

Immunoglobulin treatment in primary antibody deficiency

L.J. Maarschalk-Ellerbroek, I.M. Hoepelman, P.M Ellerbroek

▶ To cite this version:

L.J. Maarschalk-Ellerbroek, I.M. Hoepelman, P.M Ellerbroek. Immunoglobulin treatment in primary antibody deficiency. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents, 2011, 37 (5), pp.396. 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2010.11.027 . hal-00685795

HAL Id: hal-00685795 https://hal.science/hal-00685795

Submitted on 6 Apr 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Immunoglobulin treatment in primary antibody deficiency

Authors: L.J. Maarschalk-Ellerbroek, I.M. Hoepelman, P.M Ellerbroek



PII: DOI: Reference:	S0924-8579(10)00553-4 doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2010.11.027 ANTAGE 3500						
To appear in:	International	Journal	of	Antimicrobial	Agents		
Received date: Accepted date:	11-11-2010 19-11-2010						

Please cite this article as: Maarschalk-Ellerbroek LJ, Hoepelman IM, Ellerbroek PM, Immunoglobulin treatment in primary antibody deficiency, *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents* (2010), doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2010.11.027

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Immunoglobulin treatment in primary antibody deficiency

L.J. Maarschalk-Ellerbroek *, I.M. Hoepelman, P.M Ellerbroek

Department of Internal Medicine and Infectious Diseases, University Medical Center Utrecht, P.O. Box 85500, 3508 GA Utrecht, The Netherlands

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 11 November 2010

Accepted 19 November 2010

Keywords:

Primary antibody deficiency

Immunoglobulin treatment

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +31 88 755 6228; fax: +31 88 755 5563.

E-mail address: I.j.maarschalk-ellerbroek@umcutrecht.nl (L.J. Maarschalk-Ellerbroek).

ABSTRACT

The primary antibody deficiency syndromes are characterised by recurrent respiratory tract infections and the inability to produce effective immunoglobulin (Ig) responses. The best known primary antibody deficiencies are common variable immunodeficiency (CVID), X-linked agammaglobulinaemia (XLA), immunoglobulin G (IgG) subclass deficiency, and selective antibody deficiency with normal immunoglobulins (SADNI). Therapy in these patients consists of prophylactic antibiotics and/or lg replacement therapy. Diagnostic delay remains common owing to limited awareness of the presenting features and may result in increased morbidity and mortality. Replacement therapy with immunoglobulins increases life expectancy and reduces the frequency and severity of infections, but the effect on end-organ damage is still unknown. Both intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg) and subcutaneous immunoglobulin (SCIg) treatment appear to be safe, with comparable efficacy. A starting dose of 300-400 mg/kg/month in IVIg and 100 mg/week for SCIg is recommended. IgG trough levels should be >5 g/L for patients with agammaglobulinaemia and 3 g/L greater than the initial IgG level for patients with CVID; however, the clinical response should be foremost in choosing the dose and trough level. Infusion-related adverse reactions are generally mild owing to improved manufacturing processes. In this paper, aspects of Ig replacement therapy in primary antibody-deficient patients will be addressed.

1. Introduction

The primary antibody deficiency syndromes represent the largest group of primary immunodeficiencies. Multiple molecular defects have been identified in the pathways involved in B-cell development; in a US study, B-cell defects comprised 78% of primary immunodeficiencies [1].

Primary antibody deficiencies share the feature of recurrent upper and lower respiratory tract infections (RTIs) with encapsulated bacteria such as *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Haemophilus influenzae*, but other infections may also be associated with particular syndromes.

Common variable immunodeficiency (CVID) is the most common primary antibody deficiency. It is defined as the triad of recurrent respiratory (and/or gastrointestinal) infections, a reduction in immunoglobulin G (IgG) levels (total IgG >2 standard deviations below the mean for age), IgA and/or IgM levels, and a reduced antibody response to vaccination. CVID represents a heterogeneous disease spectrum that may also involve autoimmune phenomena, chronic granulomatous and inflammatory organ disease, and an increased risk of cancer. Diagnostic delay is very common, with a mean of 6–8 years after the onset of symptoms [2,3], but it can take as long as a decade before the appropriate diagnosis is made. The principal defect in CVID is a failure in B-cell differentiation leading to reduced serum immunoglobulin (Ig) levels and an abnormal antibody response [4]. Although some associated gene defects have been recognised to cause a disruption in B-cell differentiation and B-cell function (ICOS, TACI, CD19, BAFF-

R, MSH5, CD20 and CD81) [5–7], in the majority of patients no genetic defect has yet been established. Approximately one-half of CVID patients also show abnormalities in the T-cell compartment [3,8].

X-linked agammaglobulinaemia (XLA) is a hereditary immunodeficiency [9] caused by mutations in the *BTK* gene, representing a tyrosine kinase that is important for B-cell development [10,11]. Patients present with recurrent bacterial infections at a very young age and a profound deficiency of all Ig isotypes resulting from an arrest in B-lymphocyte development in the bone marrow. Other features are chronic and unremitting systemic infections with enteroviruses [12,13], mycoplasma and ureaplasma as well as chronic gastroenteritis caused by rotaviruses and *Giardia lamblia* [10,14,15]. Furthermore, a variety of malignancies have been reported, including lymphoreticular malignancies [15,16] and gastric and colorectal carcinoma [17–19]. In a few families, other gene mutations have been recognised involved in B-cell development that cause autosomal recessive congenital agammaglobulinaemia.

Other, more frequent, antibody deficiencies are IgG subclass deficiency and selective antibody deficiency with normal immunoglobulins (SADNI). A clinically significant subclass deficiency is defined as reduced levels of one or more IgG subclasses (IgG1– 4) in a patient with normal total IgG concentrations [20] and is characterised by recurrent sinopulmonary infections and inadequate response to vaccination. Subclass deficiency might merely be a laboratory finding in the absence of a clinical disorder; up to 20% of

the population may have subnormal levels of one or more subclasses [21]. In adults, the most common deficiency is IgG3, whereas in children it is IgG2 [22].

SADNI is classified as recurrent sinopulmonary infections and an abnormal response to polysaccharide vaccination in the presence of normal antibody levels [23,24]. The prevalence of SADNI in two studies was 5–10% in children over 4 years of age who were referred with recurrent infections [25,26] and it was 8% in adult patients with recurrent pneumonia [27].

Other less frequent primary antibody deficiencies are the hyper-IgM syndromes, IgA deficiency and selective IgM deficiency.

The mainstay of therapy for patients with primary antibody deficiency is the use of prophylactic antibiotics and/or Ig replacement therapy in order to reduce the infection rate and end-organ damage. The most important complication of recurrent respiratory infections in antibody deficiency is the development of bronchiectasis, which may lead to chronic pulmonary disease (CPD). Diagnostic and treatment delay has been related to higher morbidity and subsequent reduced pulmonary function [14,28–31]. It is therefore important to establish the diagnosis early in order to initiate appropriate treatment and to prevent irreversible end-organ damage.

2. Immunoglobulin replacement therapy

2.1. Historical perspective

Human Ig therapy for antibody deficiency was initiated by Bruton following his description of the first case of XLA in 1952 [9]. The initial route of Ig administration was intramuscular (IMIg). In the USA, human intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg) was first licensed for primary antibody deficiencies in 1981. This product was a less painful alternative and allowed administration of much larger volumes with fewer side effects [32,33]. Since that time, more purified and better tolerated IgG preparations have become available [32]. At the same time, subcutaneous IgG (SCIg) therapy became available [34,35]. Initially, SCIg infusion was limited by the (slow) infusion rate, although this has improved over the years [36–39]. However, IVIg still remains the dominant form of Ig replacement therapy in the USA and Europe.

2.2. Production and content of immunoglobulins

Multiple safety steps are undertaken to provide a safe, pure and efficient product that contains antibodies against a wide range of pathogens. Many blood-borne pathogens, such as human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), hepatitis C virus, parvovirus B19, West Nile virus and prions, have been recognised to constitute a danger for patients treated with immunoglobulins. In the mid 1990s an outbreak of hepatitis C occurred in Europe and was associated with Ig therapy [40–43]. Specific methods have been developed to assure maximal removal of pathogens [44], including donor screening for HIV and hepatitis B and C virus, detergent and solvent treatment, virus inactivation, destruction

and removal steps such as pasteurisation at 60 °C, treatment with low pH/alcohol, and nanofiltration. However, a small risk of transmission of blood-borne diseases remains.

