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A new experimental setup has been developed to enable in situ studies of catalyst surfaces during chemical reactions by means of surface x-ray diffraction (SXRD) and grazing incidence small angle x-ray scattering. The x-ray reactor chamber was designed for both ultrahigh-vacuum (UHV) and reactive gas environments. A laser beam heating of the sample was implemented; the sample temperature reaches 1100 K in UHV and 600 K in the presence of reactive gases. The reactor equipment allows dynamical observations of the surface with various, perfectly mixed gases at controlled partial pressures. It can run in two modes: as a bath reactor in the pressure range of 1–1000 mbar and as a continuous flow cell for pressure lower than 10−3 mbar. The reactor is connected to an UHV preparation chamber also equipped with low energy electron diffraction and Auger spectroscopy. This setup is thus perfectly well suited to extend in situ studies to more complex surfaces, such as epitaxial films or supported nanoparticles. It offers the possibility to follow the chemically induced changes of the morphology, the structure, the composition, and growth processes of the model catalyst surface during exposure to reactive gases. As an example the Pd₈Ni₉₂(110) surface structure was followed by SXRD under a few millibars of hydrogen and during butadiene hydrogenation while the reaction was monitored by quadrupole mass spectrometry.

This experiment evidenced the great sensitivity of the diffracted intensity to the subtle interaction between the surface atoms and the gas molecules. © 2007 American Institute of Physics.

[DOI: 10.1063/1.2766821]

I. INTRODUCTION

In the field of catalysis, surface science aims to better understand of the catalytic process at both the atomic and molecular scales. By contrast to industrial applications or other research approaches where microporous catalysts or powders of supported nanoparticles are used, surface science deals with model catalysts such as extended flat surfaces of single crystals elaborated in ultrahigh-vacuum (UHV) environment. However, more recently, studying systems closer to real catalysts and in (semi-) realistic reaction conditions is becoming a very active research field of surface science. Particularly, many efforts are done to bridge the so-called “pressure and material gaps,” experimentally but also theoretically. Regarding this last point, the most common approach uses first-principles atomistic total energy combined with gas phase thermodynamics. 1,2 The atomic structure predicted by minimizing the total energy of the surface exposed to a gas phase at high pressure can be quite different from the one at low pressure. On the experimental side many developments have been done to directly monitor the surface during catalytic reaction. New surface science tools must be conceived and designed to be compatible with the high pressure of reactive gases. Some standard surface science probes are in first view ruled out, particularly those which strongly interact with matter. Even though sophisticated devices involving differentially pumped pressure cells allow to perform x-ray photoemission, electron microscopy, low energy ion scattering, and soft x-ray absorption in high pressure conditions, some other techniques are much more evident such as scanning tunnelling microscopy (STM) even if in situ measurements need well-adapted instruments. 1 Other techniques dealing more with the interaction of molecules with surfaces such as polarized reflection absorption infrared spectroscopy 3 (RAIRS) and sum frequency generation 4 (SFG).

Actually one of the most promising techniques for in situ structural investigations of surfaces is surface x-ray diffraction (SXRD) which is, compared to low energy electron diffraction (LEED), not restricted to low pressure environments nor to noninsulating samples. The original setup devoted to in situ measurements of solid/gas interfaces by SXRD was built on the ID03 beamline at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF). 5 Thanks to this instrument, a strong...
temperature-dependent structural change of the Ni(110) surface was evidenced in the presence of a few millibars of carbon monoxide (CO). At room temperature, the high pressure and UHV structures are identical, whereas at 400 K, the CO high pressure induces a restructuring of the Ni substrate that develops strained (111) microfacets.\textsuperscript{6} The modifications of the Pd\textsubscript{8}Ni\textsubscript{92}(110) surface under butadiene and hydrogen, as well as during butadiene hydrogenation at elevated pressure, at 300 K, were also studied with this high pressure chamber.\textsuperscript{7} The (N×1) reconstruction was found to be still present. The main changes were observed for a surface peak intensity under pure hydrogen and during butadiene hydrogenation. Another experiment was devoted to the structural characterization of the surface oxide during CO oxidation on Pt(110).\textsuperscript{8} This work illustrated the good complementarity between \textit{in situ} SXRD and STM. Morphology changes of the surface during CO oxidation corresponding to the activity cycle were first evidenced by STM (Ref. 9) and were then correlated to the structural changes by SXRD. These experiments allowed to clearly show that the oxide surface is the more active.

