Coupled use of COSPEC and satellite measurements to define the volumetric balance during effusive eruptions at Mt. Etna, Italy

Andrea M. Steffke ^{a,*}, Andrew J.L. Harris ^{a,b}, Mike Burton ^c,
Tommaso Caltabiano ^d, Giuseppe Giovanni Salerno ^{c,d}

^a Hawai'i Institute of Geophysics and Planetology, School of Ocean and Earth Science and Technology, University of Hawai'i at Manoa, 1680 East-West Road, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA

^b Laboratoire Magmas et Volcans, Université Blaise Pascal, 5 Rue Kessler, 63038, Clermont-Ferrand, France

^c INGV, Catania, Piazza Roma 2, 95123 Catania, Italy

^d Department of Geography, University of Cambridge, Downing Place, Cambridge, CB2 3EN, UK

Using Etna as a case study location, we examine the balance between the volume of magma supplied to the shallow volcanic system (using ground-based SO₂ data) and the volume erupted (using satellite thermal data). We do this for three eruptions of Mt. Etna (Italy) during 2002 to 2006. We find that, during the three eruptions, 2.3×10^7 m³ or 24% of the degassed volume remained unerupted. However, variations in the degree of partitioning between supplied (V_{supply}) and erupted (V_{erupt}) magma occur within individual eruptions over the time scales of days. Consequently, we define and quantify three types of partitioning. In the first case, $V_{\text{supply}} < V_{\text{erupt}}$, i.e. more lava is erupted than is supplied. In such a case previously degassed magma is erupted or magma can rise faster than it is able to degas, as occurred during the open phases of the 2002–2003 and 2004–2005 eruptions, respectively. In the second case, $V_{\text{supply}} > V_{\text{erupt}}$, i.e. less lava is erupted than is supplied. In such a case, magma can erupt in an explosive manner, as occurred during Phase II of the 2002–2003 eruption, or remain within or below the edifice. In the third case, $V_{\text{supply}} = V_{\text{erupt}}$, i.e. all supplied magma is erupted. During 2002–2006, over a total of 280 days of eruptive activity, this balancing case applied to 50% of the time.

Keywords:

Etna
thermal remote sensing
SO₂
flux
mass balance
effusive eruptions

1. Introduction

Satellite remote sensing can be used during effusive eruptions to determine the time-averaged discharge rate at which lava is erupted (e.g., Wright et al., 2001; Harris and Baloga, 2009; Coppola et al., 2010). At the same time, the degassing that takes place as the magma rises to the surface can be measured using ultraviolet spectroscopic methods (e.g., Stoiber et al., 1983; Galle et al., 2002; Yang et al., 2007). While time-averaged discharge rates have been measured using satellite data at Mt. Etna (Italy) on a regular basis since the 1991–1993 eruption (e.g., Harris et al., 1997, 2000; Lautze et al., 2004), sulfur dioxide (SO₂) fluxes have been derived from correlation spectrometer (COSPEC) measurements on a regular basis since 1987 (e.g. Caltabiano et al., 1994; Allard, 1997; Andronico et al., 2005; Burton et al., 2005). Allard (1997) and Harris et al. (2000) compared time-averaged

discharge rates with the measured SO₂ fluxes to determine how much of the degassed magma was erupted onto Etna's flanks between 1975 and 1995, finding that only 10–20% of the 3.5–5.9 km³ of magma degassed over that period was erupted. However, these studies examined bulk volumes erupted annually rather than examining the short-term variations during eruptions.

Determining the balance between the amount of magma supplied and the amount of lava erupted during an individual eruption remains an unresolved issue, but has implications for our understanding of the excess degassing problem (Andres et al., 1991; Francis et al., 1993; Kazahaya et al., 1994). Excess degassing models tend to envisage unerupted, degassed magma sinking in the conduit to be balanced by ascent of fresh, undegassed magma via conduit convection (e.g., Harris and Stevenson, 1997; Williams-Jones et al., 2003; Witter et al., 2004), with the unerupted degassed volume being intruded within or beneath the edifice (e.g., Dzurisin et al., 1984; Dvorak and Dzurisin, 1993; Allard, 1997; Harris et al., 1999). The main objective of this paper is to examine short-term variations in the partitioning of erupted and unerupted magma volumes using satellite-based time-averaged discharge rates along with regularly measured SO₂ fluxes.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 808 956 0182; fax: +1 808 956 6322.