All available Ig products contain >95% IgG with all IgG subclasses represented. Most products contain no IgM and very small amounts of IgA. IgM is removed because it can rapidly form large complexes leading to a variety of adverse reactions. CVID patients frequently develop anti-IgA antibodies that may provoke anaphylactic reactions to IgA-containing blood products. Various strategies are used to remove all traces of donor IgA molecules [45,46], and minor differences in IgA levels exist between the current products.

IgG1 and IgG2 make up 85% of the total amount of IVIg, whereas IgG3 and IgG4 are minor components (5–8% and 1–5%, respectively). The repertoire of immune antibodies is thought to reflect the infectiological experience of the donor population. To best cover the needs of patients, it is believed that Ig therapy is optimal when the recipient belongs to the same population as the donors [45,46].

2.3. Effect of IgG replacement therapy on infections and end-organ damage

2.3.1. Morbidity and mortality

The life expectancy of patients with XLA and CVID was very poor before the era of Ig replacement therapy. In 1971, the 10-year survival rate was 37% in 201 CVID patients treated with IMIg [47]. Few, if any, XLA patients survived past early childhood before

antibiotic and Ig therapy became available [48]. In one study, ca. 75% of 170 XLA patients diagnosed before the introduction of IVIg had developed chronic lung disease at the age of 20 years, 5–10% had developed a cor pulmonale and 18% had died, mostly due to infectious complications [49]. Owing to early diagnosis, more effective treatment with Ig and more liberal use of antibiotics, survival of patients with an antibody deficiency has significantly improved over the last decade [47,50]. A study of 248 CVID patients receiving IVIg therapy reported a 10-year survival of 78% compared with 97% in the general population [3].

However, despite IgG therapy, patients with complications due to inflammatory autoimmune diseases and neoplasms still have a shorter life expectancy [50]. Diagnostic delay is a major concern and the main cause of the development of organ damage. In a 2005 review of 89 patients with a primary antibody deficiency, the median diagnostic delay was 2 years (mean 4.4 years), resulting in substantial morbidity [29]. A moderate improvement had been achieved compared with an earlier 1980s study that showed a median delay of 5.5 years in adults and 2.5 years in children [48].

2.3.2. Benefit in acute respiratory infections

A strong body of evidence has demonstrated the efficacy of Ig therapy in CVID and XLA patients. The studies are listed in Table 1. Although sample sizes are small and most of the studies are retrospective case series, it is clear that Ig therapy reduces the incidence and severity of infections, the rate of hospitalisation and the use of antibiotics, albeit at variable doses and variable follow-up periods in these studies.

Scarce evidence supports Ig replacement in IgG subclass deficiency or SADNI patients. Ig replacement may be appropriate if prophylactic antibiotics do not result in fewer infections. In a retrospective study, patients with a selective or combined IgG subclass deficiency with four or more episodes of bacterial RTIs per year were treated with IVIg 0.4 mg/kg/month, which led to a 50% reduction in antibiotic-demanding (i.e. presumably bacterial) infections in 70% of patients (P < 0.001) [66].

In an open-label study [67], 10 adult patients with symptomatic IgG subclass deficiency were treated with monthly IVIg for 1 year followed by 3 months of observation off IVIg therapy. All patients showed a significant reduction in the number of infections, days of antibiotic usage and hospitalisations during the 12 months of IVIg. The benefit of IgG replacement in patients with SADNI has not been evaluated in randomised, placebo-controlled trials, however uncontrolled series of paediatric SADNI patients have consistently reported significant decreases in the number of infections [68].

2.3.3. Benefit in chronic respiratory disease and end-organ damage

Few studies have evaluated the effect of Ig treatment on the evolution of chronic sinopulmonary disease and pulmonary damage such as bronchiectasis. In a prospective study [61] of 24 previously untreated adult CVID patients, the effect of IVIg on the evolution of lung damage was evaluated 2 years after stable trough levels of IgG > 6 g/L were achieved. To achieve the desired trough levels, patients with CPD needed higher doses of IVIg than those without CPD (285 \pm 53 mg/kg/21 days vs. 222 \pm 23 mg/kg/21

days; P = 0.002). Some pulmonary improvement was demonstrated in patients with CPD, as the forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁) as a percentage of the predicted value rose from 54 ± 13% (range 26–67%) to 61 ± 13% (range 35–76%) (P = 0.004) and overall high-resolution computed tomography scores improved in patients with CPD, which was attributable to the reduction in bronchiectasis and signs of inflammation. The median IgG trough level in the CPD group was 7.2 ± 1.4 g/L (range 5.7–9.8 g/L) and in the group without CPD it was 8.5 ± 1.6 g/L (range 6.0–11.6 g/L). A recent study [69] confirmed that CVID patients with bronchiectasis need higher replacement doses to achieve similar IgG trough levels (0.70 ± 0.29 g/kg/month vs. 0.53 ± 0.20 g/kg/month).

In contrast, a prospective study in 22 patients receiving IVIg treatment showed progression of pulmonary changes in one-half of the patients after a 3-year follow-up [30]. In another multicentre prospective study [70] of 224 CVID patients, the number of patients with CPD and chronic sinusitis also increased over 11.5 years despite IVIg treatment and despite the significant reduction in the percentage of patients who were affected by acute respiratory infections.

In conclusion, the beneficial effect of Ig replacement therapy on short-term respiratory complications (acute respiratory infection rate and antibiotic usage) is undisputable, but conflicting evidence exists on the beneficial effect on long-term complications.

2.3.4. Benefit in gastrointestinal disease

Non-infectious inflammatory disease of the gut is common in patients with primary antibody deficiencies. Many of the inflammatory disorders mimic the classic forms of the disease (in the absence of immunodeficiency) such as coeliac disease, inflammatory bowel disease and pernicious anaemia [3,48,71–74], but differ in the pathogenesis and are unresponsive to Ig treatment. Different explanations may justify the occurrence of these conditions in patients with antibody deficiencies despite appropriate Ig replacement therapy. First, IVIg therapy only substitutes IgG, whilst IgA (the major secretory antibody at mucosal surfaces) is not replaced. Other possible explanations could be ongoing inflammation after treated infections [75] and concurrent T-cell defects [3,75].

At this time, treatment for gastrointestinal disease associated with antibody deficiencies is based on treatment modalities used for similar disorders in immunocompetent patients [76–78].

2.4. Indications for IgG replacement therapy

The most obvious justification for Ig therapy is the absence of functionally mature Bcells, as in XLA patients. Another clear ground for IgG replacement is reduced levels of serum Ig in patients with recurrent bacterial infections.

In general, Ig replacement therapy is indicated in (i) patients with IgG levels <2 g/L, (ii) patients with documented frequent infections and a specific antibody deficiency with IgG

levels between 2 g/L and 5 g/L or (iii) patients with IgG levels >5 g/L but severe and recurrent infections and a specific antibody deficiency [79].

In asymptomatic individuals with subclass deficiencies, Ig replacement therapy is not warranted. It is advisable to follow these patients, as some might evolve into CVID [80]. Patients with an IgG subclass deficiency or SADNI who suffer from severe or recurrent RTIs despite prophylactic antibiotics are candidates for Ig therapy [66,67].

Although scarce evidence exists, treatment of patients with an IgG subclass deficiency and/or poor response to polysaccharide vaccines might include vaccination with pneumococcal conjugate vaccine [81]. IgG replacement might be appropriate for selected SADNI patients with recurrent infections despite immunisation with conjugate vaccines and appropriate antibiotic treatment. Additional grounds for IgG replacement might be uncontrollable recurrent otitis media with risk for permanent hearing loss, the presence of bronchiectasis, and patients with hypersensitivity to multiple antibiotics.

Lastly, management of other conditions predisposing to recurrent sinopulmonary infections such as asthma and allergic rhinitis is warranted.

2.5. Choice of product and administration route

The efficacy and safety of IVIg [79,82,83] and SCIg [36,84,85] has been well established. Both treatment options appear to be safe, with comparative efficacy and costs. Two small comparative studies showed no significant difference in the rate of

infections, adverse events [86] or IgG steady-state levels [36] between the two treatment modalities.