The purpose of this new experimental setup was to extend SXRD studies to more complex surfaces such as thin epitaxial films or supported nanoparticles. This needs to connect the x-ray reactor to an auxiliary UHV chamber to prepare these complex surfaces just before the x-ray experiment. In addition such a chamber can be equipped with Auger electron spectroscopy (AES) allowing to also chemically probe the surface.

For supported nanoparticles, information about their morphology (size, shape, cluster density, etc.) are crucial and a particularly relevant technique is grazing incidence small angle x-ray scattering (GISAXS). One of the first experiments was done on gold aggregates deposited on a flat surface of silicon.\textsuperscript{10} \textit{In situ} measurements during Pd nanoparticle growth on MgO(100) (Ref. 11) show how GISAXS can be very promising for \textit{in situ} catalytic reactions. Several works report \textit{in situ} GISAXS during nanoparticle growth for catalysis but in UHV conditions.\textsuperscript{12} Very recently the thermal stability of supported platinum clusters was studied by \textit{in situ} GISAXS in UHV and in the presence of hydrogen,\textsuperscript{13} but none of them concerns measurements under pressures corresponding to realistic reaction conditions.

For well organized supported nanoparticles the same information, added to the structure, can be extracted from SXRD. Such a study was performed during the oxidation of Pd nanoparticles on MgO(100).\textsuperscript{14} In this work, the sample was not \textit{in situ} prepared and was thus exposed to air before the SXRD measurements.

This article is divided into two main parts. First, a detailed description of the setup is given. The second one presents the first experimental results it allowed to obtain by SXRD during butadiene hydrogenation on Pd\textsubscript{8}Ni\textsubscript{92}(110).

II. DESIGN OF THE EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

A. Global requirements

The aim of this new experimental setup is thus to study model catalysts which are prepared under UHV environment and then analyzed by SXRD or GISAXS under catalytic reaction conditions. To meet this purpose a geometry with two horizontally connected chambers was chosen: the x-ray reactor chamber and an UHV chamber. The sample is prepared and characterized in the UHV chamber. It is then transferred under UHV conditions in the x-ray reactor, designed for both UHV and reactive gas environments to perform \textit{in operando} studies. This latter point means that a special attention was paid to the choice of the materials inside the reactor.

The whole setup was designed to be mounted on the “Goniomètre Multi-Techniques” (GMT) of the French BM32 beamline at ESRF.\textsuperscript{15} This diffractometer is a versatile instrument dedicated to surface and interface studies. Although it can handle heavy and large experimental setups, the available space is limited. Another feature of the setup is the possibility of easily moving it between the laboratory and synchrotron environments allowing classical studies (sample preparation and reaction conditions) prior to allocated beam time at ESRF.

B. Goniometer head and coupling to the GMT diffractometer

The labels used in the following description refer to Figs. 1–3. The design of the whole setup is represented in Fig. 1. The GMT diffractometer geometry is of the “2+2” type.\textsuperscript{16} The sample surface is held in a vertical position; $\theta$ defines the polar incident beam angle and $\omega$, the azimuthal rotation. The detector motions are $\varphi$ for the out-of-plane rotation and $\phi$ for the in-plane one.

