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Abstract – Particle shape is a key to the space-filling and strength properties of granular matter. We consider a shape parameter $\eta$ describing the degree of distortion from a perfectly spherical shape. Encompassing most specific shape characteristics such as elongation, angularity and non-convexity, $\eta$ is a low-order but generic parameter that we used in a numerical benchmark test for a systematic investigation of shape-dependence in sheared granular packings composed of particles of different shapes. We find that the shear strength is an increasing function of $\eta$ with nearly the same trend for all shapes, the differences appearing thus to be of second order compared to $\eta$. We also observe a nontrivial behavior of packing fraction which, for all our simulated shapes, increases with $\eta$ from the random close packing fraction for disks, reaches a peak considerably higher than that for disks, and subsequently declines as $\eta$ is further increased. These findings suggest that a low-order description of particle shape accounts for the principal trends of packing fraction and shear strength. Hence, the effect of second-order shape parameters may be investigated by considering different shapes at the same level of $\eta$.

The hard-sphere packing is at the heart of various models for the rheology and (thermo)dynamical properties of amorphous states of matter including liquids, glasses and granular materials [1, 2]. Such models reflect both the purely geometrical properties of sphere packings, e.g. the order-disorder transition with finite volume change [3], and emergent properties arising from collective particle interactions, e.g. force chains and arching in static piles [4]. As to non-spherical particle packings, rather recent results suggest that such packings exhibit higher shear strength than sphere packings [5–15], and may approach unusually high packing fractions [2, 16–18]. However, a systematic and quantitative investigation of shape-dependence is still largely elusive since particle shape characteristics such as elongation, angularity, slenderness and nonconvexity are described by distinct groups of parameters, and the effect of each parameter is not easy to isolate experimentally.

In order to evaluate the shape-dependence of general granular properties such as packing fraction, shear strength and internal structure for particles of different shapes, we designed a numerical benchmark test that was simulated and analyzed by the members of a collaborative group (CEGEO). The idea of this test is that various non-spherical or non-circular shapes can be characterized by their degree of distortion from a perfectly spherical or circular shape. Let us consider an arbitrary 2D shape as sketched in Fig. 1. The border of the particle is fully enclosed between two concentric circles: a circumscribing circle of radius $R$ and an inscribed circle of radius $R - \Delta R$. We define the $\eta$-set as the set of all shapes with borders

(a)Collaborative group “Changement d’Echelle dans les GEMatériaux” (scale change in geomaterials)
enclosed between a pair of concentric circles (spheres in 3D), touching both circles and having the same ratio

\[ \eta = \frac{\Delta R}{R}. \]  

Fig. 1: An arbitrary particle shape represented by a concentric pair of circumscribing and inscribed circles.

Four different particle shapes belonging to the same \( \eta \)-set are shown in Fig. 2. A non-zero value of \( \eta \) corresponds to non-convexity for A-shape, elongation for B-shape, angularity for C-shape, and a combination of angularity and elongation for D-shape.

Fig. 2: Four different shapes belonging to the same \( \eta \)-set with \( \eta = 0.4 \): trimer (A), rounded-cap rectangle (B), truncated triangle (C), and elongated hexagon (D).

\[ \sin \phi^* \]  

Fig. 4: Shear strength \( \sin \phi^* \) of packings composed of various particle shapes (see Fig. 2) as a function of \( \eta \).

The parameter \( \eta \) is obviously a rough low-order shape parameter; see also [19]. But, encompassing most specific shape parameters, it provides a general framework in which shape-dependence may be analyzed among particles of very different shapes. Within an \( \eta \)-set, each specific shape may further be characterized by higher-order parameters. The issue that we address in this Letter is to what extent the packing fraction and shear strength are controlled by \( \eta \) and in which respects the behavior depends on higher-order shape parameters .

The benchmark test is based on the four shapes of Fig. 2. The A-shape (trimer) is composed of three overlapping disks touching the circumscribing circle and with their intersection points lying on the inscribed circle; the B-shape (rounded-cap rectangle) is a rectangle touching the inscribed circle and juxtaposed with two half-disks touching the circumscribing circle; the C-shape (truncated triangle) is a hexagon with three sides constrained to touch the inscribed circle and all corners on the circumscribing circle; and the D-shape (elongated hexagon) is an irregular hexagon with two sides constrained to touch the inscribed circle and two corners lie on the circumscribing circle. The range of geometrically defined values of \( \eta \) for a given shape (defined by a construction method) has in general a lower bound \( \eta_0 \). For A and B, the particle shape changes continuously from a disk, so that \( \eta_0 = 0 \) whereas we have \( \eta_0 = 1 - \sqrt{3}/2 \simeq 0.13 \) for C and D.