The benefits of weekly SCIg infusions over 3-weekly IVIg therapy include stable IgG levels, less frequent and less severe systemic side effects [34,37–39,85], no necessity for venous access and more flexibility in the patient's social life. A disadvantage of SCIg is the limitation in volume that can be administered, prompting weekly infusions.

Many centres provide training in SCIg and IVIg home therapy, which has clear benefits for patients, such as increased lifestyle flexibility and taking control of the management of their disease [87–89].

The choice of product must be individualised for each patient and will be based on the clinical condition of the patient, the patient's wishes and the side effects. Patient-related factors that influence this choice are age, cardiovascular impairment, renal dysfunction, thromboembolic risk, and the presence of (pre) diabetes mellitus and anti-IgA antibodies. The product features that affect clinical tolerability are listed in Table 2.

The difference in tolerance to the various Ig products in individual patients is striking and unpredictable and is probably caused by the spectrum and concentration of antibodies and other plasma proteins. For elderly patients and patients with congestive heart failure, concentrated IgG products (10%) and products with a lower sodium content might be more suitable [91]. Sodium content has also been associated with a higher

incidence of thromboembolic complications and could thus be a further restricting factor [92]. Various sugars have been added to the different IgG products (sorbitol, glucose, sucrose or maltose) to minimise IgG aggregate formation. Although concentrations are not particularly high, they may lead to deregulation of glucose levels in diabetic patients. Sucrose has also been associated with renal failure due to osmotic nephritis [93]. Risk factors associated with renal adverse events include pre-existing renal disease, diabetes, hypovolaemia, sepsis, age (≥65 years) and concomitant nephrotoxic therapy [92].

The therapeutic strategy of IgG replacement in patients with anti-IgA antibodies is a critical issue. It has been demonstrated that patients with IgA antibodies can be treated more safely with SCIg [94,95]. SCIg might induce tolerance by gradual exposure to IgA owing to the slow resorption of the subcutaneous deposit of Ig [96].

2.6. Dosing regimen and trough levels

The major goal of Ig replacement therapy in patients with primary antibody deficiency is to reduce and prevent morbidity, such as infection rate and end-organ damage, and mortality.

The appropriate dose of Ig for antibody-deficient patients is determined by the IgG trough level, the median half-life of IgG and the intrinsic metabolism of the patient. However, the pharmacokinetics of IVIg shows considerable intrapersonal and

interpersonal variability, and the patient's intrinsic IgG production will interfere with measurements of half-life and clearance.

It has been demonstrated that serum IgG levels initially decline rapidly following intravenous infusion and by Day 7 a substantial part of the infused Ig has disappeared [97,98], followed by a period of more gradual decline to baseline depending on the metabolic rate of the patient and the half-life of the preparation. Using radiolabelled IgG it has been shown that the catabolism of IgG follows multicompartmental first-order kinetics. After an initial period of equilibrium between intravascular and extravascular compartments, the concentration of IgG in the serum is eliminated at a rate independent of the remaining concentration [99].

The mean half-life of IgG is 25–32 days in patients with a primary immunodeficiency, however in patients with extremely low baseline levels of IgG the variations in half-life are greater [97,100].

In contrast to IVIg, weekly subcutaneous infusions of IgG will generate a local depot resulting in slow absorption and a nearly constant serum level of IgG.

Ig dosing is more complex in patients in whom IgG production is deficient but not completely absent such as in CVID, subclass deficiency or SADNI. In patients with high baseline serum IgG concentrations (>5 g/L), the half life of IgG tends to be the longest,

suggesting that intrinsic IgG production might prolong the calculated half-life of IgG, leading to an incorrect estimate of the half-life [99].

Another important parameter in determining the dose of replacement therapy is the clinical condition of the patient in relation to the IgG trough level.

Several studies have compared the effect of dosage and IgG trough levels in patients with a primary humoral immunodeficiency (see Table 3). These studies are difficult to compare as they are heterogeneous with regard to methodology, routes of administration (IMIg, SCIg and IVIg) and study populations. Furthermore, the studies are limited by sample size and follow-up. None the less, the majority of these studies show that higher Ig dosage and IgG trough levels result in fewer infections and a reduced duration of the remaining disease episode [52,53,56-58,62,64,101,102]. The exact IgG trough level that will protect antibody-deficient patients against recurrent bacterial infection and progression to chronic lung damage remains uncertain. Long-term outcome parameters such as structural organ damage are difficult to follow; IgG trough levels are thus used as surrogate parameters. The majority of studies show a significant reduction in infection rates with a higher IgG trough level, especially >8 g/L [58]. A recent meta-analysis showed that the incidence of pneumonia declined by 27% with each 1 g/L increment in IgG trough level. The incidence of pneumonia with a trough level of 5 g/L was 0.113 cases/patient-year versus 0.023 cases/patient-year with a trough level of 10 g/L [103].

Furthermore, a recent study supports the idea of individualising the replacement dose. In this prospective cohort study, 107 CVID and XLA patients showed a wide range of IgG trough levels preventive of breakthrough bacterial infections (5–17 g/L) with a replacement dose ranging from 0.2 g/kg/month to 1.2 g/kg/month [69].

Based on various studies, a 2006 review by members of the Primary Immunodeficiency Committee of the American Academy of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology [104] recommends that IgG trough levels should be >5 g/L for patients with agammaglobulinaemia and 3 g/L greater than the initial IgG level for patients with CVID. Furthermore, an IgG trough level of >5 g/L reduces the infection rate, and IgG trough levels >8 g/L might improve chronic pulmonary outcome [52,53,56–58,62,64,101,102].

IVIg is administered every 3–4 weeks with a usual starting dose of 0.4 g/kg for patients without chronic lung disease and 0.6 g/kg for patients with bronchiectasis. SCIg dosing is the same but divided over 4 weeks. Given the costs involved, leading factors in choosing the dosing regimen are the clinical parameters of an individual patient in combination with trough levels.

Dosage adjustments are required in exceptional situations such as acute illness, before/after surgery and pregnancy [105]. No specific protocols for pregnant women have been published. Replacement therapy is not only necessary for the mother but also for the foetus. The foetus and the newborn synthesise little Ig and rely on active placental transport of IgG from the maternal circulation [105–110]. During pregnancy,

the increase in blood volume can cause inadequate IgG trough levels, which may lead to an increased infection rate. IgG trough levels should be checked more often during pregnancy and breastfeeding to make sure that they remain adequate, and the patient must be informed about the importance of these measures. A study from 2001 showed that normal maternal IgG and IgG subclass concentrations can also be achieved by the SCIg route [111].

2.7. Risks and adverse reactions of immunoglobulin therapy

2.7.1. Intravenous immunoglobulin

Although in general IVIg is well tolerated by patients with an antibody deficiency, side effects can occur at any point during treatment and are mostly related to the infusion rate. Patients who are naïve to IgG replacement or who have active infections have an increased risk of infusion-related adverse effects. These effects may be related, in part, to the formation of antigen–antibody complexes [79]. Factors that potentially affect the risk and intensity of adverse events include age and underlying conditions, such as migraine and cardiovascular or renal disease.

Infusion-related adverse events can be immediate (during the infusion), delayed (hours to days after the infusion) or late reactions. Immediate reactions can be either true IgE-mediated anaphylaxis or 'anaphylactic' reactions. The difference is that the latter is associated with hypertension rather than hypotension. True anaphylaxis may occur in patients who are deficient in IgA but still have the capacity to produce IgE [112].

The most common reaction is an immediate adverse event related to the infusion rate. Mild reactions include headache, flushing, chills, fever, nausea, anxiety and muscle aches. Moderate reactions consist of chest pain, wheezing and vomiting, and severe reactions are severe headaches, chest pain and wheezing. Slowing or temporarily stopping the infusion may allow the symptoms to subside. Infusion can then be continued at the previously tolerated rate. If this fails to prevent symptoms, premedication with antipyretics, antihistamines and/or corticosteroids may help to treat the symptoms. When symptoms persist or rapidly worsen, immediate discontinuation of the infusion and administration of adrenaline may be warranted [79].