SXRD and GISAXS require a grazing incidence angle together with a highly accurate positioning of the sample surface inside the incident x-ray beam. This is ensured by a goniometer head with three degrees of freedom (see Fig. 2): two crossed tilts, $\chi_1$ and $\chi_2$, with perpendicular rotation axes, which allow the alignment of the surface sample normal with the axis of the $\omega$ rotation, and the $Z$ translation to put the surface sample at the diffractometer homocenter.
The whole mechanics is held on the large circular flange (1) fixed on the $\omega$ circle of the GMT diffractometer. The $Z$ translation is guided by four prestressed columns (2) and geared by one motor and two screws; its amplitude is $\pm 2$ mm with a twist less than 0.01°. The $Z$ positioning accuracy and reproductiveness are $\pm 1$ $\mu$m, controlled by an encoder (Reni- shaw RGH24W) with 0.2 $\mu$m resolution. The two rocker cradles of the $\chi_1$ and $\chi_2$ rotations are also represented in Fig. 2. Their amplitude is $\pm 2^\circ$ with a confusion circle diameter less than 2 $\mu$m. Figure 4 illustrates the accuracy and the reproductibility of these motions as record with TiO$_2$ surface oxide in the direct beam for the two curves (Fig. 4[a]) and on the reflectivity for the two $\chi_1$ and $\chi_2$ rotations (Figs. 4[b] and 4[c], respectively).

As shown on the section of the goniometer head and of the reactor chamber (Fig. 3), the design is very compact fitting into the limited available space of the $\theta$ table [label (a) in Fig. 1]. The distances between the diffractometer homocenter are 275 mm to the vertical support and 252 mm to the horizontal platform. The whole mechanics stands within a cylinder ($D \times H = 400 \times 100$ mm$^2$) offering a free axial cylindrical hole of 120 mm diameter (3). This available space inside the mechanics is occupied by an extension of the reactor chamber made of a bellows (4). The end of this extension is fixed on the goniometer head by a welded flange (5) which moves with the $\chi_1$, $\chi_2$, $Z$, and $\omega$ motions and onto which the sample holder (6) is mounted. This bellows (4) allows for the $\chi_1$, $\chi_2$, and $Z$ motions of the sample holder inside the main volume of the reactor, which is fixed onto the $\theta$ circle of the GMT diffractometer. The coupling between the movable extension, including the sample holder (6), and the fixed part of the reactor chamber is made by a CF63 RNN-250/3 differentially pumped rotary platform from Thermionics (7) enabling the $\omega$ rotation (360°).

The movable part of the reactor chamber can be baked with a heating removable device installed, at the atmosphere, in a tube (21) within the sample holder. A stainless steel screen surrounds the bellows (4) to protect the mechanics...
during the bakeout. In addition zirconium oxide insulators are inserted between the end flange (5) of the reactor and its mounting on the mechanics. Two thermocouples are also set in the mechanics to monitor its temperature during the bakeout.

### C. The reactor chamber

The reactor, in which the sample is studied under reactive conditions with the synchrotron beam, is made of stainless steel. For clarity, it can be divided into three main parts. The first is the movable part described just above. It includes the sample holder and its heating device (discussed in Part Sec. II D).

The second part is the main body of the chamber: it is equipped with two beryllium windows (Brushwellman company) for the incoming and scattering x-ray beams. The reactor shape is a cylinder truncated on the side of the incident x-ray beam (see insert in Fig. 1 and the cross section in Fig. 3). This was especially designed to be compatible with GISAXS and to allow the addition of antiscattering slits. The entrance beryllium window is mounted on a CF40 port (8). The large exit beryllium window (9) is welded on the cylindrical part of the reactor chamber (200 mm diameter) allowing the detection of scattered beams from \(-1^\circ\) up to 95\(^\circ\) in the in-plane direction (\(\phi\)) and from \(-1^\circ\) up to 40\(^\circ\) in the out-of-plane one (\(\theta\)).

The third part is equipped with several ports for the reactor equipment.

