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Abstract

Diffusion and trapping mechanisms are studied in order to improve Hydrogen Embrittlement (HE) resistance of high yield strength steels. Investigations were carried on Fe-C-Mo model steel with a quenched and tempered martensitic microstructure. Hydrogen diffusion was studied by using the electrochemical permeation technique. The influence of the charging current densities in 1M H2SO4 at ambient temperature shows a relation between the apparent diffusion coefficient Dapp and the apparent subsurface concentration of hydrogen C0app. Two domains can be separated and are mainly associated with a competition between two distinct processes: hydrogen trapping and hydrogen diffusion. These results are correlated to the quantities of reversible and irreversible traps into the membrane. Moreover, the experimental results revealed that the apparent diffusion coefficient increases and the total amount of trapped hydrogen decreases with temperature. The activation energy of diffusion processes (0.26eV) and trapping processes (0.58eV) are supposed to be respectively affiliated with lattice diffusion and with trapping on incidental dislocations and/or on martensitic lath interfaces due to misorientations (geometric necessary dislocations).
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Nomenclature

\( j \) Measured current density \((\mu A/cm^2)\)

\( j_{ch} \) Charging current density \((mA/cm^2)\)

\( j_{st} \) Steady-state permeation rate \((\mu A/cm^2)\)

\( T \) Temperature \((K)\)

\( E \) Potential \((V)\)

SHE Standard Hydrogen Electrode \((0V/SHE)\)

\( D_{app} \) Apparent diffusion coefficient \((m^2/s)\)

\( D_L \) Lattice diffusion coefficient \((m^2/s)\)

\( \tau \) Dimensionless parameter

\( L \) Thickness of the membrane \((m)\)

\( C_{0,app} \) Apparent hydrogen subsurface concentration \((ppm wt)\)

\( <C> \) Average hydrogen concentration \((ppm wt)\)

\( C_H \) Hydrogen concentration \((ppm wt)\)

\( C_L \) Lattice hydrogen concentration \((ppm wt)\)

\( C_T \) Total trapped hydrogen concentration \((ppm wt)\)

\( C_{Ir} \) Irreversible trapped hydrogen concentration \((ppm wt)\)

\( C_{Tr} \) Reversible trapped hydrogen concentration \((ppm wt)\)

\( N_L \) Number of lattice sites per unit volume \((m^{-3})\)

\( N_T \) Number of trap sites per unit volume \((m^{-3})\)

\( \theta_L \) The occupancy of lattice sites

\( \theta_T \) The occupancy of trap sites

\( E_D \) Activation energy of diffusion process \((eV)\)

\( \Delta E_L \) Activation energy for moving from a lattice site to an adjacent lattice site \((eV)\)

\( \Delta E_T \) Trap binding energy \((eV)\)

\( \Delta E_{TL} \) Activation energy for moving from a lattice site to a trap site \((eV)\)

\( k_B \) Boltzmann constant \(8.617 \times 10^{-5} \text{ (eV/K)}\)

\( \rho_{Fe} \) Iron density \(7.87 \text{ (g/cm}^3\)\)

\( F \) Faraday constant \(96485 \text{ (C/mol)}\)

\( M_H \) Molar mass of hydrogen \(1 \text{ (g/mol)}\)
1. Introduction

Hydrogen Embrittlement (HE) is a phenomenon responsible for premature failure of steel structures, for instance for petroleum industries under “sour service” environment (H₂S content). In the context of environmental sustainability, it is compelling to improve or conceive new processes and/or new materials able to reduce the susceptibility to HE. These damages can be linked to hydrogen diffusion and trapping into the metal. Hydrogen is the subject of many fundamental and applied researches in physics and chemistry. It is essentially studied in industrial research due to hydrogen embrittlement that may occur in industrial environments. The interactions of this element with the metallic structure are often analyzed using permeation tests. The effect of thickness [1-4], the effect of an oxide layer [5-7], the use of a palladium coating [8-12], the influence of temperature to determine the diffusion activation energy [7] and finally the effect of the microstructure of low carbon steels [4, 12-22] had already been discussed in several papers. The electrochemical permeation experiment allows to easily measure the hydrogen flux through a metallic membrane and so is a relevant technique to characterize the hydrogen-metal system. Moreover, to access the real diffusion coefficient, the average concentration and trapped hydrogen, fundamental hypothesis are generally established to explain experimental data [23, 24].

In order to study the interactions of hydrogen with steel, the purpose of this research is to analyze hydrogen diffusion and trapping processes through a quenched and tempered high strength low alloy steel (HSLA) within an acid media by varying charging conditions and temperature. Many investigations have been carried out on industrial steels but the originality of this work consists in studying a model material whose properties are close to real industrial steel and where the chemical composition, the microstructure and the heterogeneousness are thoroughly investigated and related to the electrochemical data. Thus, the geometrical characteristic of the presumed traps present in the model material may be evaluated.
2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

An electrochemical permeation technique was used to study the hydrogen diffusion and trapping in a Fe-0.45\%wt C-1.5\%wt Mo quenched and tempered martensitic steel. The material was electro eroded to obtain disks of 25mm diameter and of 1.2mm initial thickness. Samples were ground with SiC grinding paper down to 4000 grit then ultrasonically cleaned in acetone and rinsed with distilled water. The final thickness is about 1.1mm and the exposed area is 3.14cm² (Ø=2cm).

2.2. Microstructural characterization

Different scales of heterogeneousness describing the tempered martensitic microstructure were investigated by a Leica DM6000M optical microscope, by scanning electron microscopy (SEM Philips FEI, Quanta 200FEG/ESM, 20kV) associated with electron back scattering diffraction (EBSD) and by transmission electron microscopy (TEM JOEL 2010 200kV). For optical observations, the microstructure is revealed by a chemical attack using a nital solution (98mL methanol+2mL nitric acid) during few seconds. EBSD (Electron Back Scattered Diffraction) and TEM (Transmission Electron Microscopy) analyses were performed on very thin samples (80/90μm) in order to minimize the effect of magnetism of the sample with the electron beam. They were electro polished with a perchloric acid (5%), glycerol (20%) and methanol solution at 243K, E=28V during approximatively 2 minutes. They were then rinsed with ethanol and dried at room temperature with an appropriate cloth. TSL OIM® Analyses 5 software was used for the orientation measurements and analysis. Carbides were characterized by TEM by using X-ray spectroscopy (EDAX) and by X-ray diffraction to determine the crystallographic structure. The exact chemical composition of the precipitates is difficult to quantify by EDX, essentially carbon which is a light element. That is why only one alloying element (molybdenum) is reported in the present work.

