Effect of protein glycation in the presence or absence of wheat proteins on detection of soybean proteins by commercial ELISA

Céline Platteau, Tatiana Cucu, Bruno de Meulenaer, Bart Devreese, Marc de Loose, Isabel Taverniers

► To cite this version:

Céline Platteau, Tatiana Cucu, Bruno de Meulenaer, Bart Devreese, Marc de Loose, et al.. Effect of protein glycation in the presence or absence of wheat proteins on detection of soybean proteins by commercial ELISA. Food Additives and Contaminants, 2011, 28 (2), pp.127. 10.1080/19440049.2010.539627 . hal-00661253

HAL Id: hal-00661253

<https://hal.science/hal-00661253>

Submitted on 19 Jan 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Effect of protein glycation in the presence or absence of wheat proteins on detection of soybean proteins by commercial ELISA

Journal:	<i>Food Additives and Contaminants</i>
Manuscript ID:	TFAC-2010-274.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Research Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	04-Nov-2010
Complete List of Authors:	Platteau, Céline; Flemish Government, Institute for Agricultural and Fisheries Research, Technology and Food Science Unit Cucu, Tatiana; Ghent University, Department of Food Safety and Food Quality; Ghent University, Department for Biochemistry and Microbiology De Meulenaer, Bruno; Ghent University, Department of Food Safety and Food Quality Devreese, Bart; Ghent University, Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology De Loose, Marc; Flemish Government, Institute for Agricultural and Fisheries Research, Technology and Food Science Unit; Ghent University, Department of Molecular Genetics Taverniers, Isabel; Flemish Government, Institute for Agricultural and Fisheries Research, Technology and Food Science Unit
Methods/Techniques:	Immunoassays
Additives/Contaminants:	Allergens
Food Types:	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review Only

Effect of protein glycation in the presence or absence of wheat proteins on detection of soybean proteins by commercial ELISA

Céline Platteau ^{a,b,\$}, Tatiana Cucu ^{a,c,\$}, Bruno De Meulenaer ^{a*}, Bart Devreese ^c, Marc De Loose ^{b,d}, Isabel Taverniers ^b

^a Department of Food Safety and Food Quality, Research Group Food Chemistry and Human Nutrition, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

^b Flemish Government, Institute for Agricultural and Fisheries Research (ILVO), Technology and Food Science Unit, Melle, Belgium

^c Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology, Laboratory for Protein Biochemistry and Biomolecular Engineering, Ghent University, Ghent Belgium

^d Department of Molecular Genetics, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

^{\$} These authors contributed equally

* Corresponding author: Ghent University, Coupure Links 653, B-9000, Belgium.

Tel.: +32 92 64 61 66; fax: + 32 92 64 62 15. E-mail address: Bruno.DeMeulenaer@UGent.be

Abstract

Soybean (*Glycine max*) is the world’s primary provider of protein and oil and is widely used in foodstuffs. However, the use of soybean in foodstuffs might pose a serious threat to the allergic consumers since some proteins can cause allergic reactions. To date, mostly ELISA methods are used for testing contamination of foodstuffs with soybean. In view of the complexity regarding allergen detection in foodstuffs and appropriate food product labelling, the aim of the present study was to investigate the impact of the Maillard reaction on the detectability of soybean proteins using commercial ELISA kits. Accumulation of protein bound carbonyls, modification of reactive lysine residues and severe aggregation as a result of incubation with glucose, in the presence or absence of soluble wheat proteins, were recorded. Moreover, detection of soybean proteins by means of three commercial ELISA kits was strongly altered and was highly dependent on the type of kit used.

Keywords: Soybean allergy, Maillard reaction, ELISA, protein bound carbonyls, protein aggregation, reactive lysine.

16

Introduction

Food allergy is an abnormal immunological response due to sensitization to a food component. With its increasing incidence over the last years, it represents an important health problem, especially in industrialized countries, where it has been estimated to affect about 2% of the adult population and up to 8% of children (Monaci et al. 2006). Together with hen's egg, cow's milk, fish, crustacea, peanut, tree nuts and wheat, soybeans belong to the 'big eight', being responsible for 90% of all allergic reactions (Report of the FAO 1995). It also belongs to the list of 14 allergenic products which have to be declared on the label of a food product when present as an ingredient according to Directive 2007/68/EU (European Parliament and Council Directive 2007/68/EC 2007).

Soybeans (*Glycine max*) contain about 35% of proteins and at least 21 proteins have been shown to be IgE-reactive. Soybean allergy can occur as a result of primary sensitization, but can also be related to birch pollen allergy through structural similarities between the birch pollen allergen *Bet v 1* and the corresponding protein *Gly m 4* in soybeans (secondary allergy) (Ballmer-Weber and Vieths 2008; Mittag et al. 2004). The symptoms associated with soybean allergy are mostly mild, such as the Oral Allergy Syndrome (OAS), however, severe reactions have also been described (Foucard and Yman 1999; Kleine-Tebbe et al. 2002; Mittag et al. 2004). The incidence of soybean allergy has increased during the last years probably because more products are being produced with soybean (Wilson et al. 2005). Soybean is used as an ingredient in a broad range of food products, both for its texturizing and nutritional benefits. Due to its diverse functional properties, such as water and fat absorption, emulsification, foaming and gelation, soybean proteins are often included during food processing steps. However, extensive use of soybean proteins in foods might pose a serious threat to the allergic consumers due to unintentional contamination which might take place during food

processing. This can affect the safety of food products and lead to the presence of hidden allergens, therefore making avoidance diets increasingly challenging.

