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Abstract

With technical advances in wildlife telemetry, the study of cryptic predators’ responses to prey distribution has been revolutionised. Considering marine predators, high resolution tagging devices were developed lately to collect long and precise diving datasets. In this study, we investigated, at fine temporal and spatial scales, changes in the horizontal movements and diving patterns of a marine predator, the southern elephant seal. Satellite tracking data collected on nine seals were processed with switching state-space models. Seals’ body condition, as a proxy for foraging success, was estimated through changes in drift rate from Time Depth Recorder (TDR) data. We identified (1) statistically distinct behavioural modes along the
tracking data (intensive vs. extensive foraging modes) and (2) dive classes from the TDR diving data (drift, exploratory, shallow active and deep active dives). Mass gain over the animals’ foraging trip was also linked with the proportions of intensive foraging zones and dive classes. Active dives, associated with vertical foraging and chasing, were more numerous when the seals were in intensive foraging mode. Improved body condition and mass gain of seals were also associated with the occurrence of intensive foraging mode and, within the vertical dimension, with sets of highly active dives. In conclusion, proportions of dive classes displayed by the seals proved to vary according to their horizontal behaviour. The results allow us to conclude that intensive foraging detected from surface tracking data is a good predictor of the diving activity and foraging success occurring in the vertical dimension.
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1 Introduction

Understanding the responses of predators to spatial and temporal variability of their prey distribution is fundamental for determining how animals may respond to global changes in their environment. The Southern Ocean is one of the most productive oceans (Smetacek & Nicol, 2005) and it has been shown that this circumpolar ocean has warmed more rapidly than the global ocean average (Gille, 2002). It has also been shown that winds over this ocean have strongly increased over the past few decades (Meredith & Hogg, 2006) causing an increase in eddy activity and number. This could have significant impacts on primary productivity (Le Quéré et al., 2007) and hence on feeding opportunities for predators. Nonetheless, direct observations of how marine predators interact with their environment and their prey are very scarce. Because of the Southern Ocean remoteness, it is particularly challenging to obtain information on diet and the distribution of prey for long-ranging migrating species in those waters.

Accurate feeding indices are often difficult to obtain, and most studies instead use proxies such as changes in movement patterns and time spent within restricted areas (Weimerskirch et al., 2007; Aarts et al., 2008). Therefore, recent developments in animal-mounted loggers (Weimerskirch & Jouventin, 1990; Weimerskirch et al., 2002) and indirect diet analyses (Bradshaw et al., 2004) have significantly increased the amount of knowledge on cryptic marine predators’ ecology. Especially, the recordings of predators’ movements, diving behaviour and in situ oceanographic parameters have indirectly contributed in a better understanding of potential prey distribution otherwise difficult to observe.

By correlating movement patterns to environmental conditions, characteristics of the areas profitable for a predator can be revealed (Turchin, 1991). In various predator species, resource
acquisition has been linked to a type of free-ranging behaviour called the area-restricted search (ARS) (Kareiva & Odell, 1987). In a prey-aggregated environment, such as in the open ocean, an animal having already captured a first prey intensifies its foraging in the patch (Charnov, 1976; Parker & Stuart, 1976). Therefore, an ARS is characterised by a decrease in displacement speed and an increase in the track sinuosity in areas with putative prey aggregation (Bovet & Benhamou, 1988). Between two patches, the animal, on the contrary, travels more linearly and at a faster pace. Natural environments are generally considered as hierarchical patch systems, in which patches at small scales are nested in patches at larger scales (Kotliar & Wiens, 1990). While foraging, predators often display movement patterns at multiple spatial and temporal scales that are assumed to match the spatial structure of the hierarchical aggregations of prey (Fauchald, 1999). Since predators likely adjust their foraging movements at small spatial scales, especially within a dense patch, prey encounter rate is supposed to play a major role in predator’s foraging decisions. On the other hand, past experiences are expected to act mainly in large-scale movements at a scale where prey distribution is more predictable (Hunt et al., 1999). With the latest technical advances in wildlife telemetry, it is now possible to examine small-scale movements that are crucial to better understand scale-dependent adjustments of long-ranging predators. By using high-precision locating system (GPS, Weimerskirch et al. 2002) together with high-resolution behavioural recorders (Time Depth Recorders (TDR) Charrassin et al. 2001), stomach temperature sensors (Austin et al. 2006), it is now possible to accurately study foraging decisions. However, to understand the effects of environmental variability on foraging success of marine predators and, ultimately their fitness increase, requires not only at-sea movement analyses, but also some method of identifying where and when the animals actually improve their body condition.