E-mail addresses: steffke@higp.hawaii.edu (A.M. Steffke),
A.Harris@opgc.univ-bpclermont.fr (A.J.L. Harris), burton@ct.ingv.it (M. Burton),
ggs25@cam.ac.uk (G.G. Salerno).

We use these to assess how and when the volume of magma supplied to the shallow system is balanced by the volume of lava erupted during individual effusive eruptions.

1.1. Magma volumetric balance: dynamics

Once magma enters the shallow system three scenarios are possible. In the first scenario, degassed magma can remain unerupted but within the edifice, resulting in endogenous growth (Dzurisin et al., 1984; Dvorak and Dzurisin, 1993). In the second scenario, it can sink back to deeper levels to mix with a deep reservoir or be intruded below the edifice (resulting in cryptic growth) (Allard, 1997; Harris et al., 1999). Alternatively, in the third scenario, it can be erupted onto the surface resulting in exogenous growth (Francis et al., 1993; Sutton et al., 2001). As a result, three different mass balance scenarios can occur: (1) the volume of erupted lava (V_{erupt}) can be less than the volume of supplied magma (V_{supplied}), meaning that a portion of the degassed magma has not been erupted; (2) V_{erupt} can be greater than V_{supplied} , meaning that previously degassed magma in temporary storage within the shallow system has contributed to the erupted flux, or the magma has risen at a rate faster than it can degas; or (3) V_{erupt} can equal V_{supplied} , meaning that all of the degassed magma has been erupted.

These scenarios have been documented at several volcanoes. At Kilauea (Hawaii) between 1956 and 1983, V_{supplied} was greater than V_{erupted} with 45–65% of the supplied magma remaining within the volcano's rift zones (Dzurisin et al., 1984; Dvorak and Dzurisin, 1993). In contrast, during 1983–2002 V_{supplied} equaled V_{erupted} (Dvorak and Dzurisin, 1993; Sutton et al., 2001). At Krafla (Iceland) deformation data revealed an excess in V_{supplied} during 1975–1978, resulting in endogenous growth by rift zone intrusion (Bjornsson et al., 1979). At Etna, an excess volume of degassed magma likely resulted in the creation of a cryptic plutonic complex within Etna's sedimentary basement (Allard, 1997), as well as contributing to some endogenous growth by intrusion (Budetta and Carbone, 1998; Harris et al., 2000).

However, most studies have examined volume fluxes over periods of months to decades and have not examined the potential variation in volume partitioning during individual eruptions over the time scale of hours to days. Here we compare the volumes of degassed magma with the amount of erupted lava during three effusive eruptions of contrasting styles and locations at Etna between 2002 and 2006. To do this we use COSPEC-derived SO_2 measurements and time-averaged discharge rates obtained from Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) thermal data. We show that the balance between the volumes of degassed magma and erupted lava not only vary from eruption to eruption, but also vary during single eruptions.

2. Etna eruptions: 2002–2006

Three eruptions occurred at Etna during 126 2002–2006: the 2002–2003 flank eruption, the 2004–2005 flank eruption and the 2006 summit eruption. The 2002–2003 flank eruption began on 26 October 2002 when two eruptive fissures opened on the South and NE flanks (Andronico et al., 2005). The eruption lasted three months ending on 28 January 2003 and was characterized by moderate lava effusion ranging from 2 to $15 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, as well as explosive activity (Andronico et al., 2009). The eruption was preceded by a sharp increase in SO_2 emission on 25 October 2002, which increased from a background flux of 1000 t/d to 2000 t/d (Andronico et al., 2005). The 2004–2005 flank eruption began on 7 September 2004 with the opening of a fracture on the lower eastern flank of the South East Cone (SEC). The eruption was characterized by low but steady effusion at $2.3\text{--}4.1 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ to feed lava flows that extended up to 2.5 km (Burton et al., 2005; Mazzarini et al., 2005). The eruption lasted 6 months ending in March 2005 (Allard et al., 2006; Bonaccorso et al., 2006).

Unlike most Etnean eruptions no precursory activity, such as an increase in SO_2 flux, preceded the eruption (Burton et al., 2005).

