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Intramolecular Cyclization of N-phenyl N'(2-chloroethyl)ureas leads to Active N-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-amines Alkylating β-Tubulin Glu198 and Prohibitin Asp40.
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Abstract

The cyclization of anticancer drugs into active intermediates has been reported mainly for DNA alkylating molecules including nitrosoureas. We previously defined the original cytotoxic mechanism of anticancerous N-phenyl N'(2-chloroethyl)ureas (CEUs) that involves their reactivity towards cellular proteins and not against DNA; two CEUs subsets have been shown to alkylate β-tubulin and prohibitin leading to inhibition of cell proliferation by G₂/M or G₁/S cell cycle arrest. In this study, we demonstrated that cyclic derivatives of CEUs, N-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-amines (Oxas) are two to threefold more active than CEUs and share the same cytotoxic properties in B16F0 melanoma cells. Moreover, the CEU original covalent binding by an ester linkage on β-tubulin Glu198 and prohibitin Asp40 was maintained with Oxas. Surprisingly, we observed that Oxas were spontaneously formed from CEUs in the cell culture medium and were also detected within the cells. Our results suggest that the intramolecular cyclization of CEUs leads to active Oxas that should then be considered as the key intermediates for protein alkylation. These results could be useful for the design of new prodrugs for cancer chemotherapy.

Keywords: N-phenyl N'(2-chloroethyl)ureas, N-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-amines, β-tubulin, prohibitin, alkylation, cyclization
1. Introduction

A major challenge for improving cancer chemotherapy is to design and synthesize new drugs that can selectively target cancer cells and bypass cancer chemoresistance systems. In this context, we have developed protein alkylating agents referred to as \(N\)-phenyl-\(N\)'-(2-chloroethyl)ureas (CEUs) [1, 2]. Two CEU subsets have been shown to selectively alkylate proteins through a unique ester linkage involving Glu198 on \(\beta\)-tubulin and Asp40 on prohibitin [3, 4]. Both proteins are strongly involved in the regulation of cell growth and cell cycle progression [5, 6]. Tubulins are classical targets for antimitotic drugs such as colchicine, vinblastine and paclitaxel [7] blocking the \(G_2/M\) transition of the cell cycle. Prohibitin, a chaperon protein involved in gene expression, regulates cell cycle and apoptosis, and has been considered as a tumor suppressor [5, 8]. However, no consensus for prohibitin function has been established since prohibitin is also required for primary and cancer cell proliferation [9, 10]. The decreased \(G_1/S\) transition in CEU treated cells, associated with prohibitin alkylation is not fully understood and could be linked to either a mitochondrial dysfunction [11] or a nuclear repression of E2F1-mediated transcription [12]. \(\beta\)-tubulin alkylation CEUs induce a \(G_2/M\) arrest and have obvious antimitotic effects on different types of tumors both \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} [13-17]. Hence; the molecular events underlying CEU antiproliferative activity rely on the functionality of the targeted protein [3].

The requirement of the chlorine atom in the CEU \(\beta\)-tubulin alkylation mechanism was clearly established using conventional structure-activity relationship (SAR) studies showing that \(N\)-phenyl-\(N\)'-ethyl ureas were devoid of cytotoxicity and unable to alkylate \(\beta\)-tubulin [1]. Recently, we unexpectedly found that \(N\)-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-amines (Oxas) were more active than their CEU counterparts and still alkylating \(\beta\)-tubulin as demonstrated by western blot analysis [18]. It has been reported previously that the presence of oxazolinic
heterocycles improves the efficiency of molecules developed for pancreatic cancer treatment [19] as well as of drugs inhibiting angiogenesis [20].

Here, we have determined the cytotoxicity mechanisms of three different Oxas and characterize their covalent binding to target proteins in B16F0 melanoma cells at the molecular level. Our results clearly showed that Oxas and their parent CEUs have the same β-tubulin and prohibitin amino acid targets. We further observed, for the first time, that the cyclization of CEUs into Oxas occurs spontaneously in the culture medium and that these Oxa forms were present within the cells. These data suggest that Oxas could be the reactive intermediates of CEUs.