Various approaches have been applied to reduce the allergenicity of soybean proteins. Enzymatic hydrolysis through fermentation is often applied to produce hypo-allergenic formulas, but is strongly dependent on the extent of the hydrolysis degree (Frias et al. 2008; Tsuji et al. 1997; Yamanishi et al. 1995). Reduction of soybean allergenicity has also been achieved by allergen conjugation with polysaccharides (Arita et al. 2001; Babiker et al. 1998). Similarly, the impact of protein modifications occurring as a result of the multitude of chemical reactions occurring during food processing has been studied as well (Burks et al. 1991; Codina et al. 1998; Muller et al. 2000). In contrast to these studies focusing on the impact of soy protein modification on the antigenicity, only limited studies are available with respect to the impact of these changes. In addition, the impact of these changes on the detectability using immunochemically methods, which are typically used by the industry and enforcement agencies (Bando et al. 1998; Tsuji et al. 1995) has rarely been studied in a systematic manner for soybean protein.. The above-mentioned methods are based on antibody – antigen interactions Therefore, immunoassays are subjected to complications due to the matrix effects, which may result in erroneous results. Moreover, the interaction of food allergens with other food components, which are expected to occur during thermal processing, may lead to changes in the allergen structure and might hinder their detection by the immunological methods. Therefore, it is important to gain knowledge on the influence of food processing on the tertiary structure of food allergens and the changes occurring at the amino acid level as a result of chemical reactions taking place during processing. Moreover, information on the further impact of these changes on the allergenic potential and the detectability of the allergens is invaluable. Van de Lagemaat *et al.* previously reported reductions of the antigenicity of soybean proteins after *in vitro* glycation using an in-house

1
2
3 66 developed ELISA assay (de Lagemaat et al. 2007). In this study the impact of glycation in the
4
5 presence or absence of other proteins on the detectability of soybean proteins by commercial
6
7
8 68 kits was investigated. A similar study on hazelnut proteins already showed that the detection
9
10 was drastically affected as a result of protein glycation (manuscript submitted).
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review Only

70

Materials and methods

Reagents and standards

Soluble wheat proteins “Meripro 711” were kindly provided by Syral Belgium N.V. (Aalst, Belgium). Chemicals and standards of analytical grade were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Bornem, Belgium), VWR (Leuven, Belgium) and Acros Organics (Geel, Belgium). Solvents were of analytical grade from Chem-Lab (Zedelgem, Belgium), except for hexane which was of technical grade. Gel and standards for SDS-PAGE were from Bio-Rad (Nazareth, Belgium) while the Krypton protein stain was provided by Thermo Scientific (Aalst, Belgium). The commercial ELISA kits used were Veratox® Soy Allergen (Neogen, Lansing, USA), BioKits Soya Allergen Assay (Tepnel, Flintshire, UK) and Soy Residue (ELISA Systems, Queensland, Australia).

Soybean protein extraction

Soybeans were provided by Alpro (Wevelgem, Belgium) and Cargill (Mechelen, Belgium). A mixture was made by taking equal amounts of each kind. Soybeans were frozen with liquid nitrogen and ground in a two-step process, first with a blender (Moulinex, France) and secondly with an Ultra Turrax t25 (IKA, Wilmington, US).

Soybean protein extracts were obtained according to the protocol described by Yeung et al. with slight modifications (Yeung and Collins 1996). Briefly, 100 g of ground beans were defatted with four times 1 L technical hexane. After an overnight evaporation of the solvent at ambient temperature, 10 g defatted powder was mixed with 100 ml of extraction buffer and mixed at 4°C over night. Protein extraction buffer consisted of a 1 M NaCl, 1.47 mM KH₂PO₄, 2.7 mM KCl and 10 mM Na₂HPO₄. Sodium azide 0.2 g L⁻¹ was added to the extraction buffer to avoid microbial growth. Further a mixture of protease inhibitors (2 mM

ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 5 mM sodium diethyldithiocarbamate (DIECA), 0.5 mM benzamidine hydrochloride (BAHC) and 0.2 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF)) was added to the extraction buffer in order to increase the stability of the protein isolates during extraction and storage (Bjorksten et al. 1980). The protein mixtures were further centrifuged for 1 h at 4°C and 20000 g to separate the lees. Subsequently, the clear supernatants were dialyzed (Spectra/Por 3 membrane tubing, MWCO 3.5 kDa) using the same extraction buffer containing only 0.137 M NaCl, for 48 h at 4°C and then filtered through a 0.45 µm membrane filter (Puradisc FP 30, Novolab, Belgium). Finally, the protein solutions were lyophilized and stored at -20°C until further use. This extract will further on in this paper be considered as the reference extract.

Maillard reaction in the absence of soluble wheat proteins

Before treatment, soybean protein extracts were dialyzed against the 0.1M phosphate buffer pH 7.4 to remove the nitrogen containing additives (Spectra/Por 3 membrane tubing, MWCO 3.5 kDa). The reaction systems were prepared in the same phosphate buffer pH 7.4 by making a solution containing 1% (w/v) soybean proteins and 6% (w/v) glucose. The reaction systems in closed falcon tubes were incubated in a water bath at 70°C for up to 48 h. A reaction system without glucose was used as control. At different time periods, samples were retrieved from the water bath and placed in an ice bath to stop the reaction. Further, the modified protein solutions were immediately used for the determination of reactive lysine residues, protein bound carbonyls and free amino groups. Aliquots for SDS-PAGE were frozen at -20°C until further use.