2.3. Electrochemical permeation test

The instrumentation of electrochemical hydrogen permeation was composed of an electrolytic cell with two compartments. Both compartments are equipped of one saturated sulfate reference electrode SSE (Hg/Hg₂SO₄/K₂SO₄), one platinum auxiliary electrode, a floating ground galvanostat PGP201 for the charging side and a potentiostat Autolab PGSTAT302N for the detection side. Deionized water was circulated in the double jacket through a cryothermostat LAUDA in order to maintain a constant temperature. The temperature was varied in the range of 283 to 313K ± 0.5K. Solutions in both cells were continuously deoxygenated by argon. The cathodic side of the specimen was galvanostatically polarized at a constant charging current density in the range of 5-200mA/cm² in 1M H₂SO₄ (pH=0.18). The electrochemical reactions occurring on the steel surface during cathodic polarization (Figure 1a) correspond to the Hydrogen Evolution Reaction [25] composed of an initial Volmer electrochemical adsorption and electrochemical or chemical desorption at a much higher cathodic polarization (Heyrovsky and Tafel reactions). These points are important to distinguish in further work the effect of electrochemical adsorption and the competition between adsorption/desorption with a H₂.
recombination at higher charging current densities. A part of the adsorbed hydrogen is then absorbed and diffuses through the steel membrane. On the detection side, the sample was potentiostatically maintained at a constant potential of -358 mV/Hg/HgO in 0.1 M NaOH (pH=12.6) (Figure 1b). This potential was sufficient to oxidize the hydrogen atoms emerging on the output face. The anodic current gives a direct measure of the hydrogen flow rate. The detection side is previously maintained at this potential during 80000s in order to stabilize the anodic current for approximately 0.1 μA.cm⁻². The principal and the experimental setup of the electrochemical permeation test are shown on Figure 2.

Figure 1: Polarization curves in 1 M H₂SO₄ (a) and in 0.1 M NaOH (b) on Fe-C-Mo samples at 293 K and S=1 cm²

Figure 2: Scheme and device of the electrochemical permeation test

3. Permeation analyses and interpretation

3.1. Diffusion laws

In the classical permeation technique introduced by Devanathan and Stachursky [23], a thin metal membrane of thickness L is placed between two independent electrochemical cells. Hydrogen is introduced on the entry side (x=0), diffuses through the membrane and is immediately oxidized on the exit side (x=L). The convenience of permeation techniques is based on the assumption that the conditions of diffusion are established beneath the entry side, where the concentration of hydrogen C₀ is supposed to be constant. The main problem consists in the presence of a passive layer on the exit side. The stability of the oxide layer may control the diffusion phenomenon and can have consequences on the experimental results [6, 9, 26]. This can be clearly demonstrated by using finite element methods for the diffusion modeling [27]. That is to say that diffusion curves correspond to a multilayered system with two different materials and their own diffusion coefficient D. Thus, only an apparent diffusion coefficient D_app can be determined, if we consider the system (steel + oxide layer) as a homogeneous representative volume element (HRVE). Fick's laws (1) (2) describe diffusion into the multilayered system assuming that diffusion is unidirectional:

\[ j(x, t) = -D_{app} \frac{\partial C(x,t)}{\partial x} \]  \hspace{2cm} (1)

\[ \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = D_{app} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} \]  \hspace{2cm} (2)

In the case that the hydrogen subsurface concentration is supposed to be constant [23], the diffusion process can be determined by equation (3).

\[ \frac{j}{j_{so}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp \left( -\frac{(2n+1)^2}{4t} \right) = 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \exp(-n^2\pi^2t) \]  \hspace{2cm} (3)
Two analytical solutions (4) and (5) of Fick’s laws are employed to model the diffusion phenomenon when the hydrogen concentration is supposed to be constant beneath the entry side $C=C_0$ and equal to zero on the exit side $C=0$.

$$j = j_\infty \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi \tau}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4\tau}\right) \quad \text{with } \tau = D_{app} t/L^2 < 0.3$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$j = j_\infty (1 - 2 \exp(-\pi^2 \tau)) \quad \text{with } \tau = D_{app} t/L^2 > 0.2$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $j$ is the measured permeation rate versus time, $j_\infty$ the steady-state permeation rate, $t$ the time (s), $L$ the thickness of the membrane (m) and $D_{app}$ the apparent diffusion coefficient (m²/s).

3.2. Data analysis: diffusible hydrogen

The hydrogen apparent diffusion coefficient can be calculated with the mathematical relation derived from Fick’s solutions for the appropriate boundary conditions:

$$D_{app} = \frac{L^2}{M}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $L$ is the sample thickness and $M$ a constant depending on the time value $t$ chosen in the diffusion transient ($M=25$ for 1% $j_e$, $M=15.3$ for 10% $j_e$, $M=6$ for 63% $j_e$) (Figures 3a and 3b). $D_{app}$ is generally defined by a steady-state current density $j_e$ which imposed a value of time. Nevertheless, in the hydrogen permeation rate measurements available in literature, the breakthrough time is not clearly defined: the more widely used is a time value which corresponds to 10% of $j_e$. In this way, $M=15.3$. The intersection of the tangent at the inflection point of the permeation rate-time curve with the initial permeation level leads to $M=19.8$. The constant $M$ evolves quickly according to the time to attain chosen fraction of the steady state permeation rate ($M=25$ for 1%$j_e$, $M=35$ for 0.1%$j_e$). Consequently, we decided to define criterion to clearly distinguish each technique.

Another technique has been developed in order to evaluate the apparent diffusion coefficient without taking into account $j_e$ (which can be affected by a surface evolution or the trapping process during the first permeation transient). The “Regime 1 technique” allows to verify if the apparent diffusion coefficient is coherent with the apparent diffusion coefficient calculated with the others methods. This methodology is based on the beginning of the transient and is related with the equation (4) (Figure 3a). A mathematical approach allows to obtain a simple equation depending on time, on the thickness of the membrane and on the apparent diffusion coefficient. The experimental requirement is based on a good reproducibility of the experimental results. The numerical adjustment of the experimental curve with the theoretical “1st Regime” described in equation (7) gives a value of the apparent diffusion coefficient (Figure 3c).

$$\frac{\partial \ln(j)}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{2t} + \frac{L^2}{4D_{app}t^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

The apparent diffusion coefficient can depend on trapping processes and can also depend on the evolution of the surface state [26, 27]. The correlation between the method at 10% of the steady state current and the “Regime 1”
methods as function of the apparent diffusion coefficient obtained by the method at 1% of the steady state current (Figure 3d) shows a slop close to 1. Whatever the technique used, the value of the apparent diffusion coefficient is quite the same. Thus, the evolution of sample surfaces or the trapping processes can be negligible for the determination of the apparent diffusion coefficient.

