

Trophic ecology of the sea urchin elucidated from gonad fatty acids composition analysis

C. Barberá, D. Fernández-Jover, J.A. López Jiménez, D. González Silvera, H.

Hinz, J. Moranta

► To cite this version:

C. Barberá, D. Fernández-Jover, J.A. López Jiménez, D. González Silvera, H. Hinz, et al.. Trophic ecology of the sea urchin elucidated from gonad fatty acids composition analysis. Marine Environmental Research, 2011, 71 (4), pp.235. 10.1016/j.marenvres.2011.01.008 . hal-00682416

HAL Id: hal-00682416 https://hal.science/hal-00682416

Submitted on 26 Mar 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Trophic ecology of the sea urchin Spatangus purpureus elucidated from gonad fatty acids composition analysis

Authors: C. Barberá, D. Fernández-Jover, J.A. López Jiménez, D. González Silvera, H. Hinz, J. Moranta

PII: S0141-1136(11)00019-5

DOI: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2011.01.008

Reference: MERE 3504

To appear in: Marine Environmental Research

Received Date: 12 November 2010

Revised Date: 18 January 2011

Accepted Date: 24 January 2011

Please cite this article as: Barberá, C., Fernández-Jover, D., Jiménez, J.A.López, Silvera, G., Hinz, H., Moranta, J. Trophic ecology of the sea urchin Spatangus purpureus elucidated from gonad fatty acids composition analysis, Marine Environmental Research (2011), doi: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2011.01.008

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



1	Trophic ecology of the sea urchin	Snatanous nurnureus	elucidated from	gonad fatty
÷	riopine ceology of the sea archin	sparangus par par cus	ciuciuucu ii oiii	Somaa racey

- 2 acids composition analysis
- 3
- 4 Barberá^{1*}, C., D. Fernández-Jover², J.A. López Jiménez³, D. González Silvera³, H.
- 5 $Hinz^4$ and J. Moranta¹
- ¹Spanish Institute of Oceanography, Moll de Ponent s/n, 07015 Palma, Spain
- ⁷ ²Departament of Marine Sciences, University of Alicante, P.O. Box 99, E-03080,
- 8 Alicante, Spain
- ³Departament of Physiology, University of Murcia, P.O. Box 30100, Murcia, Spain
- ⁴ School of Ocean Sciences, University of Wales, Bangor, United Kingdom
- 11 * Corresponding author: Tel.: +34 971401877; fax: +34 971404945. *E-mail address:*
- 12 <u>carmen.barbera@ba.ieo.es</u>
- 13

15 Abstract

16

Irregular sea urchins such as the spatangoid Spatangus purpureus are important 17 bioturbators that contribute to natural biogenic disturbance and the functioning of 18 biogeochemical cycles in soft sediments. In the coastal waters of the Balearic Islands S. 19 purpureus occurs in soft red algal beds, and can reach high densities. The diet of S. 20 *purpureus* is unknown and it is particularly difficult to analyze the stomach contents of 21 22 this group; therefore, we analyzed the fatty acid (FA) composition of the gonads and potential food resources in order to assess the trophic relationships of this species. The 23 FA profiles of the gonads of S. purpureus agrees well with the FA composition of the 24 potential trophic resources (algae and sediment) and reveals changes between localities 25 with different available resources. Three polyunsaturated FAs mainly contributes in the 26 27 composition in the S. purpureus gonads: eicosapentaenoic acid (C20:5 n-3) and arachidonic acid (C20:4 n-6), both abundant in the macroalgal material, and palmitoleic 28 29 acid (C16:1 n-7), which is characteristic of sediment samples. Trophic markers of bacterial input and carnivorous feeding were significantly more abundant in sea urchins 30 caught on bottoms with less vegetation. The current study demonstrates that the FA 31 content of S. purpureus gonads is a useful marker of diet, as differences in the profiles 32 reflected the variations in detritus composition. The results of this study show that this 33 species has omnivorous feeding behaviour; however, viewed in conjunction with 34 available abundance data the results suggest that phytodetritus found within algal beds 35 is an important carbon source for this species. 36

37

38 *Key words*: sea urchins, fatty acids, feeding, diet, soft red algae, sandy bottoms,

39 sediment, detritus, bioturbation, deposit feeder, Mediterranean Sea.

40 1. Introduction

41

Sea urchins are an important benthic megafaunal group, and play a significant ecologic 42 43 role in the community structure. Regular sea urchins are generally herbivores and feed on micro- and macro-algae (Lawrence, 1975, 2007; Jangoux and Lawrence, 1982; 44 Verlague, 1987; Carpenter, 1981), while urchins with a burrowing life trait are generally 45 considered detritivorous deposit feeders (Lawrence, 2007). Irregular urchins are 46 common in subtidal soft sediments around the world, and play an important role in the 47 biogenic disturbance (bioturbation) and biogeochemistry cycles in soft-sediment 48 systems (Ghiold, 1989; Widdicombe and Austen, 1999; Widdicombe et al., 2004; 49 Lawrence, 2007; Lohrer et al., 2004, 2005, 2008). Large burrowing species such as 50 spatangoids (heart urchins) are particularly important for these processes due to their 51 abundance, size and mobility (Chiold, 1989). Large-scale losses of benthic bioturbators 52 due to fishing disturbances could impair the functioning of marine ecosystems (Thush 53 and Dayton, 2002). Despite the obvious ecological importance of burrowing urchins, 54 relatively little is known about the ecology of individual species, including their precise 55 56 dietary requirements (Lawrence, 2007; Jangoux and Lawrence, 1982). This is due to the difficulties involved in traditional methods of stomach content analysis in which 57 ingested material is often unidentifiable due to digestion processes. Fatty acids have 58 recently been advocated as qualitative markers for tracing or confirming predator-prey 59 60 relationships in the marine environment (Grahl-Nielsen et al., 2003; Iverson et al., 2004; Budge et al. 2006), identifying key processes in the dynamics of pelagic ecosystems 61 62 (Brett and Müller-Navarra, 1997; Dalsgaard et al., 2003; Käkelä et al., 2005; Fernandez-Jover et al., 2007), and examining trophic interactions within benthic ecosystems 63

(Ginger et al., 2000; Budge et al., 2002). The principle of this method is relatively 64 simple. Consumers derive their lipid requirements either from their diet or by 65 endogenous lipogenesis from dietary protein and carbohydrate precursors. Dietary lipids 66 are broken down into their constituent FAs and are incorporated relatively unchanged 67 into the tissues of the consumer (Lee et al., 1971; Stryer, 1995). Animals receive a 68 considerable amount of lipid via their diet, and thus the diet type alters the FA 69 composition of the organism (e.g.: Sargent and Whittle, 1981, Sargent et al., 1987; 70 71 Hughes et al. 2005; Hyne et al. 2009). Some polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) are considered essential. These are FAs that are necessary but cannot be synthesized by the 72 organism, and thus must be consumed in the diet (Lenningher, 1986). Certain FAs, or 73 their ratios, have specific known sources and can therefore act as "trophic markers", 74 providing a more precise indication of an organism's diet than gut content analysis 75 76 (Sargent et al. 1987; Dalsgaard et al. 2003; Howell et al. 2003). In addition, the sea urchins' diet varies locally and depends on food availability (Vadas, 1977; Ayling, 77 78 1978; Beddingfield and Mcclintock, 1999). Diet quality has also been found to influence somatic and gonadal growth and development in urchins (Emson and Moore, 79 1998; Cook et al., 2000; Liyana-Pathirana et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2007a, b), and 80 probably fecundity, as has been demonstrated in crustaceans (Hyne et al. 2009). 81

82

The spatangoid *Spatangus purpureus* (Müller, 1776) is widely distributed throughout the Mediterranean and northwestern Atlantic (from the north of Africa to the north of Europe and the Azores). This species has generally been described to be associated with clean gravel or sandy substrata with low algal cover (Holme, 1966; Kanazawa, 1992); however, around the Balearic Islands this species occurs in high abundances in

88 Peyssonnelia beds (between 30 m and 100 m depth) (Ordines and Massutí, 2009) where its creates clearly visible furrows (Fig. 1). The present study aimed to elucidate the 89 trophic ecology of Spatangus purpureus on sandy bottoms of the Balearic Islands with 90 FA composition analysis, and had three main objectives: a) to elucidate the potential 91 food sources of S. purpureus (algae and/or sediment) by comparing the FA profiles; b) 92 to compare the FA profiles of the gonads from locations with different macroalgal 93 communities; and c) to analyze the changes in FA composition with respect to size and 94 gonad biomass, as changes in the diet are thought to affect individual growth and gonad 95 development. 96

97

98 2. Materials and methods

99

100 *2.1. Study area*

101 The study areas were located at depths between 50 m and 100 m around Mallorca and 102 Menorca (Balearic Islands, western Mediterranean) (Fig. 2). The seabed is composed of 103 soft sediments with or without vegetation. Rhodoliths (corallinaceas) and red algae 104 (*Osmundaria volubilis, Phyllophora crispa, Peyssonnelia* spp.) dominate this depth 105 range. *S. purpureus* is generally more abundant in coastal soft sediments with red algae 106 (Ordines and Massutí, 2009).

107

108 2.2. Sampling method

110 Samples for the FA composition analysis of gonads were collected over four days (11/13/19/21 May 2009) during the MEDITS0509 survey (Data Collection Framework 111 for the Common Fisheries Policy) on board the R.V. Cornide de Saavedra. A 2-m beam 112 trawl was used to collect the sea urchins and algae. A box-corer was used to obtain 113 sediment samples. Four locations with sandy substrata but with different macroalgal 114 communities were selected (Fig.2): bare sand (L1) and algal beds on sandy bottoms 115 dominated by rhodoliths and other soft red algae such as *Peyssonnelia* spp., 116 Osmundaria volubilis and Phyllophora crispa (L2, L3 and L4). Information on the 117 communities' distribution was based on a previous study (Ordines and Massutí 2009). 118 At each of the four locations three beam trawl samples were taken 100 m apart and the 119 sea urchin abundances were recorded. General information on the algal and faunal 120 composition was obtained from the same beam trawl samples (Table 1). The sampling 121 122 design incorporates two factors: location (L1, L2, L3 and L4) and site (each beam trawl sample). A subsample of ten to fifteen individuals was taken at each location and site, 123 124 and the specimens were measured. The gonads of each individual were removed and 125 weighed. Five gonads of different individuals were randomly selected from each location and site for FA analysis (between approximately 1 and 2 g of the gonad were 126 extracted) (4 locations x 3 sites x 5 replicates). Three subsamples of the three dominant 127 soft algae, Osmundaria volubilis, Peyssonnelia spp. and Phyllophora crispa, were also 128 obtained from the beam-trawl samples at three different sites of vegetated locations (5-6 129 g) (3 species x 3 sites x 3 replicates). At each location three grabs of sediment were 130 collected, from which two sediment subsamples were taken consisting of 5-6 g of 131 sediment from the first 4 cm of the surface (4 locations x 3 sites x 2 replicates). All 132 samples were frozen in glass tubes with Teflon-lined screw caps, and conserved at -133 80°C until FA analysis in the laboratory. 134