Maillard reaction in the presence of soluble wheat proteins

Two types of model systems were considered. One model system contained 0.25% (w/v) of soybean proteins with 0.75% (w/v) of wheat proteins and 6% (w/v) of glucose in the

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

118 same 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 7.4. Another model system contained 0.5% (w/v) soybean
119 proteins with 0.5% (w/v) wheat proteins and 6% (w/v) glucose. Respective model systems
120 without glucose were prepared as control. Further these systems were treated similarly as the
121 model systems containing no soluble wheat proteins.

122 ***Assessment of the Maillard reaction***

123 *Determination of protein bound carbonyls*

124 Protein bound carbonyls were measured after converting them to the corresponding 2,
125 4- dinitrophenylhydrazones. Briefly, 300 µl protein solution was incubated with 400 µl 2,4-
126 dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNPH) 10 mM in 2 M HCl. Further, the proteins were precipitated
127 with 10% trichloroacetic acid (final concentration) and thoroughly washed with 1 ml
128 ethanol/ethyl acetate (1:1) after derivatization; hence any unreacted DNPH or DNPH bound to
129 any other components than protein was removed. Finally the pellet was redissolved in 8 M
130 urea and absorbance was measured at 370 nm using a Bio-Rad Benchmark Plus microplate
131 spectrophotometer (Nazareth, Belgium). The carbonyl content was calculated using a molar
132 absorption coefficient of 22000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ (Levine et al. 1994).

133 *Loss of reactive lysine residues*

134 The loss of reactive lysine residues was monitored using derivatization with *ortho*-
135 phthaldialdehyde (OPA) in the presence of 2-mercaptoethanol which yields a fluorescent
136 product with a maximum excitation wavelength of 340 nm and emission of 450 nm using a
137 Spectramax Gemini XPS fluorimeter from Molecular Devices (Brussels, Belgium) (Ferrer et
138 al. 2003). A standard of β-casein was used to prepare a calibration curve and samples were
pre-treated and analyzed using a fluorimeter as previously described (Morales et al. 1996).

140 *SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)*

Protein cross-linking or fragmentation was analyzed by SDS-PAGE under reducing conditions. A 4% acrylamide stacking gel and a 10% acrylamide resolving gel were used for protein separation. An aliquot of 10 μ L sample containing 6 μ g of protein was added to 10 μ L Laemmli sample buffer containing β -mercaptoethanol and heated at 95°C for 5 min. The samples were further loaded on the gel along with the molecular weight marker. Precision plus protein unstained standard was used as a reference to determine the molecular weight of proteins of interest. Krypton fluorescence staining was used for bands visualization. The gels were further read using the Molecular Imager PharosFX Plus from Bio Rad (Nazareth, Belgium) where the molecular weights of the proteins were recorded.

150 *Protein determination*

Protein determination was performed by determining the nitrogen content according to the Kjeldahl procedure (AOAC Official Method 981.10 1981). A multiplication factor of 5.71 was used to convert nitrogen content to soybean protein content, and a factor of 6.25 to convert to soybean protein content for the mixtures of soybean and wheat protein. The non protein nitrogen (NPN) fraction was determined in the supernatant after a previous protein precipitation with 15% TCA (final concentration).

ELISA assays

Samples of the above-described model systems were analyzed using different commercial ELISA kits: Veratox® Soy Allergen, BioKits Soya Allergen and Soy Residue. These samples include the glucose-free model system containing only soybean proteins (system 1), the model system containing soybean proteins with glucose (system 2), the two model systems containing different ratio's of soybean proteins and soluble wheat proteins (0,5% soybean proteins + 0,5% soluble wheat proteins (system 3) and 0,25% soybean proteins

164 + 0,75% soluble wheat proteins (system 4), respectively) and the two model systems 3 and 4
 containing different ratio's of soybean proteins and soluble wheat proteins, in the addition of
 166 6% glucose ((system 5) resp. (system 6)). Of the different model systems, samples retrieved
 after 0, 24 and 48 h incubation at 70°C were analyzed. The untreated sample (= 0 hours of
 thermal treatment) of the glucose-free model system (1) containing only soybean proteins is
 referred to as the reference sample. For each sample of the different model systems a dilution
 series was made (0 – 50 – 100 – 500 – 1500 – 1500 ng/ml soybean protein) in the extraction
 buffer/dilution solution of the respective kit. For the BioKits Soya Allergen Assay additional
 dilutions had to be analyzed. The actual concentrations are the concentrations of soybean
 proteins based on the absolute protein determination using the Kjeldahl procedure and do not
 include the wheat proteins that were added in some of the model systems. The dilution series
 were analyzed *in duplo* in a similar manner as sample extracts according to the respective
 manufacturers' manuals. Absorbance measurements were made in a microtiter plate reader
 (Multiskan MCC/340, Titertek, Huntsville, Alabama). Each kit was calibrated using the
 respective standard protein solutions included in each kit. The absorbance values were fitted
 to the protein concentration of the standard solutions, according to a four-parameter-logistic
 dose-response curve corresponding to following equation (2.1). This equation presents a
 standard way for the expression of immunoassay data, where a is the maximum dose (protein
 concentration), b is the minimum concentration, c is the E_{50} -value (concentration at half-
 maximal saturation), d is the slope of the curve and $conc$ is the concentration of the standard
 or sample.