Considering diving predators, buoyancy has been proved to directly depend on the animals’ body condition (Webb et al., 1998; Aoki et al., 2011). As a predator feeds and increases its body
condition, the relative proportion of adipose tissue increases thereby increasing its buoyancy (Robinson et al., 2010). Therefore, a predator species that performs dives during which the animals drift passively in the water column can be considered as an ideal study model to inform on in situ buoyancy in situ (Biuw et al., 2003). Travelling thousands of kilometres per year in the circumpolar waters of the Southern Ocean (McConnell et al., 1992), southern elephant seals, *Mirounga leonina*, are elusive marine predators that can spend as much as 85% of their lifetime at sea (McIntyre et al., 2010). They continuously dive during their extended stay at sea and display behaviours qualified of “drift dives” along their track (Crocker et al., 1997). They regularly perform those dives during which they stop swimming and drift passively in the water column (Biuw et al., 2003; Mitani et al., 2010). Vertical movements during these dives were shown to be related to the seal’s body condition (Webb et al., 1998): fat and positively buoyant seals will follow an upward drift. Inversely, lean seals with negatively buoyant body condition will sink during a drift dive. An increase in the drift rate over time is therefore an index of a successful foraging activity (Biuw et al., 2007; Bailleul et al., 2007b; Thums et al., 2008; Robinson et al., 2010). Although there are potential errors in the estimation of foraging success from drift rate, they generally lead to an underestimation of the energy gain and foraging success (Robinson et al., 2010). Southern elephant seals represent therefore a unique opportunity for studying, in situ, links between the foraging behaviour and the individual’s body condition.

Horizontal foraging behaviour, from track-based analyses of low-resolution surface tracking data, has been studied in detail (Bailleul et al., 2008). However, southern elephant seals spend little time at the surface between each dive (Hindell et al., 1991) and feed on deep-ranging prey (Cherel et al., 2008). In addition, previous studies could only integrate the diving behaviour by using indices estimated from low-resolution dive profiles (four depth-time points per dive, Biuw et al., 2003; Bailleul et al., 2007a). Recent studies have focused on the fine scale vertical
behaviour which is more likely to respond directly to prey abundance (Thums et al., 2011).

However, fine scale vertical as well as horizontal foraging behaviour remain poorly known which limits our understanding of the seals’ responses to the variability in prey distribution.

In the Southern Ocean, mesoscale features, such as fronts and eddies, have been shown to have a significant impact in structuring and enhancing primary productivity (Bakun, 2006). They are likely to influence the spatial structure of prey patches and play a key role in the formation of profitable foraging areas for oceanic predators (Bost et al., 2009). The interfrontal zone, between the Polar Front (PF) and the Subtropical Front (STF, see Figure 1), is especially dynamic with locally productive eddies that are rich in prey. Within the Kerguelen population, Bailleul et al. (2010a) work suggests that over two thirds of the southern elephant seal females forage in the interfrontal zone. It is therefore likely to be a key habitat where those predators interact with spatially and temporally heterogeneous oceanographic features (Dragon et al., 2010). In this study, we used high resolution tracking and diving data to investigate fine-scale temporal and spatial changes in horizontal movements and diving patterns of female elephant seals in the interfrontal zone. We expected a good correspondence between the areas of intensive foraging identified from horizontal tracking data and the areas with high proportions of foraging dives, as determined from TDR data. Finally, using drift rate as a physiological proxy of foraging success, we expected to monitor the gain or loss in the seals’ body conditions and to relate it with the observed diving behaviour.
2 Material & Methods

2.1 Logger Deployment

In October 2009, nine post-breeding southern elephant seal females, all about the same mass (296 ± 26 kg) and length (236 ± 14 cm), were captured on the Kerguelen Islands (49°20’S, 70°20’E). They were anaesthetised using a 1:1 combination of Tiletamine and Zolazepam (Zoletil 100) which was injected intravenously (McMahon et al., 2000). Data loggers were glued on the head of the seals, using quick-setting epoxy (Araldite AW 2101), after cleaning the fur with acetone. Four seals were equipped with satellite-GPS loggers in combination with satellite-Argos and archival data loggers (MK10 Fast-Loc, Wildlife Computers, Washington, USA). MK10 devices transmitted Argos location data and collected GPS location data. To save battery life, the sampling interval of GPS locations was set to a minimum of 20 minutes, i.e. slightly shorter than the average dive duration of the species (Hindell et al., 1991). The additional logger, Time-Depth Recorder (TDR), included in the MK10 devices collected and archived pressure and temperature levels every two seconds. Five other seals were equipped with Fluorometry - Conductivity - Temperature - Depth Satellite - Relayed Data Loggers (termed Fluo-CTD-SRDLs, designed and manufactured by the Sea Mammal Research Unit, University of St Andrews, Scotland) combined with MK9-TDR loggers (Wildlife Computers, Washington, USA). Fluo-CTD-SRDLs devices allowed the calculation and transmission of tag positions estimates of Argos quality. The MK9 devices combined with each Fluo-CTD-SRDL were set to sample and archive pressure and temperature levels every two seconds. After locating their respective haulout beaches via Argos locations, returning females were recaptured, weighed and loggers were retrieved.
2.2 Tracking data and identification of horizontal foraging behaviour

