



**HAL**  
open science

## Acetylthiocholine (ATC) - cleaving cholinesterase (ChE) activity as a potential biomarker of pesticide exposure in the Manila clam, *Ruditapes philippinarum*, of Korea

Jin Young Choi, Jun Yu, Dong Beom Yang, Kongtae Ra, Kyung Tae Kim, Gi Hoon Hong, Kyung Hoon Shin

### ► To cite this version:

Jin Young Choi, Jun Yu, Dong Beom Yang, Kongtae Ra, Kyung Tae Kim, et al.. Acetylthiocholine (ATC) - cleaving cholinesterase (ChE) activity as a potential biomarker of pesticide exposure in the Manila clam, *Ruditapes philippinarum*, of Korea. *Marine Environmental Research*, 2011, 71 (3), pp.162. 10.1016/j.marenvres.2010.12.007 . hal-00673205

**HAL Id: hal-00673205**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00673205>**

Submitted on 23 Feb 2012

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Accepted Manuscript

Title: Acetylthiocholine (ATC) - cleaving cholinesterase (ChE) activity as a potential biomarker of pesticide exposure in the Manila clam, *Ruditapes philippinarum*, of Korea

Authors: Jin Young Choi, Jun Yu, Dong Beom Yang, Kongtae Ra, Kyung Tae Kim, Gi Hoon Hong, Kyung Hoon Shin

PII: S0141-1136(10)00195-9

DOI: [10.1016/j.marenvres.2010.12.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2010.12.007)

Reference: MERE 3495

To appear in: *Marine Environmental Research*

Received Date: 16 July 2009

Revised Date: 22 December 2010

Accepted Date: 22 December 2010

Please cite this article as: Choi, J.Y., Yu, J., Yang, D.B., Ra, K., Kim, K.T., Hong, G.H., Shin, K.H. Acetylthiocholine (ATC) - cleaving cholinesterase (ChE) activity as a potential biomarker of pesticide exposure in the Manila clam, *Ruditapes philippinarum*, of Korea, *Marine Environmental Research* (2011), doi: [10.1016/j.marenvres.2010.12.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2010.12.007)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.





1 **ABSTRACT**

2

3 The acetylthiocholine (ATC) – cleaving cholinesterase (ChE) activity in Manila clam, which is  
4 widely distributed throughout the coastal environment of Korea, was assayed as a potentially  
5 useful biomarker of organophosphorous pesticides (OPs). A clear dose–response relationship  
6 was determined between inhibited ChE in adductor muscle of clams and four OPs (methidathion,  
7 chlorpyrifos, diazinon, IBP) which are heavily used OPs in Korea. The measured  $EC_{50-24h}$   
8 values of methidathion, chlorpyrifos, diazinon, and IBP for *R. philippinarum* were  $7.16 \mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ ,  
9  $0.34 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ ,  $3.01 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ , and  $3.41 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ , respectively. In field studies, ChE activity in Manila  
10 clams collected from 23 stations in the mid-western coastal region demonstrated spatial  
11 variation with statistical differences. These results suggest that ChE activity in *R. philippinarum*  
12 is a potential biomarker for assessing organophosphorous pesticide contamination in coastal  
13 environments.

14

15 *Keywords:* Cholinesterase, biomarker, chlorpyrifos, IBP, organophosphorous pesticides (OPs),  
16 Manila clam, *Ruditapes philippinarum*

## 1 **1. Introduction**

2  
3 The agricultural pollutants, including organophosphorous pesticides (OPs) and untreated  
4 municipal sewage, flow into intertidal and coastal zones, leading to significantly adverse  
5 environmental effects on aquatic organisms living there. Using biomarkers for coastal and  
6 marine pollution has many advantages when compared to chemical analysis. Among the  
7 biological effects of pollutants, biochemical changes occur more quickly than physiological  
8 responses and provide information on the sensitivity of organisms with regard to uptake,  
9 biotransformation, and detoxification patterns (Galloway et al., 2002). Biomarkers allow an  
10 integrated measurement of bioavailable contaminants causing biochemical responses, providing  
11 early indicators of potential pollution.

12 OPs, which are widely used agricultural pesticides, enter the marine environment by land  
13 runoff, leaching, erosion, or aerial deposition. Approximately 23 thousand tons of pesticide was  
14 used throughout Korea in 2007. Of this amount, OPs accounted for nearly 60%. The toxic  
15 effects of OPs are caused by the irreversible inhibition of enzyme acetylcholinesterase (AChE),  
16 which hydrolyses the neurotransmitter acetylcholine (ACh) in the cholinergic synapse of the  
17 central and peripheral nervous system (Sturm et al., 2007). In many previous studies, AChE has  
18 been reported as a responsive biomarker to neurotoxic compounds, which include OPs, in  
19 biomonitoring studies that assess negative effects on aquatic organisms and environmental  
20 quality (Escartín and Porte, 1997; Radenac et al., 1998; Mora et al., 1999; Galgani and  
21 Bocquené, 2000; Dailianis et al., 2003).

22 Bivalves living in sandy-mud bottoms are often used for ecotoxicological monitoring because  
23 they are filter-feeding organisms and can accumulate contaminants in their tissues to relatively  
24 high levels. For instance, bivalve enzyme activity, including AChE, has already been

1 extensively used in monitoring environmental pollution in laboratory and field studies (Le Bris  
2 et al., 1995; Dellali et al., 2001; Doran et al., 2001; Alves et al., 2002; Mohamed et al., 2003).

3 In a laboratory study, exposure to neurotoxic compounds resulted in decreased AChE activity  
4 of blue mussels (Escartín and Porte, 1997; Astley et al., 1999; McHenery et al., 1997; Mora et  
5 al., 1999; Alves et al., 2002). Variations in the AChE activity of marine mussels have frequently  
6 been observed in field studies with links to pollution levels, suggesting the presence of AChE-  
7 inhibiting substances (Dailianis et al., 2003; Lionetto et al., 2003). Dellali et al. (2001) reported  
8 that the AChE activity of clams (*Ruditapes decussatus*) is generally lower than mussels (*Mytilus*  
9 *gallovincialis*) but showed greater spatio-temporal variability when compared to mussels.