$$Absorbance = \left[\frac{(b - a)}{\left(1 + \frac{conc}{c}\right)^d} \right] + a \quad (2.1)$$

1
2
3 186 Only the data obtained within the range of the respective standard curve were
4
5 considered in the results. In case of the Veratox® Soy Allergen kit, the kit's procedure
6
7
8 188 prescribes to fit the data to log-logit function, which is a linearization of the four-parameter
9
10 logistic function. For the Soy Residue and the BioKits Soya Allergen Assay no suggestion is
11
12
13 190 made as to which function to use to fit the data. Data above or below the calibration range are
14
15 indicated by an asterisk (*) or a 'zero' (0), respectively, in the charts.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Results

Progress of the Maillard reaction

An increase in protein bound carbonyl amounts as result of the incubation in the presence of glucose has been recorded. A 5 fold increase in protein bound carbonyls was recorded after 24 h incubation of 1% soybean with glucose while after 48 h incubation a 10 fold increase was observed (**Figure 1**). For the model system containing no glucose a 2 time increase was observed. Similarly, an increase in protein bound carbonyls was observed when soluble wheat proteins were added to the model systems. After 48 h incubation with glucose a 7 fold increase in protein bound carbonyls was observed in the case of the model system containing 0.5% soybean proteins. For the model system containing 0.25% soybean proteins a 4 fold increase was observed.

Further, changes in the reactive lysine residues have been recorded. About 80% decrease of reactive lysine residues has been recorded upon incubation of 1% soybean proteins with glucose (**Figure 2**). Interestingly, a 23% decrease in reactive lysine residues after 6 h incubation in the absence of glucose was also recorded. A further decrease in reactive lysine residues was however not recorded, while in the presence of glucose, a steady decrease was obtained throughout the whole incubation experiment. Further, about 90% of the reactive lysine residues were modified in the model system containing 0.5% soybean proteins after 48 h of incubation with glucose while for the model system containing 0.25% soybean proteins almost all the reactive lysine residues were modified.

Figure 3 shows the SDS-PAGE pattern of the native and glycated soybean proteins. Changes in the SDS-PAGE pattern of the soybean proteins incubated in the absence of glucose were observed (lane 1-3). The intensity of the bands representing the α -, α - and β -chain of the Gly m 5 allergen with the molecular weight of 70, 74 and 50kDa respectively, decreased markedly with the incubation time (I.U.I.S.Allergen Nomenclature 2009;

UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot 2010). However, the acid and basic subunits of the *Gly m 6* allergen (32 and 19 kDa, respectively) remained stable upon the whole incubation time. More severe changes were observed however, in the SDS-PAGE pattern of the soybean proteins incubated with glucose either in the presence or absence of soluble wheat proteins. Most of the allergenic proteins have been affected as manifested by the loss of intensity of their respective bands. Similarly as with the incubation in the absence of glucose, the α -, α' - and β -chain of the *Gly m 5* allergen were affected the most with the acid and basic subunits of the *Gly m 6* allergen being the most resistant. The respective bands of the *Gly m 6* allergen were still visible after 24 h incubation in the presence of glucose although with slightly faded intensity. All the other proteins were highly modified as evidenced by the smearing towards the top of the gel which progressed with the incubation time. After 48 h of incubation with glucose only the bands corresponding to the acid and basic subunits of the *Gly m 6* allergen were still slightly visible. Aggregates with masses of over 300 kDa were also formed which hindered their migration into the resolving gel.

Detection with commercial ELISA kits

The soybean protein concentration of the standards provided by each individual kit is expressed in parts per million (ppm) which equals ng analyte mg⁻¹ of food product. When testing the commercial kits, the objective was to compare the actual soybean protein concentration of the analyzed samples with the measured concentration, derived from the calibration curve obtained with the kit's standards. To this end, the concentration of the kits standards was converted to the same dimension of that from the model system samples. This was done according to formula 3.1, considering the weight of the sample (mg) extracted by a particular volume of extraction buffer (mL).

$$\frac{\text{ng analyte}}{\text{mg food}} (\text{ppm}) \times \frac{\text{weight of extracted sample (mg)}}{\text{volume of extraction buffer used (ml)}} = \frac{\text{ng analyte}}{\text{ml solution}} \quad (3.1)$$

For the evaluation of the kits performance, dilution series of the soy protein solutions obtained from the six different model systems were applied in the appropriate concentration range of each kit. The obtained absorbance values were converted to a measured soy protein concentration, which was compared with the actual protein concentration presents in each diluted solution (Figure 4).

Biokits Soya Allergen

With the standard solutions included in the BioKits Soya Allergen assay, a calibration curve was constructed according to the four-parameter logistic model, ranging from zero to 200 ng mL⁻¹ soybean protein. The soybean protein concentration in the reference samples was overestimated on average by 290% in a concentration dependent manner (Figure 4A). Therefore, at the highest concentrations absorbance values exceeding those obtained in the calibration curve were observed. Upon heating at 70°C without glucose for 24 h the signal decreased with 14% (not shown) and an additional 8% when the treatment was extended up to 48 h. When soluble wheat proteins were added to the model system the average overestimation of the concentration of the samples was diminished to 250%, irrespective the soy/wheat protein ratio. However, at the lowest soybean/wheat protein ratio, a sharper decline in the measured soybean protein concentration was observed upon heating; after 48 h the signal dropped on average 38% (0.75% soluble wheat proteins) compared to an average of 27% (0.5% soluble wheat proteins, not shown). Addition of glucose resulted in a further decrease of the measured concentration compared to the samples treated without glucose; the signal was declined with 60% after 48 h heat-treatment.