The 2006 eruption began on 14 July 2006 when a fissure opened on the east flank of the SEC (Neri et al., 2006; Andronico et al., 2009). The eruption lasted 11 days ending on 24 July. The eruption was characterized by lava flows emplaced at highly variable effusion rates that ranged between 2 and $10 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and was accompanied by Strombolian activity at SEC. Lava flows had not reached lengths longer than 3 km when the eruption ended (BGVN, 2006). A second phase of the 2006 eruption commenced on 31 August and continued until 14 December. This portion of the eruption was characterized by intense explosive activity and hence is not examined in this work (Behncke et al., 2005; Andronico et al., 2009).

3. Methodology

We calculated magma degassing rates and volumes of magma degassed using COSPEC-derived SO_2 measurements. Previous studies have shown how SO_2 flux can be measured using COSPEC and that, using SO_2 flux, the volume of degassed magma can be calculated (Allard, 1997; Caltabiano et al., 2004; Allard et al., 2006):

$$Q_d(\text{m}^3) = Q_s / [(S)^* \rho^* (1-X)] \quad (1)$$

where Q_d is the volume of degassed magma, Q_s is the volume of elemental sulfur, S is the weight fraction of sulfur degassed per unit of magma, X is the crystal fraction and ρ is the density of the magma. The SO_2 source is degassing magma, ascending toward the surface. Melt inclusion studies (Metrich et al., 2004; Spilliaert et al., 2006) have constrained the original sulfur content of Etnean magma to be ~0.32 wt.%. Using this value we can calculate the amount of SO_2 a specific volume of unvesiculated primitive magma can produce. All values used to calculate magma volume and thus supply rates are given in Table 1.

For each of the eruptions considered here SO_2 measurements were made daily (Andronico et al., 2005; Burton et al., 2005). We then used these measurements to calculate the degassed magma flux (in $\text{m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$). We use this to define the amount of magma that is degassed over a given time period, in this case a day, and term this time-averaged (daily) magma supply rate (S_r). Given S_r we can integrate through time to calculate the volume of degassed magma over each measurement period. We term this the volume of supplied magma (V_{supplied}) (Table 2). Errors associated with the SO_2 method have been shown to range between 20 and 30% (Caltabiano et al., 2004; Andronico et al., 2005). In this study we assume the maximum error of $\pm 30\%$ and calculate a range of S_r and V_{supplied} using this uncertainty.

Table 1

Parameters, values and supporting references for constants used in calculating discharge and supply rate.

Parameter	Value	Reference
T_{surf} ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	100–500	Harris et al. (2000)
T_{amb} ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	0	Harris et al. (2000)
σ ($\text{W m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-4}$)	5.67×10^{-8}	Harris et al. (2000)
h_c ($\text{W m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1}$)	~10	Harris et al. (2000)
DRE ρ (kg m^{-3})	2600	Harris et al. (2000)
DRE c_p ($\text{J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$)	1150	Harris et al. (2000)
Vesicularity	10–34	Harris et al. (2000)
Bulk ρ (kg m^{-3})	1720–2340	Harris et al. (2000)
Bulk c_p ($\text{J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$)	810–1035	Harris et al. (2000)
Cooling range (K)	200–350	Harris et al. (2000)
ϕ (%)	45	Harris et al. (2000)
C_L (J kg^{-1})	3.5×10^5	Caltabiano et al. (2004)
x_c	30	Caltabiano et al. (2004)
ρ_m (kg m^{-3})	2600	Caltabiano et al. (2004)

Table 2

Definitions, descriptions and significance of parameters used in determining volumetric balance.

Parameter	Description and significance	Derivation
S_r	Time-averaged (daily) supply rate of magma. Rate of magma entering the shallow portion of the system	Calculated by supplied magma volume flux required to give the measured SO_2 flux
D_r	Time-averaged (daily) discharge rate of lava. Rate of lava being erupted from the volcano	Calculated by erupted volume flux required to balance heat loss
V_{supply}	Volume of magma degassed over a given period of time. Volume supplied to the shallow portion of the system	Calculated by integrating S_r through time
V_{erupt}	Volume of lava erupted over a given period of time. Volume of erupted lava	Obtained by integrating D_r through time