10 The Manila clam, *Ruditapes philippinarum*, is a commercially important bivalve, as it is a  
11 fishery food resource in Korea. Approximately 38 thousand tons were harvested in 2007. Unlike  
12 mussels and oysters that inhabit only specific regions, the Manila clam *R. philippinarum* is  
13 widely distributed throughout intertidal regions along the western coast of Korea, demonstrating  
14 a high tolerance to a wide range of temperature and salinity (Laing and Child, 1996;  
15 Baudrimont et al., 2005). Sampling of this species is much easier than other bivalves in the  
16 coastal areas of Korea. Manila clams live in sandy-mud bottom and extrude their siphons to  
17 filter feed in the near bottom water (Toba et al., 1993), re-suspended benthic particles being the  
18 major food source. Manila clams are considered a suitable indicator of environmental  
19 contamination in various phases because they are exposed to both seawater and sediment.

20 AChE activity of invertebrates, in particular bivalves, differs from vertebrates. The  
21 classifications, characteristics and tissue localization of cholinesterase (ChEs) in vertebrates are  
22 generally valid for invertebrates, but there are differences (Kristoff et al., 2006). ChE in  
23 invertebrates are able to cleave suitable substrates among acetylthiocholine (ATC),  
24 butylthiocholine (BTC) or propionylthiocholine (PrTC). ChE may exhibit a wide variety of

1 substrate specificities (Kristoff et al., 2006). In some studies, ChE activity of invertebrates like  
2 bivalves was measured with acetylthiocholine (ATC) as substrate (Bocquené et al., 1997;  
3 Galgani, 1992).

4 In this study, the ATC-cleaving ChE activities of the Manila clam *R. philippinarum* were  
5 studied to evaluate their sensitivity to exposure to common OPs (IBP, chlorpyrifos, diazinon,  
6 and methidathion). In the following, the ATC-cleaving ChE activities were transcribed into ChE  
7 activity for convenience sake.

8 *R. philippinarum* were collected at 23 stations throughout the mid-western coast of Korea to  
9 study the spatial variations of ChE in bivalves and determine the status of pollution in the study  
10 areas. We discuss the possibility of ChE analysis in this species as a useful biomarker for OPs  
11 exposure in coastal regions influenced by nearby agricultural areas.

12

## 13 **2. Materials and Methods**

14

### 15 2.1. Chemicals

16 Molecular biology grades, including 5, 5'-dithio-bis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB), Trizma HCl,  
17 Trizma base, acetylthiocholine, brilliant blue G, and bovine were purchased from Calbiochem  
18 and Sigma-Aldrich.

19

### 20 2.2. Exposure experiments

21 Laboratory exposure tests were performed to determine the dose-response relationship  
22 between ATC-cleaving ChE activity in Manila clam and the OPs. All specimens were collected  
23 from Oido (St.1) located on the western coast of Korea and acclimatized for 48 h (Fig. 1).  
24 Clams were separated into a 5-L vessel with an air supply and containing 10 specimens of

1 similar size (average length:  $3.45 \pm 0.18$  cm) in each tank. Time-dependent inhibition of ATC-  
2 cleaving ChE activity in the Manila clams was assayed for 48 h. Sampling was done at 0  
3 (control), 8, 16, 24, 36, and 48 h intervals following exposure to  $0.3 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  of chlorpyrifos.  
4 Differing concentrations of technical grade chlorpyrifos, methidathion, IBP, and diazinon mixed  
5 with pre-filtered seawater were added to the laboratory sample (temperature:  $17\text{-}19^\circ\text{C}$ , salinity:  
6  $30 \pm 0.5$  ‰). Manila clams were incubated for 24 h in each acrylic vessel before the assay. All  
7 ATC-cleaving ChE activity in clams exposed to four types of pesticides was measured  
8 immediately following sampling.

### 10 2.3. Study area and sample collection

11 The tidal mud flat, the habitat of the Manila clam, is expansive on the western coast of Korea  
12 with an area of nearly  $1980 \text{ km}^2$ , an area that makes up 83% of total tidal flat area on the Korean  
13 peninsula. Along the Midwestern coast of Korea, a number of large-scale farmlands heavily  
14 utilize pesticides, with river discharge from those areas resulting in large amounts of pesticides  
15 (including OPs) flowing into intertidal and coastal waters. To study the spatial variations of  
16 ATC-cleaving ChE activity in the Manila clam (*R. philippinarum*), specimens were collected  
17 from the sediment at 23 stations throughout the Midwestern coast of Korea from June - August  
18 2001 (Fig. 1).

19  
20 ▶ Position of Figure 1

21  
22 The average weight and length of specimens ( $n=115$ ) was  $8.48 \pm 1.04$  g and  $3.38 \pm 0.14$  cm,  
23 respectively. All specimens were transferred to the lab and the adductor muscles of 5 clams



1 from each station were immediately excised and stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  to minimize enzyme  
2 degradation before the enzyme assay.

3

#### 4 2.4. ChE activity analysis

5 ATC-cleaving ChE activity was measured in the adductor muscle of individual  
6 clams. Approximately 0.1 g of adductor muscle was placed in 10 mL of buffer (0.1 M Tris  
7 buffer, Trizma HCl + Trizma base, pH 8.0) and homogenized for 1 min using Ultra-Turrax  
8 (IKA) at  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The homogenate was centrifuged at 10,000 g for 15 min at  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Measurements of  
9 ChE using a microplate reader were taken according to the methods detailed in Galgani (1992).  
10 300  $\mu\text{l}$  of 0.1 M Tris buffer, 20  $\mu\text{l}$  of 0.01M dithionitrobenzoic acid (DTNB), and 10  $\mu\text{l}$  of  
11 enzyme suspension were added to the 96-well microplates. After 5 min of incubation at room  
12 temperature, the enzyme reaction was initiated with the addition of 0.1M 10  $\mu\text{l}$  acetylthiocholine  
13 (ATC) as the substrate according to Galgani (1992). The absorbance change was monitored at  
14 405 nm. Four replicates measurement were carried out for each sample. Protein analyses were  
15 carried out on the same homogenate using the techniques described by Bradford  
16 (1976). Enzyme activity was normalized to the protein content and specific activity was  
17 expressed as  $\text{nmole min}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1}$  protein. In the following text, the ATC-cleaving ChE activities  
18 were transcribed into ChE activity for convenience sake.