135

136 2.3. Laboratory methods

137

138 After individual sample/tissue homogenization, the FA composition of the total lipid fraction was determined by fat extraction following the method of Folch et al. (1957), 139 140 with a mixture of chloroform and methanol (1:1 proportion for the first extraction and 2:1 proportion for the second one). Fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) samples were 141 analyzed according to the method of Stoffel et al. (1959) by gas-liquid chromatography 142 using a SP^TM 2560 flexible fused silica capillary column (100-m long, internal 143 diameter of 0.25-mm and film thickness of 0.20 µm; SUPELCO) in a Hewlett-Packard 144 5890 gas chromatograph. The oven temperature of the gas chromatograph was 145 programmed for 5 min at an initial temperature of 140°C, and increased at a rate of 4°C 146 per min to 230°C, further increased at a rate of 1°C per min to 240°C and then held at 147 that temperature for 6 min. The injector and flame ionization detector were set a 250°C. 148 149 Helium was used as carrier gas at a pressure of 290 kPa, and peaks were identified by comparing their retention times with appropriate FAME standards purchased from the 150 Sigma Chemical Company (St. Louis, MO, USA). Individual FA concentrations were 151 expressed as percentages of the total content. 152

153

154 2.4. Statistical analysis

155

156 Multivariate analysis was carried out using PRIMER v5 (Plymouth Routines In 157 Multivariate Ecological Research) in order to compare the FA profiles of different 158 sample groups (gonads, algae and sediment) and examine the differences within these

159 sample groups. The data were converted into similarity matrices using a Bray-Curtis resemblance measure. Permutation-based analysis of similarity (ANOSIM) was used to 160 examine differences in fatty acid profiles across the factors location (for gonads and 161 sediment) and species (for algae). SIMPER analysis (PRIMER 6. software) was used to 162 investigate the similarities and dissimilarities within and between sample groups and the 163 main fatty acids contributing to these (Clarke, 1993). Redundancy Analysis (RDA) was 164 used to test how much of the variance in the multivariate analysis of the FAs in sea-165 166 urchin gonads (species variables) can be explained by the following factors (environmental variables): location, sea urchin size (S) and gonadosomatic index 167 (GSI=gonad weight/body size). RDA were performed using the software CANOCO 168 (version 4.5) following the procedure established for compositional data (e.g. 169 percentage) using the log-ratio analysis centred both by samples and individual FAs 170 171 (Aitchinson, 1986; ter Braack and Smilauer 2002).

172

173 Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test whether the biological parameters of 174 sea urchins (size, gonad weight and GSI by size class), the main FAs and other biochemical parameters (n-3/n-6 ratio, C18:1n-9/C18:1n-7 ratio, ARA/EPA) varied 175 among sea urchin gonads collected at different locations. Biological parameters were 176 analyzed by an unbalanced 1way-ANOVA (factor location with 4 treatments) with n= 177 25-50 individuals. The linear model of FA analysis incorporates two factors: location 178 (fixed, with 4 treatments) and site (random and nested, with 3 treatments). Five 179 replicates were carried out. The FA data are presented as percentages, which require 180 arcsine transformation to produce a normally distributed data set with homogeneous 181 variances (Zar, 1996). Cochran's test was used before the analysis to check for 182 homogeneity of variances in different data populations. When Cochran's test showed 183

significant differences, the significance level of the ANOVA was set at 0.01. When the
ANOVA detected significant differences for any factor, the Student-Newman-Keuls
(SNK) test was applied.

187

188 **3. Results**

189

190 *3.1. Algal and faunal location characteristics*

The four locations selected for this study had sandy bottoms with different algal and 191 faunal communities (Table 1). Location 1 (L1) was typified by bare sandy bottoms 192 193 without algae. Location 2 (L2) was a vegetated substrate with a high biomass of rhodoliths and other rhodoficies, especially Osmundaria volubilis. Location 3 (L3) was 194 an algal bed dominated by *Peyssonnelia* spp. and rhodoliths. Location 4 (L4) also had 195 high concentrations of rhodoliths and soft algae, including Phyllophora crispa, but had 196 a lower algal biomass than L2 and L3. The faunal abundance and biomass were higher 197 in L3 and L4. Sea urchins reached a maximum mean value of 2336.00 ind/ha (= 0.2 198 ind/m²) in L4. The density of S. purpureus was intermediate in L2 and L3 compared 199 with the other locations, and it was very low in L1 (54.41 ind/ha= 0.0054 ind/m²) (Table 200 201 1).

202

203 *3.2. Biological parameters of Spatangus purpureus*

204

The mean size of *S. purpureus* was 92.20 mm, showing differences between locations and lower mean value in L4, 79.49 mm. Gonad weight also showed significant

differences and was higher in L1 and lesser in L4 (Table 2). The size frequency distribution pattern was different according to the location. In L1 sea urchins were always larger than 80 cm, while in L4 there was a high proportion of smaller sea urchins (Fig. 3). The GSI in the different locations was only compared for the size intervals 80-90 cm and 90-100 cm because these were the most frequent in all four habitats. For size class 80-90 cm, the GSI was highest in L1 and L4 and for size class 90-100 cm in L4 (Table 2).

214

215 3.3. Fatty acid composition of gonads, sediment and algae

216

Polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) dominated the lipids of the sea urchin gonads 217 $(41.95 \pm 0.77\%)$, while saturated and monounsaturated fatty acids were present in 218 similar percentages (29.73 \pm 0.59 and 28.31 \pm 0.60% respectively). In algae, saturated 219 FAs dominated the lipid profile (46.28 \pm 1.14%) followed by polyunsaturated FAs 220 $(37.83 \pm 1.37\%)$. Monounsaturated lipids were the least abundant $(15.85 \pm 0.69\%)$. 221 Sediments had high contents of saturated FAs $(47.08 \pm 3.62 \%)$, while monounsaturated 222 and polyunsaturated FAs were less abundant (34.19 \pm 2.27% and 18.72 \pm 2.82%, 223 respectively). Of the unsaturated FAs, the n-3 moiety predominated in S. purpureus 224 gonads (22.93 \pm 0.66%), although the n-7 and n-6 fractions varied in second position 225 with similar proportions $(21.03 \pm 0.70\%$ and $19.02 \pm 0.72\%$ respectively). The n-6 FAs 226 227 $(20.68 \pm 1.32\%)$ predominated in algae, followed by n-3 FAs $(17.16 \pm 1.61\%)$. Finally, n-7 and n-9 FAs were also abundant ($8.11 \pm 0.50\%$ and $7.72 \pm 0.45\%$ respectively). The 228 229 n-7 moiety (18.67 \pm 2.12 %) predominated in sediment, followed, with similar

230 percentages, by n-9 (15.50 \pm 1.54%), n-3 (10.57 \pm 2.25%) and n-6 FAs (8.14 \pm 1.27%) 231 (Table 3).

232

233 The main FA components in the S. *purpureus* gonads were C20:4n-6 ($17.46 \pm 0.99\%$), C16:0 (16.32 \pm 0.22%), C20:5n-3 (15.19 \pm 0.63%) and C16:1n-7 (13.52 \pm 0.66%) 234 (Table 3). However, the ANOSIM test showed that the FA profiles were slightly 235 different between the 4 locations except for L2 and L3 (R=0.275), and was especially 236 significant for L1 and L4 (R=0.849) (Table 4). The main FAs were the most important 237 for the similarity between samples in all cases, but the order of importance of their 238 contribution changed with the location (Table 5). The dissimilarity was mainly due to 239 the proportions of C16:1n-7, C20:4n-6 and C20:5n-3, and secondarily due to C22:6 n-3 240 and C14:0, which marked the differences between L1 and the rest of the locations 241 (SIMPER, Table 4). The main FAs in algae were C16:0 ($33.70 \pm 1.52\%$), C20:4 n-6 242 $(17.50 \pm 0.86\%)$ and C20:5 n-3 $(9.00 \pm 0.86\%)$ (Table 3); however, the FA profile 243 changed between species (R=0.691), and the differences between O. volubilis and 244 Peyssonnelia sp. (R=0.952) were the most significant. The dissimilarity between 245 samples was explained by these FAs and C22:6 n-3. This FA was more important in O. 246 volubilis and P. crispa than in Peyssonnelia sp., while in this algae C16:0 was higher 247 (Table 3). Moreover, C16:0 was the main component in the FA composition of 248 sediment (26.74 \pm 0.69%), followed by C18:1n-9 (14.40 \pm 1.12%) and C16:1 n-7 (13.42 249 250 \pm 1.01%) (Table 3). In this case, the differences between locations were not significant due to the high variation between replicates (R=0.174, Table 4), except for L1 and L4 251 252 (R=6.626; Table 4). The dissimilarity in this case was due to variation in C18:1 n-9,

C16:1 n-7 and C20:4 n-6. The two first FAs were highest in L1, while the third in L4(Table 3).

255

3.4. Changes in fatty acid composition in gonads in relation to location and biologicalparameters

258

The RDA results showed that two explanatory variables in the model were significant: 259 location and gonadosomatic index (GSI). Location explained 64.3% of the variance 260 (p=0.002) (Fig. 4, Table 6). The largest proportion of the variance on the correlation 261 with the first PCA components was explained by the algae biomass of the sampled 262 locations, in a gradient from locations with less algae biomass (L1 and L4) to locations 263 with more algal biomass (L2 and L3). However, this variance was also explained by the 264 different FA profile of L4 samples. Particular FAs contributes to variance between 265 locations (Fig. 4). The univariate analysis (ANOVA) applied to FAs helped to define 266 the changes in the FA proportions in relation to location (Fig. 5). The proportion of FAs 267 C14:0 and C22:6 n-3 was significantly higher in the gonads extracted from sea urchins 268 collected at L1 (sand). C16:1 n-7, C18:1 n-9 and C20:5 n-3 were more abundant in the 269 gonads of sea urchins from L4, a location with a lower algal biomass, while C20:4 n-6 270 271 was less abundant. There was a lower proportion of C18:1n-7 at L2 (rhodoliths and soft algae, such as Osmundaria volubilis). The FAs C15:0, C17:0, C20:0, C17: 1n-7, C18:2 272 n-6, C22:2 n-6 and C24:1 n-9 were also relevant for the variation in the FA profile in 273 relation to location (Fig. 4), but they represented only a small proportion of the FA 274 composition (<5%) (Table 3). The 2nd explanatory variable, GSI, accounted for only 275

7.1% (p=0.002) of the explained variance of the model in the RDA analysis (Table 6,
Fig. 4). In the RDA graph GSI variable appears represented between locations with less
algal biomass (L1 and L4), locations where sea-urchins showed the more high
gonadosomatic index. The most representative FA for explaining this variance was
C18:1 n-7, which also showed significant differences in relation to location, and was
lower at L2 (Fig. 5).