Overall, the risk of infusion-related events has been reduced owing to improved manufacturing processes. In a large prospective study of 459 antibody-deficient patients with 13 508 infusions, the reaction rate was 0.8% over 2 years (111 events, comprising 91 mild and 20 moderate); no severe reactions occurred (0.1% were moderate and 0.6% were mild). The most important symptoms were headaches, chills and fever and most of the reactions occurred during higher infusion rates. Most reactions occurred in patients with an active infection (5.1%) [113].

In another retrospective study (71 patients, 1231 infusions), 152 adverse events (12.3%) occurred in 35 patients, of which 131 events were mild (86.2%) and no severe reactions occurred. Again, most adverse events were related to the infusion rate and active infections [114].

The most common immediate side effect of IVIg therapy is a headache that may last for several days. The reported incidence was as high as 56% in one study [115]. Often, patients who experience headaches during infusion have a history of migraine or hypertension. In the latter it may be prevented by taking an extra dose of blood pressure medication before the infusion. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) may also be effective in case of minor headaches. It is proposed that headaches can be prevented by slowing the infusion rate [116] or reducing the total dose of IVIg [117]. In general, many patients develop headaches only during the first few cycles of IVIg therapy [117].

Urticarial reactions are common during IVIg and might be minimised by pre-medication with antihistamine or low-dose corticosteroids. A low-grade fever often occurs during infusion, which can be prevented by antipyretics.

Delayed symptoms consist of nausea, malaise and myalgia and typically occur 1–3 days after administration of IVIg.

Late adverse events are rare but can be severe and unpredictable as they can occur after months or years of uneventful therapy. They have mainly been reported with the higher dosing regimens used for treatment of autoimmune and haematological disorders. The most important late side effects are acute renal failure and thromboembolic events [118]. Other late adverse events include aseptic meningitis, stroke [119], progressive neurodegeneration [120], neutropenia, autoimmune haemolytic

anaemia, skin reactions and (rarely) arthritis and pseudohyponatremia. A thorough and complete medical evaluation of each patient is warranted before initiation of therapy to identify risk factors associated with severe side effects. Less than 5% of the reported cases of IVIg-associated renal insufficiency occurred in patients with primary immune deficiency [121]. Acute renal failure is usually oliguric and reversible and has been related to osmotic injury secondary to sucrose. The majority of cases of renal dysfunction occurred in the first 10 days after the first cycle of IVIg therapy [122–124]. Patient risk factors associated with renal adverse events include pre-existing renal disease, diabetes mellitus, hypovolaemia, sepsis, age (≥65 years) and concomitant nephrotoxic therapy [92].

2.7.2. Subcutaneous immunoglobulin

Serious systemic adverse events are rare in subcutaneous therapy. Common reactions due to SCIg therapy are local swelling, redness and an itching or burning sensation, occurring in 8–49% of infusions. These effects are rarely serious and disappear after several hours and are more common at initiation of treatment [36,85,86]. The safety of SCIg has been established in a study with 165 primary antibody-deficient patients [39]: 106 adverse systemic reactions were recorded during 33 168 subcutaneous infusions in 28 patients, of which 100 were mild and 6 were moderate. No severe or anaphylactic reactions occurred. In a randomised crossover trial of IVIg and SCIg treatment, the systemic reaction rate of IVIg therapy was 5% compared with a SCIg reaction rate of 3.3% [86]. However, most studies have reported <1% systemic events in SCIg therapy [125].

3. Additional therapies

Infections in IgG-treated patients might indicate inadequate dosing and IgG trough levels. Patients who continue to have respiratory infections and develop CPD despite adequate IgG trough levels should be treated more aggressively by a strategy directed against the ongoing process of inflammation and infection, such as prophylactic antibiotics [126,127], macrolides (also as anti-inflammatory agents) [128], corticosteroid inhalation therapy [129], bronchodilators, mucolytic agents, and physical or mechanical aids for airway clearance. Serial sputum testing, including antibiotic sensitivity testing of the cultured organism, should direct prophylactic antibiotic therapy.

4. Conclusion

The primary antibody deficiency syndromes are characterised by an inability to produce clinically effective Ig responses. Patients most commonly present with recurrent respiratory infections. Diagnostic delay remains common owing to limited awareness of the presenting features. Diagnostic delay and subsequent delay in initiation of Ig replacement therapy can result in increased morbidity and mortality. Replacement therapy with Ig increases life expectancy and reduces the frequency and severity of infections. However, the effect on end-organ damage remains disputable.

Clear indications for IVIg replacement are the absence of functionally mature B-cells such as in patients with XLA, and, secondly, reduced levels of serum Ig in patients with

recurrent bacterial infections such as CVID patients. In general, IgG replacement is indicated in (i) all patients with IgG levels <2 g/L, (ii) patients with documented frequent infections and a specific antibody deficiency with IgG levels between 2 g/L and 5 g/L and (iii) patients with IgG levels >5 g/L but severe and recurrent infections combined with a specific antibody deficiency [79].

Both IVIg and SCIg treatment appear to be safe, with comparable efficacy. Infusionrelated adverse reactions have been reduced considerably in recent years owing to improved manufacturing processes. The advantages of SCIg are more stable IgG levels, the absence of serious systemic adverse events and more flexibility in the patient's social life.

The overall consensus is that (i) the starting dose of IVIg and SCIg should be 400 mg/kg/month and 100 mg/week, respectively, (ii) IgG trough levels should be >5 g/L for patients with agammaglobulinaemia and 3 g/L greater than the initial IgG level for patients with CVID and, finally, (iii) the clinical response should be foremost in choosing the right dose and trough level.

Key areas for further research would be: to determine the optimal dose of Ig therapy required to improve overall health outcome; to develop infusion methods leading to improved and less frequent SCIg dosing (e.g. once in 2 or 3 weeks); and identification of prognostic markers to allow specific intervention and optimal therapy for subgroups of patients.

Funding

None.

Competing interests

None declared.

Ethical approval

Not required.

References

- [1] Joshi AY, Iyer VN, Hagan JB, St Sauver JL, Boyce TG. Incidence and temporal trends of primary immunodeficiency: a population-based cohort study. Mayo Clin Proc 2009;84:16–22.
- [2] Thickett KM, Kumararatne DS, Banerjee AK, Dudley R, Stableforth DE. Common variable immune deficiency: respiratory manifestations, pulmonary function and highresolution CT scan findings. QJM 2002;95:655–62.
- [3] Cunningham-Rundles C, Bodian C. Common variable immunodeficiency: clinical and immunological features of 248 patients. Clin Immunol 1999;92:34–48.
- [4] Spickett GP, Webster AD, Farrant J. Cellular abnormalities in common variable immunodeficiency. Immunodefic Rev 1990;2:199–219.
- [5] Castigli E, Geha RS. Molecular basis of common variable immunodeficiency. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2006;117:740–6.
- [6] van Zelm MC, Smet J, Adams B, Mascart F, Schandene L, Janssen F, et al. CD81 gene defect in humans disrupts CD19 complex formation and leads to antibody deficiency. J Clin Invest 2010;120:1265–74.
- [7] Kuijpers TW, Bende RJ, Baars PA, Grummels A, Derks IA, Dolman KM, et al. CD20 deficiency in humans results in impaired T cell-independent antibody responses. J Clin Invest 2010;120:214–22.
- [8] Di Renzo M, Zhou Z, George I, Becker K, Cunningham-Rundles C. Enhanced apoptosis of T cells in common variable immunodeficiency (CVID): role of defective CD28 co-stimulation. Clin Exp Immunol 2000;120:503–11.
- [9] Bruton OC. Agammaglobulinemia. Pediatrics 1952;9:722-8.