Two different discrete element methods (DEM) were used for the simulations: contact dynamics (CD) and molecular dynamics (MD). In the CD method, the particles are treated as perfectly rigid [20] whereas a linear spring-dashpot model was used in MD simulations with stiff particles \((k_n/p_0 > 10^3\), where \(k_n\) is the normal stiffness and \(p_0\) refers to the confining pressure\) [21]. The trimers were simulated by both methods for all values of \( \eta \). We refer below as A (for CD) and A’ (for MD) to these simulations. The packing C was simulated by MD whereas the packings B and D were simulated by CD. Note that in quasi-static flow, the relaxation time of the particles is short enough (compared to the inverse shear rate) to allow for efficient dissipation of kinetic energy in each time step. For this reason, in contrast to granular gases, the exact values of the damping parameters or restitution coefficients have practically no influence on the numerical
For each shape, several packings of 5000 particles were prepared with \( \eta \) varying from 0 to 0.5. To avoid long-range ordering, a size polydispersity was introduced by taking \( R \) in the range \([R_{\text{min}}, R_{\text{max}}]\) with \( R_{\text{max}} = 3R_{\text{min}} \) and a uniform distribution of particle volumes. A dense packing composed of disks (\( \eta = 0 \)) was first constructed by means of random deposition in a box [23]. For other values of \( \eta \), the same packing was used with each disk serving as the circumscribing circle. The particle was inscribed as the circumscribing circle. The particle was inscribed by the internal angle of friction to 0 with the walls. The shear strength is characterized between C and D shapes, on the other hand. This suggests that nonconvex trimers and rounded-cap rectangles, with their very different shapes, belong to the same family (rounded shapes). In the same way, the truncated triangles and elongated hexagons seem to belong to the family of angular particles and exhibit a shear strength slightly above that of rounded shapes. Note also that the results are robust with respect to the numerical approach as the packings A and A’ were simulated by two different methods.

The increase of shear strength with \( \eta \) may be attributed to the increasing frustration of particle rotations as the shape deviates from a disk [11, 24]. Since the particles may interact at two or three contact points (A-shape) or through side-to-side contacts (shapes B, C and D), the kinematic constraints increase with \( \eta \) and frustrate the particle displacements by rolling. The restriction of rolling leads to enhanced role of friction in the mechanical equilibrium and relative sliding of particles during deformation. A related static quantity is the mean friction mobilization defined by \( M = \langle f_i/(\mu f_n) \rangle \), where \( f_i \) is the magnitude of the friction force, \( f_n \) is the normal force, and the average is taken over all force-bearing contacts in the system.

To evaluate the effect of particle shape, we consider the parameter

\[
M_\eta = \frac{M(\eta)}{M(\eta = 0)} - 1
\]

as a function of \( \eta \) for different shapes, where \( M(\eta = 0) \) is the friction mobilization for circular particles. Fig. 5 shows that \( M_\eta \) is a globally increasing function of \( \eta \) for all shapes. The parameter \( \eta \) appears also in this respect to account for the global trend of friction mobilization, and the differences observed in Fig. 5 among different shapes are rather of second order.

We also observe that the proportions of double and triple contacts for A-shape packings and the proportion of side-to-side contacts for other shapes increase with \( \eta \). For noncircular particles, one should distinguish the coordination number \( Z \), defined as the mean number of contacting neighbors per particle, from the “contact coordination number” \( Z_c \), defined as the mean number of contacts per particle. Obviously, for the calculation of both \( Z \) and \( Z_c \) only the force-bearing contacts and non-floating particles are taken into account [25]. We have \( Z = Z_c \approx 4 \) for the disks in the initial state prepared with \( \mu = 0 \). This

---

*Animation videos of the simulations can be found at [www.cgpgateway.org/ref012](http://www.cgpgateway.org/ref012).*
value corresponds to an isostatic state in which one expects $Z = 2N_f$, where $N_f$ is the number of degrees of freedom of a particle [26]. For frictionless disks, we have $N_f = 2$ (two translational degrees of freedom), leading to $Z = 4$. For noncircular shapes, we have $N_f = 3$ since the rotational degrees of freedom take part in the mechanical equilibrium of the particles. Hence, if isostaticity holds also for noncircular frictionless particles, we expect $Z = 6$. We observe instead $Z < 5$ for all our packings. However, we find $Z_c \simeq 6$ for $\eta \neq 0$ if each side-to-side contact is counted twice, representing two independent constraints. This result is consistent with the isostatic nature of a packing of frictionless noncircular particles and shows that the packings of noncircular shape are not under-constrained as previously suggested [27]. For $\mu = 0.5$, the packings are no more isostatic and $Z$ and $Z_c$ vary only slightly with $\eta$ with values in the range 3 to 4 for $Z$ and in the range 4 to 5 for $Z_c$ in the course of shearing.