Figure 3: Experimental (a, b) and adjusted experimental data (c) for the Fe-C-Mo model steel under a 20mA/cm² cathodic polarization in 1M H₂SO₄ at 293K and the correlation (d) between the different methods of determination of the apparent diffusion coefficient as function of the apparent diffusion coefficient obtained by the method at 1% of the steady state current.

If the charging surface is in equilibrium, the apparent subsurface concentration $C_{0\text{app}}$ (ppm wt) can be determined by:

$$C_{0\text{app}} = \frac{j \cdot L \cdot M_H}{F \cdot D_{\text{app}} \cdot \rho_{Fe} \cdot 10^6}$$

(8)

where $j$ is the steady-state permeation rate (A/m²), $L$ the thickness of the membrane (m), $D_{\text{app}}$ the apparent diffusion coefficient (m²/s), $F$ the Faraday constant (96 485 C/mol), $M_H$ the molar mass of hydrogen (1g/mol) and $\rho_{Fe}$ the iron density (7.87x10⁶ g/m³).

3.3. Data analysis: trapped hydrogen

The complete decay is sensitive to hydrogen release. It can be used to determine the quantity of the lattice hydrogen and the reversible trapped hydrogen [28]. Figure 4 shows the experimental desorption rate on the exit side and the theoretical curve using equation (9) [24]. The theoretical curve is representative of the transport of hydrogen atoms where the membrane is supposed to be free of trap sites. Moreover, the total decay reflects the release of lattice hydrogen $C_L$ and reversible trapped hydrogen $C_{Tr}$. Thus, the total desorption rate is the sum of the desorption rates of the lattice hydrogen $C_L$ and the reversible trapped hydrogen $C_{Tr}$.

$$j = j_0(1 - \frac{2L}{\sqrt{4D_{\text{app}}} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp(-\frac{(2n+1)^2 L^2}{4D_{\text{app}}})})$$

(9)

Figure 4: Analysis of the hydrogen desorption from the Fe-C-Mo model steel on the exit side after a -20mA/cm² cathodic polarization in 1M H₂SO₄ at 293K

The area $\bar{C}_H$ defined between theoretical and experimental curves corresponds to the amount of reversible trapped hydrogen $C_{Tr}$. This area, in μA.s/cm², can be expressed in ppm wt according to the equation (10):

$$C_H = \frac{\bar{C}_H}{\rho_{Fe} \cdot F \cdot L}$$

(10)
where $L$ is the thickness of the membrane (cm), $F$ the Faraday constant (96 485 C/mol), $M_H$ the molar mass of hydrogen (1g/mol) and $\rho_{Fe}$ the iron density (7.87g/cm$^3$).

Finally, it is possible to estimate the amount of the irreversible trapped hydrogen $C_{Tr}$ using the basic equation (11) by supposing that, in the case of an oxide layer on the exit surface, the average concentration in the membrane is quite homogenous and close to $<C>=C_{app}$. The fact that the oxide layer acts like a barrier to the hydrogen diffusion has already been verified in other studies, where the concentration at the interface metal/oxide is not equal to zero but converges to the entry subsurface concentration [26].

$$C_{Tr} = <C> - C_L - C_{Tr}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

4. Results

4.1. Fe-C-Mo microstructure characterization

Microstructural characterizations allow to determine the segregation zones at different scales, from the grain interface to the dislocation density. The martensitic microstructure can be schematized by a multiple of interfaces as it is represented on Figure 5. Microstructural observations show that the martensite transformation subdivides a prior austenite grain on four different scales into packets, blocks, sub-blocks and lathes [29]. Microstructural analyses (Figure 6) show a tempered martensite with traces of retained austenite at the lath/lath interface and different characteristics of the material as the lathes dimensions, the precipitate density and the dislocation density can be determined (table 1). Grains in this polycristal are oriented randomly. As a consequence, a wide range of different grain boundaries exists. The nature of a grain boundary depends on the misorientation of two adjoining grains. The misorientation can reach 45° as the EBSD micrograph demonstrates on the Figure 6b. Moreover the HSLA steel contains only one alloying element which can form various types of precipitate as it is presented on Figure 7. In this study, two types of precipitates have been found by using X-ray diffraction and EDS analyses, both techniques coupled to TEM. Based on previous works [30], two types of precipitate were characterized: cubic carbide $\tau$ (ex: Fe$_2$Mo$_2$C$_6$) with a diameter ranging from 100 to 160nm and a low molybdenum content and orthorhombic carbide $\zeta$ (ex: Fe$_2$MoC) or/and cubic carbide $\eta$ (ex: M$_6$C) with a diameter less than 80nm and a composition of molybdenum between 20-60%wt. Microstructural characterizations presented in table 1 permit to list different scales of potential trap sites: macroscopic sites (prior $\gamma$ grain boundaries, martensitic lathes), precipitates (precipitate density, nature) and dislocations (dislocation density, elastic field).

Figure 5: Microstructural hierarchy of a martensitic microstructure [29]

Figure 6: Optical (a), EBSD (b) and TEM micrographs (c,d) of the specimen

Table 1: Microstructural characterization of the Fe-C-Mo HSLA martensitic steel

Figure 7: Inter lathes precipitation characterizations by TEM and XRD:
a - Cubic carbide $\tau$ (ex: Fe$_{21}$Mo$_2$C$_6$)  
b – Orthorhombic carbide $\xi$ (ex: Fe$_2$MoC) or/and cubic carbide $\eta$ (ex: M$_6$C)

4.2. Influence of charging conditions on diffusivity

Electrochemical permeation tests had been done at 293K in order to determine the apparent diffusion coefficient $D_{app}$ and the hydrogen apparent concentration on the subsurface $C_{app}$ < $C$. Different charging conditions (from 5mA/cm$^2$ to 200mA/cm$^2$) were tested to obtain a variation of $<C>$. Figure 8 shows the influence of six charging conditions on the permeation curves. As it is classically reported in the literature [12, 31], the breakthrough time is decreasing and the steady-state current is increasing (Figure 8a, table 2). Moreover, kinetics of diffusion are accelerated under high charging conditions (Figure 8b).