- [10] Ochs HD, Smith CI. X-linked agammaglobulinemia. A clinical and molecular analysis. Medicine (Baltimore) 1996;75:287–99.
- [11] Ballow M. Primary immunodeficiency disorders: antibody deficiency. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2002;109:581–91.
- [12] Wilfert CM, Buckley RH, Mohanakumar T, Griffith JF, Katz SL, Whisnant JK, et al. Persistent and fatal central-nervous-system ECHOvirus infections in patients with agammaglobulinemia. N Engl J Med 1977;296:1485–9.
- [13] Halliday E, Winkelstein J, Webster AD. Enteroviral infections in primary immunodeficiency (PID): a survey of morbidity and mortality. J Infect 2003;46:1–8.
- [14] Plebani A, Soresina A, Rondelli R, Amato GM, Azzari C, Cardinale F, et al. Clinical, immunological, and molecular analysis in a large cohort of patients with Xlinked agammaglobulinemia: an Italian multicenter study. Clin Immunol 2002;104:221–30.
- [15] Winkelstein JA, Marino MC, Lederman HM, Jones SM, Sullivan K, Burks AW, et
 al. X-linked agammaglobulinemia: report on a United States registry of 201 patients.
 Medicine (Baltimore) 2006;85:193–202.
- [16] Gompels MM, Hodges E, Lock RJ, Angus B, White H, Larkin A, et al. Lymphoproliferative disease in antibody deficiency: a multi-centre study. Clin Exp Immunol 2003;134:314–20.
- [17] Bachmeyer C, Monge M, Cazier A, Le Deist F, de Saint Basile G, Durandy A, et al. Gastric adenocarcinoma in a patient with X-linked agammaglobulinaemia. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2000;12:1033–5.

- [18] Lavilla P, Gil A, Rodriguez MC, Dupla ML, Pintado V, Fontan G. X-linked agammaglobulinemia and gastric adenocarcinoma. Cancer 1993;72:1528–31.
- [19] van der Meer JW, Weening RS, Schellekens PT, van Munster I, Nagengast FM. Colorectal cancer in patients with X-linked agammaglobulinaemia. Lancet 1993;341:1439–40.
- [20] Herrod HG. Clinical significance of IgG subclasses. Curr Opin Pediatr 1993;5:696–9.
- [21] Shackelford PG, Granoff DM, Madassery JV, Scott MG, Nahm MH. Clinical and immunologic characteristics of healthy children with subnormal serum concentrations of IgG2. Pediatr Res 1990;27:16–21.
- [22] Soderstrom T, Soderstrom R, Hanson LA. Immunoglobulin G subclasses in immunodeficiency. Ann Clin Res 1987;19:280–4.
- [23] Ambrosino DM, Siber GR, Chilmonczyk BA, Jernberg JB, Finberg RW. An immunodeficiency characterized by impaired antibody responses to polysaccharides. N Engl J Med 1987;316:790–3.
- [24] Ambrosino DM, Umetsu DT, Siber GR, Howie G, Goularte TA, Michaels R, et al. Selective defect in the antibody response to *Haemophilus influenzae* type b in children with recurrent infections and normal serum IgG subclass levels. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1988;81:1175–9.
- [25] Hidalgo H, Moore C, Leiva LE, Sorensen RU. Preimmunization and postimmunization pneumococcal antibody titers in children with recurrent infections.
 Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 1996;76:341–6.

- [26] Epstein MM, Gruskay F. Selective deficiency in pneumococcal antibody response in children with recurrent infections. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 1995;75:125–31.
- [27] Ekdahl K, Braconier JH, Svanborg C. Immunoglobulin deficiencies and impaired immune response to polysaccharide antigens in adult patients with recurrent community-acquired pneumonia. Scand J Infect Dis 1997;29:401–7.
- [28] Johnston SL, Hill SJ, Lock RJ, Dwight JF, Unsworth DJ, Gompels MM. Echocardiographic abnormalities in primary antibody deficiency. Postgrad Med J 2004;80:214–8.
- [29] Seymour B, Miles J, Haeney M. Primary antibody deficiency and diagnostic delay.J Clin Pathol 2005;58:546–7.
- [30] Kainulainen L, Varpula M, Liippo K, Svedstrom E, Nikoskelainen J, Ruuskanen O.
 Pulmonary abnormalities in patients with primary hypogammaglobulinemia. J Allergy
 Clin Immunol 1999;104:1031–6.
- [31] Blore J, Haeney MR. Primary antibody deficiency and diagnostic delay. BMJ 1989;298:516–7.
- [32] Pirofsky B, Campbell SM, Montanaro A. Individual patient variations in the kinetics of intravenous immune globulin administration. J Clin Immunol 1982;2(2 Suppl):7S–14S.
- [33] Garbett ND, Currie DC, Cole PJ. Comparison of the clinical efficacy and safety of an intramuscular and an intravenous immunoglobulin preparation for replacement therapy in idiopathic adult onset panhypogammaglobulinaemia. Clin Exp Immunol 1989;76:1–7.

- [34] Berger M, Cupps TR, Fauci AS. Immunoglobulin replacement therapy by slow subcutaneous infusion. Ann Intern Med 1980;93:55–6.
- [35] Roord JJ, van der Meer JW, Kuis W, de Windt GE, Zegers BJ, van Furth R, et al.
 Home treatment in patients with antibody deficiency by slow subcutaneous infusion of gammaglobulin. Lancet 1982;1:689–90.
- [36] Gardulf A, Nicolay U, Asensio O, Bernatowska E, Bock A, Carvalho BC, et al. Rapid subcutaneous IgG replacement therapy is effective and safe in children and adults with primary immunodeficiencies—a prospective, multi-national study. J Clin Immunol 2006;26:177–85.
- [37] Gardulf A, Hammarstrom L, Smith CI. Home treatment of hypogammaglobulinaemia with subcutaneous gammaglobulin by rapid infusion.
 Lancet 1991;338:162–6.
- [38] Gardulf A, Bjorvell H, Gustafson R, Hammarstrom L, Smith CI. Safety of rapid subcutaneous gammaglobulin infusions in patients with primary antibody deficiency. Immunodeficiency 1993;4:81–4.
- [39] Gardulf A, Andersen V, Bjorkander J, Ericson D, Froland SS, Gustafson R, et al. Subcutaneous immunoglobulin replacement in patients with primary antibody deficiencies: safety and costs. Lancet 1995;345:365–9.
- [40] Bjoro K, Froland SS, Yun ZB, Samdal HH, Haaland T. Hepatitis C infection in patients with primary hypogammaglobulinemia after treatment with contaminated immune globulin. N Engl J Med 1994;331:1607–11.
- [41] Björkander J, Cunningham-Rundles C, Lundin P, Olsson R, Söderström R,Hanson LA. Intravenous immunoglobulin prophylaxis causing liver damage in 16 of

77 patients with hypogammaglobulinemia or IgG subclass deficiency. Am J Med 1988;84:107–11.

- [42] Healey C, Chapel H. Intravenous immunoglobulin and hepatitis C virus: the British episode. Clin Ther 1996;18:93–5.
- [43] Schneider LC, Jonas MM, Baron MJ, Mast EF, Alter MJ, Schonberger LB, et al. Intravenous immunoglobulin and hepatitis C virus: the Boston episode. Clin Ther 1996;18:108–9.
- [44] Biesert L. Virus validation studies of immunoglobulin preparations. Clin Exp Rheumatol 1996;14(Suppl 15):S47–52.
- [45] Apfelzweig R, Piszkiewicz D, Hooper JA. Immunoglobulin A concentrations in commercial immune globulins. J Clin Immunol 1987;7:46–50.
- [46] Skvaril F, Gardi A. Differences among available immunoglobulin preparations for intravenous use. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1988;7(5 Suppl):S43–8.
- [47] Wood P, Stanworth S, Burton J, Jones A, Peckham DG, Green T, et al. Recognition, clinical diagnosis and management of patients with primary antibody deficiencies: a systematic review. Clin Exp Immunol 2007;149:410–23.
- [48] Hermaszewski RA, Webster AD. Primary hypogammaglobulinaemia: a survey of clinical manifestations and complications. Q J Med 1993;86:31–42.
- [49] Lederman HM, Winkelstein JA. X-linked agammaglobulinemia: an analysis of 96 patients. Medicine (Baltimore) 1985;64:145–56.
- [50] Chapel H, Lucas M, Lee M, Bjorkander J, Webster D, Grimbacher B, et al. Common variable immunodeficiency disorders: division into distinct clinical phenotypes. Blood 2008;112:277–86.