Time-averaged discharge rates were calculated using cloud-free AVHRR data. The pixel mixture model initially proposed by Dozier (1981) and adapted for use with one AVHRR band (Harris et al., 1997) was used; allowing us to determine the area of active lava that occupied each thermally anomalous pixel:

$$\left[\frac{L(\lambda, T_{int}) - L(\lambda, T_{amb})}{L(\lambda, T_{hot}) - L(\lambda, T_{amb})} \right] A_{pixel} = p A_{pixel} = A_{lava} \quad (2)$$

where $L(\lambda, T_{int})$ is the integrated radiance received at the satellite sensor, $L(\lambda, T_{amb})$ is the radiance of the ambient background at temperature T_{amb} , $L(\lambda, T_{hot})$ is the radiance of lava at temperature T_{hot} , A_{pixel} is the area of the pixel, p is the portion of pixel occupied by T_{hot} and A_{lava} is the area of lava that has been erupted. Eq. (2) has three unknowns: T_{amb} , T_{hot} and p . Here we assume T_{amb} is equivalent to the temperature of lava-free (non-anomalous) surrounding pixels. This leaves two unknowns, T_{hot} and p . Following Harris et al. (1997), p was estimated using a range of possible lava temperatures (Table 1), giving a range of possible p and thus A_{lava} . Values for A_{lava} obtained for each pixel were then summed to obtain total lava area and used to calculate the radiative and convective heat losses from the active lava (Q_{rad} and Q_{conv}) following Oppenheimer (1991).

Heat loss was then converted to effusion using the methodology derived for Etna by Harris et al. (1997, 2000).

$$E_r = (Q_{rad} + Q_{conv}) / \rho (c_p \delta T + \phi c_L) \quad (3)$$

where E_r is the time-averaged discharge rate, Q_{rad} is the radiative heat loss, Q_{conv} is the convective heat loss, ρ is density of the lava, c_p is the specific heat capacity, δT is the temperature difference from liquidus to solidus, ϕ is the fraction of crystals grown in cooling through δT and c_L is the latent heat of crystallization. All values used to calculate the effusion rates are given in Table 1. These rates were used to calculate

the volume of lava erupted over a given period ($V_{erupted}$) (Table 2). Uncertainties associated with this method are of the order of 50%, mostly due to the requirement to assume a range of T_{hot} and δT , although when compared with simultaneously measured ground values derived E_r are in good agreement (Harris et al., 2007; Harris and Baloga, 2009; Harris et al., 2010). As with the SO_2 data, we assume the maximum error and calculate a range of E_r and $V_{erupted}$ using this uncertainty.

4. Results

The balance between supplied magma volumes and erupted lava volumes for each eruption is given in Table 3. The temporal variations during each eruption are also plotted in Fig. 1a, with supply versus erupted volume differences ($V_{supply} - V_{erupted}$) being plotted in Fig. 2. Each eruption can be split into phases based on relative levels of supplied magma volumes and erupted lava volumes, and thus the differences between the two volumes (Figs. 1 and 2). The rates and volumes shown in Fig. 1 and Table 3 were calculated using the full range of errors associated with each method.

The 2002–2003 eruption began with a five-day phase during which E_r exceeded S_r (Phase I, Fig. 1a), meaning that more lava was erupted than was degassed (Fig. 2; Table 2). As a result, the output exceeded supply (Fig. 1a). However, during the following phase (Phase II), S_r exceeded E_r (Fig. 1a; Table 3) to cause an excess in magma supplied (Fig. 2). Consequently, the volume of supplied magma greatly surpassed the volume of erupted lava (Fig. 1b). During the third phase (Phase III) S_r equaled E_r (Fig. 1a); so that $V_{supply} = V_{erupted}$ (Fig. 2; Table 3). During the final phase (Phase IV) there was an excess of supplied magma (Fig. 2; Table 3). Overall, during the entire eruption, V_{supply} exceeded V_{erupt} by $3.4 \times 10^7 \text{ m}^3$ (Fig. 1b; Table 3). Field-based measurements of erupted lava during this eruption were measured to be $3.5\text{--}4.0 \times 10^7 \text{ m}^3$ (Allard et al., 2006) (assuming a vesicularity of 25%). These values fall within the given range of erupted volumes calculated in this study (Fig. 1b).