Figure 8: Permeation transient $j=f(t)$ (a) and the experimental “1st Regime” $\frac{\partial \ln(j)}{\partial t} = f(t)$ (b) for the Fe-C-Mo steel membrane under cathodic polarization in 1M H$_2$SO$_4$ at 293K

Table 2: Hydrogen permeation results in Fe-C-Mo steel under cathodic polarization in 1M H$_2$SO$_4$ at 293K

The plot of $D_{app}$ as a function of $<C>$ (Figure 9) presents two domains with a maximum value of $7.4 \times 10^{-11}$ m$^2$/s for a subsurface concentration of about 0.4 ppm wt. The increase of $D_{app}$ may be linked to a competition between two kinetic processes: the hydrogen trapping and diffusion (domain I). When all trapping sites are filled, only lattice diffusion may occur i.e. the saturation curve corresponds to the hydrogen diffusion coefficient $D$ in the metal (domain II). These measures seem to be in accordance with the apparent diffusion coefficient obtained in the literature where $D_{app}$ is included between $10^{-12}$ [32, 33] and $10^{-9}$ m$^2$/s [34] for HSLA steels. Moreover, the increase of $D_{app}$ as a function of $<C>$ has been obtained by several authors [35, 36] for materials where the influence of grain boundaries and microstructure on diffusion and trapping were predominant. Consequently, hydrogen diffusion cannot be discussed without considering trapping processes.

Figure 9: Apparent diffusion coefficient in the Fe-C-Mo HSLA steel as a function of the apparent subsurface concentration of hydrogen at 293K

4.3. Influence of charging conditions on trapping processes

The complete decay of the desorption step (Figure 4) is sensitive to hydrogen release. The evolution of the quantity of hydrogen as a function of the apparent subsurface concentration is shown on Figure 10. The amounts of lattice hydrogen and irreversible trapped hydrogen increase linearly with the solubility $<C>$ whatever the domain, whereas the amount of reversible trapped hydrogen raises in domain I and reaches a constant value in domain II. The ratios of each hydrogen types tend to a constant value in domain II: 78% for irreversible trapped hydrogen, 18% for reversible trapped hydrogen and 4% for lattice hydrogen. Zakrowyzinski [28] obtained $q_{Hd} = 2.4\%$ and $q_{Hr+q_{Hir}} = 97.6\%$ for a pure Armco iron membrane in 0.1M NaOH under -10mA/cm$^2$ at 298K. These results demonstrate that the solubility of hydrogen into the material is controlled by the amount of trapped hydrogen which can reach 96% in the range of the hydrogen working concentrations. According to the previous
results (Figure 9), the increase of irreversible and reversible trapped hydrogen may be linked to the storage of hydrogen on trapping sites and seems to have influence on the evolution of diffusion processes in both domains (Figure 10).

Figure 10: Evolution of irreversible, reversible trapped and lattice hydrogen as a function of the apparent subsurface concentration of hydrogen under cathodic polarization at 293K

Oriani [37] and Krom [38] defined the apparent diffusion coefficient as:

$$D_{\text{app}} = \frac{D_L}{1 + \frac{\partial C_T}{\partial C_L}}$$

(12)

where $D_L$ is the lattice diffusion coefficient, $C_T$ is the hydrogen concentration in trap sites and $C_L$ is the hydrogen concentration in lattice sites. $C_T$ is equal to $C_{Ti} + C_{Tr}$, where $C_{Ti}$ is the hydrogen concentration in irreversible traps and $C_{Tr}$ is the hydrogen concentration in reversible traps. According to the previous results (Figure 10a), a phenomenological approach is done and leads to expressions of $C_L$, $C_{Ti}$ and $C_{Tr}$:

$$C_L = A_L < C >$$

(13)

$$C_{Ti} = A_{Ti} < C >$$

(14)

$$C_{Tr} = B_{Tr}[1 - \exp(-b_{Tr}(<C>-C_{0T}))]$$

(15)

where $A_L$, $A_{Ti}$, $B_{Tr}$, $b_{Tr}$ are constants and $C_{0T}$ is supposed to be the residual hydrogen concentration into the membrane before an entry of hydrogen. The derivatives of the irreversible and reversible hydrogen concentrations can be written as:

$$\frac{\partial C_L}{\partial <C>} = A_L$$

(16)

$$\frac{\partial C_{Ti}}{\partial <C>} = A_{Ti}$$

(17)

$$\frac{\partial C_{Tr}}{\partial <C>} = B_{Tr} b_{Tr} \exp[-b_{Tr}(<C>-C_{0T})]$$

(18)

Finally, the derivative of the total trapped hydrogen concentration $C_T$ is given by:

$$\frac{\partial C_T}{\partial <C>} = \frac{\partial C_{Ti}}{\partial <C>} + \frac{\partial C_{Tr}}{\partial <C>} = A_{Ti} + B_{Tr} b_{Tr} \exp[-b_{Tr}(<C>-C_{0T})]$$

(19)

In our case, $A_L=0.0395$, $A_{Ti}=0.7465$ and the adjustment of the equation (15) with the experimental data, relative to the evolution of the reversible trapped hydrogen as a function of $<C>$, gives $B_{Tr}=0.13$, $b_{Tr}=8$, $C_{0T}=0.075$ppm wt. According to equations (12) and (19), it is possible to determine a model able to adjust experimental data relative to the evolution of the apparent diffusion coefficient as a function of the hydrogen subsurface concentration (Figure 9). The modeling of experimental data leads to a value of $D_L=1.45\times10^{-9}$m²/s which is slightly lower than the values of the lattice diffusivity of hydrogen in pure iron ($D_{Fe}=10^{-8}$ to $10^{-9}$ m²/s) [8, 34, 39, 40], which suggests an effect of solute atoms (C and Mo). The decrease in hydrogen diffusivity due to the
increase of carbon content has been previously reported [41]. The evolution of the partial derivatives of the hydrogen concentration in trap sites \( C_T \) with respect to the hydrogen concentration in lattice \( C_L \) sites (Figure 11a) and the diagram of predominance (Figure 11b) supposed that the apparent diffusion coefficient is mainly controlled by both reversible and irreversible trapped hydrogen in the domain I whereas it is controlled by the amount of irreversible trapped hydrogen in the domain II. Moreover, domain I can be separated in two sub-domains where the contribution of reversible trapped hydrogen is higher when \( <C> \leq 0.12 \text{ppm wt.} \)

Figure 11: Evolution of the partial derivatives of the hydrogen concentration in trap sites \( C_T \) with respect to the hydrogen concentration in lattice \( C_L \) sites (a) and evolution of the partial derivatives of the hydrogen concentration in trap sites \( C_{Ti} \) with respect to the total hydrogen concentration in traps sites \( C_T \) (b) in the Fe-C-Mo HSLA steel cathodic polarization at 293K