- [51] Nolte MT, Pirofsky B, Gerritz GA, Golding B. Intravenous immunoglobulin therapy for antibody deficiency. Clin Exp Immunol 1979;36:237–43.
- [52] Cunningham-Rundles C, Siegal FP, Smithwick EM, Lion-Boule A, Cunningham-Rundles S, O'Malley J, et al. Efficacy of intravenous immunoglobulin in primary humoral immunodeficiency disease. Ann Intern Med 1984;101:435–9.
- [53] Roifman CM, Lederman HM, Lavi S, Stein LD, Levison H, Gelfand EW. Benefit of intravenous IgG replacement in hypogammaglobulinemic patients with chronic sinopulmonary disease. Am J Med 1985;79:171–4.
- [54] Bernatowska E, Madalinski K, Janowicz W, Weremowicz R, Gutkowski P, Wolf HM, et al. Results of a prospective controlled two-dose crossover study with intravenous immunoglobulin and comparison (retrospective) with plasma treatment. Clin Immunol Immunopathol 1987;43:153–62.
- [55] Galli E, Barbieri C, Cantani A, Solano A, Longhi MA, Businco L. Treatment with gammaglobulin preparation for intravenous use in children with humoral immunodeficiency: clinical and immunologic follow-up. Ann Allergy 1990;64:147–50.
- [56] Liese JG, Wintergerst U, Tympner KD, Belohradsky BH. High- vs low-dose immunoglobulin therapy in the long-term treatment of X-linked agammaglobulinemia. Am J Dis Child 1992;146:335–9.
- [57] Quartier P, Debré M, De Blic J, de Sauverzac R, Sayegh N, Jabado N, et al. Early and prolonged intravenous immunoglobulin replacement therapy in childhood agammaglobulinemia: a retrospective survey of 31 patients. J Pediatr 1999;134:589– 96.

- [58] Eijkhout HW, van der Meer JW, Kallenberg CG, Weening RS, van Dissel JT, Sanders LA, et al. The effect of two different dosages of intravenous immunoglobulin on the incidence of recurrent infections in patients with primary hypogammaglobulinemia. A randomized, double-blind, multicenter crossover trial. Ann Intern Med 2001;135:165–74.
- [59] Martínez García MA, de Rojas MD, Nauffal Manzur MD, Muñoz Pamplona MP, Compte Torrero L, Macían V, et al. Respiratory disorders in common variable immunodeficiency. Respir Med 2001;95:191–5.
- [60] Busse PJ, Razvi S, Cunningham-Rundles C. Efficacy of intravenous immunoglobulin in the prevention of pneumonia in patients with common variable immunodeficiency. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2002;109:1001–4.
- [61] de Gracia J, Vendrell M, Alvarez A, Pallisa E, Rodrigo MJ, de la Rosa D, et al. Immunoglobulin therapy to control lung damage in patients with common variable immunodeficiency. Int Immunopharmacol 2004;4:745–53.
- [62] Aghamohammadi A, Moin M, Farhoudi A, Rezaei N, Pourpak Z, Movahedi M, et al. Efficacy of intravenous immunoglobulin on the prevention of pneumonia in patients with agammaglobulinemia. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 2004;40:113–8.
- [63] Favre O, Leimgruber A, Nicole A, Spertini F. Intravenous immunoglobulin replacement prevents severe and lower respiratory tract infections, but not upper respiratory tract and non-respiratory infections in common variable immune deficiency. Allergy 2005;60:385–90.

- [64] Bayrakci B, Ersoy F, Sanal O, Kilic S, Metin A, Tezcan I. The efficacy of immunoglobulin replacement therapy in the long-term follow-up of the B-cell deficiencies (XLA, HIM, CVID). Turk J Pediatr 2005;47:239–46.
- [65] Pourpak Z, Aghamohammadi A, Sedighipour L, Farhoudi A, Movahedi M, Gharagozlou M, et al. Effect of regular intravenous immunoglobulin therapy on prevention of pneumonia in patients with common variable immunodeficiency. J Microbiol Immunol Infect 2006;39:114–20.
- [66] Olinder-Nielsen AM, Granert C, Forsberg P, Friman V, Vietorisz A, Bjorkander J. Immunoglobulin prophylaxis in 350 adults with IgG subclass deficiency and recurrent respiratory tract infections: a long-term follow-up. Scand J Infect Dis 2007;39:44–50.
- [67] Abdou NI, Greenwell CA, Mehta R, Narra M, Hester JD, Halsey JF. Efficacy of intravenous gammaglobulin for immunoglobulin G subclass and/or antibody deficiency in adults. Int Arch Allergy Immunol 2009;149:267–74.
- [68] Zora JA, Silk HJ, Tinkelman DG. Evaluation of postimmunization pneumococcal titers in children with recurrent infections and normal levels of immunoglobulin. Ann Allergy 1993;70:283–8.
- [69] Lucas M, Lee M, Lortan J, Lopez-Granados E, Misbah S, Chapel H. Infection outcomes in patients with common variable immunodeficiency disorders: relationship to immunoglobulin therapy over 22 years. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2010;125:1354–60.
- [70] Quinti I, Soresina A, Spadaro G, Martino S, Donnanno S, Agostini C, et al. Longterm follow-up and outcome of a large cohort of patients with common variable immunodeficiency. J Clin Immunol 2007;27:308–16.

- [71] Washington K, Stenzel TT, Buckley RH, Gottfried MR. Gastrointestinal pathology in patients with common variable immunodeficiency and X-linked agammaglobulinemia. Am J Surg Pathol 1996;20:1240–52.
- [72] Kalha I, Sellin JH. Common variable immunodeficiency and the gastrointestinal tract. Curr Gastroenterol Rep 2004;6:377–83.
- [73] Daniels JA, Lederman HM, Maitra A, Montgomery EA. Gastrointestinal tract pathology in patients with common variable immunodeficiency (CVID): a clinicopathologic study and review. Am J Surg Pathol 2007;31:1800–12.
- [74] Khodadad A, Aghamohammadi A, Parvaneh N, Rezaei N, Mahjoob F, Bashashati
 M, et al. Gastrointestinal manifestations in patients with common variable
 immunodeficiency. Dig Dis Sci 2007;52:2977–83.
- [75] Mannon PJ, Fuss IJ, Dill S, Friend J, Groden C, Hornung R, et al. Excess IL-12 but not IL-23 accompanies the inflammatory bowel disease associated with common variable immunodeficiency. Gastroenterology 2006;131:748–56.
- [76] Agarwal S, Mayer L. Pathogenesis and treatment of gastrointestinal disease in antibody deficiency syndromes. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2009;124:658–64.
- [77] Conlong P, Rees W, Shaffer JL, Nicholson D, Jewell D, Heaney M, et al. Primary antibody deficiency and Crohn's disease. Postgrad Med J 1999;75:161–4.
- [78] Malamut G, Verkarre V, Suarez F, Viallard JF, Lascaux AS, Cosnes J, et al. The enteropathy associated with common variable immunodeficiency: the delineated frontiers with celiac disease. Am J Gastroenterol 2010;105:2262–75.
- [79] Berger M. Principles of and advances in immunoglobulin replacement therapy for primary immunodeficiency. Immunol Allergy Clin North Am 2008;28:413–37, x.

- [80] Aghamohammadi A, Mohammadi J, Parvaneh N, Rezaei N, Moin M, Espanol T, et al. Progression of selective IgA deficiency to common variable immunodeficiency. Int Arch Allergy Immunol 2008;147:87–92.
- [81] Sorensen RU, Leiva LE, Giangrosso PA, Butler B, Javier FC III, Sacerdote DM, et al. Response to a heptavalent conjugate *Streptococcus pneumoniae* vaccine in children with recurrent infections who are unresponsive to the polysaccharide vaccine. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1998;17:685–91.
- [82] Pirofsky B. Intravenous immune globulin therapy in hypogammaglobulinemia. A review. Am J Med 1984;76:53–60.
- [83] Buckley RH, Schiff RI. The use of intravenous immune globulin in immunodeficiency diseases. N Engl J Med 1991;325:110–7.
- [84] Berger M. Subcutaneous administration of IgG. Immunol Allergy Clin North Am 2008;28:779–802, viii.
- [85] Ochs HD, Gupta S, Kiessling P, Nicolay U, Berger M. Safety and efficacy of selfadministered subcutaneous immunoglobulin in patients with primary immunodeficiency diseases. J Clin Immunol 2006;26:265–73.
- [86] Chapel HM, Spickett GP, Ericson D, Engl W, Eibl MM, Bjorkander J. The comparison of the efficacy and safety of intravenous versus subcutaneous immunoglobulin replacement therapy. J Clin Immunol 2000;20:94–100.
- [87] Chapel HM, Brennan VM. Home intravenous immunoglobulin therapy. Lancet 1988;2:1423.