2. Material and methods

2.1 Chemicals

\(N-(4\text{-iodophenyl})-N'-(2\text{-chloroethyl})\text{urea (ICEU)}, \ N-(4\text{-iodophenyl})-4,5\text{-dihydrooxazol-2-amine (IOxa)}, \ N-(4\text{-tertbutylphenyl})-N'-(2\text{-chloroethyl})\text{urea (tBCEU)}, \ N-(4\text{-tertbutylphenyl})-4,5\text{-dihydrooxazol-2-amine (tBOxa)}, \ N-(4\text{-cyclohexylphenyl})-N'-(2\text{-chloroethyl})\text{urea (cHCEU)}, \ N-(4\text{-cyclohexylphenyl})-4,5\text{-dihydrooxazol-2-amine (cHOxa)}\) were synthesized as previously described [21, 22]. All compounds were dissolved in DMSO at a concentration of 40 mM and stored at -20°C. The experiments were all performed with a final concentration of 0.5% DMSO in culture medium.

2.2 Cell culture

B16F0 murine melanoma cell line was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). Cells were cultured in DMEM (Invitrogen, Cergy-Pontoise, France) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Biowest, Paris, France), 1 mM non-essential amino acids (Invitrogen), 1 mM sodium pyruvate (Invitrogen), and 4 μg/mL gentamicin
(Invitrogen). The cells were maintained at 37 °C in a moisture-saturated atmosphere containing 5% CO₂.

2.3 Antiproliferative activity

Cell cytotoxicity was assessed using the resazurin assay as previously described [23]. To determine growth inhibitory concentrations, which is the concentration of the drug required to inhibit 50% of the tumor cell growth (GI₅₀), 3.5 x 10³ B16F0 cells were seeded into 96-well microtiter plates (NunclonTM, Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark) and maintained at 37°C in a moisture-saturated atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ for 24 hours and then incubated with escalating drug concentrations (1-50 µM) for 48 hours. Fluorescence (excitation/emission: 530/590 nm) was measured with a microtiter plate fluorescence reader (Fluoroskan Ascent FL, Thermo Labsystems, Helsinki, Finland).

2.4 Cell cycle progression analysis - flow cytometry

Cell cycle progression was analysed by flow cytometry with propidium iodide staining as previously described [3].

2.5 Determination of β-tubulin and prohibitin alkylation by western blot analysis

β-tubulin alkylation was determined by immunoblotting. Briefly, B16F0 cells were harvested from 60 mm Petri dishes (6X 10⁵ cells/dish), proteins were extracted in RIPA buffer with EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Diagnostics, Meylan, France). Protein concentration was determined by Bradford assay using a CooAssay Protein Determination Kit (Interchim, Montluçon, France). For β-tubulin alkylation, fifty µg of total protein were separated on 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gels. For prohibitin alkylation, one hundred µg of urea extracted proteins (see 2DE paragraph) were submitted to IEF on 7-cm IPG strips pH 3 to 10 (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA) at 8000V/h, followed by a 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Gels were transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (Immobilon NC; Millipore, St-Quentin-en-Yvelines, France). The membranes were blocked in 5% non-fat dry
milk in TBS-T (0.1% Tween 20) buffer and the blots were then incubated with a monoclonal anti-β-tubulin antibody (Anti TUB2.1; Sigma, St-Quentin Fallavier, France) or a monoclonal anti-prohibitin antibody (Abcam, Paris, France), then probed with anti-mouse horseradish peroxidase (HRP) conjugated secondary antibody (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark). The blot signals were detected by chemiluminescence (ECL, Amersham GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK).