264 *Veratox® Soy Allergen*

A calibration curve was established with the standard solutions provided in the Veratox® Soy Allergen kit. The standard consists of Soy Protein Isolate and covers a range from zero to 4000 ng mL⁻¹ after conversion of the concentration expressed in ng g⁻¹, according to formula 3.1. As can be observed from **Figure 4B**, the actual soybean protein concentration present in the reference solution and its dilutions was highly overestimated on average by 300%. Therefore, the obtained absorbance values for the reference samples with 1500 and 1000 ng mL⁻¹ soybean protein were beyond the range of the calibration curve and were not included in Figure 4B. Upon the presence of soluble wheat proteins in the model system the overestimation was even increased up to on average 400% (0.5% soybean protein + 0.5% soluble wheat proteins, not shown) or on average 600% (0.25% soybean proteins + 0.75% soluble wheat proteins). However, already after heating the reference sample for 24 h in the absence of glucose the signal disappeared completely. In the presence of soluble wheat proteins only 1% (0.5% soluble wheat proteins) or 8% (0.75% soluble wheat proteins) of the soybean proteins was detected after 24 h heating (not shown) and extension to 48 h lead to a complete loss of signal. Heating the proteins in the presence of glucose confirmed the lack of robustness of the kits.

Soy Residue

In the Soy Residue assay the soybean trypsin inhibitor is used as the analytical target. The kit includes standard solutions containing 5, 2.5, 1 and 0 ppm soybean protein corresponding to 500, 250, 100 and 0 ng mL⁻¹ soybean protein after conversion which were used to construct a four-parameter logistic calibration curve. The 5 and 2.5 ppm standards returned equal absorbance values, amounting 2.8-3, consequently quantification can only be performed up to a level of 2.5 ppm. In addition, the 1 ppm standard, being the lowest standard containing the analyte, returned a quite high absorbance value of 2.5. Therefore, it was

decided to dilute the available standards provided with the kit in order to obtain a more suitable calibration curve. The protein concentration of the native reference sample was underestimated for the samples containing 50 and 100 ng mL⁻¹ soybean protein in a concentration depend manner with on average 12% (**Figure 4C**). Exceeding the calibration range, the reference samples containing 500, 1000 and 1500 ng mL⁻¹ soybean protein could not be considered and the latter two were not included in Figure 4C. Upon heating without glucose for 24 h at 70°C, only about 2% of the proteins could be detected (not shown) with an additional decrease to only 1% when extended to 48 h. When soluble wheat proteins were added to the system, the measured concentration was lower compared to the reference sample (15 – 20%); in contrast to the observations described for the Veratox® Soy Allergen, a lower signal was measured when more wheat proteins were added. Also here an almost complete loss of signal was observed after heating in the presence of soluble wheat proteins, with only 1% of the soybean proteins being detected after 24 h (not shown) and 0.2% after 48 h. Similarly, no additional effect of adding glucose during the heat-treatment could be seen.

Discussion

In this study, different commercial ELISA kits for the detection of soy proteins and the influence of protein glycation hereon was investigated. Application of the reference soybean protein solution in the various kits resulted in an extreme overestimation of the actual protein concentration in the Veratox Soy Allergen and BioKits Soya assays, while for the Soy Residue assay an underestimation was recorded. In addition, the amount of soybean proteins that was measured was dependent on the concentration in the sample that was applied. Similar observations have been made in a validation study of five commercial ELISA kits for peanut detection (Poms et al. 2005). A possible explanation for the differences between the actual and the measured soybean protein concentration that were obtained with the different kits could be found in the nature of the standard solutions of the respective kits. In the Soy Residue kit a single protein, namely the Soy Trypsin Inhibitor, is used as the target analyte. Possibly the factor used to convert the soy trypsin inhibitor concentration to total soy protein concentration is not the same as the actual proportion soy trypsin inhibitor present in the soybean protein extract used in this study. Strategically, the choice for a single marker protein for the detection of soybean proteins in processed food products is especially risky in terms of susceptibility to protein modification and its impact on the detection, as was moreover demonstrated in this study. The high overestimation of the actual soy protein with the BioKits Soya Allergen assay demonstrate that the antibodies that are used to develop the assay recognize better the proteins that are present in the soybean protein extract used in this study than the ones present in the standard solutions of the kit. This could be due to the use of different soybean varieties to produce the protein extract. Immunochemical activity of peanut proteins has also been shown to depend on the peanut variety that is used (De Meulenaer et al. 2005). These observations indicate that the test results heavily depend on the assay that is

used and urge the need for reference materials for the development and validation of standardized methods.

Upon heating the reference soybean protein solution in absence of glucose, a decreased detection was observed in the BioKits Soya Allergen, however, still overestimating the actual soybean protein concentration. In contrast, both the Veratox Soy Allergen and the Soy Residue assay lacked total robustness. The complete loss of detectability can probably be due to the denaturation of soybean proteins especially because only minor changes in terms of formation of protein carbonyls and losses of lysine residues were observed as compared to the changes observed in the model systems containing glucose (**Figure 1&2**). However, the observed loss of intensity of the *Gly m 5* allergen upon heating without glucose, indicating denaturation, might explain the lowered detection by the BioKits Soya Allergen Assay (Kitabatake et al. 1989). The *Gly m 6* allergen, with a denaturation temperature of about 90°C could then account for the remaining detection. The Soy Trypsin Inhibitor shows a thermal transition at 60-65°C which could explain the decreased detection with the Soy Residue assay after heating at 70°C (Roychaudhuri et al. 2004). Although the denaturation of this protein has been shown to be reversible, fast cooling of the model systems, by putting the samples on ice after heat-treatment, could have prevented a proper refolding.