During the 2004–2005 eruption E_r and S_r were approximately constant throughout the eruption, with periods of small differences (Fig. 1a). We classified the eruption into four phases (Phases A–D). During two of the phases (Phases A and C), V_{erupt} exceeded V_{supply} , but only by a small amount (Fig. 2; Table 3). In the other two phases (Phase B and D), the two volumes were coupled and so that V_{supply} was equal to the $V_{erupted}$ (Fig. 2; Table 3). The total difference between V_{erupt} and V_{supply} during the entire eruption was $6.6 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$. Field-based measurements of V_{erupt} were $5.0 \times 10^7 \text{ m}^3$ (Allard et al., 2006), also falling within the range of volumes calculated in this study (Fig. 1b).

The 2006 eruption was characterized by almost constant S_r . However, E_r was highly variable (Fig. 1a). During the first four days (Phase X), E_r was lower than S_r . However after July 19th 245 (Phase Y), E_r increased to surpass S_r (Fig. 1a; Table 3). Therefore, V_{supply} was

Table 3

Eruption information, supply rates (m^3/s), discharge rates (m^3/s), volumes of supplied magma (m^3), volumes of erupted lava (m^3) and volumetric differences ($\Delta V = V_{erupt} - V_{supply}$) for Etna eruptions 2002–2006.

Eruption:	2002–2003					2004–2005					2006		
Phase:	I	II	III	IV	Total	A	B	C	D	Total	X	Y	Total
Start date	26-Oct-02	31-Oct-02	13-Dec-02	28-Dec-02		16-Sep-04	7-Oct-04	4-Nov-04	2-Dec-04		15-Jul-06	19-Jul-06	
Stop date	30-Oct-02	12-Dec-02	27-Dec-02	29-Jan-03		6-Oct-04	3-Nov-04	1-Dec-04	10-Mar-04		18-Jul-06	25-July-06	
Duration (days)	5	42	15	33	95	21	28	27	98	174	4	7	11
Max D_r	33	9	8	5		5	5	6	12		5	10	
Max S_r	15	22	7	10		2	2	4	11		4	6	
V_{supply}	1.6×10^6	3.9×10^7	5.6×10^6	1.4×10^7	6.0×10^7	1.4×10^6	2.4×10^6	2.9×10^6	2.8×10^7	3.5×10^7	8.1×10^5	1.8×10^6	2.6×10^6
V_{erupt}	5.9×10^6	1.0×10^7	5.1×10^6	5.3×10^6	2.6×10^7	4.0×10^6	5.9×10^6	6.9×10^6	2.4×10^7	4.1×10^7	5.2×10^5	2.2×10^6	2.7×10^6
ΔV	4.3×10^6	-2.9×10^7	-5.0×10^5	-8.7×10^6	-3.4×10^7	2.6×10^6	3.5×10^6	4.0×10^6	-4.0×10^6	6.1×10^6	-2.9×10^5	4.0×10^5	1.1×10^5

Fig. 1. Etna 2002–2006. (a) Discharge, supply rates and partitioning. (b) Cumulative volumes of erupted lava and degassed magma. Note that when the two curves overlap V_{supplied} and V_{erupt} are coupled. The square shows the field-based volumes of erupted lavas (Allard et al., 2006). The two lines given in a and b for each of the rates and volumes show the derived upper and lower bounds calculated for each technique.

greater than V_{erupt} during Phase X, but less than V_{erupt} during Phase Y (Fig. 1b; Table 3).

5. Discussion

The three eruptions encompass the three different volume balance scenarios: i.e. (1) $V_{\text{supplied}} = V_{\text{erupt}}$, (2) $V_{\text{supplied}} > V_{\text{erupt}}$, (3)

$V_{\text{supplied}} < V_{\text{erupt}}$. When V_{supplied} is greater than V_{erupt} a portion of the ascending magma reaches a depth at which the magma can degas (~3–4 km: lithostatic depth) (Caltabiano et al., 2004) but does not erupt. This occurred during Phase IV of the 2002–2003 eruption when V_{supplied} exceeded V_{erupt} by $8.7 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ (Fig. 1b). In such a scenario the excess of degassed magma must either be stored in the edifice or be removed from the shallow system to be emplaced at

Fig. 2. Volume partitioning for Etna, 2002–2006 eruptions. Any value greater than zero indicates an excess of erupted lava, while any value less than zero indicates an excess of supplied magma.

depth. However, if the magma were erupted explosively the satellite sensor would not be able to detect the emission. Therefore there would be an underestimate in the volume of erupted material. This was the case for Phase II of the 2002–2003 eruption. During that time Etna experienced a highly explosive phase simultaneous with the

effusive activity with tephra volumes of up to $2.2 \pm 0.4 \times 10^7 \text{ m}^3$ being erupted (Andronico et al. 2005; Spilliaert et al., 2006). Therefore the difference between the effused and degassed magma ($2.9 \times 10^7 \text{ m}^3$) during this phase could be explained by volume lost to tephra.