282

In general, saturated and polyunsaturated FAs were similar at all the locations (Table 3), 283 and only the proportion of monounsaturated FAs was slightly higher at L4 (sand with 284 lower algal biomass) (ANOVA, p<0.001, S.N.K.: L4>L3=L2>L1) (Table 3). The n-3 285 286 moiety was significantly higher in the gonads of S. purpureus collected at L4 compared with the other locations, followed by L1 (bare sand). There was a higher proportion of 287 the n-7 moiety in the gonads from L4. However, the n-6 moiety was less abundant in 288 289 this location; therefore, the ratio n3/n6 also showed significant differences in relation to location (Fig. 5). The ratio C18:1 (n9/n7) was higher at L4 (bare sand) and L2 290 (rhodoliths and soft algae such as Osmundaria volubilis) and the proportion of 291 EPA/DHA was lower at L4 (Fig. 5). 292

293

294 **4. Discussion**

295

The current study shows that the FA profile of *S. purpureus* gonads can be a useful trophic marker, as it was in good agreement with the FA composition of the potential trophic resources in the benthos from which the sea urchins obtain their food. The FA

signature varied between locations, and reflected the availability of food resources and
possible dietary adaptations (Ginger et al., 2000; Sargent et al., 1999, 2002). Another
factor affecting the FA profile is gonadal development (Cook et al., 2007). The results
evidences that *S. purpureus* feeds on a wide range of potential food (phytodetritus,
faunal detritus and bacterial mats), which is clearly indicative of an omnivorous diet.

304

305 *4.1. FA composition of S. purpureus and potential food sources*

306

The FA profiles of S. purpureus gonads show large proportions of palmitic (C16:00), 307 arachidonic (C20:4n-6), eicosapentaenoic (C20:5n-3) and vaccenic (C16:1n-7) acids. 308 The pattern was similar in algae, except for vaccenic acid, which was common in the 309 sediment samples. The FA composition in S. purpureus can be interpreted as a 310 311 combination of fatty acids of vegetal origin (algal detritus), animal origin (infaunal and faunal detritus) and others related to sediment (organic matter, bacteria). In general, 312 PUFAs explain a vegetal or animal origin in the diet, being predominant components in 313 314 the lipids of higher plants and animals. However, another possible origin is possible and is discussed in the next sections. Saturated and monounsaturated FA dominated in 315 sediment samples, explained for the FA composition of bacteria, where PUFA are 316 absent (Lenninger, 1984). Saturated and monounsaturated have also been defined as 317 318 major components in algae (Ackman, 1981), but in the current work also arachidonic 319 and eicosapentaenoic have been defined as the main FA in algae, consistent with others studies on red algae (Khotimchenko et al. 2002; Nelson et al. 2002). Diverse PUFA 320 321 have been identified as qualitative markers of fatty acids of vegetal origin in the trophic interactions in benthic and pelagic ecosystems (Table 7). 322

Even though palmitic acid (C16:0) is an important component of the lipid fraction in the 324 gonads of S. purpureus and especially abundant in algae and sediment, it cannot be 325 considered an interesting trophic marker because it is present in high proportions in 326 many organisms (Řezanka and Sigler, 2009). However, this FA is less abundant in the 327 328 gonads of S. purpureus collected on bare sand bottoms, which could be related to low 329 algal availability. Palmitic acid can generate estearic acid (C18:0), which is used as a precursor of monounsaturated FA by desaturation processes (formation of double 330 bonds). In animal tissues the most common monounsaturated FAs are palmitoleic acid 331

(C16:1n-7) and oleic acid (C18:1n-9), both precursors in the formation of polyunsatured 332 333 FAs. Only the former FA was found in large proportions in both the sea urchin and sediment samples, which suggests that it can be used as a trophic marker for mud 334 ingesters (Cook et al., 2000). Other FAs are also necessary for the formation of PUFAs, 335 336 such as linoleic acid (C18:2n-6) and alpha-linolenic acid (C18:3n-3), which belong to the group of essential FAs (Lenningher, 1986). These FAs make up only a small part of 337 the composition of S. purpureus but they were used as diet markers for mud ingesters 338 and herviborous organisms respectively (Table 7). However, this species may be able to 339 transform these essential FAs into the polyunsaturated FAs C20 and C22, which has 340 been demonstrated in other sea urchin species (Bell et al., 2001). 341

342

343 *4.2. Origin of vegetal fatty acids*

344

In general, PUFAs can be considered as trophic markers of a photosynthetic origin in the diet (Cook et al., 2000; Brett and Müller-Navarra, 1997; Ikawa, 2204) and are

347 predominant components in the lipids of higher plants and animals. Eicosapentaenoic acid (C20:5n-3) and arachidonic acid (C20:4n-6) were found in large proportions in the 348 gonads of sea urchins and the algae analyzed, and are representative components in the 349 FA profile of herbivorous organisms (Table 7). They are also essential FAs and serve as 350 precursors to eicosanoids, which are critical in a large range of physiological processes 351 (Lenningher, 1986). In general, they are present in considerable amounts in sea urchins 352 that feed on algae (Isay and Busarova, 1984; Cook et al., 2000; Hughes et al. 2005) and 353 354 some macroalgal species (Paradis and Ackman, 1977; Khotimchenko et al. 2002; Nelson et al. 2002). Eicosapentaenoic acid (C20:5n-3) is characteristic of marine 355 invertebrates (Giddings and Hill, 1975) and is especially abundant in echinoderms 356 (holothurians) (Isay and Busarova, 1984; Romashina, 1983). In S. purpureus gonads it 357 is the third most important FA (11-22%), and there is a higher proportion in the gonads 358 359 of sea urchins from locations dominated by soft red algae (L4). Arachidonic acid, ARA (C20:4n-6), is well represented in the gonads of S. purpureus from all the locations, 360 361 although its proportion is lower in the sea urchins collected at the locations with a low 362 algal biomass. Cook et al. (2000) found a predominance of this FA and stearidonic acid (18:4 n-3) in the sea urchin Psammechinus miliaris, whose diet is mainly composed of 363 the alga Laminaria saccharina. High levels of EPA and ARA have also been found in 364 other macroalgal species (e.g. Laminaria digitata, Alaria esculenta) (Mai et al., 1996), 365 and it has been suggested that they are indicative of macroalgal material in the diet of 366 marine organisms (Sargent et al., 1987). Isay and Busarova (1984) found that high 367 368 levels of arachidonic acid are generally found in urchins and starfish, which could indicate that these organisms have the ability to accumulate it in large quantities (Takagi 369 370 et al. 1980). However, stearidonic acid is not a representative FA in any of the elements

analyzed in the current work, suggesting that probably it is produced by the urchinsthemselves.

373

Some long-chain FAs are synthesized from the short chain precursor FAs, and therefore 374 they are not necessarily present in the diet (Dalsgaard et al., 2003; Sargen et al., 1987). 375 Linoleic acid and linolenic acid are only produced by vegetal organisms, and EPA and 376 DHA are either obtained directly from a vegetal origin or by converting from linolenic 377 378 acid (Brett and Müller-Navarra 1997). For example, Psammechinus miliaris is capable of producing the PUFAs C20 and C22 from the short chain precursor linoleic acid 379 (C18:2 n-6), although the formation rate of eicosapentaenoic (C20:5n-3) in P. miliaris is 380 slow, equivalent to only 0.009% of linoleic ingested over a 14-d period. Although sea 381 urchins and many organisms can convert linoleic and alpha-linolenic acid (C18:3 (n-3)) 382 383 to EPA and DHA, this conversion seems to be inefficient for maintaining optimal growth rates. The changes in the proportion of these HUFA (high unsaturated fatty 384 385 acids) in S. purpureus in relation to location suggest that the main origin is 386 accumulation from the diet. The possibility of biosynthesis of these PUFAs by either free-living or endosymbiotic bacteria has been investigated in hydrothermal worms and 387 fish intestines (Pond et al., 2002; Yano et al., 1997). There are still no studies on the 388 microbial biochemistry of S. purpureus, but it probably plays an important role in the 389 nutrition and metabolism of the sea urchin as has been found for other spatangoids 390 (Buchanan et al., 1980; Brigmon and Ridder, 1998; Temara et al., 1991, 1993). 391

392

393 4.3. Trophic markers of bacterial input and carnivorous diet

395 A high proportion of palmitoleic acid (16:1 n-7) and vaccenic acid (18:1 n-7) and a low oleic/vaccenic (C18:1 n-9/n-7) ratio have been used to indicate the importance of the 396 bacterial input to the diet (Parkes, 1987; Sargent et al., 1987; Kharlamenko et al., 1995; 397 Pond et al., 2002; Cook et al., 2000). In this work palmitoleic acid is also characteristic 398 of sediment samples, and relatively abundant in all sea urchins. In addition, the 399 oleic/vaccenic ratio is always inferior to 1% and significantly different between the sea 400 urchin gonad samples collected at different locations. The lowest ratio values were 401 402 found for bare sand bottoms. This result provides evidence that, although the algal food source is important, bacterial inputs are also necessary in order to account for the FA 403 404 signature found in S. purpureus.

405

A large proportion of docosahexaenoic acid (C22:6 n-3) is related to a 406 carnivorous/necrophagus diet in benthic organisms (Pond et al., 1997; Cook et al., 2000, 407 2007:Gunstone et al., 1994). For example, myristic acid (C14:0) and docosahexaenoic 408 acid are significantly more abundant in the gonads of sea urchins collected on sand. In 409 this location, where there is little phytodetritus, sea urchins exploit the food that is 410 available and obtain the PUFA necessary for their metabolism by ingesting infauna or 411 animal detritus. Moreover, an increase in protein content in the diet can promote the 412 accumulation of docosahexaenoic acid and arachidonic acid as the gonadal tissue 413 matures (Cook et al., 2007). In fact, the GSI was higher in sea urchins collected on 414 415 sandy bottoms without algae or with low biomass. The sea urchins collected in the present study were sampled at the same time of year and at similar depths to minimize 416 417 any temporal and bathymetric effects on the FA profiles (Budge et al., 2002; Lewis, 1967; Ferguson, 1976; Hughes et al. 2005). Therefore, differences in the gonadal index 418

and sizes could be explained by differences in growth or reproductive development due
to food availability and geographical differences (Harrold et al., 1985; Budge et al.,
2002).

422

Current evidence suggests that not only DHA but also a balanced proportion of the 423 424 eicosapentaenoic/docasahexaenoic (EPA/DHA) acids in the diet can promote fish growth (Sargent et al., 1999; Izquierdo et al., 2001). Several studies have recognized the 425 importance of considering a balanced ratio of these essential fatty acids in the nutrition 426 of aquaculture species, and larger sizes were obtained in the culture of fish larvae when 427 the ratio value was reduced by incorporating DHA (Verreth et al. 1994; Izquierdo, 1996, 428 Izquierdo et al., 2001). The results of this work support this hypothesis because the 429 value of this ratio was lower in sea urchins collected on bare sand bottoms (L1) due to 430 higher DHA values. Sea urchins were also bigger in this location in comparison with the 431 432 other locations with algal beds (L2 and L4). Sea urchins are unable to synthesize DHA de novo (Bell et al., 1986; Sargent el al., 2002; Castell et al., 2004; González-Durán et 433 al., 2008), but the theory that it is accumulated from the diet is supported by this study. 434 The hypothesis would be that S. purpureus living on bare sand increases the proportion 435 of food of faunal origin, and therefore DHA, in its diet, which implies an energetic 436 benefit that can be seen in growth and reproduction. 437

438

439 *4.4. Food quality and good fit at the different locations: the role of HUFA*

440

It has been demonstrated that several aquatic organisms grow better when provided with
HUFAs (highly unsaturated fatty acids), especially EPA (C20:4 n-6) and DHA (C22:6

443 n-3), from direct sources. HUFAs are quantitative measures of food quality and the 444 good fit of organisms in aquatic ecosystems (Brett and Müller-Navarra, 1997; Ikawa, 445 2004). They are important structural and physiological components of cell membranes 446 and their concentrations in natural or artificial diets impact survival, growth, 447 development of specific tissues, and reproductive performance (fecundity, egg 448 production and hatchability, spawning, etc.) (Brett and Müller-Navarra, 1997; Weers 449 and Gulati, 1997; Budge et al., 2002; Li et al., 2005; Hyne et al. 2009).