- A turbomolecular pump (10), protected by an automated butterfly valve, is installed on a CF63 port for pumping down to UHV (pumping speed: 210 l/s for \(N_2\)).
- Three pressure gauges allow the pressure monitoring from \(10^{-10}\) mbar to up to 1 bar: a cold cathode gauge (11) for UHV and two capacitance diaphragm gauges (12) for \(10^{-4}\) up to 1 mbar and for 1 up to 1000 mbars.
- The gas composition in the reactor can be monitored by a quadrupole mass spectrometer (Prisma™ QMS 200 M1 of Pfeiffer) (13) mounted behind a CF40 gate valve. For pressures higher than \(10^{-6}\) mbar, the reactants and products are sampled through a leak valve.
- A subsidiary turbomolecular pump (14) is hung on the reactor chamber (pumping speed: 60 l/s for \(N_2\)) and coupled to an UHV gauge. It supplies pumping for the equipments which must not be exposed to a high pressure of gases such as the mass spectrometer and the ion sputtering gun.
- The sample temperature is measured with an external infrared pyrometer (15) (Impac IPE 140 MB 10) pointing to the sample surface through a sapphire view port. The spectral band is 3–5 \(\mu\)m and the nominal temperature range is 300–1300 K with 0.1 K resolution for the emissivity \(\varepsilon\) ranging from 0.1 to 1. The minimum readable temperature depends on the emissivity: 300 K for \(\varepsilon=1\) and 350 K for \(\varepsilon=0.1\).
- Two view ports allow one to look inside the reactor. They are localized in the vertical plane, symmetric relative to the sample surface normal, and are used for laser alignment of the normal along the \(\omega\) axis.

- The gas input (16) is isolated by an electropneumatic CF16 gate valve in line with a stainless steel microvalve and a 0.6 l reservoir with a capacitive pressure gauge (\(10^{-4}\)–1 mbar). This setting allows two operation modes:
  1. Fast expansion of the high pressure of a reactive mixture by the remote opening of the electropneumatic valve. The surface diffraction and gas analyses are thus performed instantaneously and simultaneously just after the expansion and then followed in a static mode. The reactor turbomolecular pump has been previously isolated from the reactor chamber by closing its electropneumatic CF63 butterfly valve. The two electropneumatic valves are controlled by a pilot regulated by the chamber and the reservoir pressures. In this configuration the microvalve acts to diminish the speed of the gas flux to avoid a shock wave in the reactor during the expansion.
  2. Low pressure gas exposures (<\(10^{-3}\) mbar) are made in a dynamical mode: the two electropneumatic valves are opened and the gas flux is manually regulated by the microvalve aperture.

The reservoir is connected to the gas manifold where gases and the reactive mixture are stored before being introduced in the reactor. It is equipped with a 1–1000 mbars capacitive pressure gauge. The manifold is pumped with an ancillary pumping station (primary and turbomolecular pumps). This station can also be connected to the reactor for rough pumping after gas exposures at pressure close to the atmosphere.

- An ion sputtering gun (17) can be mounted behind a CF40 gate valve ensuring its isolation during gas exposures. It is also connected to the subsidiary turbomolecular pump (14) via a right-angle CF16 valve. When the ion gun is mounted on the reactor it allows the preparation of single crystal surfaces in UHV environment, directly in the reactor, without any transfer to the preparation chamber.

The reactor ends with a CF63 gate valve (18) to connect it to the UHV chamber. The reactor total volume is approximately 5.5 l.

### D. Sample heating

The sample is heated by a laser beam as first done by Kuhrs and et al.\textsuperscript{17} A high-power fiber-coupled laser diode ( Jenoptik JOLD-50-CPXI) generates a 808 nm beam with a power of up to 50 W. The external power supply (Delta Electronika SM 156100) delivers an operating current up to 45 A corresponding to the maximum heating power. The stable working temperature of the diode is achieved by water cooling.

The scheme of Fig. 3 shows the laser heating setup. The laser beam is guided up to the reactor by a multimode fiber (600 \(\mu\)m diameter) ended by an SMA connector with an optical aperture of 0.22 (corresponding to the sine of the aperture angle). The collimation is achieved by an optical system (19) chosen to give a spot with a diameter of 10–11 mm at the sample position. This collimation optics is held at the entrance of the mechanics; a ball bearing coupling avoids...
twisting of the optical fiber during azimuthal rotation of the goniometer head. The whole optical system and the fiber can be removed during the reactor bakeout. The collimated beam (20) enters a stainless steel tube (21) supported by the reactor end flange (5). The other end of this tube is welded to a silica window and it ensures the reactor airtightness. Even if the silica reflectivity is low for the laser wavelength, it is not negligible and for the maximum diode power the reflected part can reach almost 4 W. To avoid damages of the diode by the power reflected back in the fiber, the silica window is tilted 5° relative to the laser beam. It is surrounded, inside the reactor, by a concentric cylinder (6) ended by a support which is fixed on an alumina thermal insulating ring. On this support, sample holder (Molybloc) (22) can be locked with a mechanical transfer rod. The sample mounting on the Molybloc is shown on Fig. 5.