19

#### 20 2.5. Statistical analysis

21 Data from the laboratory and field studies were compared by one-way ANOVA. The results  
22 of the laboratory and field studies were statistically tested for homogeneity of variance and for  
23 normal distribution before one way ANOVA. Statistical differences between target groups were  
24 identified with Scheffe's post hoc test and Tukey's post hoc test. A half maximal effective

1 concentration ( $EC_{50}$ ) with a 95% confidence limit was determined by probit analysis.  
2 Spearman's rank test was used to determine correlations between biomarker data and  
3 environmental survey data. All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS package for  
4 windows (Version 11.5.0, SPSS, Chicago, IL).

5

### 6 **3. Results**

7

#### 8 3.1. Laboratory exposure

9

##### 10 ▶ Position of Figure 2

11

12 Exposure experiments were carried out in the presence of different OPs (chlorpyrifos,  
13 diazinon, IBP, methidathion) at different concentrations according to their toxicity. In particular,  
14 ChE activity in the adductor muscle of *R. philippinarum* exposed to  $0.3 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  chlorpyrifos for  
15 48 h was assayed to show time-dependent toxicity of chlorpyrifos. Reduced ChE activity of *R.*  
16 *philippinarum* was a function of exposure time at  $0.3 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  (Fig. 2). After 24 h of exposure,  
17 ChE activity was reduced to 52.4%, the difference being significant (Scheffe's post hoc test,  $p <$   
18  $0.05$ ) from the control. Only 25.8% of the original activity was determined after 48 h of  
19 exposure. This indicates the significant time-dependent variability of ChE in the adductor  
20 muscle of the Manila clam following exposure to chlorpyrifos, particularly 24 h after exposure.

21

##### 22 ▶ Position of Figure 3

23

24 The inhibition of ChE activity varied with different OP compounds and dose exposures. The

1 average ChE activity was reduced for each OP compound (Fig. 3). Toxicity-dependent  
2 variations of ChE activity and dose-response relationships according to OP type were observed.  
3 ChE activity was reduced to 66.4% - 34.4% with a dose range of 0.1 to 1.0 mg l<sup>-1</sup> of  
4 chlorpyrifos (Fig. 3a). Significant inhibition of ChE activity occurred with 0.1 mg l<sup>-1</sup> of  
5 chlorpyrifos (Scheffe's post hoc test,  $p < 0.05$ ). ChE activity decreased to 20.8 % after exposure  
6 to 0.1 mg l<sup>-1</sup> diazinon (Fig. 3b), the difference being significant (Scheffe's post hoc test,  $p <$   
7  $0.05$ ) from control. ChE activity (2.0 mg l<sup>-1</sup>) was reduced by nearly half when compared to the  
8 control. Fig. 3c demonstrates ChE activity following exposure to IBP, which results in  
9 concentration-dependent enzyme inhibition. ChE activity was reduced to 75.6%, 70.8%, 57.3%,  
10 and 39.3%, respectively, for different concentrations of IBP (0.5, 1.0, 3.0, and 5.0 mg l<sup>-1</sup>).  
11 Reduced ChE activity as a result of different OPs followed the order chlorpyrifos > IBP >  
12 diazinon at 1.0 mg l<sup>-1</sup> of concentration. Due to the acute toxicity of methidathion, a dose  
13 exposure range of 5 – 20 µg l<sup>-1</sup> was used and demonstrated ChE activity reductions of 79.0 –  
14 26.4%. Significant enzyme inhibition could be detected in manila clams at a lower  
15 concentration of methidathion than concentration of other OPs (Scheffe's post hoc test,  $p <$   
16  $0.05$ ).

17

18 ▶ Position of Table 1

19

20 The 24-h median effective concentration (EC<sub>50-24h</sub>) was calculated using probit analysis with  
21 a 95% confidence limit. The EC<sub>50-24h</sub> values for the Manila clam, *R. philippinarum*, were 7.16  
22 µg l<sup>-1</sup> for methidathion, 0.34 mg l<sup>-1</sup> for chlorpyrifos, 3.01 mg l<sup>-1</sup> for diazinon, and 3.41 mg l<sup>-1</sup> for  
23 IBP. Toxic potency occurred in the order of methidathion > chlorpyrifos > diazinon > IBP. The  
24 classification of pesticides by hazard (WHO, 2005) is included in Table 1. This obtained results

1 demonstrated the dose-dependent fashion of ChE activity in adductor muscle of *R.*  
2 *philippinarum* caused by investigated OPs.

3

4 ▶ Position of Table 2

5

6 3.2. Field study

7 We present the results for ChE activity in the adductor muscle of *R. philippinarum* from 23  
8 stations of the Western coast of Korea (Table 2). The mean ChE activity ranged from 6.14 to  
9 13.24 nmole min<sup>-1</sup> mg<sup>-1</sup> protein. Spatial variations of clam ChE activity were shown along the  
10 sampling stations. The greatest ChE activity was measured at St. 10 (13.24 ± 6.13 nmole min<sup>-1</sup>  
11 mg<sup>-1</sup> protein), far from the major pollutant sources. ChE activity was statistically lower at St. 12,  
12 St. 16 (Tukey's post hoc test,  $p < 0.05$ ), and St. 14 (Tukey's post hoc test,  $p < 0.1$ ) than at the  
13 reference site (St. 10).

14

#### 15 4. Discussion

##### 16 4.1. ChE inhibition by organophosphorous pesticides

17 Although biomarkers are thought to play an important role in assessing the adverse effects of  
18 contaminants in marine and coastal organisms, the use of sentinel organisms, as well as the  
19 appropriate organs to use, remains up for debate. Metabolic mechanisms and uptake are unique  
20 to each organism. Plenty of contaminants affecting enzyme activity exist in marine  
21 environments. The Manila clams are widely distributed along the coasts of Korea, China, Japan,  
22 Northwestern America, and several European countries (Flassch and Leborgne, 1992). The  
23 adductor muscle of the Manila clam was selected for enzyme assay to investigate sensitivity of  
24 ChE activity in adductor muscle of the clam to OPs exposure. Because of the adductor muscle's

1 systematic function and easier separation from the body, the adductor muscle of bivalves and  
2 mussels presents a popular option for measuring AChE activity in many studies (Le Bris et al.,  
3 1995; Moulton et al., 1996; Doran et al., 2001; Romani et al., 2006).