When soybean proteins are present in a food product, protein cross-linking can occur with other (bulk) proteins, which could have an additional impact on the detection. Therefore the impact of heating soybean proteins in the presence of soluble wheat proteins was investigated as well. In a previous study on hazelnut proteins it was seen that soluble wheat proteins can have an additional impact (manuscript submitted). However, no additional impact on the detection of soybean proteins was seen when soluble wheat proteins were added to the model systems.

During heating of the reference soybean proteins with glucose either with or without soluble wheat proteins, changes on molecular level took place as evidenced by a number of parameters investigated (**Figure 1-3**). As a consequence, these changes on protein level led to further decreases in detectability especially for the BioKits Soya Allergen Assay. Nevertheless, in the same kit, additional protein cross-linking with other soluble wheat proteins via the produced protein bound carbonyls during glycation, did not further affect the detectability of the soybean proteins. As a complete lack of robustness of the Veratox Soy Allergen and the Soy Residue was observed upon heating soybean proteins alone, no additional impact of their heating with glucose either in the presence or absence of soluble wheat proteins could be seen.

The results presented show that the detection of soybean proteins by means of commercial ELISA kits is strongly influenced by processing. This implies that certain assays could falsely declare a processed food product as “free of soybean proteins”, while soybean proteins might still be present. Consequently, this holds a risk for the allergic consumer. In the same time some other kits, such as BioKits Soya Allergen and Veratox Soy Allergen, show strong overestimation especially for the native soybean proteins which presents risks for the food industry where the product withdrawal from the market is based on the results obtained with similar commercial kits. In conclusion, there is still a lack of sensitive analytical methods for the detection of traces of allergens in processed foods and thus controls cannot be carried out in a reliable way. Moreover, in this study, by inducing Maillard reaction in soybean proteins in a buffered system, abstraction of possible consequences of protein insolubility and eventual extractability was made. This implies that, within a real food product, it might be expected that estimations of the contamination levels would be even more severely affected. It should therefore be emphasized that the tested ELISA assays can be useful to give an indication of the presence of contaminants, rather than an exact quantitative value of the

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

376 contamination level. But even if such assays are used in a mere qualitative context, outmost
care should be given with respect to the interpretation of the results.

For Peer Review Only

378

Acknowledgements

380 This work was funded by the Belgian Science Policy (BELSPO) (contract n°
SD/AF/03A). The authors would like to thank the Neogen Corporation for kindly providing
382 us the Veratox Soy Allergen ELISA kits for this study.

For Peer Review Only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

AOAC Official Method 981.10. 1981. Crude Protein in Meat: Block Digestion Method. Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC, 16th edition.

Arita, K., Babiker, E.E., Azakami, H. and Kato, A. 2001. Effect of chemical and genetic attachment of polysaccharides to proteins on the production of IgG and IgE. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 49, 2030-2036.

Babiker, E.E., Hiroyuki, A., Matsudomi, N., Iwata, H., Ogawa, T., Bando, N. and Kato, A. 1998. Effect of polysaccharide conjugation or transglutaminase treatment on the allergenicity and functional properties of soy protein. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 46, 866-871.

Ballmer-Weber, B.K. and Vieths, S. 2008. Soy allergy in perspective. *Current Opinion in Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 8, 270-275.

Bando, N., Tsuji, H., Hiemori, M., Yoshizumi, K., Yamanishi, R., Kimoto, M. and Ogawa, T. 1998. Quantitative analysis of Gly m Bd 28K in soybean products by a sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. *Journal of Nutritional Science and Vitaminology* 44, 655-664.

Bjorksten, F., Halmepuro, L., Hannuksela, M. and Lahti, A. 1980. Extraction and properties of apple allergens. *Allergy* 35, 671-677.

Burks, A.W., Williams, L.W., Helm, R.M., Thresher, W., Brooks, J.R. and Sampson, H.A. 1991. Identification of Soy Protein Allergens in Patients with Atopic-Dermatitis and Positive Soy Challenges - Determination of Change in Allergenicity After Heating Or Enzyme Digestion. PLENUM PRESS DIV PLENUM PUBLISHING CORP, NEW YORK.

Codina, R., Oehling, A.G. and Lockey, R.F. 1998. Neoallergens in heated soybean hull. *International Archives of Allergy and Immunology* 117, 120-125.

de Lagemaat, J.V., Silvan, J.M., Moreno, F.J., Olano, A. and del Castillo, M.D. 2007. In vitro glycation and antigenicity of soy proteins. *Food Research International* 40, 153-160.

De Meulenaer, B., De la Court, Acke, D., De Meyere, T. and Van de Keere, A. 2005. Development of an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for peanut proteins using chicken immunoglobulins. *Food and Agricultural Immunology* 16, 129-148.

European Parliament and Council Directive 2007/68/EC. 2007. Amending Directive 2000/12/EC as regards the indication of the ingredients present in foodstuffs. *Official Journal of the European Union* 2007 L310, 11-14.