Compared to the setup of Kuhrs et al.,\textsuperscript{17} only one 50 W laser diode was used but the efficiency of the heating was improved. The most common metals used in catalysis are, for example, platinum or palladium, which have a very high reflectivity in the near infrared region. For this reason, most of the laser beam is lost by backreflection. An absorber was thus inserted on the path of the laser beam, in close contact with the sample in order to heat it by thermal conduction. For catalytic reactions involving hydrogen and hydrocarbons, a molybdenum piece can be used to absorb the incident laser power. Nevertheless, the Mo reflectivity, even for a rough surface, is still equal to 0.55 at 808 nm. Darkening the absorber was not enough to make it as close as possible to a blackbody. The absorption was thus made more efficient thanks to tilted ribs machined on the laser beam illuminated side of the absorber (see Fig. 6). The rib geometry was optimized in order to trap most of the photons by way of a multiple-reflection process (at least two reflections). The laser diode power supply can be controlled by a PID pilot regulated by the sample temperature measured by the IR pyrometer.

The performance of this laser heating was checked by measuring the temperature on the absorber and on the sample. The emissivity used for the IR pyrometer was calibrated with the help of a thermocouple provisionally installed at the end of a wobble stick. As shown in Fig. 7, the sample surface temperature can reach 1100 K in UHV. In reactive gas environment the maximum temperature is limited to 600 K. It is limited by the thermal exchange with the air. But also because above this temperature the interaction of the molecules with the reactor walls and the sample holder becomes non-negligible compared to the sample surface activity.

E. The UHV preparation chamber

The UHV preparation chamber is connected to the reactor by a communication UHV chamber through which the sample mounted on its Molybloc (Fig. 5) moved with a mechanical transfer rod. The UHV chamber is also equipped with a small ancillary load-lock chamber for extracting the sample of the setup back to air and installing another one. The pumping is achieved with turbomolecular, ionic, and titanium sublimation pumps.

There are two working levels separated by 136 mm height: (i) the transfer level and (ii) the preparation and characterization level with an electron bombardment source and a Knudsen-type evaporator for deposition, a retractable quartz microbalance to calibrate the flux, a retractable MEED (Omicron NanoTechnology), an electron gun for medium energy electron diffraction (MEED), and an ion sputtering gun (argon and oxygen ions). In this chamber the...
Molybloc with the sample is locked on an oven with heating by electron bombardment. The sample holder is mounted on a manipulator with two perpendicular horizontal translations, and a vertical translation (parallel to the surface sample), plus a rotation around the vertical axis. Several view ports are also installed, two of them are at an angle of 55° symmetric relative to the surface normal to offer the possibility of performing surface differential reflectivity spectroscopy (SDRS).

III. FIRST RESULTS AND PERFORMANCE: SURFACE X-RAY DIFFRACTION DURING BUTADIENE HYDROGENATION ON Pd₈Ni₉₂(110)

To assess the performance of this setup, SXRD was performed during butadiene hydrogenation on the (110) surface of the Pd₈Ni₉₂ alloy. The ion gun was installed on the reactor chamber to clean the surface sample and the UHV preparation chamber was not necessary. First of all we checked that without the sample no reaction occurs in the reactor chamber.

A. Previous results

This study was the continuation of a published work. In this article we showed that the (N x 1) reconstruction (N = 5–6) observed for the clean Pd₈Ni₉₂(110) consists of undulating outer dense rows, constituted mainly of Pd atoms which segregate to the surface. In the alloy surface plane, the Pd–Pd interatomic distances are shorter than in pure palladium. On the contrary the first interlayer spacing is larger. This reconstruction allows partial relaxation, of the strain due to the 10% lattice mismatch between Pd and Ni atoms.