450

Food quality was analyzed with a FA analysis of the main algal and sediment samples. 451 The results reveal an important food source at L4: the algae Osmundaria volubilis and 452 Phyllophora crispa are rich in DHA (probably due to contribution of faunal epiphytic 453 organisms). Also in this location the sediment was richer in EPA. A high 454 455 gonadosomatic index and higher EPA value in the gonads indicate a good fit for the population of sea-urchin in this area. These fact permits assume that in this area the 456 457 omnivorous diet in urchins, based in higher quality of food source (both the sediment 458 and algae) increase gonadal growth, as has been demonstrated in laboratory and in the field (Cook et al. 1998, Fernandez and Bouderesque, 2000; Cook et al. 2000; Hughes et 459 al. 2005). Evidences exist on the importance of red soft algae beds as essential habitat to 460 commercial species S. notata in shelf bottoms of Balearic Island, showing a better body 461 condition in areas where these habitat exist (Ordines and Massuti, 2009). Moreover, in 462 this area there is a higher proportion of small sea urchins. This can be explained by the 463 464 different hydrodynamic conditions (recruitment), fishing pressure and the available food resources. There is no data to test these possible differences, but the algal composition 465 466 and the quality of both the algae and sediment suggest that in this location the juvenile sea urchins could have better nutritional conditions for growth. Considerable research 467

into the aquaculture of fish, molluscs and crustaceans has demonstrated that there are
strong dietary demands for HUFA-rich diets. The larval stages are more dependent than
adults because their high somatic growth rates cannot be satisfied by their FA
conversion capacities (Albentosa et al. 1994; Kanazawa and Koshio, 1994; Coutteau et
al., 1996; Sargent et al., 1999; Izquierdo et al., 2001).

473

The sea urchins of location with bare sand (L1) also showed a high proportion of DHA 474 (C22:6 n-3) in the gonads and a high gonadosomatic index. In previous paragraph it was 475 hypothesized on a carnivorus diet to explain the good fit for the population of this 476 location. However, small sizes were not found in this area. One possible explanation is 477 that juvenile stages cannot capture faunal components in the sediment, selecting habitats 478 where others food sources are possible. The ability to select particles has been 479 480 demonstrated in Spatangus purpureus, e.g.: special granulometric features and particles with organic cover (Jangoux and Lawrence, 1982). There are other possible reasons, 481 482 such as geographical differences in demographic composition or differences in the 483 reproductive state of the population, but data on this issue is not available.

484

485 The role of S. purpureus on shelf bottoms of the Balearic Islands

486

The data on the high abundances of *S. purpureus* on soft bottoms with soft red algae in the Balearic Islands (Ordines et al., 2009) can be considered evidence of the importance of this habitat as a provider of detrital carbon. In this respect, *S. purpureus* plays a potentially important role in biogeochemical processes and ecosystem functioning not only on bare sand (as suggested by other authors) but even for deep sea algal communities in the Balearic Islands. Like the spatangoid studied here, burrowing

493 species common in New Zealand belonging to the genus Echinocardium (infaunal, grazers/deposit feeders) have been found to dominate bioturbation processes and be 494 positively related to primary production (Lohrer et al., 2004). Bioturbation activity 495 increases sediment permeability, water content and oxygen content, which influences 496 remineralization rates and nutrient fluxes (Mirza and Gray, 1981; Widdicombe and 497 Austen, 1999; Lohrer et al., 2004, 2005; Granberg et al., 2005). Moreover, secretions, 498 faecal pellet production and excretions play an important role in sediment fertilization 499 500 (Herman et al., 1999; Osinga et al., 1997). The key role that S. purpureus and similar burrowing urchins play in ecological processes highlights the importance of mitigating 501 anthropogenic impacts. This species have been shown to be highly vulnerable to fishing 502 disturbances due to bottom impacting gears (Nilsson and Rosenberg, 1994, 2000; 503 Thrush et al., 1998, Jennings et al., 2001). 504

505

506 **5. Conclusions**

507

508 The current study shows that the FA profile of the gonads of S. purpureus can be a useful trophic marker. The profile agrees well with the FA composition of the potential 509 trophic resources (algae and sediment) and reveals spatial changes in relation to habitat 510 features and available resources. S. purpureus diet reflected the local availability of 511 phytodetritus, faunal detritus and microbial mats or a combination of all three sources. 512 513 The omnivorous feeding behaviour of this species suggests that phytodetritus found within algae beds is an important carbon source for this sea urchin in habitats with 514 algae, and on bare sand the sea urchin has a more carnivorous diet. The soft red algae 515 Osmusdaria volubilis and Phyllophora crispa showed high levels of polyunsaturated 516

517 FAs, indicative of food quality. In the areas where these algae dominated the sea urchins showed higher gonadal growth. This suggests that a mixed diet based on a higher 518 quality food source (both the sediment and algae) best explains the good fit of the sea 519 urchin populations, which has been demonstrated in other studies both in the laboratory 520 and the field (Cook et al. 1998, Fernandez and Bouderesque, 2000; Cook et al. 2000; 521 Hughes et al. 2005). The combined use of FA signatures, gonadal indices and growth 522 523 rates could be a useful tool for identifying the good fit of benthic species and identifying essential habitats. 524

525

526 Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the crew of the *Cornide de Saavedra* and all those who took part in the MEDITS0509 survey. This survey was carried out within the BADEMECO project, financed by the IEO and EU (Data Collection Framework for the Common Fisheries Policy). The FAs analyses were partially funded by FatFish project (CTM2009-14362-C02-02), Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (Spanish Government).

532

533 **References**

- Ackman, R.G., Tocher, C.S., McLachlan J., 1968. Marine phytoplankter fatty acids.
 Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 25, 1603–1620.
- Aitchison, J., 1986. The statistical analysis of compositional data. Chapman and Hall,
 New York.

539 540 541	Ayling, A.L., 1978. The relation of food availability and food preferences to the field diet of an echinoid Evechinus chloroticus (Valenciennes). Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 33, 223-235.
542 543 544	Beddingfield, S.D., Mc Clintock, J.B., 1999. Food resource utilization in the sea urchin <i>Lytechinus variegates</i> in contrasting shallow-water microhabitats of Saint Joseph Bay, Florida. Gulf of Mexico Science 1, 27-34.
545 546	Bell, M.V., Dirk, J.R. and Kelly, M.S., 2001. Biosynthesis of Eicosapentaenoic Acid in the Sea Urchin <i>Psammechinus miliaris</i> . Lipids, 36 (1): 79-82.
547 548	Brett, M.T., Müller-Navarra, D., 1997. The role of highly unsaturated fatty acids in aquatic foodweb processes. Freshwater Biology 38, 483-499.
549 550 551	Buchanan, J.B., Brown, B.E., Coombs, T.L., Pirie, B.J.S., Allen. J.A., 1980. The accumulation of ferric iron in the guts of some spatangoid echinoderms. Journal of Marine Biology 60, 631–640.
552 553	Brigmon, R.L., Ridder, C., 1998. Symbiotic Relationship of <i>Thiothrix</i> spp. with an Echinoderm. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 64 (9), 3491–3495.
554 555 556 557	Budge, S.M., Iverson, S.J., Don Bowen, W., Ackman, R.G., 2002. Among- and within- species variability in fatty acid signatures of marine fish and invertebrates on the Scotian Shelf, Georges Bank, and southern Gulf of St. Lawrence. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 59, 886–898.
558 559 560	Budge, S.M., Iverson, S.J. Koopman, H.N. 2006. Studying trohic ecology in marine ecosystems using fatty acids: a primer on analysis and interpretation. <i>Marine Mammal Science</i> 22, 759-801.
561 562	Carpenter, R. C., 1981. Grazing by <i>Diadema antillarum</i> and its effects on the benthic algal community. Journal of Marine Research, 39: 749–765.
563 564 565 566	Castell, J.D., Kennedy, E.J., Robinson, S.M.C., Parsons, G.J., González-Durán, T.J., 2004. Effects of dietary lipids on the fatty acid composition and metabolism in juvenile green sea urchins (<i>Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis</i>). Aquaculture 242, 417-435.
567 568	Chiold, J., 1989. Species distribution of irregular echinoids. Biological Oceanography 6,79-162.
569 570	Clarke, K.R., 1993. Non-parametric multivariate analyses of changes in community structure. American Journal of Ecology 18, 117–143.
571 572	Cook, E.J., Bell, M.V., Black, K.D. Kelly, M.S., 2000. Fatty acid compositions of gonadal material and diets of the sea urchin, <i>Psammechinus miliaris</i> : trophic and

- 573 nutritional implications. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology
 574 255, 261–274.
- 575 Cook, E.J., Hughes, A.D., Orr, H., Kelly, M.S. Black, K.D., 2007. Influence of dietary
 576 protein on essential fatty acids in the gonadal tissue of the sea urchins
 577 *Psammechinus miliaris* and *Paracentrotus lividus* (Echinodermata). Aquaculture
 578 273, 586-594.
- Dalsgaard, J.; St. John, M., Kattner, G., Müller-Navarra, D., Hagen, W., 2003. Fatty
 acid trophic markers in the pelagic marine environment. Advances in Marine
 Biology 46, 225-340.
- Emson, R.H., Moore, P.G., 1998. Diet and gonad size in three populations of Echinus
 esculentus. In: Mooi, R., Telford, M. (Eds.), Proceedings of the 9th International
 Echinoderm Conference, San Francisco. Balkema, Rotterdam, pp. 641–644.
- Fernandez-Jover, D., López Jimenez, J.A., Sanchez, P., 2007. Changes in body
 condition and fatty acid composition of wild Mediterranean horse mackerel
 (*Trachurus mediterraneus*, Steindachner, 1868) associated to sea cage fish
 farms. Marine Environmental Research 63, 1–18.
- Fergurson, J.C., 1976. The annual cycle of fatty acid composition in a starfish.
 Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology 54, 249-252.
- Folch, J, Lees, N., Sloan-Stanley, G.H., 1957. A simple method for the isolation and
 purification of total lipid. Journal of Biological Chemistry 226,497–509.
- 593 Ghiold, J., 1989. Species distributions of irregular echinoids. Biological Oceanography
 594 6, 79–162.
- Giddings, G.G., Hill, L.H., 1975. The effect of treatment on lipid fractions and basic
 fatty acid of the muscle blue crab (*Callinectes sapidus*). Journal of Food Science
 40, 1127-1129.
- Gillian, F.T., Stoilov, I.L., Thompson, J.E., Hogg, R.W., Wilkinson, C.R., Djerassi, C.,
 1988. Fatty acids as biological markers for bacterial symbionts in sponges.
 Lipids 23, 1139–1145.
- Ginger, M.L., Santos, V., Wolff, G.A., 2000. A preliminary investigation of the lipids of
 abyssal holothurians from the northeast Atlantic Ocean. Journal of the Marine
 Biological Association of the United Kingdom 80, 139–146.
- González-Durán, E, Castell, J.D., Robinson, S.M.C., Blair, T.J., 2008. Effects of dietary
 lipids on the fatty acid composition and lipid metabolism of the green sea urchin
 Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis. Aquaculture 276 (1-4), 120-129.