2.5 Microtubule structure – immunofluorescence

B16F0 cells were seeded in 8-well culture glass slides (BD Biosciences, Erembodegem, Belgium) at a density of 1 X 10^5 cells/ml for 24 hours. Cells were then treated with 0.5- to 1-fold GI50 corresponding to 10 µM ICEU, 3 µM IOxa, 8 µM tBCEU, 8 µM tBOxa, 10 µM cHCEU or 5 µM cHOxa in vehicle (0.5% DMSO) for 24 hours. They were washed twice with PBS and fixed in methanol for 10 min at -20°C. Non-specific sites are blocked using 5% goat serum for 30 min. Cells were incubated in 1/1000 diluted mouse monoclonal anti-α-tubulin IgG (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 2 hours at room temperature before incubating with anti-mouse IgG labelled with Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen) for 1 hour. Slides were washed in PBS and then incubated in 10 µg/ml Hoechst 33342 for 5 min to visualize the nuclei. Microtubules and nuclei were observed with a fluorescent microscope (Olympus, Center Valley, PA, USA) using FITC and DAPI filter sets, respectively.

2.6 Two-dimensional electrophoresis (2DE)

For analysis of β-tubulin or prohibitin alkylation, two-dimensional electrophoresis was conducted as described by Bouchon et al. [4].

2.7 Mass spectrometry analyses of protein digests

MALDI-TOF MS analyses were performed according to the published procedure on trypsin digests [4] on a Voyager-DE Pro mass spectrometer (ABSciex, Les Ulis, France). MALDI/PSD analysis was performed to characterize CEU binding on prohibitin [3].
Nano ESI-MS/MS was used to localize and characterize β-tubulin alkylation. Analyses were performed essentially as described previously [4] by direct infusion of the peptide digest. The MS/MS data from the non-alkylated and alkylated peptides were interpreted manually, assuming the modified masses. Fragments are assigned according to the nomenclature of Roepstorff and Fohlman [24].

2.8 Stability and cellular uptake of drugs - HPLC

Stability and cellular uptake in B16F0 cells was determined by HPLC. Cells were seeded in 100 mm Petri dishes (2 x 10^6 cells/dish) and cultured for 24 hours. They were then exposed to 5- to 10-fold GI50 concentration of drugs i.e. 100 µM (1 µmol) ICEU, 30 µM (0.3 µmol) IOxa, 80 µM (0.8 µmol) tBCEU, 80 µM (0.8 µmol) tBOxa, 100 µM (1 µmol) cHCEU, 25 µM (0.25 µmol) cHOxa in 0.5% DMSO in Opti-MEM medium supplemented with CaCl₂ for 24 hours (T24). Culture medium aliquots were collected in the presence or absence of cells, extracted with 1 volume 0.2% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in acetonitrile (ACN) and centrifuged at 13000 x g for 5 min. For cell lysates, cells were rinsed three times using PBS, scraped, centrifuged at 800 x g for 10 min and the pellets were stored at -80°C. Free-intracellular drugs were then extracted in 0.1% TFA in ACN/H₂O (V/V) and this solution was further frozen, thawed and sonicated for 15 min. This cycle was repeated twice. After centrifugation at 13000 x g for 5 min, cellular extracts and previously prepared culture media were analysed on a HP 1100 series Agilent HPLC (Agilent, Les Ulis, France) equipped with diode array detector using a Kromasil 5µ particles C18 column (Interchim, Montluçon, France) at a flow rate of 0.4 mL/min. The gradient used was: 0-3 min: 0.1% TFA/40% ACN in H₂O; 3-13 min; linear gradient to 0.1% TFA/100% ACN; 13-23 min 0.1% TFA/100% ACN. The column was reequilibrated after each run for 10 min. Drug concentration was assessed at 255 nm and calculated by the peak area compared to standard calibration curve. Each UV peak obtained in HPLC was monitored at least once by ESI-MS/MS to confirm the structure of the product.
3. Results