Ferrer, E., Alegria, A., Farre, R., Abellan, P., Romero, F. and Clemente, G. 2003. Evolution of available lysine and furosine contents in milk-based infant formulas throughout the shelf-life storage period. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 83, 465-472.

- 1
2
3 Foucard, T. and Yman, I.M. 1999. A study on severe food reactions in Sweden - is soy
4 422 protein an underestimated cause of food anaphylaxis? *Allergy* 54, 261-265.
- 6
7 Frias, J., Song, Y.S., Martinez-Villaluenga, C., de Mejia, E.G. and Vidal-Valverde, C. 2008.
8 424 Immunoreactivity and amino acid content of fermented soybean products. *Journal of*
9 *Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 56, 99-105.
- 11 426 I.U.I.S.Allergen Nomenclature. 2009. <http://www.allergen.org/>.
- 13
14 Kitabatake, N., Tahara, M. and Doi, E. 1989. Denaturation Temperature of Soy Protein Under
15 428 Low Moisture Conditions. *Agricultural and Biological Chemistry* 53, 1201-1202.
- 17
18 Kleine-Tebbe, J., Wangorsch, A., Vogel, L., Crowell, D.N., Haustein, U.F. and Vieths, S.
19 430 2002. Severe oral allergy syndrome and anaphylactic reactions caused by a Bet v 1-
20 432 related PR-10 protein in soybean, SAM22. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical*
21 *Immunology* 110, 797-804.
- 23
24 Levine, R.L., Williams, J.A., Stadtman, E.R. and Shacter, E. 1994. Carbonyl Assays for
25 434 Determination of Oxidatively Modified Proteins.
- 26
27 Mittag, D., Vieths, S., Vogel, L., Becker, W.M., Rihs, H.P., Helbling, A., Wuthrich, B. and
28 436 Ballmer-Weber, B.K. 2004. Soybean allergy in patients allergic to birch pollen:
29 438 Clinical investigation and molecular characterization of allergens. *Journal of Allergy*
30 *and Clinical Immunology* 113, 148-154.
- 32
33 Monaci, L., Tregoat, V., van Hengel, A.J. and Anklam, E. 2006. Milk allergens, their
34 440 characteristics and their detection in food: A review. *European Food Research and*
35 *Technology* 223, 149-179.
- 36
37 Morales, F.J., Romero, C. and Jimenezperez, S. 1996. Evaluation of heat-induced changes in
38 442 Spanish commercial milk: Hydroxymethylfurfural and available lysine content.
39 444 *International Journal of Food Science and Technology* 31, 411-418.
- 41
42 Muller, U., Luttkopf, D., Hoffmann, A., Petersen, A., Becker, W.M., Schocker, F.,
43 446 Niggemann, B., Altmann, F., Kolarich, D., Haustein, D. and Vieths, S. 2000.
44 448 Allergens in raw and roasted hazelnuts (*Corylus avellana*) and their cross-reactivity to
45 pollen. *European Food Research and Technology* 212, 2-12.
- 46
47 Poms, R.E., Agazzi, M.E., Bau, A., Brohee, M., Capelletti, C., Norgaard, J.V. and Anklam, E.
48 450 2005. Inter-laboratory validation study of five commercial ELISA test kits for the
49 452 determination of peanut proteins in biscuits and dark chocolate. *Food Addit. Contam*
50 *22*, 104-112.
- 52
53 Report of the FAO. 1995. Technical Consultation on Food Allergies. Food and Agricultural
54 454 Organization of the United Nations.
- 56
57 Roychaudhuri, R., Sarath, G., Zeece, M. and Markwell, J. 2004. Stability of the allergenic
58 456 soybean Kunitz trypsin inhibitor. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta-Proteins and*
59 *Proteomics* 1699, 207-212.
- 60 458 Tsuji, H., Okada, N., Yamanishi, R., Bando, N., Ebine, H. and Ogawa, T. 1997. Fate of major
soybean allergen Gly m BD 30K in rice-, barley- and soybean-koji miso (fermented

1
2
3 460 soybean paste) during fermentation. Food Science and Technology International 3,
4 145-149.
5
6
7 462 Tsuji, H., Okada, N., Yamanishi, R., Bando, N., Kimoto, M. and Ogawa, T. 1995.
8 Measurement of Gly-M-Bd-30K, A Major Soybean Allergen, in Soybean Products by
9 464 A Sandwich Enzyme-Linked-Immunosorbent-Assay. Bioscience Biotechnology and
10 Biochemistry 59, 150-151.
11
12 466 UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot. 2010. <http://expasy.org/sprot/>.
13
14 Wilson, S., Blaschek, K. and de Mejia, E.G. 2005. Allergenic proteins in soybean: Processing
15 468 and reduction of P34 allergenicity. Nutrition Reviews 63, 47-58.
16
17 Yamanishi, R., Huang, T., suji, H., ando, N., imoto, M. and gawa, T. 1995. Reduction of
18 470 soybean allergenicity by fermentation with Bacillus nato. Food Science and
19 Technology International 1, 14-17.
20
21
22 472 Yeung, J.M. and Collins, P.G. 1996. Enzyme immunoassay for determination of peanut
23 proteins in food products. Journal of Aoac International 79, 1411-1416.
24 474
25
26
27
28 476
29
30
31
32
33 478
34
35
36
37
38 480
39
40
41
42 482
43
44
45
46
47 484
48
49
50
51
52 486
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure captions

