_B is the
 104 angle turned by the body B . The torque T applied to the body B by the supporting leg L
 105 is equal to the rate of change of h . Thus:

106
$$T = I_B \ddot{\phi}_B + \dot{I}_B \dot{\phi}_B \text{ from which:}$$

107
$$\ddot{\phi}_B = (T - \dot{I}_B \dot{\phi}_B) / I_B \quad (1)$$

108 The net torque acting on the leg L in the direction of the turn is $T_F - T$ and so:

109 $T_F - T = I_L \ddot{\phi}_L$ from which:

$$110 \quad \ddot{\phi}_L = (T_F - T) / I_L \quad (2)$$

111 The angles and angular velocities of B and L ($\phi_B, \dot{\phi}_B$ and $\phi_L, \dot{\phi}_L$) were calculated from
112 the accelerations derived in (1), (2) using stepwise integration.

113 A grid search was made for $T_{\max}$ between 10 and 30 Nm, $T_{\min}$ between -1 and
114 -10 Nm and t_1 between 0.1 and 0.4 s to find the possible time profiles of T for turns
115 which satisfied all of the following conditions. These were: (a) the leg L rotates 2π
116 radians and stops by the end of the simulation, (b) the body B rotates 2π radians and
117 the angular velocity of B at the end of the simulation is the same as at the start, (c) the
118 foot does not slip more than 0.13 radians in the direction opposite to that of the turn (as
119 for the experimental data of Imura et al., 2008). The coefficient of friction $\mu = 0.2$
120 and time for one turn was 1.0 s. After determining the bounding time profiles of
121 minimum and maximum $T_{\max}$ from the solution space of T, additional cases were
122 considered in which the friction coefficient ranged from 0.1 to 0.3 ($t_{\text{end}} = 1.0$ s) and the
123 time for one turn ranged from 0.7 to 1.0 s ($\mu = 0.2$), spanning the experimentally
124 determined values of $\mu = 0.2$ and $t_{\text{end}} = 0.85$ s.

125

126 3. Results

127 For each combination of frictional coefficient μ and turn time t_{end} there were
 128 maximum and minimum values for the initial (maximum) value T_{max} of the twisting
 129 torque T (Tables 1-4). Each pair of max-min solutions gave rotation angle time
 130 histories similar to experimental data and had similar angular velocity time histories
 131 (Figs. 4 and 5). For each value of T_{max} lying between the maximum and minimum
 132 values there existed unique values of T_{min} and t_1 for which the body and supporting leg
 133 each rotated one revolution and any counter-slipping was less than 0.13 radians as
 134 shown in the example (Table 2, Fig. 6). With μ fixed at 0.2, smaller values of t_{end} lead
 135 to larger values of minimum T_{max} and consequently to a smaller range of solutions
 136 (Table 1). For $t_{\text{end}} = 0.76$ there was a narrow range of solutions with T_{max} lying between
 137 28.1 Nm and 28.3 Nm and for $t_{\text{end}} = 0.75$, or smaller, there were no solutions. For
 138 decreasing t_{end} the maximum angle difference ϕ_d between the body and the
 139 supporting leg increased (Table 1). The initial angular velocity $\dot{\phi}_B$ of the body
 140 remained essentially constant for a given t_{end} and was inversely proportional to t_{end}
 141 (Table 1).

142 *** insert Table 1 here ***

143 *** insert Table 2 here ***

144 With t_{end} fixed at 1.0 s smaller values of μ lead to smaller values of T_{max} and

145 $T_{\min}$ (Table 3). As μ decreased the maximum and minimum values of $T_{\max}$, $T_{\min}$, and
 146 t_1 became closer (Table 3), giving a narrower range of solutions and for $\mu < 0.12$
 147 there were no solutions. The initial angular velocity $\dot{\phi}_B$ remained essentially constant
 148 for the various values of μ .

149 For each solution with given values of μ and t_{end} , there existed solutions with
 150 equivalent torque profiles for different combinations of μ and t_{end} . For example if μ
 151 increased from 0.20 to 0.25, t_{end} decreased from 1.0 to 0.9 in the equivalent solution
 152 (Table 4). The torque profiles of these equivalent solutions have the same t_1/t_{end} values
 153 and merely have different scaling factors for torque and time.