4.4. Influence of temperature on diffusion processes

Electrochemical permeation tests were done at different temperatures (from 283 to 313K) in order to access the activation energy of hydrogen diffusion processes. Figure 12a exhibits the influence of the temperature on the permeation curves. As it is classically reported in the literature, the breakthrough time decreases while the steady-state current increases [42]. Table 3 displays the results of hydrogen permeation in Fe-C-Mo steel at four working temperatures. Values of \( D_{app} \) reveal an acceleration of diffusivity with the raise of temperature. However, the variation of \( <C> \) calculated from equation (8) shows that it relies on the charging conditions, temperature and some more parameters. It has already been reported that an oxide layer formed on the exit side of the membrane has a real influence on solubility [6, 7, 27] according to its diffusion coefficient, which can vary from \( 10^{-16} \) [43] to \( 10^{-21}\text{m}^2/\text{s} \) [44].

Figure 12: Permeation transient \( j=f(t) \) (a) and the experimental “1st Regime” \( \frac{\partial n(t)}{\partial t} = f(t) \) (b) for the Fe-C-Mo steel membrane under -20mA/cm² cathodic polarization in 1M H₂SO₄ at 283, 293, 303 and 313K

Table 3: Hydrogen permeation results in Fe-C-Mo steel under -20mA/cm² cathodic polarization in 1M H₂SO₄ at 283, 293, 303 and 313K

Under the same charging conditions, the apparent diffusion coefficient \( D_{app} \) and the interstitial diffusion coefficient \( D_L \) varies with temperature i.e. it is a thermically activated process. Thus it can be expressed by an Arrhenius relationship:

\[
D_{app} = D_{0app} \exp\left(-\frac{E_D}{k_B T}\right) \quad (20)
\]

and

\[
D_L = D_0 \exp\left(-\frac{E_D}{k_B T}\right) \quad (21)
\]

where \( D_{0app} \) and \( D_0 \) are the pre-exponential factors relative to the probability of mobility of hydrogen [45], \( E_D \) is the activation energy of diffusion process and \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant (8.62.10⁻⁵ eV/K). Figure 13 exposes
In $D_{\text{app}}$ vs $1/T$ and a linear regression which leads to $D_{\text{app}} = 1.25 \times 10^{-6} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$ and an activation energy of diffusion process $E_D = 0.26 \text{eV}$. $D_{\text{app}}$ has been noted to fluctuate from $D_{\text{app}} = 1.02 \times 10^{-10} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$ for pure Armco iron [42] to $D_{\text{app}} = 1.33 \times 10^{-6} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$ for quenched martensite [46]. The activation energy of diffusion process has been reported to vary from $E_D = 0.20 \text{eV}$ for pure Armco iron [42] to $E_D = 0.36 \text{ eV}$ for quenched martensite [46], or $E_D = 0.35 \text{eV}$ for quenched and tempered martensite [47]. A dependence of the activation energy with the microstructure is clearly demonstrated. The pre-exponential factor $D_{\text{app}}$ is changes with the microstructure and so depends on the mean free path of hydrogen into materials. Using equations (20) and (21), it is possible to evaluate real intrinsic value of $D_0$:

$$D_0 = \frac{D_{\text{app}} \times D_{L(20\degree C)}}{D_{\text{app}}(20\degree C)}$$ (23)

with $D_{\text{app}} = 1.25 \times 10^{-6} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$, $D_{L(20\degree C)} = 1.45 \times 10^{-9} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$ and $D_{\text{app}}(20\degree C) = 4.94 \times 10^{-11} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$. This leads to $D_0 = 3.68 \times 10^{-5} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$.

Figure 13: Evolution of the apparent and lattice diffusion coefficients in Fe-C-Mo steel as a function of reciprocal temperature adjusted with an Arrhenius law under -20mA/cm² cathodic polarization in 1M H₂SO₄.

The effect of temperature allows to determine the number of trap sites $N_T$ per unit volume by using the Kumnick and Johnson method [48]. Based on the McNabb-Foster formalism [49], it is the most direct way to analyze trapping data. In this approach, the hydrogen concentration at the input surface of the permeation membrane is related to the “breakthrough time” with trapping:

$$\frac{t_T}{t_L} - 1 = \frac{N_T}{<\text{C}>}$$ (24)

where $t_L$ is the purely lattice “breakthrough time” given by $t_L = \frac{L}{M_{\text{HC}}}$, $t_T$ is the “breakthrough time” with trapping, $N_T$ is the number of trapping sites per unit volume and $<\text{C}>$ is the average hydrogen concentration.

Figure 14 represents the evolution of $t_T/t_L - 1$ as a function of reciprocal hydrogen concentration which permits to evaluate $N_T = 1.27 \times 10^{23} \text{m}^{-3}$. In α-iron, $N_T$ associated with dislocations is varying from $8.5 \times 10^{20}$ to $1.8 \times 10^{23} \text{m}^{-3}$ for 0 to 80% of tensile plastic strain [48] which is lower than our measurement. Considering these values, incidental dislocations cannot be the only trapping sites in our alloy, which suggests that geometrical necessary dislocations localized in inter-lathes play also a role in the trapping process.

Figure 14: Evolution of $t_T/t_L - 1$ as a function of reciprocal hydrogen concentration for the Fe-C-Mo steel membrane under cathodic polarization in 1M H₂SO₄ at 293K.

4.5. Influence of temperature on trapping processes

According to theoretical models [37, 38], trapping is a thermically activated process. Figure 15 describes the evolution of trapped hydrogen and interstitial hydrogen as function of temperature. A weak increase of interstitial hydrogen is observed when trapped hydrogen decreases. This infers that the augmentation of temperature supplies enough energy to hydrogen enabling it to escape from traps and then induces acceleration of diffusion.
Figure 15: Evolution of diffusible and total trapped hydrogen (a) and irreversible/reversible trapped hydrogen (b) as a function of temperature in 1M \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) under -20mA/cm\(^2\) cathodic polarization.

Figure 16 is a schematic view of energy relations in hydrogen-metal system: \( \Delta E_L \) is the activation energy for moving from a lattice site to an adjacent lattice site and \( \Delta E_T \) is the trap energy for moving from a lattice site to a trapping site.