607	Grahl-Nielsen, O., Andersen, M., Derocher, A.E. Lydersen, C., Wiig, Ø., Kovacs. K.M.,
608	2003. Fatty acid composition of the adipose tissue of polar bears and of their
609	prey: ringed seals, bearded seals and harp seals. Marine Ecological Progress
610	Series 265, 275–282.

- Granberg, M.E., Hansen, R., Selck, H., 2005. Relative importance of macrofaunal
 burrows for the microbial mineralization of pyrene in marine sediments: impact
 of macrofaunal species and organic matter quality. Marine Ecological Progress
 Series 288, 59–74.
- Gunstone, F.D., Harwood, J.L., Padley, F.B., 1994. Ocurrence and characteristics of oils
 and fats. In: The Lipid Handbook. Chapman and Hall, London: 44-223.
- Harrold, C., Reed, D.C., 1985. Food Availability, Sea Urchin Grazing, and Kelp Forest
 Community Structure. Ecology 66 (4), 1160-1169.
- Herman, P., Middleburg, J., Vande-Koppel, J., Heip, C., 1999. Ecology of estuarine
 benthos. Advances in Ecological Research 29, 165–231.
- Holme, N.A., 1966. The bottom fauna of the English Channel. Part II. Journal of the
 Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 46 (2), 401-493.
- Howell, K.L., Pond, D.W., Billett, D.S.M., Tyler, P.A., 2003. Feeding ecology of deepsea seastars (Echinodermata: Asteroidea): a fatty-acid biomarker approach.
 Marine Ecological Progress Series 255, 193–206.
- Hughes, A.D., Catarinio, A.I., Kelly, M.S., Barnes, D.K.A., Black, K.D. 2005. Gonad
 fatty acids and trophic interactions of the echinoid *Psammechinus miliaris*.
 Marine Ecology Progress Series 305, 101-111.
- Hyne, R.V., Sánchez-Bayo, F., Bryan, A.D., Johnston, E., Mann, R.M. 2009. Fatty acid
 composition of the estuarine amphipod, *Melita plumulosa* (Zeidler): link
 between diet and fecundity. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 28, 123132.
- Ikawa, M. 2004. Algal polyunsaturated fatty acids and effects on plankton ecology and
 other organisms. UNH Center for Freshwater Biology Research 6(2): 17-44.
- Isay, S.V. Busarova, N.G., 1984. Study on fatty acid composition of marine organismsI. Unsaturated fatty acids of Japan Sea invertebrates. Comparative Biochemistry
 and Physiology 77 (4), 803-810.
- Iverson, S., Field, C., Don Bowen, V., Blanchard, W., 2004. Quantitative fatty acids
 signature analysis: a new method of estimating predator diets. Ecological
 Monographs 74 (2), 211-235.

- Izquierdo, M.S. 1996. Essential fatty acids requirements of culture marine fish larvae.
 Aquaculture Nutrition 2, 183-191.
- Izquierdo, M.S., Tandler, A. Salhi, M. Kollovski, S., 2001. Influence of dietary polar
 lipids quantity and quality on ingestion and assimilation of labeled fatty acids by
 larval gilthead seabream. Aquaculture Nutrition 7, 153-160.
- Jangoux, M., Lawrence, J.M., 1982. Echinoderm nutrition. A.A. Balkema Press,
 Rotterdam.
- Jennings, S., Pinnegar, J.K., Polunin, N.V.C., Warr, K.J., 2001. Impacts of trawling
 disturbance on the trophic structure of benthic invertebrate communities. Marine
 Ecological Progress Series 213, 127–142.
- Käkelä, R., Käkelä, A., Kahle, S., Becker, P.H., Kelly-Robert, A., Furness, W., 2005.
 Fatty acid signatures in plasma of captive herring gulls as indicators of demersal or pelagic fish diet. Marine Ecological Progress Series 293, 191–200.
- Kanazawa, K. 1992. Adaptation of test shape for burrowing and locomotion in
 spatangoid echinoids. Paleontology 35 (4), 733-750.
- Kayama, M., Araki, S., Sato, S., 1989. Lipids of marine plants. In: Ackman RG (ed)
 Marine biogenic lipids, fats and oils Vol 2. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, p
 3–48.
- Kharlamenko, V.I., Kiyashko, S.I., Imbs, A.B., Vyshkvartzev, D.I., 2001. Identification
 of food sources of invertebrates from the seagrass *Zostera marina* community
 using carbon and sulfur stable isotope ratio and fatty acid analyses. Marine
 Ecology progress Series 220, 103-117.
- Lawrence, J.M., 1975. On the relationships between marine plants and sea-urchins.
 Oceanography and Marine Biology Annual Review 13, 213–286.
- Lawrence, J:M., 2007. Edible sea urchins: biology and ecology. Developments in
 aquaculture and fisheries sciences, vol. 37. Elsevier, Oxford, UK.
- Lee, R.F., Nevenzel, J.C., Paffenhofer, G.A., 1971. Importance of wax esters and other
 lipids in the marine food chain: phytoplankton and copepods. Marine Biology 9,
 99–108
- 670 Lenningher, A., 1986. Principios de bioquímica, Omega. Barcelona. 1013 p.
- Lewis, R.W., 1967. Fatty acid composition of some marine animals from various
 depths. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 24, 1101-1115.
- Li, Q., Wang, M., Tan, L., Wang, C., Ma, J. Li, N., Li, Y., Xu, G., Li, G. 2005.
 Docosahexaenoic Acid Changes Lipid Composition and IL-2 Receptor Signaling
 in Membrane Rafts. Journal of Lipid Research 46, 1904-1913.

676 677 678 679	 Liu, H., Kelly, M.S., Cook, E.J., Black, K., Orr, H., Xin Zhu, J. Lin Dong, S., 2007a. The effect of diet type on growth and fatty-acid composition of sea urchin larvae, I. <i>Paracentrotus lividus</i> (Lamarck, 1816) (Echinodermata). Aquaculure 264, 247–262.
680 681 682	Liu, H., Kelly, M.S., Cook, E.J., Black, K., Orr, H., Xin Zhu, J., Lin Dong, S., 2007b. The effect of diet type on growth and fatty acid composition of the sea urchin larvae, II. <i>Psammechinus miliaris</i> (Gmelin). Aquaculture 264, 263–278.
683 684 685	Liyana-Pathiranaa Ch., Shahidia, F. Whittick, A., 2002. The effect of an artificial diet on the biochemical composition of the gonads of the sea urchin (<i>Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis</i>). Food Chemistry 79, 461–472.
686 687	Lohrer, A.M., Thrush, S.F., Gibbs, M.M., 2004. Bioturbators enhance ecosystem function through complex biogeochemical interactions. Nature 431, 1092-1095.
688 689 690	Lohrer, A.M., Thrush, S. F., Hunt, L., Hancock, N., Lundquist, C. J., 2005. Rapid reworking of subtidal sediments by burrowing spatangoid urchins. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 321 (2), 155-169.
691 692 693	Lohrer, A. M., Chiaroni, L.D., Hewitt, J.E., Thrush, S.F., 2008. Biogenic disturbance determines invasion success in a subtidal soft-sediment system. Ecology 89 (5), 1299-1307.
694 695 696 697	 Mai, K., Mercer, J.P., Donlon, J. 1996., Comparative studies on the nutrition of two species of abalone, <i>Haliotis tuberculata</i> L. and <i>Haliotis discus hannai</i> Ino.V. The role of polyunsaturated fatty acids of macroalgae in abalone nutrition. Aquaculture 139, 77-89.
698 699 700	Mirza F.B., Gray J.S., 1981. The fauna of benthic sediments from the organically enriched Oslofjord, Norway. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 54, 181–207.
701	
702 703	Mansour, M.P., Volkman, J.K., Jackson, A.E., Blackburn, S.I., 1999. The fatty acid and sterol composition of five marine dinoflagellates. Journal of Phycology 35, 710–720.
702 703 704 705	 Mansour, M.P., Volkman, J.K., Jackson, A.E., Blackburn, S.I., 1999. The fatty acid and sterol composition of five marine dinoflagellates. Journal of Phycology 35, 710–720. Nelson, M.M., Phleger, C.F., Nichols, P.D., 2002. Seasonal Lipid Composition in Macroalgae of the Northeastern Pacific Ocean. Botanica Marina 45, 58-65.
702 703 704 705 706 707 708 709	 Mansour, M.P., Volkman, J.K., Jackson, A.E., Blackburn, S.I., 1999. The fatty acid and sterol composition of five marine dinoflagellates. Journal of Phycology 35, 710–720. Nelson, M.M., Phleger, C.F., Nichols, P.D., 2002. Seasonal Lipid Composition in Macroalgae of the Northeastern Pacific Ocean. Botanica Marina 45, 58-65. Nichols, P.D., Johns, R.B., Klumpp, D.W., 1982. Study of food-chains in seagrass communities. 1. Lipid components of the seagrasses <i>Posidonia australis</i> and <i>Heterozostera tasmanica</i> as indicators of carbon source. Phytochemistry 21, 1613–1621

- Nilsson H.C., Rosenberg R., 2000. Succession in marine benthic habitats and fauna in
 response to oxygen deficiency: analyzed by sediment profile-imaging and by
 grab samples. Marine Ecology Progress Series 197, 139-149.
- Ordines, F., Massutí, E. 2009. Relationships between macro-epibenthic communities
 and fish on the shelf grounds of the western Mediterranean. Aquatic
 Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 14 (4), 370-383.
- Osinga, R., Kop, A.J., Malschaert, J.F.P., van Duyl, F.C., 1997. Effects of the sea urchin
 Echinocardium cordatum on bacterial production and carbon flow in
 experimental benthic systems under increasing organic loading. Journal of Sea
 Research 37, 109–121.
- Paradis, M., Ackman., R.G., 1977. Potential for employing the distribution of
 anomalous non-methylene-interrupted dienoic fatty acids in several marine
 invertebrates as part of food web studies. Lipids 12 (2), 170-176.
- Parkes, R.J., 1987. Analysis of microbial communities within sediments using
 biomarkers. In: Ecology of Microbial Communities. SGM 41. Cambridge
 University Press, Cambridge.
- Pond, D., Allen, C.E., Bell, M.V., van Dover, C.L., Fallick, A.E., Dixon, D.R. Sargent,
 J.R., 2002. On the origins of long-chain, polyunsaturated fatty acids in the
 hydrothermal vent worms, *Ridgea piscesae* and *Protis hydrothermica*. Marine
 Ecology Progress Series 142, 175-184.
- Řezanka, T., Sigler, K., 2009. Odd-numbered very-long-chain fatty acids from the
 microbial, animal and plant kingdoms. Progress in lipid research 48 (3-4), 206238.
- Romashina, N.A., 1983. Marine invertebrates as a source of eicosapentaenoic and other
 polyenoic acids. Biologia moray 1, 66-68.
- Sargent, J.R., Whittle, K.J., 1981. Lipids and hydrocarbons in the marine food web. In:
 Longhurst, A.R. (Ed.), Analysis of Marine Ecosystems. Academic Press,
 London, pp. 491–533.
- Sargent, J.R., Henderson, R.J., 1995. Marine (n-3) polyunsaturated fatty acids. In:
 Hamilton, R.J. _Eds.., Developments in Oils and Fats. Blackie Academic and
 Professional, London, pp. 32–65.
- Sargent, J.R., Parkes, R.J., Mueller-Harvey, I., Henderson, R.J., 1987. Lipid biomarkers
 in marine ecology. In:Sleigh, M.A. (Ed.), Microbes in The Sea. Ellis Horwood,
 Chichester, pp. 119–138.