- [88] Ochs HD, Fischer SH, Lee ML, Delson ES, Kingdon HS, Wedgwood RJ. Intravenous immunoglobulin home treatment for patients with primary immunodeficiency diseases. Lancet 1986;1:610–1.
- [89] Ashida ER, Saxon A. Home intravenous immunoglobulin therapy by selfadministration. J Clin Immunol 1986;6:306–9.
- [90] Gelfand EW. Differences between IGIV products: impact on clinical outcome. Int Immunopharmacol 2006;6:592–9.
- [91] Stein MR, Nelson RP, Church JA, Wasserman RL, Borte M, Vermylen C, et al. Safety and efficacy of Privigen, a novel 10% liquid immunoglobulin preparation for intravenous use, in patients with primary immunodeficiencies. J Clin Immunol 2009;29:137–44.
- [92] Nydegger UE, Sturzenegger M. Adverse effects of intravenous immunoglobulin therapy. Drug Saf 1999;21:171–85.
- [93] Hansen-Schmidt S, Silomon J, Keller F. Osmotic nephrosis due to high-dose immunoglobulin therapy containing sucrose (but not with glycine) in a patient with immunoglobulin A nephritis. Am J Kidney Dis 1996;28:451–3.
- [94] Eijkhout HW, van den Broek PJ, van der Meer JW. Substitution therapy in immunodeficient patients with anti-IgA antibodies or severe adverse reactions to previous immunoglobulin therapy. Neth J Med 2003;61:213–7.
- [95] Horn J, Thon V, Bartonkova D, Salzer U, Warnatz K, Schlesier M, et al. Anti-IgA antibodies in common variable immunodeficiency (CVID): diagnostic workup and therapeutic strategy. Clin Immunol 2007;122:156–62.

- [96] Sundin U, Nava S, Hammarstrom L. Induction of unresponsiveness against IgA in IgA-deficient patients on subcutaneous immunoglobulin infusion therapy. Clin Exp Immunol 1998;112:341–6.
- [97] Mankarious S, Lee M, Fischer S, Pyun KH, Ochs HD, Oxelius VA, et al. The halflives of IgG subclasses and specific antibodies in patients with primary immunodeficiency who are receiving intravenously administered immunoglobulin. J Lab Clin Med 1988;112:634–40.
- [98] Koleba T, Ensom MH. Pharmacokinetics of intravenous immunoglobulin: a systematic review. Pharmacotherapy 2006;26:813–27.
- [99] Schiff RI, Rudd C. Alterations in the half-life and clearance of IgG during therapy with intravenous gamma-globulin in 16 patients with severe primary humoral immunodeficiency. J Clin Immunol 1986;6:256–64.
- [100] Fischer SH, Ochs HD, Wedgwood RJ, Skvaril F, Morell A, Hill HR, et al. Survival of antigen-specific antibody following administration of intravenous immunoglobulin in patients with primary immunodeficiency diseases. Monogr Allergy 1988;23:225–35.
- [101] Ochs HD, Fischer SH, Wedgwood RJ, Wara DW, Cowan MJ, Ammann AJ, et al. Comparison of high-dose and low-dose intravenous immunoglobulin therapy in patients with primary immunodeficiency diseases. Am J Med 1984;76:78–82.
- [102] Roifman CM, Levison H, Gelfand EW. High-dose versus low-dose intravenous immunoglobulin in hypogammaglobulinaemia and chronic lung disease. Lancet 1987;1:1075–7.

- [103] Orange JS, Grossman WJ, Navickis RJ, Wilkes MM. Impact of trough IgG on pneumonia incidence in primary immunodeficiency: a meta-analysis of clinical studies. Clin Immunol 2010;137:21–30.
- [104] Orange JS, Hossny EM, Weiler CR, Ballow M, Berger M, Bonilla FA, et al. Use of intravenous immunoglobulin in human disease: a review of evidence by members of the Primary Immunodeficiency Committee of the American Academy of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2006;117(4 Suppl):S525–53.
- [105] Sorensen RU, Tomford JW, Gyves MT, Judge NE, Polmar SH. Use of intravenous immune globulin in pregnant women with common variable hypogammaglobulinemia. Am J Med 1984;76:73–7.
- [106] Amarin ZO, Grant KA. Hypogammaglobulinaemia during pregnancy in identical twin sisters. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 1982;20:471–3.
- [107] Smith CI, Hammarstrom L. Intravenous immunoglobulin in pregnancy. Obstet Gynecol 1985;66(3 Suppl):39S–40S.
- [108] Madsen DL, Catanzarite VA, Varela-Gittings F. Common variable hypogammaglobulinemia in pregnancy: treatment with high-dose immunoglobulin infusions. Am J Hematol 1986;21:327–9.
- [109] Williams PE, Leen CL, Heppleston AD, Yap PL. IgG replacement therapy for primary hypogammaglobulinaemia during pregnancy: report of 9 pregnancies in 4 patients. Blut 1990;60:198–201.
- [110] Schaffer FM, Newton JA. Intravenous gamma globulin administration to common variable immunodeficient women during pregnancy: case report and review of the literature. J Perinatol 1994;14:114–7.

- [111] Gardulf A, Andersson E, Lindqvist M, Hansen S, Gustafson R. Rapid subcutaneous IgG replacement therapy at home for pregnant immunodeficient women. J Clin Immunol 2001;21:150–4.
- [112] Burks AW, Sampson HA, Buckley RH. Anaphylactic reactions after gamma globulin administration in patients with hypogammaglobulinemia. Detection of IgE antibodies to IgA. N Engl J Med 1986;314:560–4.
- [113] Brennan VM, Salome-Bentley NJ, Chapel HM. Prospective audit of adverse reactions occurring in 459 primary antibody-deficient patients receiving intravenous immunoglobulin. Clin Exp Immunol 2003;133:247–51.
- [114] Aghamohammadi A, Farhoudi A, Nikzad M, Moin M, Pourpak Z, Rezaei N, et al. Adverse reactions of prophylactic intravenous immunoglobulin infusions in Iranian patients with primary immunodeficiency. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 2004;92:60–4.
- [115] Sekul EA, Cupler EJ, Dalakas MC. Aseptic meningitis associated with high-dose intravenous immunoglobulin therapy: frequency and risk factors. Ann Intern Med 1994;121:259–62.
- [116] Brannagan TH III, Nagle KJ, Lange DJ, Rowland LP. Complications of intravenous immune globulin treatment in neurologic disease. Neurology 1996;47:674–7.
- [117] Hamrock DJ. Adverse events associated with intravenous immunoglobulin therapy. Int Immunopharmacol 2006;6:535–42.
- [118] Katz U, Shoenfeld Y. Review: intravenous immunoglobulin therapy and thromboembolic complications. Lupus 2005;14:802–8.

- [119] Caress JB, Cartwright MS, Donofrio PD, Peacock JE Jr. The clinical features of 16 cases of stroke associated with administration of IVIg. Neurology 2003;60:1822– 4.
- [120] Ziegner UH, Kobayashi RH, Cunningham-Rundles C, Espanol T, Fasth A, Huttenlocher A, et al. Progressive neurodegeneration in patients with primary immunodeficiency disease on IVIG treatment. Clin Immunol 2002;102:19–24.
- [121] Ballow M. Mechanisms of action of intravenous immune serum globulin therapy.Pediatr Infect Dis J 1994;13:806–11.
- [122] Sati HI, Ahya R, Watson HG. Incidence and associations of acute renal failure complicating high-dose intravenous immunoglobulin therapy. Br J Haematol 2001;113:556–7.
- [123] Winward DB, Brophy MT. Acute renal failure after administration of intravenous immunoglobulin: review of the literature and case report. Pharmacotherapy 1995;15:765–72.
- [124] Perazella MA, Cayco AV. Acute renal failure and intravenous immune globulin: sucrose nephropathy in disguise? Am J Ther 1998;5:399–403.
- [125] Berger M. Subcutaneous immunoglobulin replacement in primary immunodeficiencies. Clin Immunol 2004;112:1–7.
- [126] Bonilla FA, Bernstein IL, Khan DA, Ballas ZK, Chinen J, Frank MM, et al. Practice parameter for the diagnosis and management of primary immunodeficiency. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 2005;94(5 Suppl 1):S1–63. Erratum in: Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 2006;96:504.