154 *** insert Table 3 here ***

155 *** insert Table 4 here ***

156

157 *** insert Fig. 4 here ***

158 *** insert Fig. 5 here ***

159 **4. Discussion**

160 The purpose of this study was to determine the possible time history profiles of
 161 T for various tempos and friction. For each combination of time of turn and frictional
 162 coefficient there is a range of solutions for T (Tables 1-4). The solutions for T satisfy

163 the requirement that the net change in the angular velocity of the body B is zero and
 164 that the leg L and body B each rotate 2π at t_{end} . Because the body B rotates under the
 165 action of only T, the time integral of T should be zero after one revolution so that there
 166 is no net change in angular momentum. The rotation of the leg L is dependent on the
 167 net torque $T_F - T$, so the foot should rotate 2π during slipping as a consequence of
 168 sufficient acceleration produced by the net torque. Again the time integral of $T_F - T$
 169 must be zero since the start and end velocities are zero. Hence, T_{max} , T_{min} , and t_1 are
 170 such that the integral of T is zero and $T_F - T$ rotates L just one revolution during
 171 slipping. For a given value of T_{max} these two constraints give a unique solution for the
 172 remaining two degrees of freedom (T_{min} and t_1). Thus for a given set of conditions (μ ,
 173 t_{end}) there is a set of torque profiles defined by T_{max} , T_{min} , and t_1 each of which lie
 174 within the bounds shown in Tables 1-4. While there is a range of solutions for a given
 175 set of conditions (μ , t_{end}), the tight specification of any individual solution may make
 176 the Fouetté turn difficult to perform in a steady state since precise timing would be
 177 required by the dancer. On the other hand since there are various time histories within
 178 the general profiles which produce one revolution of the Fouetté turn (Fig. 6), such
 179 time profiles may represent the different styles from different schools.

180 *** insert Fig. 6 here ***

Initially the twisting torque is positive and less than the limiting frictional torque, accelerating the upper body in the direction of twist while the supporting leg remains stationary with the twisting torque and frictional torque cancelling each other (Figs. 4a and 5a). If the twisting torque exceeds the limiting frictional torque in this phase ($T > 0$) the foot will slip in the direction opposite to that of the twist. Soon after the upper body starts to decelerate relative to the supporting leg and T becomes negative, the magnitude of T exceeds that of limiting T_F and the foot slips in the direction of twist (Figs. 4a and 5a). Once the magnitude of T falls below that of limiting T_F , the angular velocity of the foot decreases until the foot stops rotating at which time $T = T_F$.

For a given coefficient of friction there is a lower bound on the time of the turn since faster turns require larger torques (Table 1) and these are bounded by the limiting frictional torque. This explains why the range of solutions narrows for faster turns (Table 1). There will also be an upper bound on the turn time arising from the assumed time profile of the vertical reaction force N (Fig. 3) since the supporting leg must flex in order to reduce N below one body weight and there is a limit to the amount of flexion possible. The average angular velocity of the body will be inversely proportional to the turn time, and since the angular velocity profiles of the body are

199 similar in different solutions (Figs. 4c and 5c) the initial angular velocity $\dot{\phi}_B$ will be
200 approximately inversely proportional to t_{end} (Table 1). Thus if a dancer completes a
201 turn with a net decrease in $\dot{\phi}_B$ this could be compensated for in the next turn by
202 choosing to continue to turn at the new angular velocity and selecting a twisting torque
203 profile with the corresponding t_{end} . Alternatively, a larger T_{max} for the same T_{min} could
204 be used to produce a net gain in angular momentum in the next turn while keeping the
205 same turn time. Real time adjustments for ϕ_B and ϕ_L could also be made by
206 modifying the time profiles of I_B and r .

207 As the friction coefficient becomes smaller, the magnitude of T also decreases
208 but so does the range of possible profiles for T so that for a given t_{end} there is a lower
209 limit for μ below which there are no solutions. This is a consequence of not having
210 sufficient $T_F - T$ to produce the required rotation of the foot. For values of μ below
211 this limit there are solutions with longer turn times since for a given solution there are
212 equivalent solutions with smaller μ and larger t_{end} (Table 4). For larger values of μ ,
213 solutions require larger values of T (Table 3), and so there will be an upper limit on μ
214 imposed by the ability of the dancer to exert large torques. For floors with different μ
215 it will be possible to turn at a given tempo providing μ lies within a certain range.
216 For μ below the lower bound slower turns will be possible and for μ above the

217 upper bound faster turns will be possible up to a limit.