3.1 Antiproliferative and alkylating properties of CEUs and Oxas in B16F0 melanoma cells

Both CEUs and Oxas exhibited antiproliferative activity in the micro molar range (3-17 µM) with GI\textsubscript{50} being lower for Oxas when compared to the respective CEUs (Table 1). Similarly to their CEU counterparts, IOxa and tBOxa induced a cell cycle arrest at the G\textsubscript{2}/M transition while cHOxa lead to G\textsubscript{1}/S arrest with 90% of cells accumulated in G\textsubscript{0}/G\textsubscript{1} phase (Supplementary Figure 1). On western blots, both ICEU/IOxa and tBCEU/tBOxa induced modification of β-tubulin migration, which was split into two bands suggesting protein alkylation (Table 1). Incubation of cells with cHCEU/cHOxa changed the pI of prohibitin, indicating its alkylation (Table 1). β-tubulin alkylating agents such as ICEU, IOxa, tBCEU and tBOxa altered microtubule structure as compared to control conditions. Immunofluorescent staining showed disorganization of the cytoskeleton architecture and the presence of punctuated tubulin aggregates that were not observed in controls (Table 1).

3.2 Oxas alkylate β-tubulin and prohibitin on the same amino acids as CEUs

The presence of two β-tubulin bands after SDS-PAGE of proteins extracted from B16F0 cells treated with IOxa and tBOxa (Table 1) suggested that the drugs bound covalently to the protein. To characterize the site of interaction, MS analyses were performed on β-tubulin treated respectively with ICEU, IOxa, tBCEU, tBOxa (Figure 1A). Alkylated tubulin spots isolated from 2DE gels were digested by trypsin and analyzed using MALDI-TOF-MS as previously described [4]. The native β-tubulin peptide [Val175-Arg213] on which alkylation had been shown to occur had an apparent molecular weight of 4595.7 (Figure 1A), while the peptide alkylated by ICEU presented a Δm of 288, as already observed [4]. The same m/z shift was observed on tubulin extracted from cells treated with IOxa (Figure 1A). To localize
the alkylation site, a nano ESI-MS/MS analysis was performed on this modified peptide (Figure 1B). The fragmentation pattern allowed localizing the urea derivative on Glu24 of the analyzed peptide corresponding to β-tubulin Glu198 (Figure 1C), as previously shown for ICEU itself [4]. An intense fragmentation (m/z 1546.4, Figure 1B) occurred at the peptide-like bond of the urea chain bound to Glu198 thus demonstrating the linearity of the added structure (Figure 1D). The same approach was used for tBCEU and tBOxa. For both drugs a m/z shift corresponding to the addition of the tBurea derivative was observed (Δm = 218) indicating alkylation on the same [175-213] β-tubulin peptide (Figure 1A).

Prohibitin alkylation was determined using prohibitin spots isolated from 2DE gels (Figure 2A). When cHCEU is bound to its target, prohibitin exhibits a modified pI, as compared to the native protein [3]. The m/z = 720 corresponding to prohibitin peptide [Ala36-Arg41] observed in the native protein of control cells was shifted in cHCEU- and cHOxa- treated cells to m/z = 964 (Figure 2B). The two modified peptides were further characterized by MALDI-PSD analysis (Figure 2C). Their fragmentation patterns were identical in cHCEU and cHOxa treated cells, and revealed a modification on Asp5 of this peptide, corresponding to Asp40 of the protein.