Figure 1 Formation of protein bound carbonyls in soybean protein in the presence or absence of soluble wheat proteins due to incubation with glucose. The data points represent mean values $\pm$ SD of three independent determinations. $\square$ 1% soybean proteins with 6% glucose; $\circ$ 0.5% soybean with 0.5% soluble wheat proteins and 6% glucose; Δ 0.25% soybean and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins and 6% glucose; $\diamond$ 1% soybean proteins

Figure 2 Loss of available lysine due to incubation of soybean proteins in the presence or in the absence of soluble wheat proteins with glucose, data points represent mean values $\pm$ SD of four independent determinations. $\square$ 1% soybean proteins with 6% glucose; $\circ$ 0.5% soybean with 0.5% soluble wheat proteins and 6% glucose; Δ 0.25% soybean and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins and 6% glucose; $\diamond$ 1% soybean proteins

Figure 3 SDS-PAGE pattern of the soybean proteins incubated in the presence or absence of wheat proteins with glucose Lanes 1-3: 1% soybean proteins non-treated, 24 h and 48h incubated resp.; lanes 4-6: 1 % soybean proteins and 6 % glucose non-treated, 24 h and 48 h incubated resp.; lanes 7-9: 0.5 % soybean proteins with 0.5 % wheat proteins and 6 % glucose non-treated, 24 h and 48 h incubated resp.; lanes 10-12: 0.25 % soybean proteins with 0.75 % wheat proteins and 6 % glucose non-treated, 24 h and 48 h incubated resp.; MWM - molecular weight marker

Figure 4 Ratio of measured over actual soybean protein concentration in different ELISA kits of a dilution series of a reference soybean protein solution and after heating in the presence or absence of glucose and soluble wheat proteins. (* = absorbance value of sample above the calibration range, 0 = absorbance value of sample below the calibration range)

■ -reference sample, ■ -reference heated for 48 h, ■ -reference heated for 48 h in the presence of glucose, ■ -0.25% soybean proteins and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins, ■ -0.25% soybean

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

512 proteins and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins heated for 48 h, □ -0.2 % soybean proteins and
0.75% soluble wheat proteins heated for 48 h in the presence of glucose
514

For Peer Review Only

Figure 1 Formation of protein bound carbonyls in soybean protein in the presence or absence of soluble wheat proteins due to incubation with glucose. The data points represent mean values $\pm$ SD of three independent determinations. $\square$ 1% soybean proteins with 6% glucose; $\circ$ 0.5% soybean with 0.5% soluble wheat proteins and 6% glucose; Δ 0.25% soybean and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins and 6% glucose; $\diamond$ 1% soybean proteins

Figure 2 Loss of available lysine due to incubation of soybean proteins in the presence or in the absence of soluble wheat proteins with glucose, data points represent mean values $\pm$ SD of four independent determinations. $\square$ 1% soybean proteins with 6% glucose; $\circ$ 0.5% soybean with 0.5% soluble wheat proteins and 6% glucose; Δ 0.25% soybean and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins and 6% glucose; $\diamond$ 1% soybean proteins

Figure 3 SDS-PAGE pattern of the soybean proteins incubated in the presence or absence of wheat proteins with glucose Lanes 1-3: 1% soybean proteins non-treated, 24 h and 48h incubated resp.; lanes 4-6: 1 % soybean proteins and 6 % glucose non-treated, 24 h and 48 h incubated resp.; lanes 7-9: 0.5 % soybean proteins with 0.5 % wheat proteins and 6 % glucose non-treated, 24 h and 48 h incubated resp.; lanes 10-12: 0.25 % soybean proteins with 0.75 % wheat proteins and 6 % glucose non-treated, 24 h and 48 h incubated resp.; MWM - molecular weight marker
99x57mm (199 x 199 DPI)

Figure 4 Ratio of measured over actual soybean protein concentration in different ELISA kits of a dilution series of a reference soybean protein solution and after heating in the presence or absence of glucose and soluble wheat proteins. (* = absorbance value of sample above the calibration range, 0 = absorbance value of sample below the calibration range)
-reference sample, -reference heated for 48 h, -reference heated for 48 h in the presence of glucose, -0.25% soybean proteins and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins, -0.25% soybean proteins and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins heated for 48 h, -0.2 % soybean proteins and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins heated for 48 h in the presence of glucose

Figure 4 Ratio of measured over actual soybean protein concentration in different ELISA kits of a dilution series of a reference soybean protein solution and after heating in the presence or absence of glucose and soluble wheat proteins. (* = absorbance value of sample above the calibration range, 0 = absorbance value of sample below the calibration range)
 -reference sample, -reference heated for 48 h, -reference heated for 48 h in the presence of glucose, -0.25% soybean proteins and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins, -0.25% soybean proteins and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins heated for 48 h, -0.2 % soybean proteins and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins heated for 48 h in the presence of glucose

Figure 4 Ratio of measured over actual soybean protein concentration in different ELISA kits of a dilution series of a reference soybean protein solution and after heating in the presence or absence of glucose and soluble wheat proteins. (* = absorbance value of sample above the calibration range, 0 = absorbance value of sample below the calibration range)
-reference sample, -reference heated for 48 h, -reference heated for 48 h in the presence of glucose, -0.25% soybean proteins and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins, -0.25% soybean proteins and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins heated for 48 h, -0.2 % soybean proteins and 0.75% soluble wheat proteins heated for 48 h in the presence of glucose