- Sargent, J.R., Bell, G., McEvoy, L., Tocher, D., Estevez, A., 1999. Recent
 developments in the essential fatty acid nutrition of fish. Aquaculture 177, 191–
 199.
- Sargent, J.R., Tocher, D.R., Bell, J.G., 2002. The lipids. In: Halver, J.E., Hardy, R.W.
 (Eds.). Fish Nutrition. USA, Elsevier, pp. 181-257.
- Stoffel, W., Chu, F., Edward, H., 1959. Analysis of long-chain fatty acids by gas–liquid
 chromatography. Micromethod for preparation of methyl esters. Analytical
 Chemistry 31, 307–308.
- 754 Stryer, L., 1995. Biochemistry, 4th edn. WH Freeman, New York.
- Takagi, T., Eaton, C.A., Ackman, R.G., 1980. Distribution of fatty acids in lipids of the
 Common Atlantic sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis*. Canadian
 Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 37, 195-202.
- Temara, A., De Ridder, C., Raisin, M., 1991. Presence of an essential polyunsaturated
 fatty acids in intradigestive bacterial symbionts of a deposit-feeder echinoid
 (Echinodermata). Comp. Biochemical Physiology 100, 503-505.
- Temara, A., De Ridder, C., Kuenen, J.G., Robertson., L.A., 1993. Sulfide-oxidizing
 bacteria in the burrowing echinoid, *Echinocardium cordatum* (Echinodermata).
 Marine Biology 115, 179-185.
- Ter Braak, C.J.F., and Šmilauer, P., 2002. CANOCO Reference manual and CanoDraw
 for Windows User's guide: Software for Canonical Community Ordination
 (version 4.5). Microcomputer Power, Ithaca, New York. 500 pp.
 http://www.canoco.com
- Thrush, S.F. and P.K. Dayton. 2002. Disturbance to marine benthic habitats by trawling
 and dredging- implications for marine biodiverstity. *Annu. Rev.Ecol. Syst.*, 33:
 449-473.
- Thrush, S.F., Hewitt, J.E., Cummings, V.J., Dayton, P.K., Cryer, M., Turner, S.J.,
 Funnell, G.A., Budd, R.G., Milburn, C.J., Wilkinson, M.R., 1998. Disturbance
 of the marine benthic habitat for commercial fishing: Impacts at the scale of the
 fishery. Ecological Applications 8, 866-897.
- Vadas, R.L., 1977. Preferential feeding: an optimization strategy in sea urchins.
 Ecological Monograph 47, 337–371.
- Vashappilly, R., Chen, F., 1998. Heterotrophic production potential of omega-3
 polyunsaturated fatty acids by microalgae and algae-like mico-organisms.
 Botanica Marina 41, 553–558.

- Verlaque, M., 1987. Relations entre *Paracentrotus lividus* (Lamarck) et le phytobenthos
 de Méditerranée occidentale. In Boudouresque, C. F. (ed.), International sur
 Paracentrotus lividus et les oursins comestibles. GIS Posidonie Publications: 5–
 36.
- Volkman, J.K., Bavor, H.J., Gillan, F.T., Johns, R.B., Perry, G.J., 1980. Microbial lipids
 of an intertidal sediment. 1. Fatty acids and hydrocarbons. Geochimica and
 Cosmochimica Acta 44, 1133–1143.
- Weers, P.M.M., Gulati, R.D., 1997. Effect of addition of polyunsaturated fatty acids to
 the diet on growth and fecundity of *Daphnia galeata*. Freshwater Biology 38,
 721–729.
- Widdicombe, S., Austen, M.C., 1999. Mesocosm investigation into the effects of
 bioturbation on the diversity and structure of a subtidal macrobenthic
 community. Marine Ecological Progress Series 189, 181–193.
- Widdicombe, S., Austen, M.C., Kendall, M.A., Olsgard, F., Schaanning, M.T.,
 Dashfield, S.L., Needham, H.R., 2004. Importance of bioturbators for
 biodiversity maintenance: indirect effects of fishing disturbance. Marine
 Ecological Progress Series 275, 1–10.
- Yano, Y., Nakayama, A., Yoshida, K., 1997. Distribution of polyunsaturated fatty acids
 in bacteria present in intestines of deep-sea fish and shallow-sea poikilothermic
 animals. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 63, 2572-2577.
- Zar, J.H., 1996. Bioestatistical analisis. Prentice Hall, USA 662 p.

801

802

803 Figure legends

804

Figure 1. Photograph taken from a sledge mounted camera showing the tracks made by *Spatangus purpureus* on a vegetated bottom dominated by *Peyssonnelia* spp. and
rhodoliths (Corallinacea). Son Bou, SE Menorca, 60 m depth. Photo: F. Sánchez.

- Figure 2. The selected locations in the study area, circalittoral bottoms around Mallorcaand Menorca Islands, between 50 and 100 m.
- 811

Figure 3. Size frequency distribution of *Spatangus purpureus* (mm) collected at the four
locations (L1, L2, L3, L4) of the circalittoral bottoms around Mallorca and Menorca
Islands.

815

Figure 4. Redundancy analysis (RDA) biplots of the fatty acid composition (%) in *Spatangus purpureus* gonads. Only the fatty acids that contributed most to the dissimilarity between groups are included. L1, L2, L3, L4 are the different locations where the sea urchins were collected.

820

Figure 5. Values (mean \pm SE) of the main fatty acids in the total lipids extracted from

822 the gonads of *Spatangus purpureus*, showing significant differences between locations

823 (L1, L2, L3, L4).

824

Table 1. Data on algal and faunal composition (mean \pm SE) in the four locations (L1, L2, L3, L4) where the sea-urchin were collected. The data were obtained from results of beam trawl samples (n= 3).

	Biomass alga (kg/ha)	Corallinaceas (kg/ha)	Other rhodoficea (kg/ha)	Peyssonnelia spp. (kg/ha)
L1	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00
L2	1585.14 ± 316.26	1576.72 ± 316.53	$8.40~\pm~2.02$	0.00 ± 0.00
L3	8590.14 ± 1866.50	1344.64 ± 32.61	337.62 ± 32.61	6752.49 ± 652.16
L4	617.32 ± 42.07	361.65 ± 29.00	255.67 ± 39.05	0.00 ± 0.00
	Abundance fauna (ind/ha)	Biomass fauna (kg/ha)	S. purpureus (ind/ha)	
L1	4467.86 ± 1797.37	49.03 ± 17.90	54.41 ± 32.81	
L2	4042.90 ± 1151.84	134.53 ± 6.49	445.80 ± 148.50	
L3	200428.87 ± 106193.27	922.40 ± 398.11	696.00 ± 264.00	
L4	42262.70 ± 18956.57	518.57 ± 414.35	2336.00 ± 1877.00	

Table 2

Table 2. Value of biological parameters (mean \pm SE) of *Spatangus purpureus* collected in <u>ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT</u> four locations (L1, L2, L3, L4) around Mallorca and Menorca Islands. N: number of individuals sampled; GSI: Gonadosomatic Index (size class with a major frequency of individuals). Differences between locations were tested with ANOVA (p= signification level; n.s.: no significant) and S.N.K test.

Locality	N	Size (mm)	Gonad weigth (g)	GSI (80-90 cm)	GSI (90-100 cm)
L1	25	99.60 ± 1.89	10.86 ± 0.83	0.06 ± 0.009	0.05 ± 0.004
L2	50	92.66 ± 1.72	6.34 ± 0.76	0.02 ± 0.008	0.03 ± 0.002
L3	41	98.61 ± 1.12	7.40 ± 0.56	0.03 ± 0.002	0.03 ± 0.003
L4	37	79.49 ± 1.60	6.64 ± 0.85	0.05 ± 0.006	0.07 ± 0.003
		0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
ANOVA		p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001
S.N.K.		L1=L3>L2>L4	L1>L3=L2>L4	L1=L4>L3=L2	L4>L1=L2=L3

*

Table 3. Fatty acid composition (mean \pm standard error) of total lipids extracted from gonads of *Spatangus purpureus* collected in different locations (L1, L2, L3 and L4). Also the FA composition of the main algae species (Os= *Osmundaria volubilis*, Pe= *Peyssonnelia* sp., Ph= *Phyllophora* crispa) and the sediment collected in each location is shown.