3.3 CEU and Oxa stability in culture medium and uptake in B16F0 cells

ICEU/Oxas, tBCEU/tBOxa and cHCEU/cHOxa were separated by HPLC analysis and exhibited retention times of 12.9/4.3 min, 14.2/6.3 min and 16.2/9.8 min, respectively (Figure 3A). CEUs were spontaneously transformed into Oxas, which remained stable for 24 hours in culture media in presence (T24+) or in absence (T24-) of cells (Figure 3B, Table 2). All the labelled peaks were recovered and analyzed by MS to confirm the identity. The HPLC protocol allowed the recovery of 70 to 98 % of the parent molecules incubated for 24 hours in the cell culture medium without cells (Table 2). Using the same HPLC conditions in the
presence of cells, the percentage of detected molecules in the medium was lower suggesting an uptake of 7.4 to 36.5 % of CEUs and/or Oxas by B16F0 cells (Table 2). However, the levels of the intracellular free drug were low (<10%) suggesting that the major part of CEUs and Oxas was bound to proteins or biomolecules [25]. Drugs embedded in the cellular membranes and/or covalently bound to proteins were not detected by this procedure optimized to recover the free compounds. Another possibility was the metabolism of these drugs as our analyses were focused only on CEU and Oxa detection. We can also observe that the percentage of spontaneous CEU cyclization into Oxa was dependent on the molecular structure of the drug (6%, 26 % and 49% for cHOxa, IOxa and tBOxa formation, respectively (T24-) (Table 2).

4. Discussion

The study of the alkylating properties of CEU represents an interesting field of research since xenobiotics able to bind covalently to cellular proteins are relatively uncommon, especially when generating ester linkages with acidic amino acids such as glutamic and aspartic acids [3]. Here, we have demonstrated that Oxa derivatives are covalently linked to the same proteins and the same amino acids as their parent CEUs notably Glu198 for β-tubulin and Asp40 for prohibitin (Figure 1 and 2). CEUs and Oxas exhibited the same antiproliferative properties (cell cycle arrest, microtubule disruption specifically for antimitotics) (Table 1, Sup Figure 1), however, Oxas were, more active than their CEU counterparts. Altogether, these data suggest that both CEUs and Oxas exert their cytotoxic activity through similar mechanisms and that the pharmacological effects of CEUs could be linked to their intramolecular cyclization into OXas.

Due to the absence of differences in the molecular masses of β-tubulin or prohibitin adducts obtained either with CEUs or their corresponding Oxas, we could not determine which molecule was involved in the alkylation reaction. However, fragmentation of the
modified peptide allowed to show that bound Oxa had a linear structure (Figure 1 and 2).

Moreover, in culture media CEUs were spontaneously transformed into Oxas. The level of these spontaneously formed Oxas in the medium in presence or in absence of cells was similar, unlike the concentration of CEUs that was decreased in the presence of cells suggesting that CEUs can go through the membranes more easily than Oxas, even if they display quite similar logP value (data form ALOGPS (http://www.vcclab.org/lab/alogps/, data not shown). However, the Oxa level in the culture medium for IOxa-, tBOxa- and cHOxa-treated cells was reduced compared to that without cells (26%, 10% and 33%, respectively) showing that Oxas can penetrate into the cells. The low presence of free Oxas in cells and their higher antiproliferative index (GI₅₀) strongly suggest that Oxas are significantly more reactive with biomolecules than CEUs.

The chlorine atom being necessary to maintain CEU β-tubulin capacity to alkylate proteins [1], we can speculate that cyclization of CEUs into Oxas required this atom to confer electro-attractor property. This cyclization step could involve the formation of a carbocation and/or an aziridinic intermediate (Figure 4) as recent studies demonstrated that N-acyl-2,2-dimethylaziridines could evolve into oxazolinic forms [26]. These two potential intermediates characterized by very short half-lives, are difficult to observe in a biological context but it is established that the aziridinium structures were clearly involved in DNA alkylation by N-nitrosoureas and nitrogen mustards [27]. Interactions between β-tubulin and CEUs were studied using CoMFA and CoMSIA models [28]. From these studies, it was deduced that the ethylene chloride group of CEU was essential for the alkylation of Glu198 and that the stabilization of a complex involving the colchicine-binding site and the CEU preceded the completion of the Glu198 alkylation [29]. This intermediate complex should involve the stabilizing contribution of Cys239 among other amino acids [29]. We are unable to conclude if antimitotic CEUs are transformed into oxazolines in the colchicine-binding site of β-
tubulin, when the urea group interacting with Cys239 [29] stabilizes the molecules, or react spontaneously with acidic amino acids in a nucleophilic substitution reaction. Recent SAR studies modifying the urea moiety of CEUs indicates that only 2-chloroacetamides and 2-chloroacetylureas retained the cytotoxic properties without β-tubulin alkylation [30]. In these two series of molecules, the CEU cyclization into Oxa could not occur reinforcing the hypothesis that cyclization might be necessary for β-tubulin alkylation.

