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Abstract

In order to really assess the potential of Phase Change Material (PCM) wallboards, a renovated office building has been monitored during approximatively one year. A room was equipped with PCM wallboards in the lateral walls and in the ceiling. Another room, identical to the first one, was not equipped but also monitored. This study is the first one dealing with the results obtained in real use conditions. Some relevant results of this monitoring are presented in order to understand the physical phenomena involved in the walls storage process. The results show that the PCM wallboards enhance the thermal comfort of occupants due to air temperature and radiative effects of the walls.
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1. Introduction

Nowadays, thermal energy storage systems are essential for reducing dependency on fossil fuels and then contributing to a more efficient environmentally benign energy use [1]. As demand in thermal comfort of buildings rise increasingly, the energy consumption is correspondingly increasing. For example, in France, the energy consumption of buildings has increased by 30% the last 30 years. Housing and tertiary buildings are responsible for the consumption of approximatively 46% of all energies and approximatively 19% of the total \( CO_2 \) emissions [2]. New buildings represent about 1% per year of the total amount of buildings, which means that the key to decrease rapidly \( CO_2 \) emissions is renovated buildings. The purpose of this study is the use of Phase Change Materials (PCM) for the renovation of light weight buildings like tertiary buildings.

Thermal energy storage can be accomplished either by using sensible heat storage or latent heat storage. Sensible heat storage has been used for centuries by builders to store/release passively thermal energy, but a much larger volume of material is required to store the same amount of energy in comparison to latent heat storage. The principle of the phase change material (PCM) use is simple. As the temperature increases, the material changes phase from solid to liquid. The reaction being endothermic, the PCM absorbs heat. Similarly, when the temperature decreases, the material changes phase from liquid to solid. The reaction being exothermic, the PCM desorbs heat.

The main disadvantage of light weight buildings is their low thermal mass. Obviously, they tend to large temperature fluctuations due to external cool-
ing, solar heat or internal loads. Using PCM material in such building walls can decrease the temperature fluctuations, particularly in case of solar radiations loads. It is then a potential method for reducing energy consumption in passively designed buildings. This tendency is confirmed by numerous papers available in the literature during the last 20 years. For a review, see in [3], [4], [5] and [6]. Most of the studies in the literature deals with either numerical modeling (for example [7]) or laboratory experiments (for example [8]) in order to effectively assess the use of PCM in walls. In this paper, an in-situ monitoring is proposed, in a real renovated tertiary building, in order to really estimate the potential of PCM.

The PCM tested is presented in the part 2 of the paper, and in particular the storage capacity of the material. The part 3 deals with the presentation of the renovated building and the way the PCM is integrated in the envelop. The measurements are also described. The analysis concern three different periods of the monitored years: in section 4, a typical week-end is described in order to understand the physical phenomena involved in the storage/release process, in section 5 a non effective storage week-end is presented and in section 6 the entire monitored period is analyzed.

2. Composite PCM wallboard tested

The product tested, ENERGAIN® has been achieved by the Dupont de Nemours Society and is constituted of 60% of microencapsulated paraffin within a copolymer. The final form of the composite PCM (see figure 1) is a flexible sheet of 5mm thickness which density is about 900kg.m$^{-3}$. The thickness of the PCM is the result of a commercial compromise and allows
77% of optimal efficiency obtained with 1 cm thickness [9].

The thermal conductivity has been measured using guarded hot-plate apparatus [10]. The thermal conductivity is $0.22 W.m^{-1}.K^{-1}$ in liquid phase and decreases to about $0.18 W.m^{-1}.K^{-1}$ in solid phase.

The composite PCM enthalpy has been measured using a differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) as illustrated in figure 2; the heating and cooling rate being $0.05 K/min^{-1}$. The thermal analysis is presented for the range $[-20^\circ C; 35^\circ C]$. Two curves are presented: the freezing curve (cooling from $35^\circ C$ to $-20^\circ C$) and the melting curve (heating from $-20^\circ C$ to $35^\circ C$).