Figure 16: Schematic view of energy relations in hydrogen-metal system

The mobility of dissolved hydrogen in the iron lattice, with an amount of trapping sites, can be analyzed using Oriani [37] and Krom [38] trap models. Considering equilibrium between diffusible and trapped hydrogen, the trap binding energy \( \Delta E_T \) can be determined using the equations (25) and (26).

\[
\frac{1}{\theta_L}(\frac{\theta_T}{1-\theta_T}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta E_T}{k_B T}\right) \quad \text{where} \quad \Delta E_T = \Delta E_{TL} - E_D
\] (25)

where \( \theta_L \) is the occupancy of lattice sites: \( \theta_L = \frac{C_L}{N_L} \) with \( N_L = 5.1 \times 10^{29} \text{m}^{-3} \) [38] the number of lattice sites per unit volume, \( \theta_T \) is the occupancy of trap sites: \( \theta_T = \frac{C_T}{N_T} \) with \( N_T = 5 \times 10^{19} \text{to} 5 \times 10^{25} \text{m}^{-3} \) [38] the number of trap sites per unit volume and \( K_T \) is the trap equilibrium constant. Hence, equation (21) can be written as function of \( C_L, C_T, N_L \) and \( N_T \).

\[
\ln \frac{C_T}{C_L} = -\ln \frac{N_L}{N_T-C_T} - \frac{\Delta E_T}{k_B T}
\] (26)

Moreover following a simple view of statistical distribution of diffusible hydrogen on lattice sites [35], the lattice hydrogen concentration can express as follow:

\[
\ln \frac{C_L}{N_L} \approx -\frac{\Delta E_{Li}}{k_B T}
\] (27)

Figure 17: Evolution of \( \ln(C_T/C_L) \) (a) and \( \ln(C_L/N_L) \) (b) as a function of reciprocal temperature in 1M \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) under -20mA/cm\(^2\) cathodic polarization.

Equations (26) and (27) have been improved using data collected on Figure 15. Figure 17 shows \( \ln(C_T/C_L) \) and \( \ln(C_L/N_L) \) as a function of reciprocal temperature, \( 1/T \). Linear regressions indicate a good correlation between the model (equations 26 and 27) and experimental data that permits to deduce \( \Delta E_{Ti}, \Delta E_{Li} \) and \( N_L \). The energy \( \Delta E_L \) is equal to 0.26eV which is in the same order of the one deduced from temperature dependence of the apparent diffusion coefficient (0.26eV, equation 20). Thus, our presumption about the hydrogen diffusion is mainly linked to lattice diffusion is now confirmed. Using molecular dynamic calculation (DFT, Density Functional Theory) some authors reported for pure iron values of \( \Delta E_L \) quite lower than our data (0.2eV [50] and 0.1eV[51]). The implication of lattice distortion (quadratic structure) and solute atoms (C, Mo) on diffusion process is reinforced.
The number of lattice sites per unit volume, \( N_L \), is equal to \( 1.45 \times 10^{29} \text{m}^{-3} \) which is lower than the one evaluated in the case of \( \alpha \)-iron: \( N_L = 5.1 \times 10^{29} \text{m}^{-3} \) [38]. The insertion of carbon on interstitial sites (\( 4.33 \times 10^{26} \text{m}^{-3} \) for 0.45\%wt C in the Fe-C-Mo steel) and the substitution of iron atoms by molybdenum atoms (\( 1.62 \times 10^{27} \text{m}^{-3} \) for 1.5\%wt Mo in the Fe-C-Mo steel) may reduce the number of lattice sites. \( \Delta E_T \) deduced by equation (26) is equal to 0.32eV and consequently, the trapping energy is \( \Delta E_{T_L} = 0.58 \text{eV} \) (\( \Delta E_{T_L} = \Delta E_t + \Delta E_T \)). When the occupancy of trapping sites by hydrogen is low (\( \theta_T << 1 \) which is the case here), the apparent diffusion coefficient becomes [37]:

\[
D_{app} = D_L \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{\Delta E_T}{RT} \theta_T N_T / N_L\right)}
\]  

(28)

with \( D_L = 1.45 \times 10^{-9} \text{m}^2/\text{s} \), \( \Delta E_T = 0.32 \text{eV} \), \( N_T = 1.27 \times 10^{25} \text{m}^{-3} \) and \( N_L = 1.45 \times 10^{29} \text{m}^{-3} \). As shown on Figure 18, Oriani model seems to be in accordance with the evolution of experimental data as a function temperature in the considered range. However, Oriani model is in agreement with only reversible trap evolution (Figure 11a). This suggests that the evolution of the apparent diffusion coefficient is mainly affected by diffusible hydrogen i.e. with a contribution of interstitial and reversible trapped hydrogen in the range of explored concentration (0.24ppm wt for a -20mA/cm² cathodic polarization at 293K).

Figure 18: Experimental and modeling curves of the apparent diffusion coefficient in the Fe-C-Mo HSLA steel as a function of the temperature in 1M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) under -20mA/cm² cathodic polarization

5. Discussions

Experimental results highlight that the microstructure, the cathodic current density and the temperature affected the hydrogen diffusion and trapping processes. The microstructure of quenched and tempered martensite was characterized in the Fe-C-Mo alloy by using transmission electron microscopy and electron back-scattered diffraction. Different heterogeneousness scales were determined as potential trapping sites: the macroscopic scale (grain boundaries, prior \( \gamma \) grains), the lath scale (interface density, lath size), the precipitate scale (precipitate density, coherent/incoherent precipitate, strain field) and the dislocation scale (dislocation density, hydrostatic pressure). All of these parameters are summarized in table 1. Figure 19 illustrates the trapping energy \( \Delta E_{T_L} \) for each scale of trapping sites according to literature data.