The (N x 1) surface reconstruction was still visible in the presence of butadiene, hydrogen, and even during the butadiene hydrogenation performed under elevated pressure (5–50 mbar) at 300 K. The main modifications concerned the surface diffraction peak intensities. A large and fast decrease of the (0 1 0.028) surface peak intensity was observed at the beginning of the butadiene hydrogenation reaction (0.5 mbar butadiene and 5 mbar hydrogen). Afterwards the intensity of this surface diffraction peak gently evolved during the course of the reaction and a tendency to the two steps of the reaction. It turned out that the large intensity decrease at the beginning of the reaction was the same as that observed under 5 mbar of pure hydrogen. Moreover a similar behavior for the (0 1) rod in the presence of pure hydrogen and during butadiene hydrogenation experiments was observed. This led us to conclude that the role of hydrogen was essential during the reaction. But, as pointed out in Ref. 7, this was in apparent contradiction with generally admitted concepts issued from the kinetics of this reaction on Pd-based catalysts. Thus, the aim of this new experiment was (i) to reproduce the previous results obtained with the high-pressure chamber of ID03 (Ref. 5) to check the new setup and (ii) to extend the data collection over additional points in the reciprocal space to better understand the respective role of each component of the reactive gas mixture on the structural changes.

B. UHV results

The Pd₈Ni₉₂(110) surface was prepared by a series of Ar⁺ sputtering and annealing at 1000 K until an (N x 1) reconstruction similar to that already observed was obtained. The SXRD data were recorded at a beam energy of 18 keV, at grazing incidence (0.2°). Measurements were first performed under UHV in a base pressure of 2.10⁻⁶ mbar. The (N x 1) reconstruction model, sketched on Fig. 8, was used to fit the data along the (0 1 1), (0 1 0), and (0 2 1) crystal truncation rods (CTRs) and their equivalents. As shown in Table I, the geometrical parameters were found very close to those previously reported.

C. Results under high pressure of hydrogen and under reaction conditions

The surface was then exposed to 10 mbar of hydrogen (N55 gas purity) and the intensities at (1 0 0 0.03) and (0 1

![FIG. 8. Side and top views of the structure of the clean Pd₈Ni₉₂(110)(5 x 1) surface as deduced from surface x-ray diffraction data in Ref. 7. X and Y are in the surface plane (X corresponding to the dense rows [1 1 0]) and Z is perpendicular to the surface. The dark circles represent surface Pd atoms and the light ones the bulk (Pd or Ni) atoms.](image)

### TABLE I. Structural parameters obtained for the Pd₈Ni₉₂(110) reconstruction, as checked in Fig. 8 and obtained by fitting SXRD data. Three different sets of values are reported: in UHV from Ref. 7, in UHV with the present experimental setup and this work under 10 mbar of hydrogen, β is the surface roughness, e₁ and e₂ are the Pd concentrations in the surface layers 1 and 2, respectively, Δd₁₂ is the global shift of interlayer distance between the first and the second atomic layers relative to the bulk reference, Δd₁₂ is the same for layers 2 and 3, ΔY₁, ΔZ₁₂, and ΔZ₁₂ are the amplitude of the in-plane row oscillation for the first layer, ΔZ₁₂ is the amplitude of the out-of-plane row oscillation for the first layer, and ΔZ₁₂ is amplitude of the out-of-plane row oscillation for the second layer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>exp</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>e₁</th>
<th>e₂</th>
<th>Δd₁₂</th>
<th>Δd₁₂</th>
<th>ΔY₁2</th>
<th>ΔZ₁₂</th>
<th>ΔZ₁₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UHV</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>−0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHV</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>−0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h²</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>−0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reference 7.
It was possible to record the diffracted intensities are stable during the hydrogen exposure. As the exposure and then kept a constant value. Interestingly, it recover its initial intensity after pumping hydrogen. Butadiene/hydrogen mixture with the ratio 1:8 covered its initial intensity after pumping hydrogen. As the exposure and then kept a constant value. Interestingly, it recovered its initial intensity after pumping hydrogen.