New CEUs will be synthesized to elucidate this interesting point concerning the alkylation process of Glu and Asp residues and to enhance our knowledge about the specific interactions occurring in the colchicine-binding site. From a biological point of view, previous in vivo data did not allow detecting oxazolinic forms or dechlorinated CEUs in urines of mice treated with rBCEU, [31] while some unidentified metabolites were found in blood, tumors and colon of mice treated with ICEU [32]. In vivo investigations will be pursued to identify these metabolites and to determine precisely the active forms of CEUs or Oxas.

In conclusion, one difficulty of this study was the absence of a mass difference between the CEU or Oxa protein adducts revealed by mass spectrometry analyses, another one was based on the fact that N-phenyl N'(2-chloroethyl)ureas cyclised spontaneously in cell culture medium. However, we demonstrated that Oxas had similar mechanisms of cell cytotoxicity and were present in the cells treated with CEU derivatives. We therefore suggest that Oxas constitute key structures for the alkylation of cellular proteins. This study confers new insights for drug conception through the cyclization of chlorinated forms into more active oxazolinic ones.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1

β-tubulin alkylation by CEUs and their corresponding Oxas. (A) Comparative analyses by MALDI-TOF-MS of tubulin high mass peptides obtained in tubulin trypsic digest after DMSO treatment of cells or after ICEU, IOxa, tBCEU or tBOxa treatment. The low peak intensity observed in the case of ICEU was a partial dealkylation before analysis due to alkaline conditions during trypsin digestion. (B) ESI-MS/MS spectrum of the alkylated peptide obtained by IOxa. (C) Fragmentation pattern along the peptidic chain with localization of the alkylated amino acid on E23 of this peptide. (D) Fragmentation pattern in the bound structure confirming the opening of the oxazolinic heterocycle and its resulting linear structure. Z stands for the bound oxazoline derivative, whose structure is developed in scheme D with a grey background. Z* corresponds to a fragmentation along the bound structure, as indicated on the scheme. °, loss of one water molecule.

Figure 2

Prohibitin alkylation by cHCEU and its corresponding cHOxa. (A) Prohibitin spots localized on 2D gels (B) MALDI-TOF-MS analysis of these spots (C) Fragmentation spectra obtained from the two peptides having a m/z 964 (D) Fragmentation of peptide [AVIFDR], D being alkylated by a cyclo-hexyl-phenyl urea. The bound developed cyclohexyl structure is shown with a grey background to localize M* and M** fragments along the urea chain. “b” and “y” fragments were obtained from N and C-terminal fragmentation, respectively.

Figure 3

Quantitative HPLC analysis of ICEU, tBCEU or cHCEU in B16F0 cells. (A) Analysis of standard compounds (B) Analysis of cell culture medium after 24 hours of ICEU, tBCEU or
cHCEU exposure in absence (T24-) or presence (T24+) of B16F0 cells (C) Analysis of cell lysates obtained from B16F0 cells cultured for 24 hours in serum-free Opti-MEM medium (T24+c) in the presence of 100 µM ICEU, 80 µM tBCEU or 100 µM cHCEU. White arrows indicate CEUs, black arrows Oxas.

**Figure 4**  
Scheme of the putative mechanisms leading to CEU cyclization into Oxas and reactivity of the protagonists with proteins. CEUs, Oxas and their intermediates could react with acidic part of Glu/Asp residues of target proteins.