4 In this study, toxicity-dependent ChE inhibition was observed for four different pesticides.  
5 Increased inhibition of *R. philippinarum* ChE activity during pesticide exposure occurred with  
6 increased exposure time and increased pesticide concentration. ChE activity for methidathion  
7 samples was significantly lower than in samples exposed to other pesticides. The reported LC<sub>50</sub>  
8 value of methidathion is 2-9 mg l<sup>-1</sup> in bluegill sunfish (Kidd et al., 1991; Mayer and Ellersieck,  
9 1986).

10 Chlorpyrifos is a broad spectrum organophosphate insecticide and highly toxic compound.  
11 According to Doran et al., (2001), significant reductions in AChE activity for the adductor  
12 muscle of mussel, *Amblema plicata*, were demonstrated at a chlorpyrifos concentration range of  
13 0.1-2.0 mg l<sup>-1</sup>. In the adductor muscle of the Manila clam, *R. philippinarum*, our data also  
14 demonstrated significant reductions in ChE activity (35-67%) relative to the control.

15 Diazinon and IBP are used worldwide to eliminate crop and cattle plagues, to control  
16 household pests (ATSDR, 1997), and as an agricultural fungicide in Asia. Cong et al. (2009)  
17 report that the brain ChE activity of the Snakehead fish (*Channa striata*) is significantly  
18 inhibited by diazinon. The LC<sub>50</sub> of diazinon in rainbow trout is reported to be 2.6 – 3.2 mg l<sup>-1</sup>  
19 (Kidd et al., 1991). The diazinon concentrations in seawater were below 0.02 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, which is a  
20 limited set in terms of the seawater quality standards of Korea (Choi et al., 2006). IBP, on the  
21 other hand, has been considered a contaminant with moderate levels (40 - 3300 ng l<sup>-1</sup>) in inshore  
22 waters, particularly in late summer (Yu et al., 2001; Choi et al., 2006).

23

24 4.2. Spatial variability in ChE activity of *R. philippinarum*

1 In this study, we evaluate the ChE activity of Clam, *R. philippinarum*, as potential biomarker  
2 of organophosphorous pesticide contaminant in coastal environments of Korea. The specimens  
3 collected from outer stations (St. 8, 9, and 10) receiving limited amount of agricultural runoff  
4 demonstrated relatively high ChE activity. Relatively high ChE activities were also found at  
5 stations 1, 5, 6 and 19 located near the harbor area. On the contrary, significantly low ChE  
6 activities were measured in clams from St. 12 through 16, which were located at Anmyon Island,  
7 an area with extensive agricultural activity. This indicates that ChE inhibition at these stations  
8 may be affected by the use of agricultural pesticides in tributaries. Extremely high variation in  
9 the AChE activity at stations characterized by intensive agricultural activity has been reported  
10 (Dellali et al., 2001; Matozzo et al., 2005). Other organisms (mussel and fish) also demonstrate  
11 large variations in AChE activity (Galloway et al., 2002; Oliviera et al., 2007).

12 The ChE activity of sample organisms can vary with biotic (class or species of bivalve or  
13 mussel, age, size, reproductive period, and physiological conditions) and abiotic factors related  
14 to the habitat (temperature, pH, salinity, etc.). Previous studies indicated that variation in AChE  
15 activity of mussels was related to several other factors, including differences in sex, age, and  
16 size (Varela and Augspurger, 1996; Fairbrother et al., 1989). Pfifer et al. (2005) demonstrated a  
17 negative correlation between AChE activity of *Mytilus sp.* and salinity.

18  
19 ▶ Position of Table 3

20  
21 During the extensive survey in the study area hydrologic parameters as well as concentrations  
22 of contaminants (OPs, PAHs, PCBs and Cu) were measured at the same locations where manila  
23 clams were collected for enzyme assay. These data were reported elsewhere (KORDI, 2002).  
24 Salinity and the concentration of IBP (OPs) are summarized in Table 3. In the present study, no

1 relationship between salinity and ChE activity was observed, as the salinity of surface water  
2 ranged from 29.1 - 32.6 ‰ (Table 3b). The influence of size or physiological condition on the  
3 ChE activity of the Manila clam is still largely unknown. We believe the effect of specimen size  
4 on ChE activity to be negligible because similarly sized clams ( $3.38 \pm 0.14$  cm of average  
5 length,  $n = 115$ ) were used in this study. A greater body of data is necessary to elucidate the  
6 intricate metabolic mechanisms that influence the inhibition of ChE activity.

7 Variations in the ChE activity of aquatic organisms may reflect various contaminants  
8 including heavy metals, PAHs, hydrocarbons, detergents, phytotoxin, and other industrial  
9 pollutants (Payne et al., 1996; Flammarion et al., 1996; Magni et al., 2006; Senger et al., 2006;  
10 Linde-Arias et al., 2008; Bervoets et al., 2009). Combinations of OPs and other contaminants  
11 are highly synergistic in their ability to inhibit AChE activity (Bocquené et al., 1995; Forget et  
12 al., 1999).

13 First we compared ChE activity with concentrations of OPs, typical inhibitors of ChE  
14 activity, in seawater of the study area. OPs concentrations in seawater collected from the same  
15 stations were determined during the sampling period (KORDI, 2002). IBP was the most  
16 commonly detected OPs in the study area with concentrations ranging from from n.d. to 394 ng  
17  $l^{-1}$  (Table 3(a)). The concentrations of IBP reported by KORDI (2002) and ChE activities in  
18 clams of this study were statistically analyzed (Table 3(b)). The ChE activity in clams  
19 demonstrated a negative correlation with IBP concentrations in surface seawater ( $p < 0.05$ ,  $r = -$   
20 0.60 by nonparametric correlations, Spearman's rank test). Because IBP is widely and heavily  
21 used OPs in Korea, IBP may be inhibiting ChE in Manila clams. In a previous study, IBP  
22 concentrations recorded from inshore Korean waters during August ranged from about 40 –  
23 1840 ng  $l^{-1}$  (Yu et al., 2001). Other OPs (DDVP, disulfoton and chlorpyrifos) were not

1 frequently detected in seawater during the study period. However we cannot exclude the  
2 possible influence of other OPs since the spatial variations of ChE activity in the Manila clam  
3 are expected to result not only from specific pesticide compounds, particularly IBP, but also  
4 from multiple OPs in a synergetic effect.