Magma may also rise at a rate slower than the gases are able to escape so that degassed magma fluxes can outrun erupted volume fluxes. In such cases the volume of degassed magma will eventually erupt, but will be observed later in the eruption. We use this scenario to account for the volume differences during the 2006 eruption. Early in the eruption SO_2 fluxes were elevated, but discharge rates were low ($0.4\text{--}1.1 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$). Later in the eruption, while E_r reached higher levels ($8\text{--}4 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$), SO_2 fluxes remained constant (Fig. 1). This change suggests that magma that had been degassed during the initial phase of the eruption (Phase X) had finally reached the surface during the latter phase (Phase Y) so that overall V_{supplied} and V_{erupted} were balanced (Table 3).

When V_{erupt} exceeds V_{supplied} two different scenarios can occur. Either the excess erupted volume is accounted for by eruption of previously degassed magma, or magma rises from depth at a faster rate than it is able to degas. The first scenario can occur at the beginning of an eruption when magma that has been stagnant within the shallow reservoir becomes incorporated with the eruption of new magma. Etna experiences almost constant degassing at the summit craters, at a time-averaged rate of $\sim 1000 \text{ t/d}$ since the 2001 eruption (Allard et al., 2006). Therefore there is potentially a substantial volume of degassed magma that can reside within the volcano's edifice at most times (Harris et al., 2000). This is available for incorporation with the next eruption. We observe this discrepancy at the onset of the 2004–2005 eruption (Fig. 2). This eruption was preceded by a 20-month period of quiescence and was not preceded by an increase in SO_2 emissions (Burton et al., 2005). Thus, time was available to generate a degassed volume that could contribute to the excess volume erupted at the onset of the eruption, in the case of the 2004–2005 eruption this contributed to an excess of $2.6 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ of lava. The second scenario can occur during eccentric eruptions in which magma that feeds the eruption ascends rapidly and bypasses the central conduit (Andronico et al., 2005; Spilliaert et al., 2006). This scenario is observed during Phase I of the 2002–2003 eruption when an excess of $4.3 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ was erupted in the first five days. Therefore at the onset of the eruption a large volume of magma was able to erupt without having adequate time to degas.

6. Conclusions

Typically SO_2 -derived volumes of degassed magma have been used to calculate volumetric budgets of volcanoes on time scales of years to decades. On such a time scale we find that during Etna's three eruptions of 2002–2006 there was a volumetric imbalance, with $2.3 \times 10^7 \text{ m}^3$ of the degassed volume being unerupted (i.e. 24% of the magma supplied to the shallow system remained unerupted). However, over the time-scale of days-to-weeks we find that partitioning can vary within single eruptions. Once magma reaches a depth where sulfur exsolves several scenarios can occur: (1) the magma can erupt (sometimes incorporating excess volumes of previously degassed magmas), (2) it can remain within the edifice resulting in endogenous growth, or (3) it can be recycled (and perhaps intruded beneath the edifice). During an eruption lasting just a few weeks, all three scenarios can be encountered. During 2002–2006, over a total of 280 days of eruptive activity, only on 141 days did the supplied volume couple with the erupted volume. Therefore, only during 50% of the time were the gas-based and satellite-based measurements coupled. This does not mean that either measurement is in error, but instead points to different processes that control the volume partitioning. Several processes can cause these volumetric imbalances: (1) magma can be rising at a faster rate than it is able to degas ($V_{\text{supply}} < V_{\text{erupt}}$); (2) magma is erupted in an explosive manner ($V_{\text{supply}} > V_{\text{erupt}}$); (3) degassed magma is not erupted ($V_{\text{supply}} > V_{\text{erupt}}$); or (4) the eruption of previously degassed magma occurs ($V_{\text{supply}} < V_{\text{erupt}}$).