Figure 19: Trap binding energy for a martensitic microstructure

Therefore, hydrogen diffusion trapping can be discussed on the basis of microstructural observations and results from the electrochemical permeation tests. In this work, electrochemical permeation tests at 293K with a large scale of charging conditions lead to an augmentation of the apparent diffusion coefficient as a function of the apparent subsurface concentration. For high value of \( <C> \), \( D_{app} \) remains quite constant at about \( 7.4 \times 10^{-11} \text{m}^2/\text{s} \) corresponding to a steady-state associated with interstitial diffusion. The evolution of \( D_{app} \) is linked to two kinetic processes depending on the charging conditions and on the temperature (thermally activated process). The kinetics of diffusion and trapping processes are responsible for the evolution of \( D_{app} \). Indeed, at a given temperature, two prominent effects of trapping are the increase of the apparent hydrogen solubility (table 2) and
the amplification of the apparent diffusion coefficient (Figure 9, domain I). Consequently the hydrogen behavior in domain I can be associated to the trapping process in presence of segregated elements. Without the trapping process, $D_{app}$ should be independent of the hydrogen solubility as it had been shown on single palladium crystals [35]. When all segregated sites are filled, lattice diffusion may occur and the value of apparent diffusion coefficient is the hydrogen diffusion coefficient $D$ in the metal (domain II). The equilibrium between diffusible and trapped hydrogen is defined by kinetics constant of trapping ($k_r$) and releasing ($k_t$). The trap binding energy is higher for releasing processes ($k_r < k_t$) i.e. the probability of hydrogen mobility is diminished in presence of segregated sites. The reduction of the amount of trapping sites increases the hydrogen mobility thus a raise of the apparent diffusion coefficient in domain I. In addition, the expression of the apparent diffusion coefficient allows to determine a lattice coefficient diffusion $D_l = 1.45 \times 10^{-9} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$ (phenomenological analyses) which is lower than the value of the lattice diffusivity of hydrogen in $\alpha$-iron ($D_{ref} = 10^{-8}$ to $10^{-9} \text{m}^2$). When all segregated sites are filled, lattice diffusion may occur and the value of apparent diffusion coefficient is the hydrogen diffusion coefficient $D$ in the metal (domain II). The equilibrium between diffusible and trapped hydrogen is defined by kinetics constant of trapping ($k_r$) and releasing ($k_t$). The trap binding energy is higher for releasing processes ($k_r < k_t$) i.e. the probability of hydrogen mobility is diminished in presence of segregated sites. The reduction of the amount of trapping sites increases the hydrogen mobility thus a raise of the apparent diffusion coefficient in domain I. In addition, the expression of the apparent diffusion coefficient allows to determine a lattice coefficient diffusion $D_l = 1.45 \times 10^{-9} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$ (phenomenological analyses) which is lower than the value of the lattice diffusivity of hydrogen in $\alpha$-iron ($D_{ref} = 10^{-8}$ to $10^{-9} \text{m}^2$). When the austenite phase ($\gamma$) with a face-centered cubic (fcc) structure is quickly quenched to low temperatures, a displacive transformation forms martensite with a body-centered cubic (bcc) or body-centered tetragonal structure. The transformation to martensite engenders the distortion of the crystal by interstitial carbon atoms that do not have time to diffuse during displacive transformation. Evolutions of lattice parameters (a and c), which depend on the carbon content, are given by the Kurdjumov equation $\frac{c}{a} = 1 + 0.046 \times C(\text{wt})%. The distortion of the crystal may occasion elastic fields near lattice diffusion sites and may interfere with the hydrogen mobility by acting as trapping sites. Hence the lower value of our lattice coefficient diffusion compared to the hydrogen lattice diffusivity in $\alpha$-iron might be explained. Moreover, the magnitude of carbon atoms in interstitial sites ($4.33 \times 10^{26} \text{m}^{-3}$) and the substitution of iron atoms by molybdenum atoms ($1.62 \times 10^{27} \text{m}^{-3}$) will reduce the number of lattice sites and consequently the hydrogen diffusion process.

Electrochemical permeation tests under -20mA/cm² cathodic polarizations at four temperatures (283, 293, 303 and 313 K) revealed a rise of the apparent diffusion coefficient and a decrease of the total number of trapped hydrogen. It was shown that diffusion and trapping are thermically activated processes. The use of Arrhenius model for diffusion process leads to $D_0 = 3.68 \times 10^{-5} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$ and an activation energy of diffusion process of $E_D = 0.26 \text{eV}$. Using trap models [37, 38, 48, 49], the trapping energy is evaluated to $\Delta E_T = 0.58 \text{eV}$. The latter is representative of the major trapping site in the membrane. These values are supposed to be representative to a deep trapping on dislocation core associated with incidental dislocation in intra-lath and/or on martensitic lath interfaces due to misorientations (geometric necessary dislocations). The trapping energy has been estimated to be respectively $\Delta E_T(\text{dislocation core}) = 0.58 \text{eV}$ [53] and $\Delta E_T(\text{dislocation in lath interface}) = 0.52$ [16] to 0.62eV [54]. Intra-lath dislocation density ($\rho_{l} = 3.25 \times 10^{14} \text{m}^{-2}$) has been quantified by microstructural characterizations and is not negligible. The core of an edge dislocation should be a reversible trap at ambient and low temperatures [53, 56] which is in agreement with our study. Moreover, it has been demonstrated by molecular statics analyses that hydrogen atoms exist on the edge dislocation along the slip plane near the dislocation core [57]. However, only the reversible trapped hydrogen can be adjusted by the Oriani model (Figure 11a). The apparent subsurface concentration of hydrogen due to a -20mA/cm² cathodic polarization (~0.24ppm wt) belongs to domain I’’ (Figure 11b) where both reversible and irreversible traps have an influence. So the hydrogen diffusivity is mainly controlled by moving hydrogen (interstitial and reversible) in the studied hydrogen concentrations range. This outline is in good agreement with the fact that dislocations, which are reversible traps, might be the major trapping site. As a consequence, it is necessary to detail this investigation for lower and higher apparent
subsurface concentrations in order to access different parameters which enable to characterize the first sub-domain I’ (<C > < 0.12 ppm wt) and domain II (<C > > 0.5 ppm wt).

In addition, using only one composition of steel it might expand the difficulty of getting the trapping sites. Thus, it is necessary to work with another grade or another heat treatment to determine the major trapping site in this range of temperatures. Moreover, we supposed that all interstitial or reversible trapped hydrogen are released on the exit side of the membrane which is a fundamental hypothesis considering that a part of hydrogen may diffuse toward the entry side.

6. Conclusions

Diffusion and trapping of hydrogen has been studied through a Fe-C-Mo quenched and tempered high strength low alloy steel (HSLA). Microstructural characterizations from optical microscopy to transmission electron microscopy enable to define various scales of potential trap sites: grains and lathes boundaries, precipitation states and dislocations.

To understand the interaction of hydrogen with steel, the electrochemical permeation technique is used. The increase of the charging current density generates higher steady-state permeation rates thus hydrogen flux is sensitive to an augmentation of the hydrogen subsurface concentration. The apparent diffusion coefficient evolves with the subsurface concentration of hydrogen. Two domains appear and are mainly associated with a competition between two distinct processes with quite different kinetics: hydrogen trapping and hydrogen diffusion. The growth of the apparent hydrogen concentration induces a gain of the apparent diffusion coefficient until a constant value $D_{app}=7.4 \times 10^{-11} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$ which corresponds to a lattice diffusion coefficient $D_L=1.45 \times 10^{-9} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$.