5 In this study ChE activities of Manila clams were compared with concentration of IBP in the  
6 seawater only. Manila clam lives in sandy-mud bottom with their body buried and siphons  
7 extruding into the bottom water (Toba et al., 1993). The comparison of ChE activity with the  
8 concentration of OPs in sediments would be useful to understand the spatial variability of the  
9 enzyme. Unfortunately, the concentrations of IBP in the sediment were below detection limit  
10 during survey of KORDI (2002). It was difficult to measure OPs in sediments since the particle  
11 adsorption capacity of OPs are relatively low ( $\log K_{oc} = 3.5$  for IBP) and the half-lives of OPs  
12 are generally shorter than a few months. Furthermore sandy-mud sediments which prevail in the  
13 study area have low adsorption capability of organic materials.

14 It is highly possible that some amount of pesticides adsorbed on sediment particles would  
15 also influence the enzyme activity. It can also be assumed that area with high IBP concentration  
16 in seawater would have relatively high sediment IBP concentration. In this study ChE activities  
17 of Manila clams were compared with concentration of IBP in the seawater only.

18 It was found that some other contaminants such as PCB, PAH and their mixture showed ChE  
19 inhibition (Kang and Fang., 1997; Jett et al., 1999) or potential influence to ChE activity  
20 (Bonassi et al., 2009). To better understand the impact of other pollutants on the inhibition of  
21 ChE in this study, ChE activity in the adductor muscle of *R. philippinarum* was compared with  
22 polychlorobiphenyl (PCBs), poly-aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and copper (Cu)  
23 concentrations in the sediments collected from the same stations. Total PCBs concentrations in  
24 the sediment were generally below  $20 \text{ ng g}^{-1} \text{ dw}$  in the study area. No statistically significant



1 relationship existed between ChE activity and tPCBs concentrations measured in the sediment  
2 samples (Spearman's rank test,  $p = 0.311$ ). Total PAHs and Cu concentrations in sediment were  
3 in the range n.d. – 909.0 ng g<sup>-1</sup> dw and n.d – 16.0 µg g<sup>-1</sup> dw, respectively. ChE activity in Manila  
4 clam did not show any significant relationship with PAHs (Spearman's rank test,  $p = 0.201$ ) and  
5 Cu (Spearman's rank test,  $p = 0.708$ ) concentration in sediment. The concentrations of PCBs,  
6 PAHs and Cu found in sediment of the study area were comparatively lower than the level, at  
7 which ChE inhibition might occur, reported by previous authors.

8 Monitoring ChE activity in aquatic species can certainly play an important role as an early  
9 warning of pesticide exposure. The coastal environment, including intertidal zones, is  
10 significantly affected by high levels of contaminants resulting from natural and anthropogenic  
11 inputs. The Manila clam, *R. philippinarum*, is widely distributed throughout the intertidal zone.  
12 Due to the limited mobility of clams compared to fish, clams are directly affected by continuous  
13 exposure to contaminants from potentially polluted sediment and seawater. It is necessary to  
14 determine the effects of OP compounds and other contaminants on ChE inhibition, in order to  
15 understand the seasonal variation of ChE activity. Our results suggest that the ChE activity of  
16 the Manila clam, *R. philippinarum*, is a good biomarker for organophosphorous pollution.

17

## 18 **5. Conclusion**

19 We determined the ATC-cleaving ChE activity of the Manila clam (*R. philippinarum*), widely  
20 distributed throughout intertidal zone of Korea, to be a suitable biomarker for addressing  
21 pesticide contamination. ChE activity in the adductor muscle of clams was significantly reduced  
22 with increases in organophosphorous pesticide (OPs) dose, indicating a clear dose-response  
23 relationship. Clams from the 23 stations on the mid-western coast of Korea indicated spatial

1 variations in ChE activity. In order to explain the spatial variations in ChE activity various  
2 contaminants in seawater and sediments of study area were compared to ChE activity. Only IBP  
3 concentration in seawater showed a significant relationship with ChE activity in Manila clams.  
4 Since Manila clams, *R. philippinarum*, dwell in the intertidal zone, they may experience the  
5 greatest continuous exposure to OP contaminants. Our results suggest that ChE activity in the  
6 adductor muscle of *R. philippinarum* can be effectively utilized as a biomarker of  
7 organophosphorous pesticides (OPs) in the coastal and estuarine environment. More studies are  
8 needed to better understand the influence of biotic and abiotic factors as well as other  
9 contaminants (e.g., heavy metals, PAHs, PCBs, etc.) on ChE activity in *R. philippinarum*.

10

#### 11 **Acknowledgements**

12 This work was supported by the Korea Ocean Research and Development Institute through the  
13 “Land-Ocean Interaction in the Coastal Zone of Asan” project (PE98103).

1 **References**

2

3 Alves, S.R.C., Severino, P.C., Ibbotson, D.P., Da Silva, A.Z., Lopes, F.R.A.S., Sáenz, L.A.,  
4 Bainy, A.C.D., 2002. Effects of furadan in the brown mussel *Perna perna* and in the mangrove  
5 oyster *Crassostrea rhizophorae*. *Marine Environmental Research* 54, 241-245.

6

7 Astley, K.N., Meigh, H.C., Glegg, G.A., Braven, J., Depledge, M.H., 1999. Multi-variate  
8 analysis of biomarker responses in *Mytilus edulis* and *Carcinus maenas* from the Tees Estuary  
9 (UK). *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 39, 145-154.

10

11 ATSDR, 1997. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1997. Available  
12 in: ([http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/es/es\\_index.html](http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/es/es_index.html))

13

14 Baudrimont, M.J., Schäfer, V., Marie, R., Maury-Brachet, C., Bossy, A.B., Blanc, G., 2005.  
15 Geochemical survey and metal bioaccumulation of three bivalve species (*Crassostrea gigas*,  
16 *Cerastoderma edule* and *Ruditapes philippinarum*) in the Nord Modc salt marshes (Gironde  
17 estuary, France). *Science of the Total Environment* 337, 265-280.

18

19 Bervoets, L., Van Campenhout, K., Reynders, H., Knapen, D., Covaci, A., Blust, R., 2009.  
20 Bioaccumulation of micropollutant and biomarker responses in caged carp (*Cyprinus carpio*).  
21 *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 72, 3, 720-728.