References

- Allard, P., 1997. Endogenous magma degassing and storage at Mount Etna. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 24 (17), 2219–2222.
- Allard, P., Behnke, B., D'Amico, S., Neri, M., Gambino, S., 2006. Mount Etna 1993–2005: Anatomy of an evolving eruptive cycle. *Earth Science Reviews* 78 (1–2), 85–114.
- Andronico, D., Branca, S., Calvari, S., Burton, M., Caltabiano, T., Corsaro, R.A., Carlo, P.D., Garfi, G., Lodato, L., Miraglia, L., Mure, F., Neri, M., Pecora, E., Pompilio, M., Salerno, G., Spampinato, L., 2005. A multi-disciplinary study of the 2002–2003 Etna eruption: insights into a complex plumbing system. *Bull. Volcanol.* 67, 314–330.
- Andronico, D., Spinetti, C., Cristaldi, M.F., Buongiorno, M.F., 2009. Observations of Mt. Etna volcanic ash plumes in 2006: an integrated approach from ground-based and polar satellite NOAA-AVHRR monitoring system. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 180, 135–147.
- Behncke, B., Neri, M., Nagay, A., 2005. Lava flow hazard at Mount Etna (Italy): new data from GIS-based study. *Geol. Soc. Am. Spec. Pap.* 396, 189–209.
- (BGVN), B.o.G.V.N., 2006. Etna. Smithsonian Institution. *Bulletin of Global Volcanism Network* 31 (07).
- Bjornsson, A., Johnsen, G., Sigurdsson, S., Thorbergsson, G., 1979. Rifting of the plate boundary in North Iceland 1975–1978. *J. Geophys. Res.* 84 (B6), 3029–3039.
- Bonaccorso, A., Bonforte, A., Guglielmino, G., Palano, M., Puglisi, G., 2006. Composite ground deformation pattern forerunning the 2004–2005 Mount Etna eruption. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 111 (B12207).
- Budetta, G., Carbone, D., 1998. Temporal variations in gravity at Mt Etna (Italy) associated with the 1989 and 1991. *Bulletin of Volcanology* 59, 311–326.
- Burton, M.R., Neri, M., Andronico, D., Branca, S., Caltabiano, T., Calvari, S., Corsaro, R.A., Del Carlo, P., Lanzafame, G., Lodato, L., Miraglia, L., Salerno, G., Spampinato, L., 2005. Etna 2004–2005: an archetype for geodynamically-controlled effusive eruptions. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 32, L09303. doi:10.1029/2005GL022527.
- Caltabiano, T., Romano, R., Budetta, G., 1994. SO_2 flux measurements at Mount Etna (Sicily). *J. Geophys. Res.* 99 (D6), 12,809–12,819.
- Caltabiano, T., et al., 2004. Volcanic gas emissions from the summit craters and flanks of Mt. Etna, 1987–2000. In: Calvari, S., Bonaccorso, A., Coltelli, M., Del Negro, C., Falsaperla, S. (Eds.), *Mt. Etna, Volcano Laboratory*. American Geophysical Union Geophysical Monograph, pp. 111–128.
- Coppola, D., Piscopo, D., Staudacher, T., Cigolini, C., 2010. Lava discharge rate and effusive pattern at Piton de la Fournaise for MODIS data. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 184 (1–2), 174–192.
- Dozier, J., 1981. A method for satellite identification of surface temperature fields of subpixel resolution. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 11, 221–229.
- Dvorak, J.J., Dzurisin, D., 1993. Variations in magma supply rate at Kilauea Volcano, Hawaii. *J. Geophys. Res.* 98 (B12), 22,255–22,268.
- Dzurisin, D., Koyanagi, R.Y., English, T.T., 1984. Magma supply and storage at Kilauea volcano, Hawaii, 1956–1983. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 21, 177–206.
- Francis, P., Oppenheimer, C., Stevenson, D., 1993. Endogenous growth of persistently active volcanoes. *Nature* 366, 554–557.
- Galle, B., et al., 2002. A miniaturised ultraviolet spectrometer for remote sensing of SO_2 fluxes: a new tool for volcano surveillance. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 119, 241–254.
- Harris, A.J.L., Stevenson, D., 1997. Magma budgets and steady-state activity of Vulcano and Stromboli. *Geophysical Research Letters* 24, 1043–1046.
- Harris, A.J.L., Baloga, S., 2009. Lava discharge rates from satellite-measured heat flux. *Geophysical Research Letters* 36 (L19302). doi:10.1029/2009GL039717.
- Harris, A.J.L., Blake, S., Rothery, D.A., 1997. Chronology of the 1991 to 1993 Mount Etna eruption using advanced very high resolution radiometer data: implications for real-time thermal volcano monitoring. *J. Geophys. Res.* 11102 (B4), 7985–8003.
- Harris, A.J.L., Flynn, L.P., Rothery, D.A., Oppenheimer, C., Sherman, S.B., 1999. Mass flux measurements at active lava lakes: implications for magma recycling. *J. Geophys. Res.* 104 (B4), 7117–7136.
- Harris, A.J.L., Murray, J.B., Aries, S.E., Davies, M.A., Flynn, L.P., Wooster, M.J., Wright, R., Rothery, D.A., 2000. Effusion rate trends at Etna and Krafla and their implications for eruptive mechanisms. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 102, 237–270.
- Harris, A.J.L., Dehn, J., Calvari, S., 2007. Lava effusion rate definition and measurement: a review. *Bulletin of Volcanology* 70 (1).
- Harris, A.J.L., Favalli, M., Steffke, A., Fornaciai, A., Boschi, E., 2010. A relation between lava discharge rate, thermal insulation, and flow area set using lidar data. *Geophysical Research Letters* 37 (L20308). doi:10.1029/2010GL044683.
- Kazahaya, K., Shinohara, H., Saito, G., 1994. Excessive degassing of Izu-Oshima volcano: magma convection in a conduit. *Bulletin of Volcanology* 56 (3), 207–216.
- Lautze, N.C., et al., 2004. Pulsed lava effusion at Mount Etna during 2001. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 137 (1–3), 231–246.
- Mazzarini, F., et al., 2005. Morphology of basaltic lava channels during the Mt. Etna September 2004 eruption from airborne laser altimeter data. *Geophysical Research Letters* 32 (L04305). doi:10.1029/2004GL021815.
- Metrich, N., Allard, P., Spilliaert, N., Andronico, D., Burton, F., 2004. 2001 flank eruption of the alkali- and volatile-rich primitive basalt responsible for Mount Etna's evolution in the last three decades. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 228, 1–17. doi:10.1016/j.espl.2004.09036.
- Neri, M., et al., 2006. Continuous soil radon monitoring during the July 2006 Etna eruption. *Geophysical Research Letters* 33 (L24316).
- Oppenheimer, C., 1991. Lava flow cooling estimated from Landsat Thematic Mapper infrared data: the Lonquimay eruption (Chile, 1989). *J. Geophys. Res.* 96 (B13), 21865–21878.
- Spilliaert, N., Allard, P., Metrich, N., Sobolev, A.V., 2006. Melt inclusion record of the conditions of ascent, degassing, and extrusion of volatile-rich alkali basalt during