218 For a given pair of values of μ and t_{end} and a particular solution there are
 219 other corresponding pairs of values with an equivalent solution for which t_1/t_{end} is the
 220 same (Table 4). In comparing these solutions a change of t_{end} by a scaling factor k will
 221 correspond to a change in each of $\dot{I}_B$, $\dot{\phi}_B$, and $\dot{\phi}_L$ by a factor of $1/k$ and a change in
 222 each of $\ddot{\phi}_B$ and $\ddot{\phi}_L$ by a factor $1/k^2$. As a consequence of equations (1) and (2) T and
 223 T_F will change by a factor $1/k^2$.

224 There are a number of simplifications associated with the model. The moment
 225 of inertia about the longitudinal axis of the supporting leg is assumed to be constant. In
 226 an actual performance the knee bends and extends in order to stand on tiptoe and so the
 227 moment of inertia will vary. However knee flexion occurs primarily during full foot
 228 contact and changing the moment of inertia in this phase would have minimal effect on
 229 a simulation since the foot slips very little during this phase. During the majority of the
 230 slipping phase the leg is straight and the assumption of constant moment of inertia is
 231 reasonable. Although the time profiles of the variables r , I_B , and N are simplifications
 232 they were based on the experimental data of a dancer and the calculated leg and body
 233 rotation angles were similar to performance data (Figs. 4 and 5). The coefficient of
 234 static friction has been assumed to be the same as that of dynamic friction rather than a

235 little larger. The effect of this will have been to reduce the range of possible solutions
236 slightly. The limit of 0.13 radians on foot slippage in the direction opposite to the turn
237 constrains the maximum angle difference between body and support leg to
238 anatomically feasible values of around 1 radian or less in the simulations (Tables 1-4).
239 If this constraint is removed the solution space is much larger but includes simulations
240 with large relative rotations between body and support leg which are beyond
241 anatomical limits.

242 This simple model has been used to describe the solution space of the possible
243 time profiles of the twisting torque T that produce the required rotation about the
244 longitudinal axis in a Fouetté turn. A change of floor to one with increased friction will
245 require a larger twisting torque to turn at the same tempo. A net reduction in the
246 angular velocity of the body B after one turn can be compensated for by increasing
247 $T_{\max}$ for the same $T_{\min}$. The model could be applied not only to the Fouetté turn but
248 also to other ballet turns such as the pirouette and Grand Fouetté Italien.

249

250 **References**

251 Ae, M., Tang, H., & Yokoi, T. (1992). Estimation of inertia properties of the body
252 segments in Japanese athletes (in Japanese). In the Society of Biomechanisms

- 253 (Ed.), *Biomechanisms, 11* (pp. 23-33). Tokyo : University of Tokyo Press.
- 254 Imura, A., Iino, Y., & Kojima, T. (2008). Biomechanics of the continuity and speed
- 255 change during one revolution of the Fouetté turn. *Human Movement Science* 27,
- 256 903-913.
- 257 Laws, K. (1984). *The physics of dance*. New York : Schrmer Books.
- 258 Laws, K. (1998). Momentum transfer in dance movement. *Medical Problems of*
- 259 *Performing Artists, 13*, 136-145.
- 260 Warren, W. G. (1990). *Classical ballet technique*. The University of South Florida
- 261 Press.