22

- 1 Bocquené, G., Bellanger, C., Cadiou, Y., Galgani, F., 1995. Joint action of combinations of  
2 pollutants on the acetylcholinesterase activity of several marine species. *Ecotoxicology* 4, 266-  
3 279.
- 4
- 5 Bocquené, G., Roig, A., Didier, F., 1997. Cholinesterase from the common Oyster (*Crassostrea*  
6 *gigas*): Evidence for the presence of a soluble acetylcholinesterase intensive to  
7 organophosphorous and carbamate inhibitors. *Federation of European Biochemical Societies*  
8 *Letters* 407, 3, 261-266.
- 9
- 10 Bonacci, S., Crosi, I., Focard, S., 2009. Cholinesterases in the Antarctic scallop *Adamussium*  
11 *colbecki*: Characterization and sensitivity to pollutants. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental*  
12 *Safety* 72, 1481-1488.
- 13
- 14 Bradford, M., 1976. A rapid and sensitive assay of protein utilizing the principle of dye binding.  
15 *Analytical Biochemistry* 772, 248-264.
- 16
- 17 Choi, J.Y., Yang, D.B., Ju, H.J., Kim, K.T., Hong, G.H., Shin, K.H., 2006. Distribution  
18 characteristics of organophosphorous pesticides in Asan Bay, Korea. *Journal of the Korean*  
19 *Society for Marine Environmental Engineering* 9, 176-186.
- 20
- 21 Cong, N.V., Phuong, N.T., Bayley, M., 2009. Effect of repeated exposure of diazinon on  
22 cholinesterase activity and growth in snakehead fish (*Channa striata*). *Ecotoxicology and*  
23 *Environmental Safety* 72, 699-703.
- 24

- 1 Dailianis, S., Domouhtsidou, G.P., Raftopoulou, E., Kalayianni, M., Dimitriadis, V.K., 2003.  
2 Evaluation of neutral red retention assay, micronucleus test, acetylcholinesterase activity and a  
3 signal transduction molecule (cAMP) in tissues of *Mytilus galloprovincialis* (L.), in pollution  
4 monitoring. *Marine Environmental Research* 56, 443-470.  
5
- 6 Dellali, M., Gnassia-Barelli, M., Romeo, M., Aissa, P., 2001. The use of acetylcholine  
7 esterase activity in *Ruditapes decussates* and *Mytilus galloprovincialis* in the biomonitoring of  
8 Bizerta lagoon. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C Toxicology & Pharmacology*  
9 130, 227-235.  
10
- 11 Doran, W.J., Cope, W.G., Rada, R.G., Sandheinrich, M.B., 2001. Acetylcholinesterase inhibition  
12 in the threeridge mussel (*Amblma plicata*) by chlorpyrifos: Implications for biomonitoring.  
13 *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 49, 91-98.  
14
- 15 Escartín, E., Porte, C., 1997. The use of cholinesterase and carboxylesterase activities from  
16 *Mytilus galloprovincialis* in pollution monitoring. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 16,  
17 2090-2095.  
18
- 19 Fairbrother, A., Bennett, R. S., Bennett, J. K., 1989. Sequential sampling of plasma  
20 cholinesterase in mallards (*Anas platyrhynchos*) as an indicator of exposure to cholinesterase  
21 inhibitors. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 8, 117-122.  
22

- 1 Flammarion, P., Migeon, B., Garric, J., 1996. Joint effect of copper sulfate and methidathion on  
2 rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) EROD and AChE activities. Bulletin of Environmental  
3 Contamination and Toxicology 56, 440-445.  
4
- 5 Flassch, J.P., Leborgne, Y., 1992. Introduction in Europe, from 1972 to 1980, of the Japanese  
6 manila clam (*Tapes philippinarum*) and effects on aquaculture production and natural settlement.  
7 ICES Marine Science, 12-13 June, Halifax 194, pp. 92-96.  
8
- 9 Forget, J., Pavillon, J. F., Beliaeff, B., Bocquené, G., 1999. Joint action of pollutant  
10 combinations (pesticides and metals) on survival (LC<sub>50</sub> values) and acetylcholinesterase activity  
11 of *Tigriopus brevicornis* (copepoda, harpacticoida). Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry  
12 18, 912-918.  
13
- 14 Galgani, F., 1992. Monitoring of pollutant biochemical effects on marine organisms of the  
15 French coast. Oceanologica Acta 15, 4, 355-364.  
16
- 17 Galgani, F., Bocquené, G., 2000. Molecular biomarkers of exposure of marine organisms to  
18 organophosphorus pesticides and carbamates. In: Lagadic, L. (Ed.), Use of Biomarkers for  
19 Environmental Quality Assessment. Elsevier Science Publisher, pp. 113-137.  
20
- 21 Galloway, T.S., Millward, N., Browne, M.A., Depledge, M.H., 2002. Rapid assessment of  
22 organophosphorous/carbamate exposure in the bivalve mollusk *Mytilus edulis* using combined  
23 esterase activities as biomarker. Aquatic Toxicology 61, 169-180.  
24

- 1 Jett, D.A., Navoa, R.V., Lyons Jr., M.A., 1999. Additive inhibitory action of chlorpyrifos and  
2 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons on acetylcholinesterase activity in vitro. *Toxicology Letters*  
3 105, 223-229.
- 4
- 5 Kang, J.J., Fang, H.W., 1997. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons inhibit the activity of  
6 acetylcholinesterase purified from electric eel. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research*  
7 *Communications* 238, 367-369.
- 8
- 9 Kidd, H., James, D.R. (Eds.), 1991. *The Agrochemicals Handbook*, Third Edition. Royal  
10 Society of Chemistry Information Services, Cambridge, UK, pp. 5-14.
- 11
- 12 KORDI (Korea Ocean Research and Development Institute) 2002. *Pollution Reducing*  
13 *Strategies for the coastal Yellow Sea*. KORDI Report BSPM 120-00-1413-4. Ministry of Marine  
14 Affairs and Fisheries, pp. 31-250.
- 15
- 16 Kristoff, G., Guerreo, N.V., D'Angelo, A.M.P., Cochón, A.C., 2006. Inhibition of cholinesterase  
17 activity by azinphos-methyl in two fresh water invertebrates: *Biomphalaria glabrata* and  
18 *Lumbriculus variegatus*. *Toxicology* 222, 185-194.
- 19
- 20 Laing, I., Child A.R., 1996. Comparative tolerance of small juvenile paourdes (*Tapes decussates*  
21 *L.*) and Manila clam (*Tapes philippinarum*) to low temperature. *Journal of Experimental Marine*  
22 *Biology and Ecology* 195, 267-285.
- 23
- 24 Le Bris, H., Maffart, P., Bocquené, G., Buchet, V., Galgani, F., Blanc, G., 1995. Laboratory study