From the DSC curves, melting and freezing temperatures are $13.6^\circ C$ and $23.5^\circ C$ respectively. The measured latent heats of melting and freezing are respectively $107.5 J/g$ and $104.5 J/g$; and $72.4 J/g$ and $71 J/g$ for the range $[5^\circ C; 30^\circ C]$. The composite PCM described in this article has an important potential of thermal energy storage in building walls. This particularity is due to the possibility to incorporate much more PCM in the polymeric material than in a traditional construction material, for example:

- $26 wt\%$ of fatty acids PCM in gypsum - see [11],
- $35 wt\%$ of paraffin in gypsum - see [12],
- $5.6 wt\%$ of butyl stearate PCM in concrete block; $8.6 wt\%$ of paraffine in concrete block - see [13].

Considering a temperature variation between $18^\circ C$ and $26^\circ C$, variation that is very common in low inertia building, the PCM stores about 3 times more energy than water and 6 times more energy than concrete (figure 3) for the same wall thickness.
3. Presentation of the renovated building

The case studied is a tertiary building, named HELIOS, located in the south of Lyon (France). This building is a light weight construction having significant internal loads and then tends to large temperature fluctuations.

Two joint offices with identical geometries are monitored in this study (figure 4). The air temperatures, the surface temperatures and internal walls temperatures are measured using PT1000 sensors with an accuracy of ±0.6°C. Only one office is equipped with PCM wallboard included in the lateral partitions and in the false ceiling.

A meteorological station is also installed on this site to measure the solar radiation on the facade of the office rooms and the outside temperature.

The PCM is positioned immediately behind the plasterboard, which is used as external coating. All PCM area approximately represents 46m² for a volume office of 50m³. So one can consider a ratio between the PCM area and the room volume close to 1 in this case. The composition of the walls is given in table 1.

Each wall and the ceiling are equipped with 4 temperature sensors (Pt 1000 sensors with an accuracy of ±0.6°C) located at the different layers interfaces. The different channels of the multimeter/multiplexer system (about 50) are scrutinized every 10 minutes and the data are collected via a modem from the laboratory situated at about 10km from the experimental site (figure 5).

The period between February 2007 and December 2007 has been studied. As far as the building is occupied and it is very difficult to know exactly the occupancy variation and internal loads, only the results over week-ends are
presented in this study. The interest is that the conditions are the same. Furthermore, we asked the two occupants of the offices to have the same behavior during the week-end: stop heating or cooling systems, close or not close the blinds. During occupancy, heating or cooling systems are controlled by the occupant of the office room according to his own comfort. Electric convectors are used for heating and fan-convectors with cold water for cooling.

4. Experimental results for the week-end of November 17th/18th 2007

The first set of experimental data analyzed concerns one week end of November for the days 17th and 18th 2007. During this period, there is no workers. The objective of this first set of data analysis is to explain the physical phenomena involved in the enhancement of thermal comfort in the tested rooms.

4.1. Office air temperature

The figure 6 shows the evolution of the air temperature in the two tested rooms. The maximum temperature of the room with PCM is lower than the maximum temperature of the room without PCM of about 2.2°C. This temperature difference can only be explained because of the PCM integrated in the building walls. In order to explain the physical phenomena involved during this period, the wall surface temperature are examined in detail in section 4.2.
4.2. Walls surface temperatures

The figure 7 shows the walls, ceiling and air surface temperatures for the office without PCM i.e. the normal renovation. The ceiling temperature varies similarly to the internal temperature because of the low building inertia and then does not contribute to refresh the air. At the opposite, the two lateral partitions, having a good thermal inertia, can contribute to refresh the air because of their temperature lower than the air temperature during the day.