**Supplementary Figures**

**Figure 1**  
ICEU/IOxa and tBCEU/tBOxa block B16F0 cell cycle at G2/M and cHCEU/cHOxa at G1/S transitions. Distribution of cells in different cell-cycle phases is expressed as the percentage of total cell number. Dotted lines indicate the percentage of each phase in control cells.
Table 1. Anti-proliferative and alkylation properties of CEUs and Oxas on B16F0 melanoma cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>$\text{GI}_{50}$ (μM)</th>
<th>$\beta$-tubulin alkylation</th>
<th>Microtubule structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICEU</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOxa</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tBCEU</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tBOxa</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>$\beta$-tubulin alkylation</th>
<th>Prohibitin alkylation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cHCEU</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cHOxa</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\text{GI}_{50}$: drug concentration inhibiting 50% of cell growth; $\beta$-tubulin alkylation: determined by western blot in B16F0 cells treated or not (DMSO) for 24 hours at 5-10 x $\text{GI}_{50}$ drug concentration; Microtubule structure: visualised by immunofluorescence in B16F0 cells.
treated or not (DMSO) for 24 hours at one GI$_{50}$ drug concentration; **prohibitin alkylation:** determined by 2D western blot in B16F0 cells treated or not for 24 hours at a 5-10 x GI$_{50}$ drug concentration. Arrows indicate the native form of the protein, asterisks indicate the alkylated form of the protein.
Table 2. Drug recovery in culture medium and in B16F0 cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug treatment</th>
<th>Drug recovery in culture medium (%)</th>
<th>Drug recovery in cell lysates (%)</th>
<th>Calculated Drug content in cell pellets (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T24-</td>
<td>T24+</td>
<td>T24-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CEU</td>
<td>Oxa</td>
<td>CEU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICEU</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>40.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOxa</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fBCEU</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fBOxa</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cHCEU</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cHOxa</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

nd: not detected; -: not applicable

Concentrations of each compound were determined by HPLC and expressed as the percentages of the initial drug input. The culture medium was collected after 24 hours of incubation in the absence (T24-) or presence of cells (T24+). Drug recovery in cell pellets was calculated from drug recovery in other compartments and reported to the maximal amount of drugs recovered without cells (T24-). The values represent the mean of at least 2 independent experiments.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>$\text{GI}_{50}$ (μM)</th>
<th>$\beta$-tubulin alkylation</th>
<th>Microtubule structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="DMSO Structure" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="DMSO Microtubule" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICEU</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="ICEU Structure" /></td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>*</td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="ICEU Microtubule" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOxa</td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="IOxa Structure" /></td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>*</td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="IOxa Microtubule" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCEU</td>
<td><img src="image7" alt="BCEU Structure" /></td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>*</td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="BCEU Microtubule" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOxa</td>
<td><img src="image9" alt="BOxa Structure" /></td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>*</td>
<td><img src="image10" alt="BOxa Microtubule" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chHCEU</td>
<td><img src="image11" alt="chHCEU Structure" /></td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image12" alt="chHCEU Microtubule" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chHOxa</td>
<td><img src="image13" alt="chHOxa Structure" /></td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>*</td>
<td><img src="image14" alt="chHOxa Microtubule" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\text{GI}_{50}$: drug concentration inhibiting 50% of cell growth; $\beta$-tubulin alkylation: determined by western blot in B16F0 cells treated or not (DMSO) for 24 hours at 5-10 x $\text{GI}_{50}$ drug concentration; Microtubule structure: visualised by immunofluorescence in B16F0 cells.
treated or not (DMSO) for 24 hours at one GI$_{50}$ drug concentration; **prohibitin alkylation:** determined by 2D western blot in B16F0 cells treated or not for 24 hours at a 5-10 x GI$_{50}$ drug concentration. Arrows indicate the native form of the protein, asterisks indicate the alkylated form of the protein.
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