The surface was finally studied under 5 mbars of a N2 gas pressure as shown in Fig. 10. The reactive mixture introduction region 4 and 5 correspond to the butadiene conversion into butenes at 300 K and then at 500 K, respectively. In region 3 the reactor chamber was pumped down to UHV. The black vertical arrow indicates the moment when the reactive mixture is introduced. Regions 4 and 5 correspond to the butadiene conversion into butene at 300 K and then at 500 K, respectively. In region 6 the butene conversion into butane takes place.

The reactive mixture introduction (region 4) induces a small intensity drop of both diffraction peaks followed by a gentle decrease during the first hydrogenation step (conver-
sion of butadiene into butenes). This behavior is almost not modified when the sample temperature is increased up to 470 K to speed up the reaction (region 5). Once the butadiene is totally transformed, the surface diffraction peak intensities start to slowly increase while the second part of the reaction, butene hydrogenation into butane, is in progress (region 6).

D. Discussion

The present results for the (0 1 0.03) surface peak are consistent with those of the previously published work. However, since it was possible to record more data at the same time, the present experiment gives a more precise description of the Pd$_8$Ni$_{92}$ (110) surface during the butadiene hydrogenation. First, and quite significantly, the surface appears to be clearly not the same under pure hydrogen as under the reactive mixture. The correlation between the diffracted intensities and the two steps of the reaction evidences that the catalyst surface atoms interact differently with the reactive molecules during the two steps. Indeed, the selectivity of this surface with respect to butenes, defined by the ratio [butenes/[butenes+butane]], is high. It depends on the relative adsorption coefficient of butadiene and butenes on the surface in reaction conditions. It is higher on palladium for butadiene than for butenes. Thus, as long as butadiene is present in the gas phase, it prevents butane formation by removing butenes from the active sites at the surface.

It was checked that on a sputtered unannealed Pd$_8$Ni$_{92}$ (110) surface, which means disordered and without Pd segregation, no significant reaction occurred under the same butadiene and hydrogen pressures. This stresses that the strong enhancement of the activity of the alloy surface compared to pure Pd (more than one order of magnitude) is directly linked to the strained palladium atoms. It can be assumed that the amplitude of the diffracted intensity variation is roughly correlated with the strength of the binding between the surface atoms and the gas molecules. Indeed, the curves in Figs. 10(b) and 10(c) show that the intensity loss is less important during the second step of the reaction when butenes are absorbed at the surface compared to the first step. Moreover, as already reported, the effect of pure palladium on the diffracted intensity is less important compared to the reactive mixture including hydrogen. This supports the fact that the butadiene absorption mode may be different under a high pressure of hydrogen compared to a free-hydrogen environment.

IV. FURTHER DEVELOPMENT

A new experimental setup has been designed allowing to follow by SXRD and GISAXS the surface structure under (semi-) realistic reaction conditions of model catalysts elaborated in an UHV environment. It was tested by studying the Pd$_8$Ni$_{92}$ (110) surface during the butadiene hydrogenation and under hydrogen pressure. The high accuracy of the sample positioning in the incident x-ray beam allowed to record surface diffraction data at grazing incidence. The sample surface was cleaned in an UHV environment (Ar$^+$ sputtering and annealing). The surface structure was then monitored under a few millibars of reactive gases, while the reaction products were followed by mass spectrometry. This experiment evidenced the great sensitivity of the diffracted intensity to the subtle interaction between the surface atoms and the reactant molecules. The efficiency of SXRD for such studies is now well established, the limit coming from the common use of point detector. This means long time in order to record the relevant data to determine the structure and to wholly catch the structural changes. Improvements will be obtained by implementing two dimensional (2D) detector.

More recently new experiments were realized with this setup by adding transfer to the connected UHV preparation chamber. It allowed to successfully perform Auger electron spectroscopy in order to probe the chemical surface composition variation induced by reactive gases. The Au$_{40}$Pd$_{60}$ (111) alloy surface was studied under few millibars of hydrogen and during butadiene hydrogenation; the results will be published elsewhere. Concerning the GISAXS facility, studies in (semi-) realistic conditions of supported gold nanoparticles, directly elaborated in the connected UHV chamber, are under progress and will be the subject of a further publication.
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