A CCEDTED MANILICODIDT

	Gonads of Spatangus purpureus				Algae		Sediment				
	L1 (n=15)	L2 (n=15)	L3 (n=15)	L4 (n=15)	Os (n=9)	Pe (n=9)	Ph (n=9)	L1 (n=6)	L2 (n=6)	L3 (n=6)	L4 (n=6)
C12:0	$0.08 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.02$	$0.25 ~\pm~ 0.20$	$0.06 ~\pm~ 0.01$	$0.08 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.01$	$0.56 ~\pm~ 0.11$	0.64 ± 0.16	$0.54 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.19$	$0.11 \hspace{.1in} \pm \hspace{.1in} 0.03$	$0.05 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.03$	$0.03 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.02$	$0.00 \hspace{0.1 cm} \pm \hspace{0.1 cm} 0.00$
C13:0	$0.10 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.03$	0.01 ± 0.01	$0.15 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.02$	$0.09 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.02$	$0.00 ~\pm~ 0.00$	$0.00 ~\pm~ 0.00$	$0.00 \hspace{0.1 cm} \pm \hspace{0.1 cm} 0.00$	0.05 ± 0.03	$0.05 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.03$	$0.19 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.12$	$0.00 \hspace{0.1 cm} \pm \hspace{0.1 cm} 0.00$
C14:0	$8.87 ~\pm~ 0.16$	$5.51 ~\pm~ 0.20$	$5.67 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.26$	$5.43 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.44$	$4.42 \hspace{.1in} \pm \hspace{.1in} 0.21$	$3.31 ~\pm~ 0.09$	$4.29 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.21$	$5.18 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.16$	$3.84 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.59$	$3.32 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.47$	$2.38 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.36$
C14:1 n-5	$0.34 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.02$	$0.38 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.05$	$0.63 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.15$	$0.45 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.05$	$0.00 ~\pm~ 0.00$	$0.00 \hspace{0.1 cm} \pm \hspace{0.1 cm} 0.00$	$0.00 ~\pm~ 0.00$	$0.00 \hspace{0.1 cm} \pm \hspace{0.1 cm} 0.00$	$0.00 \hspace{0.1 cm} \pm \hspace{0.1 cm} 0.00$	$0.00 \hspace{0.1 cm} \pm \hspace{0.1 cm} 0.00$	$0.00 \hspace{0.1 cm} \pm \hspace{0.1 cm} 0.00$
C15:0	$2.55 ~\pm~ 0.06$	$1.29 ~\pm~ 0.08$	$1.72 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.21$	$0.83 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.17$	$0.41 \hspace{.1in} \pm \hspace{.1in} 0.06$	$0.40 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.11$	$0.97 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.09$	$2.80 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 2.65$	$1.15 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.45$	$1.51 \hspace{.1in} \pm \hspace{.1in} 0.32$	1.56 ± 0.27
C15:1 n-5	$0.02 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.01$	$0.10 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.08$	$0.21 \hspace{.1in} \pm \hspace{.1in} 0.06$	$0.13 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.04$	0.00 ± 0.00	$0.00 ~\pm~ 0.00$	$0.00 ~\pm~ 0.00$	$0.00 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.00$	$0.07 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.02$	$0.00 \hspace{0.1 cm} \pm \hspace{0.1 cm} 0.00$	$0.00 ~\pm~ 0.00$
C16:0	$14.50 \ \pm \ 0.23$	$17.14 ~\pm~ 0.25$	$16.70 \ \pm \ 0.32$	$15.91 \ \pm \ 0.43$	$26.70 \ \pm \ 0.34$	$43.19 ~\pm~ 1.36$	$31.21 \hspace{.1in} \pm \hspace{.1in} 0.80$	$26.42 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.70$	$26.49 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 1.20$	$22.65 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 2.34$	$27.74 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.88$
C16:1 n-7	$11.33 ~\pm~ 0.16$	$15.34 \ \pm \ 0.92$	$12.65 ~\pm~ 1.04$	$18.89 \ \pm \ 0.46$	$4.79 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.23$	5.09 ± 0.30	3.58 ± 0.17	$10.72 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.89$	$14.62 \ \pm \ 0.93$	10.55 ± 1.67	$16.00 \hspace{0.1 in} \pm \hspace{0.1 in} 1.44$
C17:0	1.32 ± 0.11	1.57 ± 0.39	1.19 ± 0.16	$0.59 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.05$	0.44 ± 0.07	0.18 ± 0.05	$0.70 ~\pm~ 0.03$	$1.25 ~\pm~ 0.05$	1.11 ± 0.11	1.10 ± 0.11	0.86 ± 0.13
C17:1 n-7	1.00 ± 0.03	1.45 ± 0.17	$0.94 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.10$	0.35 ± 0.11	$0.33 ~\pm~ 0.12$	0.36 ± 0.18	$0.30 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.16$	$0.63 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.26$	0.57 ± 0.15	$2.00 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.75$	$0.96 ~\pm~ 0.18$
C18:0	$3.50 ~\pm~ 0.09$	$4.01 \hspace{0.1in} \pm \hspace{0.1in} 0.33$	3.42 ± 0.23	$2.82 \ \pm \ 0.14$	$6.44 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.27$	5.30 ± 0.17	$6.48 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.31$	9.03 ± 0.33	7.66 ± 0.45	$22.31 \hspace{0.1 in} \pm \hspace{0.1 in} 7.82$	8.04 ± 0.34
C18:1 n-5	1.83 ± 0.20	2.67 ± 0.16	3.16 ± 0.26	1.90 ± 0.18	$0.11 \hspace{.1in} \pm \hspace{.1in} 0.05$	0.00 ± 0.00	$0.00 ~\pm~ 0.00$	$0.00 ~\pm~ 0.00$	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	$0.00 ~\pm~ 0.00$
C18:1 n-7	$6.48 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.10$	$4.12 \ \pm \ 0.22$	5.89 ± 0.23	5.67 ± 0.28	3.58 ± 0.06	3.31 ± 0.33	3.00 ± 0.26	2.78 ± 0.22	5.10 ± 0.89	$4.75 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.58$	$6.28 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.38$
C18:1 n-9	1.84 ± 0.15	1.64 ± 0.12	1.71 ± 0.13	3.79 ± 0.36	5.02 ± 0.19	$8.47 \ \pm \ 0.37$	7.51 ± 0.19	19.97 ± 0.82	11.78 ± 1.28	12.77 ± 1.58	10.68 ± 0.96
C18:2 n-6	0.65 ± 0.07	1.79 ± 0.21	1.68 ± 0.26	0.77 ± 0.09	$2.14 ~\pm~ 0.22$	$2.79 ~\pm~ 0.20$	1.50 ± 0.08	4.63 ± 0.47	3.11 ± 0.23	3.49 ± 0.47	3.71 ± 0.33
C18:3 n-3	0.70 ± 0.05	0.53 ± 0.08	0.96 ± 0.15	0.90 ± 0.05	0.09 ± 0.05	0.04 ± 0.03	$0.39 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.18$	0.00 ± 0.00	0.13 ± 0.08	1.31 ± 0.42	1.33 ± 0.30
C18:3 n-6	0.10 ± 0.03	0.38 ± 0.07	0.24 ± 0.04	0.30 ± 0.04	0.06 ± 0.03	0.00 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.02	0.00 ± 0.00	0.23 ± 0.07	0.00 ± 0.00	0.36 ± 0.10
C18:4 n-3	0.03 ± 0.03	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.67 ± 0.10	0.04 ± 0.03	0.51 ± 0.11	0.06 ± 0.04	0.33 ± 0.19	0.00 ± 0.00	0.47 ± 0.10
C20:0	0.77 ± 0.06	0.42 ± 0.05	0.40 ± 0.07	0.25 ± 0.06	0.59 ± 0.13	0.17 ± 0.08	0.38 ± 0.08	1.27 ± 0.10	0.90 ± 0.06	1.04 ± 0.05	0.98 ± 0.13
C20:1 n-9	0.73 ± 0.05	0.64 ± 0.09	1.08 ± 0.14	0.82 ± 0.06	0.47 ± 0.12	0.42 ± 0.30	0.78 ± 0.09	0.10 ± 0.06	0.41 ± 0.14	0.00 ± 0.00	0.34 ± 0.12
C20:2 n-6	1.40 ± 0.03	1.34 ± 0.14	1.08 ± 0.18	0.98 ± 0.05	0.54 ± 0.08	0.31 ± 0.12	0.30 ± 0.10	0.00 ± 0.00	0.48 ± 0.12	0.14 ± 0.09	0.00 ± 0.00
C20:3 n-3	0.09 ± 0.03	0.03 ± 0.02	0.34 ± 0.06	0.20 ± 0.02	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.08 ± 0.03	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00
C20:3 n-6	0.41 ± 0.02	0.54 ± 0.09	0.49 ± 0.03	0.35 ± 0.07	0.92 ± 0.26	0.18 ± 0.07	0.18 ± 0.07	0.00 ± 0.00	0.19 ± 0.11	0.00 ± 0.00	0.10 ± 0.06
C20:4 n-6	15.68 ± 0.37	17.07 ± 1.03	19.67 ± 0.87	9.76 ± 0.29	17.67 ± 0.65	15.24 ± 1.07	19.58 ± 1.43	1.22 ± 0.30	$4.89 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 1.04$	3.31 ± 0.47	5.19 ± 0.36
C20:5 n-3	15.11 ± 0.61	14.13 ± 0.74	11.94 ± 0.46	22.12 ± 1.08	$14.44 \ \pm \ 0.80$	6.36 ± 0.36	6.20 ± 0.41	$6.29 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.45$	9.31 ± 1.92	5.29 ± 0.62	8.45 ± 0.42
C21:0	0.20 ± 0.09	0.24 ± 0.09	0.26 ± 0.10	0.04 ± 0.04	0.01 ± 0.01	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.02 ± 0.01	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00
C22:0	0.11 ± 0.04	0.15 ± 0.05	0.35 ± 0.05	0.17 ± 0.02	0.37 ± 0.06	0.44 ± 0.16	0.71 ± 0.06	1.59 ± 0.15	1.29 ± 0.14	1.30 ± 0.15	1.46 ± 0.22
C22:1 n-9	0.47 ± 0.02	0.38 ± 0.07	0.56 ± 0.10	0.23 ± 0.06	0.00 ± 0.00	0.04 ± 0.03	0.00 ± 0.00	1.02 ± 0.24	$2.32 \ \pm \ 0.48$	1.06 ± 0.16	0.87 ± 0.25
C22:2 n-6	0.01 ± 0.01	0.00 ± 0.00	0.17 ± 0.03	0.41 ± 0.04	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.07 ± 0.05	0.31 ± 0.09	0.37 ± 0.13	0.24 ± 0.10	0.00 ± 0.00
C22:4 n-6	0.10 ± 0.07	0.21 ± 0.12	0.25 ± 0.08	0.27 ± 0.02	0.22 ± 0.09	0.32 ± 0.14	0.00 ± 0.00	0.37 ± 0.12	0.26 ± 0.09	0.22 ± 0.09	0.00 ± 0.00
C22:5 n-3	1.03 ± 0.03	1.66 ± 0.21	0.80 ± 0.11	0.59 ± 0.05	0.58 ± 0.12	0.06 ± 0.05	0.24 ± 0.13	0.99 ± 0.25	0.71 ± 0.19	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00
C22:6 n-3	7.65 ± 0.07	3.61 ± 0.15	4.66 ± 0.21	4.63 ± 0.23	$8.24 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.88$	3.28 ± 0.29	10.34 ± 2.14	2.46 ± 0.53	$2.06 ~\pm~ 0.52$	1.16 ± 0.56	2.24 ± 0.83
C23:0	0.02 ± 0.01	0.00 ± 0.00	0.14 ± 0.03	0.03 ± 0.01	0.02 ± 0.01	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.37 ± 0.12	$0.23 ~\pm~ 0.08$	0.28 ± 0.10	0.00 ± 0.00
C24:0	0.00 ± 0.00	0.04 ± 0.04	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00
C24:1 n-9	1.01 ± 0.05	1.37 ± 0.28	0.82 ± 0.10	0.23 ± 0.04	0.16 ± 0.09	0.04 ± 0.03	0.24 ± 0.09	0.38 ± 0.16	0.19 ± 0.07	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00