- 1 on the effect of dichlorvos on two commercial bivalves. *Aquaculture* 138, 139-144.
- 2
- 3 Linde-Arias, A.R., Inácio, A.F., de Albuquerque, C., Freire, M.M., Moreira, J.C., 2008.
- 4 Biomarkers in an invasive fish species, *Oreochromis niloticus*, to assess the effects of pollution
- 5 in a highly degraded Brazilian River. *Science of the Total Environment* 339, 186-192.
- 6
- 7 Lionetto, M.G., Caricato, R., Giordano, M.E., Pascariello, M.F., Marinosci, L., Schettino, T.,
- 8 2003. Integrated use of biomarkers (acetylcholinesterase and antioxidant enzyme activities) in
- 9 *Mytilus galloprovincialis* and *Mullus barbatus* in an Italian coastal marine area. *Marine*
- 10 *Pollution Bulletin* 46, 324-330.
- 11
- 12 Magni, P., De Falco, G., Falugi, C., Franzoni, M., Monteverde, M., Perrone, E., Sgro, M.,
- 13 Bolognesi, C., 2006. Genotoxicity biomarkers and acetylcholinesterase activity in natural
- 14 populations of *Mytilus galloprovincialis* among a pollution gradient in the Gulf of Oristano
- 15 (Sardinia, western Mediterranean). *Environmental Pollution* 142, 65-72.
- 16
- 17 Matozzo, V., Tomei, A., Marin, M.G., 2005. Acetylcholinesterase as a biomarker of exposure to
- 18 neurotoxic compounds in the clam *Tapes philippinarum* from the Lagoon of Venice. *Marine*
- 19 *Pollution Bulletin* 50, 1686-1693.
- 20
- 21 Mayer, F.L., Ellersieck, M.R., 1986. *Manual of Acute Toxicity: Interpretation and Data Base*
- 22 *for 410 Chemicals and 66 Species of Freshwater Animals*. Resource Publication 160. U.S.
- 23 Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, DC, pp. 5-73.
- 24



- 1 McHenry, J.G., Linley-Adams, G.E., Moore, D.C., Rodger, G.K., Davies, I.M., 1997.  
2 Experimental and field studies of effects of dichlorvos exposure on acetylcholinesterase activity  
3 in the gills of the mussel, *Mytilus edulis* L.. Aquatic Toxicology 38, 125-143.  
4
- 5 Mohamed, B., Rym, B.D., Amor, E.A., Hamadi, B., 2003. Genotoxicity, catalase and  
6 acetylcholinesterase in the assessment of the pollution status of some sites on the Tunisian  
7 littoral. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 70, 854-860.  
8
- 9 Mora, P., Michel, X., Narbonne, J., 1999. Cholinesterase activity as potential biomarker in two  
10 bivalves. Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology 7, 253-260.  
11
- 12 Moulton, C.A., Fleming, W.J., Purnell, C.E., 1996. Effects of two cholinesterase-inhibiting  
13 pesticides on freshwater mussels. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 15, 131-137.  
14
- 15 Oliviera, M.M., Silva Filho, M.V., Cunha Bastos, V.L.F., Fernandes, F.C., Chunha Bastos, J.,  
16 2007. Brain acetylcholinesterase as a marine pesticide biomarker using Brazilian fishes. Marine  
17 Environmental Research 63, 303-312.  
18
- 19 Payne, J.F., Mathieu, A., Melvin, W., Fancey, L.L., 1996. Acetylcholinesterase, an old  
20 biomarker with a new future? Field trials in association with two urban rivers and a paper mill  
21 in new Foundland. Marine Pollution Bulletin 32, 225-231.  
22
- 23 Pfeifer, S., Schiedek, D., Joachim, J.W., 2005. Effect of temperature and salinity on  
24 acetylcholinesterase activity, a common pollution biomarker, in *Mytilus* sp. from the south-

- 1 western Baltic Sea. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 320, 93–103.
- 2
- 3 Radenac, G., Bocquené, G., Fichet, D., Miramand, P., 1998. Contamination of a dredged-
- 4 material disposal site (La Rochelle Bay, France). The use of the acetylcholinesterase activity of
- 5 *Mytilus edulis* (L.) as a biomarker of pesticides: the need for a critical approach. *Biomarkers* 3,
- 6 305-315.
- 7
- 8 Romani, R., Corsi, I., Bonacci, S., Focardi, S., De Medio, G.E., De Santis, A., Incarnato, F.,
- 9 Giovannini, E., Rosi, G., 2006. Organophosphate-resistant forms of acetylcholinesterases in two
- 10 scallops-the Antarctic *Adamussium colbecki* and the Mediterranean *Pecten jacobaeus*.
- 11 *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B* 145,188-196.
- 12
- 13 Senger, M.R., Rosemberg, D.B., Rico, E.P., de Bem Arizi, M., Dias, R.D., Bogo, M.R., Bonan,
- 14 C.D., 2006. In vitro effect of zinc and cadmium on acetylcholinesterase and ectonucleotidase
- 15 activities in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) brain. *Toxicology in Vitro* 20, 954-958.
- 16
- 17 Sturm, A., Radau, T.S., Hahn, T., Scultz, R., 2007. Inhibition of rainbow trout
- 18 acetylcholinesterase by aqueous and suspended particle-associated organophosphorous
- 19 insecticides. *Chemosphere* 68, 605-612.
- 20
- 21 Toba, M., Natsume, y., Yamakawa, H., 1993, Reproductive cycles of manila clams *Ruditapes*
- 22 *phillipinarum* collected from Funabashi waters, Tokyo bay. *Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi* 59, 15-22.
- 23
- 24 Varela, V. M., Augspurger, T., 1996. *Cholinesterase Activity as a Device for Biomonitoring*

1 *Pesticide Exposure in the Freshwater Mussel Elliptio complanata*. Publication No. 95-4F23,  
2 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Southeast Region, Atlanta, GA.