We now focus on the packing fraction which crucially depends on particle shape. Fig. 6 shows the packing fraction $\rho^{iso}$ in the initial isotropic state as a function of $\eta$. We observe a nontrivial behavior for all particle shapes: the packing fraction increases with $\eta$, passes by a peak depending on each specific shape and subsequently declines. For the B-shape a sharp decrease of $\rho^{iso}$ occurs beyond $\eta = 0.5$ as was shown in [10].

This unmonotonic behavior of packing fraction was observed by experiments and numerical simulations for spheroids as a function of their aspect ratio [2, 16, 17, 27–29]. The decrease of the packing fraction is attributed to the excluded-volume effect that prevails at large aspect ratios and leads to increasingly larger pores which cannot be filled by the particles [28]. The observation of this unmonotonic behavior as a function of $\eta$ for different shapes indicates that it is a generic property depending only on deviation from circular shape. This behavior may thus be explained from general considerations involving the parameter $\eta$ but with variations depending on second-order shape characteristics.

A plausible second-order parameter is

$$\nu = \frac{V_p}{\pi R^2}, \quad (4)$$

where $V_p$ is the particle volume in 2D. Its complement $1 - \nu$ is the “self-porosity” of a particle, i.e. the unfilled volume fraction inside the circumscribing circle. Keeping the radius $R$ of the circumscribing circle constant, $\rho^{iso} = V_p/V$ varies with $\eta$ as a result of the relative changes of $V_p$ and the mean volume $V$ per particle. The free (pore) volume per particle is $V_f = V - V_p$.

At $\eta = 0$, the free volume $V_f$ is only composed of steric voids, i.e. voids between three or more particles, and the packing fraction is given by $\rho(0) = \pi R^2/V(0)$. For $\eta > 0$, the void patterns are more complex but can be described by considering the generic shape of particles belonging to a given $\eta$-set. The borders of a particle involve “hills”, which are the parts touching the circumscribing circle, and “valleys” touching the inscribed circle. The volume $V$ per particle varies with $\eta$ by two mechanisms. First, the hills of a particle may partially fill the valleys of a neighboring particle; Fig. 7(a). Secondly, the steric voids between the hills shrink as $\eta$ increases due to the increasing local curvature of the touching particles; Fig. 7(b). To represent this excess or loss of pore volume due to the specific jamming configurations induced by particle shapes, we introduce the function $h(\eta)$ by setting

$$V(\eta) = V(\eta_0) - \pi R^2 h(\eta), \quad (5)$$

with $h(\eta_0) = 0$. With these assumptions, the packing fraction is expressed as

$$\rho(\eta) = \frac{\nu(\eta)\rho(\eta_0)}{1 - h(\eta)\rho(\eta_0)}. \quad (6)$$

The function $\nu(\eta)$ is known for each shape but $h(\eta)$ needs to be estimated. A second-order polynomial approximation

$$h(\eta) = \alpha (\eta - \eta_0) + \beta (\eta - \eta_0)^2 \quad (7)$$

together with Eq. 6 allows us to recover the correct trend and to fit the data as shown in Fig. 8. The error bars represent the variability at $\eta_0$ assumed to be the same for all other values of $\eta$. The parameter $\alpha$ ensures the increase of packing fraction with $\eta$ at low values of the latter and it basically reflects the shrinkage of steric pores (Fig. 7(b)) whereas $\beta$ accounts for the overlap between circumscribing
the values of $\beta$ are considerably smaller for B and D that have an elongated aspect and for which the overlapping of self-porosities prevails as compared to A and C for which the shrinkage of the initial pores is more important.

In summary, our benchmark simulations show that a low-order shape parameter $\eta$, describing deviation with respect to circular shape, controls to a large extent both the shear strength and packing fraction of granular media composed of noncircular particles in 2D. The shear strength is roughly linear in $\eta$ whereas the packing fraction is unmonotonic. Our simple model for this unmonotonic behavior is consistent with the numerical data for all shapes. It is governed by a first-order term in $\eta$ for the shrinkage of the initial steric pores and a second-order term in $\eta$ for the creation of large pores by shape-induced steric pores. The effect of higher-order shape parameters may be analyzed also in this framework in terms of differences in packing fraction and shear strength among various shapes belonging to the same $\eta$-set. An interesting issue to be addressed in future is whether a generic second-order parameter accounting for such differences exists. Another aspect that merits further investigation is the joint effects of size polydispersity and particle shape. The shear strength is independent of particle size polydispersity as a result of the capture of force chains by the class of larger particles [30]. But the packing fraction and force and contact anisotropy depend on both shape and polydispersity.
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