The figure 8 shows the walls, ceiling and air temperatures for the office with PCM i.e. the enhanced renovation. The liquidus and solidus lines represent the position of the melting and freezing temperatures. The vertical wall and ceiling temperatures vary between these two lines meaning that the PCM is both solid and liquid. In this case, the PCM absorbs and releases heat depending on the increasing or decreasing wall temperature. Of course, the effect of PCM can be reinforced if the temperature minimum is lower than 13.6°C.

4.3. Thermal comfort

The thermal comfort of the occupant can be evaluated using various parameters. Of course, one of the main parameter is the air temperature and then the convective heat transfer between the body and the air. The radiative heat transfer between human body and wall surfaces is also important for the thermal comfort of a person. In order to evaluate the effect of PCM on this last heat transfer process, the equivalent globe temperature $T_g$ is used. The equivalent globe temperature $T_g$ is calculated using the air $T_a$ and the mean radiant temperature $T_r$. 
The mean radiant temperature $T_r$ can be calculated using a simple surface prorate pondering. The air temperature and the radiant temperature have an effect on the globe temperature by the mean of convective and radiative heat transfer respectively. Consequently, the convective and radiative heat transfer coefficient have also an effect.

The globe temperature is evaluated using relation 1. For this relation, the radiative heat transfer prevails on the convective heat transfer in closed enclosures:

$$T_g = 0.45T_a + 0.55T_r$$

The figure 9 shows the evolution of the globe temperature for the two offices with and without PCM. The globe temperature is effectively affected by the cooling effect of the surface temperatures. For the PCM office, the effect of the surface cooling is a little more important than without PCM.

The globe temperature is about $3^\circ$C lower than the air one. The maximum globe temperature is reached after about one hour after the maximum air temperature.

5. Experimental results for the week-end of March 28th/29th 2007

The week-end of March 28th/29th 2007 is a very interesting example from a storage analysis point of view. The figure 10 shows the week-end of March 28th/29th for which the temperature of the rooms rises to about 40$^\circ$C. In such conditions, the PCM is completely in the liquid phase and there is no latent storage effect in the wallboard.
6. Experimental results for the period between February and December 2007

In order to really assess the PCM use for renovated buildings, this section of the article deals with the analyze of the results for the period between February and December 2007. The analyze deals with the globe temperature to take into account the air temperature and the thermal comfort enhancement due to the walls surface temperature.

From the data in the period considered, the number of hours for which the globe temperature is above 29°C is an indicator of the comfort enhancement of the PCM use. The difference between the room with PCM and the room without PCM is about 98 hours.

7. Conclusions

The objective of the paper is the assessment of PCM wallboard use for the renovation of a tertiary (i.e. light weight) building. For that purpose, two identical rooms of a renovated tertiary building have been tested, one equipped with PCM wallboard the other being ”classically” renovated. The two rooms and the exterior conditions have been monitored during the 2007 year.

The PCM wallboard are really efficient if the air temperature (and by extension the wall surface temperature) is varying in the melting and freezing temperatures.

The case described in this paper shows a real enhancement of the thermal comfort of occupants. The thermal comfort is enhanced due to both the air temperature and the walls surface temperature. Of course, this improvement
can only be efficient if the building before renovation is of low inertia and if the temperature variations are around the phase change temperature of the PCM. In our case, the partitions and the floor have a correct thermal inertia in the initial building, and it is only the ceiling inertia improvement that has a clear effect. A more important effect would be observed if the initial building would be of lower inertia.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wall</th>
<th>Layers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South façade</td>
<td>1. Gypsum: 1.3 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Insulation: 6 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. glass/aluminium 1 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South window</td>
<td>Double glazing 4/12/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceiling</td>
<td>1. Gypsum: 1.3 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Insulation: 10 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Air gap: 5 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Steel panel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor</td>
<td>1. Concrete slab: 16 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Air gap: 5 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Gypsum: 1.3 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existent partition between offices</td>
<td>Gypsum: 5 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partition with corridor</td>
<td>1. Simple glass: 1 cm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Composition of the walls.
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