Analyses of trapping processes permit us to thoroughly understand the interactions between different hydrogen species: diffusible hydrogen, reversible trapped hydrogen and irreversible trapped hydrogen. The amount of hydrogen species spreads with the apparent hydrogen subsurface concentration and the hydrogen distribution is strongly dependent on the cathodic charging conditions. The total trapped hydrogen may reach up to 96% of the total hydrogen concentration and the hydrogen diffusivity is mainly influenced by diffusible hydrogen (interstitial hydrogen and reversible trapped hydrogen). The evolution of the total trapped hydrogen with temperature allows to establish the nature of trapping sites. Using results from the literature and from microstructural characterizations, the trapping energy $\Delta E_{TL}=0.58 \text{eV}$ leads to the determination of the edge dislocations cores and/or geometrical necessary dislocations as the major trapping site.

This present work is mainly based on modeling of the experimental permeation results in order to get information about the distribution of lattice diffusion and trapping sites in the material. However, these observations should be confirmed by using Thermal Desorption Spectroscopy (TDS).
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Table 1: Microstructural characterization of the Fe-C-Mo HSLA martensitic steel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prior γ grain size</th>
<th>Maximal lath length</th>
<th>Minimal lath length</th>
<th>Precipitate density</th>
<th>Interface density</th>
<th>Dislocation density</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>μm</td>
<td>μm</td>
<td>μm</td>
<td>μm²</td>
<td>μm/μm²</td>
<td>m⁻²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.25x10¹⁴</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Hydrogen permeation results in Fe-C-Mo steel under cathodic polarization in 1M H₂SO₄ at 293K

| Charging Current density (mA/cm²) | jₐ (μA/cm²) | Dₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₑₐ (ppm wt) |  
|---|---|---|---|
| 5 (V) | 0.46 | 4.10 | 0.14 |
| 10 (V+H) | 0.61 | 4.60 | 0.18 |
| 20 (V+H) | 0.81 | 5.40 | 0.24 |
| 125 (V+H) | 1.36 | 6.20 | 0.33 |
| 175 (H) | 1.97 | 7.50 | 0.40 |
| 200 (H) | 3.65 | 7.85 | 0.94 |

\( V: \text{Volmer} \quad H: \text{Heyrovsky} \)
Table 3: Hydrogen permeation results in Fe-C-Mo steel under -20mA/cm² cathodic polarization in 1M H₂SO₄ at 283, 293, 303 and 313K

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (K)</th>
<th>D_{app} \times 10^{11} (m²/s)</th>
<th>&lt;C&gt; (ppm wt)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>283</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>293</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313</td>
<td>9.52</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Polarization curves in 1M H₂SO₄ (a) and in 0.1M NaOH (b) on Fe-C-Mo samples at 293K and S=1cm²
Figure 2: Scheme and device of the electrochemical permeation test
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Figure 3: Experimental (a, b) and adjusted experimental data (c) for the Fe-C-Mo model steel under a 20mA/cm² cathodic polarization in 1M H₂SO₄ at 293K and the correlation (d) between the different methods of determination of the apparent diffusion coefficient as function of the apparent diffusion coefficient obtained by the breakthrough time at 1% of the steady state current.
Figure 4: Analysis of the hydrogen desorption from the Fe-C-Mo model steel on the exit side after a -20mA/cm² cathodic polarization in 1M H₂SO₄ at 293K
Figure 5: Microstructural hierarchy of a martensitic microstructure [29]
Figure 6: Optical (a), EBSD (b) and TEM micrographs (c,d) of the specimen
Figure 7: Inter lathes precipitation characterizations by TEM and XRD:

a - Cubic carbide $\tau$ (ex: Fe$_{21}$Mo$_2$C$_6$)

b - Orthorhombic carbide $\xi$ (ex: Fe$_2$MoC) or/cubic carbide $\eta$ (ex: M$_6$C)
Figure 8: Permeation transient $j=f(t)$ (a) and the experimental “1st Regime” $\frac{d\ln(j)}{dt}=f(t)$ (b) for the Fe-C-Mo steel membrane under cathodic polarization in 1M H$_2$SO$_4$ at 293K.
Figure 9: Apparent diffusion coefficient in the Fe-C-Mo HSLA steel as a function of the apparent subsurface concentration of hydrogen at 293K
Figure 10: Evolution of irreversible, reversible trapped and lattice hydrogen as a function of the apparent subsurface concentration of hydrogen under cathodic polarization at 293K.
Figure 11: Evolution of the partial derivatives of the hydrogen concentration in trapping sites $C_T$ with respect to the hydrogen concentration in lattice $C_L$ sites (a) and evolution of the partial derivatives of the hydrogen concentration in trapping sites $C_{Ti}$ with respect to the total hydrogen concentration in trapping sites $C_T$ (b) in the Fe-C-Mo HSLA steel cathodic polarization at 293K.
Figure 12: Permeation transient $j=f(t)$ (a) and the experimental “1st Regime” $\frac{d\ln(j)}{dt}=f(t)$ (b) for the Fe-C-Mo steel membrane under -20mA/cm² cathodic polarization in 1M H₂SO₄ at 283, 293, 303 and 313K.
Figure 13: Evolution of the apparent and lattice diffusion coefficients in Fe-C-Mo steel as a function of reciprocal temperature adjusted with an Arrhenius law under -20mA/cm² cathodic polarization in 1M H₂SO₄.
Figure 14: Evolution of $t_f/t_L - 1$ as a function of reciprocal hydrogen concentration for the Fe-C-Mo steel membrane under cathodic polarization in 1M H$_2$SO$_4$ at 293K.
Figure 15: Evolution of diffusible and total trapped hydrogen (a) and irreversible/reversible trapped hydrogen (b) as a function of temperature in 1M H₂SO₄ under -20mA/cm² cathodic polarization.
Figure 16: Schematic view of energy relations in hydrogen-metal system
Figure 17: Evolution of $\ln(C_T/CL)$ (a) and $\ln(C_L/NL)$ (b) as a function of reciprocal temperature in 1M H$_2$SO$_4$ under -20mA/cm$^2$ cathodic polarization.
Figure 18: Experimental and modeling curves of the apparent diffusion coefficient in the Fe-C-Mo HSLA steel as a function of the temperature in 1M H₂SO₄ under -20mA/cm² cathodic polarization.
Figure 19: Trap binding energy for a martensitic microstructure