- the powerful 2002 flank eruption of Mount Etna (Italy). *J. Geophys. Res.* 111 (B043203). doi:10.1029/2005JB003934.
- Stoiber, R., Williams, S., Malinicono, L., 1983. Use of correlation spectrometer at volcanoes. In: Tazieff, H., Sabrous, J.C. (Eds.), *Forecasting volcanic events*. Elsevier, New York, NY, p. 635.
- Sutton, A.J., Elias, T., Gerlach, T.M., Stokes, J.B., 2001. Implications for eruptive processes as indicated by sulfur dioxide emissions from Kilauea Volcano, Hawaii, 1979–1997. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 108, 283–301.
- Williams-Jones, G., Rymer, H., Rothery, D.A., 2003. Gravity changes and passive degassing at the Masaya caldera complex, Nicaragua. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 123 (1–2), 137–160.
- Witter, J.B., Kress, V.C., Delmelle, P., Stix, J., 2004. Volatile degassing, petrology and magma dynamics of the Villarrica Lava Lake, southern Chile. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 134, 303–337.
- Wright, R., Blake, S., Harris, A.J.L., Rothery, D.A., 2001. A simple explanation for the space-based calculation of lava eruption rates. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 192, 223–233.
- Yang, K., et al., 2007. Retrieval of large volcanic SO₂ columns from the Aura Ozone Monitoring Instrument: Comparison and limitations. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 112 (D24S43). doi:10.1029/2007JD008825.