- [127] Barker AF, Couch L, Fiel SB, Gotfried MH, Ilowite J, Meyer KC, et al. Tobramycin solution for inhalation reduces sputum *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* density in bronchiectasis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2000;162:481–5.
- [128] Ianaro A, Ialenti A, Maffia P, Sautebin L, Rombola L, Carnuccio R, et al. Antiinflammatory activity of macrolide antibiotics. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2000;292:156– 63.
- [129] Kolbe J, Wells A, Ram FS. Inhaled steroids for bronchiectasis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2000;(2):CD000996.

Table 1

Efficacy of immunoglobulin therapy

Year	Patients	Туре	Ν	Treatment regimen	Outcome
		of			
		study			
1979	Adults,	RCT	20	IMIg 3.3 g/month vs. IVIg	0.3 vs. 0.1 infections/month
[51]	children;			150 mg/kg/month	
	CVID, XLA				
1984	Adults,	PC	21	IVIg 300 mg/kg/3 weeks	Less days of illness/antibiotic use $(P < 0.1)$ for 18 of
[52]	children;			vs. previous IMIg	21 patients, less sick days (total 834 days to 258
	CVID, XLA				days), less days on antibiotics (total 3249 days to
					1820 days)
1985	Adults; CVID,	PC	7	IVIg 600 mg/kg/month vs.	Reduction in infection rate (25 vs. 4 per year)
[53]	XLA			previous IMIg	
1987	Children;	со	12	IVIg 150 mg/kg/month vs.	Significant reductions in days with infections
[54]	antibody			500 mg/kg/month	
	deficiency			crossover	
1990	Children;	RCS	23	IVIg 150–300 mg/kg/3	75% less days of fever/antibiotics, 91% less hospital
[55]	antibody			weeks vs. prior IMIg	days, 50% less absence from school, 65% less days
	deficiency				with infection ($P < 0.01$)

•

1992	Adults,	RCS	20	No treatment (1)	Reduction in hospitalisation ($P < 0.01$) and
[56]	children; XLA				pneumonia (<i>P</i> < 0.04) in (4) vs. (1) and (2)
			14	IMIg <100 mg/kg/3 weeks (2)	
			3	IVIg up to 200 mg/kg/3 weeks (3)	
			15	IVIg 350–600 mg/kg/3 weeks (4)	
1999	Children; XLA	RCS	31	Mean dose 390 mg/kg/3	Reduction in annual bacterial infections during
[57]				weeks	therapy (0.06 vs. 0.4) (<i>P</i> < 0.01)
2001	Adults,	RCT	43	IVIg: adults, 300	Mean infection rate: low-dose 3.5 ± 2.6 /patient vs.
[58]	children;	CO		mg/kg/month vs. 600	high-dose 2.5 \pm 2.4/patient ($P = 0.004$)
	CVID, XLA			mg/kg/month	
				Children, 400	Mean duration of infections: low-dose 33 days vs.
				mg/kg/month vs. 800 mg/kg/month	high-dose 21 days (<i>P</i> = 0.015)
2001	Adults,	RCS	19	IVIg 300–600 mg/kg/3	0.28 vs. 0.16 RTIs/patient/year (before vs. after
[59]	children;			weeks, trough IgG >4	therapy) (<i>P</i> < 0.01)
	CVID			g/L	
2002	Adults,	RCS	50	IVIg 300–400 mg/kg/3–4	Reduction in number of patients with pneumonia from
[60]	children;			weeks	42 to 11 after treatment ($P < 0.01$)
	CVID				

2004	Newly	PC	24	IVIg 200–300 mg/kg/3–4	Serious infections ^a 1.3 ± 1.2 /year to 0.2 ± 0.5 /year (P
[61]	diagnosed			weeks	< 0.01); mild infections b 4.9 ± 4.1/year to 2.2 ±
	adults; CVID				2.0/year ($P < 0.01$) during treatment
2004	Adults,	RCS	23	IVIg 300–400 mg/kg/3–4	Pneumonia/year 0.8 to 0.1 ($P < 0.01$), reduction in
[62]	children; XLA			weeks	hospitalisation ($P = 0.02$) during treatment
2005	Adults; CVID	RCS	7	No treatment, then 200	Infections/patient-year 5.0 to 2.8 ($P < 0.01$) to 1.5 ($P =$
[63]				mg/kg/3 weeks, then	0.02) during therapy
				400 mg/kg/3 weeks	
2005	Children;	RCS	46	Median dose 370	Infection rate 12.4 to 3.2/patient/year; hospitalisation
[64]	CVID, XLA,			mg/kg/2-4 weeks	rate 1.2 to 0.2/patient/year after treatment
	HIM				
2006	Adults,	RCS	26	IVIg 400 mg/kg/3-4	Pneumonia in 80% to 35% of patients ($P < 0.01$),
[65]	children;			weeks	hospitalisation rate 88% to 46% ($P < 0.0025$) after
	CVID, XLA				starting treatment

CVID, common variable immunodeficiency; XLA, X-linked agammaglobulinaemia; HIM, hyperimmunoglobulin M; RCT, randomised controlled trial; PC, prospective cohort; CO, crossover; RCS, retrospective case series; IMIg, intramuscular immunoglobulin; IVIg, intravenous immunoglobulin; RTI, respiratory tract infection.

^a Serious infections include pneumonia, sepsis, meningitis and/or pulmonary abscess.

^b Mild infections includes episodes of bronchitis, otitis, sinusitis or fever.

Table 2

Product features affecting clinical tolerability [90]

Volume load (rate of infusion)

Osmolality

Sodium content

Sugar content

IgA content

Table 3

Effect of treatment regimen on immunoglobulin G (IgG) trough level and outcome of infections

Year	Patients	Туре	Ν	Treatment regimen	Outcome
(ref)		of			
		study			
1984	Adults,	PC	21	IVIg 300 mg/kg/3 weeks	Average IgG levels increased 2.4 g/L
[52]	children;			vs. previous IMIg	
	CVID, XLA				
1984	Adults,	RCT	16	IVIg 100 mg/kg/month	Trough levels increases with higher dose
[101]	children;				
	CVID, XLA				
			19	IVIg 400 mg/kg/month	
1985	Adults,	PCS	7	IVIg 600 mg/kg/month	IgG trough levels 5–7.5 g/L: 4 vs. 25 hospital admissions,
[53]	children;			vs. previous IMIg 100	improvement sinusitis/bronchiectasis
	CVID, XLA			mg/kg/2–4 weeks	
1987	Adults,	RCT	12	IVIg 600 mg/kg/month	Trough levels >5 g/L with fewer minor (12 vs. 31) and major (3 vs.
[102]	children;	со		vs. 200 mg/kg/month	16) infections
	CVID, XLA				
1992	Adults,	RCS	20	No treatment	IgG trough levels 0.9 ± 0.8 g/L vs. 2.2 ± 0.8 g/L vs. 2.8 ± 0.7 g/L
[56]	children;				vs. 6.5 \pm 1.2 g/L, respectively
	XLA				

			14	IMIg <100 mg/kg/3	
				weeks	
			3	IVIg up to 200 mg/kg/3	
				weeks	
			15	IVIg 350–600 mg/kg/3	
				weeks	
1999	Children;	RCS	31	Mean dose 390 mg/kg/3	Annual incidence of bacterial infections by trough level: 0 when >8
[57]	XLA			weeks	g/L, 0.05 when 5–8 g/L and 0.16 when <5 g/L
2001	Adults,	RCT	43	IVIg: adults, 300	Trough level 6.4 g/L vs. 9.4 g/L; mean infection rate, low-dose 3.5
[58]	children;	CO		mg/kg/month vs. 600	\pm 2.6/patient vs. high-dose 2.5 \pm 2.4/patient (P = 0.004); mean
	CVID, XLA			mg/kg/month	duration of infections, low-dose 33 days vs. high-dose 21 days (P
				Children, 400	= 0.015)
				mg/kg/month vs. 800	
				mg/kg/month	

CVID, common variable immunodeficiency; XLA, X-linked agammaglobulinaemia; HIM, hyper immunoglobulin M; PC, prospective cohort; RCT, randomised controlled trial; PCS, prospective case series; CO, crossover; RCS, retrospective case series; IVIg, intravenous immunoglobulin; IMIg, intramuscular immunoglobulin.