Table 1. Ranges of the twisting torque parameters $T_{\max}$, $T_{\min}$, t_1 for which the dancer can turn in steady state ($\mu = 0.2$)

T	t_{end}	$T_{\max}$	$-T_{\min}$	t_1/t_{end}	ϕ_d	$\dot{\phi}_B$
max	1.00	28.3	4.9	0.15	0.66	4.49
min	1.00	18.4	5.5	0.23	0.76	4.49
max	0.90	28.3	5.4	0.16	0.68	4.97
min	0.90	20.2	6.0	0.23	0.80	4.97
max	0.80	28.3	6.0	0.18	0.75	5.57
min	0.80	23.9	6.7	0.22	0.91	5.58

Notes: ϕ_d is the maximum difference between leg and body angles,

$\dot{\phi}_B$ is the initial angular velocity of the body B

Table 2. An example of an intermediate twisting torque profile lying between maximum and minimum solutions

T	μ	t_{end}	T_{max}	$-T_{\text{min}}$	t_l	ϕ_d	$\dot{\phi}_B$
max	0.30	1.0	42.4	6.5	0.13	0.65	4.52
int	0.30	1.0	32.3	6.6	0.17	0.65	4.53
min	0.30	1.0	22.2	8.1	0.27	1.05	4.55

Notes: ϕ_d is the maximum difference between leg and body angles,
 $\dot{\phi}_B$ is the initial angular velocity of the body B

Table 3. Ranges of the twisting torque parameters $T_{\max}$, $T_{\min}$, and t_1 for which the dancer can turn in steady state ($t_{\text{end}} = 1.0$ s)

T	μ	$T_{\max}$	$-T_{\min}$	t_1	ϕ_d	$\dot{\phi}_B$
max	0.15	21.2	4.2	0.16	0.69	4.46
min	0.15	15.9	4.7	0.23	0.86	4.47
max	0.20	28.3	4.9	0.15	0.66	4.49
min	0.20	18.4	5.5	0.23	0.76	4.49
max	0.25	35.3	5.7	0.14	0.65	4.51
min	0.25	20.1	6.8	0.25	0.89	4.52
max	0.30	42.4	6.5	0.13	0.65	4.52
min	0.30	22.2	8.1	0.27	1.05	4.55

Notes: ϕ_d is the maximum difference between leg and body angles,
 $\dot{\phi}_B$ is the initial angular velocity of the body B

Table 4. Equivalent solutions for twisting torque profiles with different combinations of friction and turn time

T	μ	t_{end}	T_{max}	$-T_{\text{min}}$	t_1/t_{end}	ϕ_d	$\dot{\phi}_B$
max	0.20	1.0	28.3	4.9	0.15	0.66	4.49
min	0.20	1.0	18.4	5.5	0.23	0.76	4.49
max	0.25	0.9	35.4	6.2	0.15	0.66	5.02
min	0.25	0.9	22.9	6.8	0.23	0.76	5.02

Notes: ϕ_d is the maximum difference between leg and body angles,
 $\dot{\phi}_B$ is the initial angular velocity of the body B

List of Figure Captions

Fig. 1. Sequential view of one revolution of Fouetté turn. Each picture is shown every 10% time of one revolution (adapted from Imura et al., 2008).

Fig. 2. The model comprises the supporting leg L and the remainder of the body B. Initial torque directions are shown.

Fig. 3. Time profiles of (a) radius of the foot contact area, (b) moment inertia of body B, (c) normal ground reaction force, and (d) a representative example of the twisting torque T. The abscissae show one time unit for one revolution of the turn and experimental data (normalized to the time of the turn) are shown using dashed lines.

Fig. 4. Time profiles of a successful Fouetté turn ($\mu = 0.2$) for which the twisting torque parameter $T_{\max}$ is minimum: (a) twisting torque (dashed line), frictional torque (thick line), limiting frictional torques (both directions, thin lines), (b) rotation angles of body B (thin line) and leg L (thick line) with experimental data (dashed lines), and (c) angular velocity of body B (thin line) and leg L (thick line).

Fig. 5. Time profiles of a successful Fouetté turn ($\mu = 0.2$) for which the twisting torque parameter $T_{\max}$ is maximum: (a) twisting torque (dashed line), frictional torque (thick line), limiting frictional torques (both directions, thin lines), (b) rotation angles of body B (thin line) and leg L (thick line) with experimental data (dashed lines), and (c) angular velocity of body B (thin line) and leg L (thick line).

Fig. 6. An example of an intermediate twisting torque profile (dashed line) between maximum $T_{\max}$ (thick line) and minimum $T_{\max}$ (thin line) corresponding to Table

2.

Fig. 1.

Fig. 2.

Fig. 3.

Fig. 4.

Fig. 5.

Fig. 6.