3

4 WHO, 2005. The WHO recommended classification of pesticides by hazard and guidelines to  
5 classification: 2004. World Health Organization, pp.16-26.

6

7 Yu, J., Li, D.H., Kim, K.T., Yang, D.B., Yang, J.S., 2001. Distribution of Organophosphorus  
8 pesticides in some estuarine environments in Korea. *Journal of Fisheries Science and*  
9 *Technology* 4, 201-207.

10

**1 Research highlights**

2

3 The acetylthiocholine (ATC) – cleaving cholinesterase (ChE) activity in Manila clam, which is  
4 widely distributed throughout the coastal environment of Korea, was assayed as a potentially  
5 useful biomarker of organophosphorous pesticides (OPs). We determined the ATC-cleaving ChE  
6 activity of the Manila clam (*R. philippinarum*), widely distributed throughout intertidal zone of  
7 Korea, to be a suitable biomarker for addressing pesticide contamination. ChE activity in the  
8 adductor muscle of clams was significantly reduced with increases in organophosphorous  
9 pesticide (OPs) dose, indicating a clear dose-response relationship. Clams from the 23 stations  
10 on the mid-western coast of Korea indicated spatial variations in ChE activity. In order to  
11 explain the spatial variations in ChE activity various contaminants in seawater and sediments of  
12 study area were compared to ChE activity. Only IBP concentration in seawater showed a  
13 significant relationship with ChE activity in Manila clams. Since Manila clams, *R.*  
14 *philippinarum*, dwell in the intertidal zone, they may experience the greatest continuous  
15 exposure to OP contaminants. Our results suggest that ChE activity in the adductor muscle of *R.*  
16 *philippinarum* can be effectively utilized as a biomarker of organophosphorous pesticides (OPs)  
17 in the coastal and estuarine environment.

18

**Table List**

Table 1. EC<sub>50</sub>-24h values of OPs in the Manila clam, *R. philippinarum*, and their hazard classifications.

Table 2. (a) ChE activity (nmole min<sup>-1</sup> mg<sup>-1</sup> protein) in the Manila clam, *R. philippinarum*, on the Western coast of Korea. (b) Results of one-way ANOVA results ( $\alpha=0.05$ ).

Table 3. (a) Chemical parameters of sea water from the Western coast of Korea. (b) Results of nonparametric correlation; Spearman's rank test.

Table 1. EC<sub>50</sub>-24h values of OPs in the Manila clam, *R. philippinarum*, and their hazard classifications.

Organophosphorous pesticide	EC <sub>50</sub> -24h	95% confidence limit	Classification by hazard <sup>a</sup>
Methidathion	7.16 µg l <sup>-1</sup>	5.05-9.86 µg l <sup>-1</sup>	Highly hazardous (Class Ib)
chlorpyrifos	0.34 mg l <sup>-1</sup>	0.23-0.49 mg l <sup>-1</sup>	Moderately hazardous (Class II)
diazinon	3.01 mg l <sup>-1</sup>	1.60-12.18 mg l <sup>-1</sup>	Moderately hazardous (Class II)
IBP	3.41 mg l <sup>-1</sup>	2.21-7.77 mg l <sup>-1</sup>	Slightly hazardous (Class III)

EC<sub>50</sub>s with a 95% confidence limit for effective concentration were determined using probit analysis.

<sup>a</sup> Classification by hazard is the WHO-recommended classification of pesticides according to hazard (World Health Organization, 2005).

Table 2. (a) ChE activity (nmole min<sup>-1</sup> mg<sup>-1</sup> protein) in the Manila clam, *R. philippinarum*, on the Western coast of Korea.

Station	ChE activity (nmole min <sup>-1</sup> mg <sup>-1</sup> protein)
St. 1	11.64 ± 2.36
St. 2	8.09 ± 2.05
St. 3	10.80 ± 1.92
St. 4	7.88 ± 3.39
St. 5	11.11 ± 4.69
St. 6	11.98 ± 3.88
St. 7	9.11 ± 2.55
St. 8	11.80 ± 2.11
St. 9	11.66 ± 3.21
St. 10	13.24 ± 6.13
St. 11	9.40 ± 2.63
St. 12	6.43 ± 0.96 **
St. 13	11.83 ± 1.85
St. 14	6.64 ± 2.16 *
St. 15	11.27 ± 2.63
St. 16	6.14 ± 2.95 **
St. 17	11.62 ± 2.80
St. 18	9.57 ± 0.80
St. 19	10.55 ± 3.25
St. 20	9.21 ± 3.27
St. 21	8.29 ± 2.58
St. 22	8.70 ± 1.65
St. 23	10.73 ± 2.60

Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation (S.D), n=5 for each sample site.

\*Asterisks indicate a significant difference compared to the control site (St. 10). Tukey's post hoc test: \* $p < 0.1$ , \*\* $p < 0.05$ .

(b) Results of one-way ANOVA results ( $\alpha=0.05$ ).

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	434.483	22	19.749	2.405.	0.0019

In each row, means followed by different superscripts are significantly different from each other (One-way ANOVA,  $p < 0.05$ ).

Table 3. (a) Chemical parameters of the Western coast of Korea.

Station	Salinity (‰)	IBP <sup>a</sup> (ng l <sup>-1</sup> )
St. 1	-	-
St. 2	31.76	-
St. 3	31.29	<1
St. 4	31.35	<1
St. 5	-	<1
St. 6	33.27	<1
St. 7	31.93	-
St. 8	32.02	<1
St. 9	31.83	<1
St. 10	31.8	<1
St. 11	32.57	-
St. 12	31.83	-
St. 13	31.03	32
St. 14	-	-
St. 15	-	<1
St. 16	-	-
St. 17	29.18	394
St. 18	-	<1
St. 19	30.89	16
St. 20	29.1	40
St. 21	30.46	26
St. 22	30.05	138
St. 23	-	-

-, not measured

<sup>a</sup> IBP(KORDI, 2002)

(b) Results of nonparametric correlation; Spearman's rank test.

	Salinity with ChE activity	IBP with ChE activity
correlation coefficient ( <i>r</i> )	0.222	-0.6
Sig.	0.408	0.039 *

\*Asterisks: Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2 tailed).