				A 7							
n-3	$24.60 \ \pm \ 0.60$	$19.95 ~\pm~ 0.64$	$18.69 \ \pm \ 0.47$	$28.45 \ \pm \ 0.94$	$24.01 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.66$	$9.80 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.54$	$17.68 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 2.55$	$6.92 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.91$	$12.62 \ \pm \ 2.41$	$7.76 ~\pm~ 1.30$	$12.48 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 1.03$
n-5	$0.36 ~\pm~ 0.03$	$0.47 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.09$	0.84 ± 0.20	$0.57 ~\pm~ 0.09$	$0.00 ~\pm~ 0.00$	$0.00 ~\pm~ 0.00$	$0.00 ~\pm~ 0.00$	$0.00 ~\pm~ 0.00$	$0.07 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.02$	$0.00 ~\pm~ 0.00$	$0.00 \hspace{0.1 cm} \pm \hspace{0.1 cm} 0.00$
n-6	$18.33 \ \pm \ 0.36$	$21.33 ~\pm~ 1.20$	$23.59 \ \pm \ 0.98$	$12.84 \ \pm \ 0.35$	$21.55 \ \pm \ 0.58$	$18.84 \ \pm \ 1.08$	$21.65 ~\pm~ 1.40$	$8.04 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.58$	$9.53 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.88$	$7.39 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.88$	$9.36 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.48$
n-7	$18.82 \ \pm \ 0.18$	$20.91 \hspace{.1in} \pm \hspace{.1in} 1.12$	$19.49 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.98$	$24.91 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.47$	$8.70 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.35$	$8.76 ~\pm~ 0.50$	$6.89 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.30$	$2.56 ~\pm~ 0.45$	$20.29 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.73$	$17.30 ~\pm~ 2.29$	$23.24 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 1.35$
n-9	$4.04 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.13$	$4.04 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.37$	$4.18 ~\pm~ 0.20$	$5.07 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.32$	$5.65 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.23$	$8.98 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.66$	$8.52 \ \pm \ 0.15$	$3.11 \hspace{.1in} \pm \hspace{.1in} 0.67$	$14.71 \hspace{.1in} \pm \hspace{.1in} 1.02$	$13.82 \ \pm \ 1.67$	$11.89 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 1.18$
Saturates	$32.01 \hspace{.1in} \pm \hspace{.1in} 0.41$	$30.63 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.56$	$30.05 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.44$	$26.24 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.99$	$39.97 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.21$	$53.63 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 1.15$	$45.27 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 1.30$	$48.07 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 1.83$	$42.78 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 3.05$	$53.72 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 8.57$	$43.03 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 2.05$
Monounsaturates	$25.05 ~\pm~ 0.22$	$28.09 \ \pm \ 0.74$	$27.66 ~\pm~ 0.84$	$32.45 ~\pm~ 0.60$	$14.46 \ \pm \ 0.36$	$17.73 \ \pm \ 0.88$	$15.41 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.37$	$35.55 ~\pm~ 1.67$	$35.06 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 1.84$	$31.12 \ \pm \ 5.86$	$35.14 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.40$
Polyunsaturates	$42.94 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.48$	$41.28 \ \pm \ 0.83$	$42.29 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.97$	$41.29 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.82$	$45.56 \ \pm \ 0.45$	$28.64 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 1.29$	$39.32 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 1.44$	$16.32 \ \pm \ 2.18$	$22.15 ~\pm~ 4.40$	$15.16 \ \pm \ 3.31$	$21.84 \ \pm \ 2.15$
n-3/n-6	$1.36 ~\pm~ 0.05$	1.00 ± 0.09	$0.81 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.04$	$2.25 ~\pm~ 0.11$	1.14 ± 0.06	$0.54 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.04$	$1.06 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.29$	0.46 ± 0.13	1.44 ± 0.34	$1.19 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.15$	$1.33 ~\pm~ 0.08$
EPA/DHA	$1.98 ~\pm~ 0.09$	$4.02 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.27$	$2.62 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.13$	5.05 ± 0.43	$2.13 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.26$	$2.16 ~\pm~ 0.23$	$0.81 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.09$	$0.38 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 3.75$	$2.15 ~\pm~ 0.54$	$0.79 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.45$	$1.49 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.54$
C18:1n-9/C18:1n-7	$0.28 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.01$	$0.44 \hspace{.1in} \pm \hspace{.1in} 0.08$	$0.29 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.06$	$0.67 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.02$	$1.40 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.02$	$2.56~\pm~0.10$	$2.50 \ \pm \ 0.17$	$7.17 \hspace{.1in} \pm \hspace{.1in} 0.45$	$2.31 \hspace{.1in} \pm \hspace{.1in} 0.26$	$2.69 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.74$	$1.70 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.32$

Table 4. ANOSIM of fatty acids profile for all the samples (All), between the different locations where sea-urchins and sediment samples were collected (L1, L2, L3, L4) and between different species of algae (Os=*Osmundaria volubilis;* Pe= *Peyssonnelia* sp.; Ph=*Phyllophora crispa*

	Gon	ads	Sedir	nent		Alg	gae	
	R	р	R	р		R	р	
All	0.562	0.001	0.174	< 0.01	All	0.691	0.001	
L1&L2	0.62	0.001	0.243	< 0.05	Os&Pe	0.952	0.001	
L1&L3	0.572	0.001	0.122	< 0.01	Os&Ph	0.544	0.001	
L1&L4	0.849	0.001	0.626	< 0.01	Pey&Ph	0.565	0.001	G
L2&L3	0.275	0.001	-0.043	n.s				
L2&L4	0.672	0.001	0.004	n.s.				
L3&L4	0.554	0.001	0.08	n.s.				

Table 5. Results of SIMPER analysis showing average similarity within the samples groups (S) and average dissimilarity between samples groups (D). The analysis was carried out for all the samples (All), for locations were sea-urchins and sediment were collected (L1, L2, L3, L4) and for the main algae species (Os= *Osmundaria volubilis*, Pe= *Peyssonnelia* sp., Ph= *Phyllophora crispa*). The contribution of the fatty acids to the respective groups is shown as a percentage of the total (%).

Similarity						Dissimilarit	y		0 7		
Gonads	All S=85.49%	L1 S=93.71%	L2 S=86.08%	L3 S=87.54%	L4 S=89.00%	Gonads	L1&L2 S=17.80%	L1&L3 S=15.57%	L1&L4 S=21.07%	L2&L4 S=20.31%	L3&L4 S=22.45%
C20:4 n-6	18.02	15.89	17.15	20.44	10.27	C16:1 n-7	13.01	11.2	17.96	9.89	13.94
C16:0	17.83	14.96	19.3	18.33	16.72	C22:6 n-3	11.39	9.64	7.17		
C20:5 n-3	14.37	14.71	14.59	12.53	22.03	C20:4 n-6	10.27	13.29	14.05	18.13	22.1
C16:1 n-7	13.09	11.74	15.51	12.1	20.08	C14:0	9.47	10.32	8.18		
						C20:5 n-3	8.74	11.64	16.9	20.34	22.73
Algae	All S=80.60%	Os S=87.63%	Pe S=86.86%	Ph S=84.52%		Algae	Os&Pe S=24.95%	Os & Ph S=19.00%	Pe & Ph S=21.25%		
C16:0	36.30	29.54	46.1	34.85		C16:0	33.05	12.51	28.2		
C20:4 n-6	18.51	18.37	14.73	19.73		C20:5 n-3	16.19	21.04			
C20:5 n-3	8.14	14.27	6.39	6.26		C22:6 n-3	9.92	15.56	16.7		
						C20:4 n-6	8.68	13.23	15.6		
Sediment	All S=73.91%	L1 S=84.53%	L2 S=75.26%	L3 S=62.22%	L4 S=81.42%	Sediment	L1&L4 S=24.21%				
C16:0	31.31	29.31	32.27	28.87	31.56	C18:1 n-9	19.17				
C18:1 n-9	14.88	21.41	12.98	14.67	10.48	C16:1 n-7	13.64				
C16:1 n-7	13.27	10.2	17.16	10.54	15.44	C20:4 n-6	8.21				

Table 6

Table 6. Results of the redundancy analysis for the relative contribution of the fatty acids found in the gonads of the *Spatangus purpureus*. The full model contains all the variables included in the model: Location, Size and the Gonadosomatic Index (GSI). The explained variance (EV) for the full model and each variable after extracting the effect of the covariables is also indicated.

Effect	Covariance	Trace	EV	F-ratio	P-value
Full model		0.42	42.0%	7.82	0.001
Location	Size, GSI	0.27	64.3%	8.22	0.002
Size	Location, GSI	0.01	2.4%	0.72	0.747
GSI	Location, Size	0.03	7.1%	7.14	0.010

Table 7. Revision on the information on the main fatty acids used as trophic marker in benthos ecology.

Fatty acid	Common name	Trophic marker for	References
C22:6 n-3	Docosahexaenoic (DHA)	Dinoflagelates; Suspension or filter feeding Zooplankton	Howell et al., 2003; Sargent et al., 1987; 1995. Vashappilly and Chen, 1998; Mansour et al., 1999; Hughes et al. 2005 Kharlamenko et al., 2001
		Carnivorous feeding	Tagaki et al., 1986; Kharlamenko et al., 2001; Cook et al., 2000; Budge et al., 2002; Hughes et al. 2005
C20:5 n-3	Eicosapentaenoic	Diatomeas;	Howell et al., 2003
	(EPA)	Suspension feeding	
		Macroalgal and sea	Ackman et al., 1968, Paradis and Ackman 1977: Jean and Busarova
		macroalgal	1984: Kavama et al., 1989: Mai et al., 1996: Cook
			et al., 2000; Nelson et al. 2002; Hughes et al. 2005
C20:4 n-6	Arachidonic	Protozoans,	Howell et al., 2003
	(ARA)	Microeucariotes in	
		the sediment	
		Macroalgal and sea	Paradis and Ackman, 1977: Cook et al., 2000: Isay
		urchins feeding on	and Busarova, 1984; Mai et al., 1996; Nelson et al.
		macroalgal	2002; Hughes et al. 2005
C18:2 n-6	Linoleic	Mud ingesters	Howell et al., 2003
		Seagrass	Kharlamenko et al. 2001
		Algae	Nichols et al., 1982: Kayama et al., 1989: Nelson et
			al, 2002;
C18:1 n-9	Oleic	Carnivorous feeding	Howell et al., 2003
C18:1 n-7	Vaccenic	Bacteria;	Volkman et al., 1980; Pond et al., 2002; Howell et
		Mud ingester	al., 2003
C18:3 n-3	Alpha-linolenic	Herbivorous diet	Sargent et al., 1987; Mai et al., 1996; Nelson et al. 2002
		Seagrass	Kharlamenko et al., 2000; ;
		Algae	Nichols et al., 1982; Kayama et al., 1989; Nelson et
C_{10}		TT 1.	al. 2002
C18:4 (n-3)	Stearidonic	Herbivorous	Sargent et al., 1983; Mai et al., 1996
		on algae	Cook et al., 2000; Hughes et al. 2003
		Algae	Kayama et al. 1989
C16:1 n-7	Palmitoleic	Bacteria:	Cook et al. 2000 : Gilian et al. 1988
		Mud ingesters ;	··· , , - ··· · · ··· , - · · ·
	r	Diatoms	Ackman et al., 1968
		Algae	Nelson et al. 2002
C18:1	Ratio	Measure of bacterial	Howell et al., 2003; Cook et al., 2003
(n-9/n-7)	Oleic/Vaccenic	input in the diet	











Research highlights

We analyzed the fatty acid (FA) composition of the gonads and potential food resources (mian algae and sediment samples) in order to assess the trophic relationships of this species. Three polyunsaturated FAs were the most abundant in the gonads of *S. purpureus*: eicosapentaenoic acid (C20:5 n-3) and arachidonic acid (C20:4 n-6), both abundant in the macroalgal material, and palmitoleic acid (C16:1 n-7), which is characteristic of sediment samples

The FA composition of sea-urchin gonads changes in relation to sampling location (i.e. available resources) and the gonadal development.

Biomarkers of bacterial input and carnivorous feeding were more elevated in seaurchins caught on bottoms with less vegetation

The results of this study highlight the omnivorous feeding behavior of this species and suggest that phytodetritus found within algae beds is an important carbon source for this species.