The GPS and Argos seal tracking data were both analysed with state-space models in order to
detect areas of restricted search (Jonsen et al., 2003; Patterson et al., 2008; Schick et al., 2008;
Block et al., 2011). Argos locations were first estimated using the observation model of a
switching-state-space model in order to take into account measurement errors (Jonsen et al.,
2003). Following preliminary studies based on the movement parameters distributions (not
displayed here), we used two behavioural modes for the analyses. The state-space models also
estimated the probability of being in a particular behavioural mode (intensive foraging, that is
when ARS behaviour is displayed, vs. extensive foraging, when the animal travels at a faster
and more linear pace) along the animals’ paths (for details see Jonsen et al. (2007); Block et al.
(2011)). GPS tracks were analysed the same way: the switching state-space models discerned
two behavioural modes within the location data. All models were fitted with freely available
software WinBUGS (Bayesian Analysis Using Gibbs Sampler, Spiegelhalter et al. (1999)) called
from R (R Development Core Team, 2009) with the package R2WinBUGS (Sturtz et al.,
2005). As recommended by Dennis (1996), we used vague priors (Gamma and Uniform
distributions). Two Monte-Carlo Markov Chains (MCMC) were run for each model, with
50000 iterations following a 25000 burn-in (thin=2).

As female southern elephant seals from Kerguelen population are known to forage mostly in the
pelagic waters of the interfrontal zone (Bailleul et al., 2010b; Dragon et al., 2010), we focused
on the pelagic part of the tracks after having applied a bathymetric mask (1000 m depth from
Etopo mask 2’ (NGDC, 2001)) to exclude all locations on the Kerguelen and Crozet plateaux,
and hence all benthic dives.
2.3 Diving Behaviour and dive classification

The following diving variables were derived from MK9 and MK10 TDR data (Table 1):

- maximum depth
- descent and ascent speeds
- bottom-time duration (where the bottom phase starts at the end of the descending phase and finishes at the beginning of the final ascent to the surface)
- bottom-time residuals (residuals of multiple regression of $bottomtime \sim maximumdivedepth + diveduration$ Bailleul et al. (2008); calculated for each dive within a path)

Vertical sinuosity in the bottom phase (Equation 1, derived from Weimerskirch et al. (2007)).

$$BottomSinuosity = \frac{BottomDistance_{observed}}{BottomDistance_{euclidean}}$$ (1)

where $BottomDistance_{observed}$ is the total vertical distance swum in the bottom of the dive, and $BottomDistance_{euclidean}$ is the sum of the Euclidean distances from the depth at the beginning of the bottom to the maximum depth and from the maximum depth to the depth at the end of the bottom phase.

Vertical sinuosity takes a value of 1.0 when the animals swims in a straight path at the bottom of its dive. Any deviation from a straight path increases the sinuosity value. The horizontal distance travelled during each dive was also estimated from linearly interpolated GPS tracking data. Drift dive identification was processed from the complete time-depth (TDR) data in 3 steps. (1) Instantaneous vertical speed was calculated from the time-depth data. (2) Vertical speed was then smoothed by using a moving average filter (10 seconds window) in order to compensate for abrupt changes in depth reading due to the sensor accuracy ($\pm 1m$). (3) Within dives, drift phases were isolated using a custom-made function under R software (R Development Core Team, 2009). Drift phases were detected as periods of time of more than 3 minutes during which the vertical speed was bounded between $[-0.6; 0.6]m.s^{-1}$ and with a low variance ($s^2 < 0.005$) (for more details see the annotated R codes in the supplementary
material). For each drift dive, a drift rate was determined as the slope coefficient of a linear regression between depth and time (Biuw et al., 2003; Bailleul et al., 2007a; Mitani et al., 2010). Daily averaged drift rates were calculated for the 3-month tracks.

Following a preliminary analysis of diving behaviour (Table 1) and a literature review (Hindell et al., 1991; Schreer et al., 2001; Hassrick et al., 2007), four dive classes displayed by the seals in pelagic waters were determined. A k-mean classification was then applied on the normalised Principal Component Analysis (PCA, in this study with 4 principal components) scores from the behavioural variables of all individuals in the pelagic part of their tracks (Forgy, 1965). Transition matrices including the probabilities of dive class changing were then estimated. The daily proportions of each dive classes for each individual were also calculated. Linear mixed models, with individual as random effect, were used to evaluate the daily proportions of dive class in intensive foraging vs. extensive foraging areas detected from the tracking data (in R, nlme library from Pinheiro & Bates (2000) with REML method). Models’ assumptions were verified and no autocorrelation nor heterogeneity of variance of within-group residuals was noticed (Pinheiro & Bates, 2000).

### 2.4 Detection of successful foraging areas with drift rate increases and mass gain models

Positive variations of drift rate are supposed to indicate an improvement of the seal’s body condition and buoyancy (Crocker et al., 1997; Biuw et al., 2003). We used increases in drift rate as a physiological index of successful foraging activity along the seals’ tracks. The overall drift rate increase was calculated as the difference between the mean drift rate over the last 10% of the track, hereafter referred as the final drift rate, and the one over the first 10% of the track. Final drift rate before the animal’s hauling out and percentage of time spent in intensive foraging were also considered. Finally, we also calculated the respective proportions of the four
dive classes while the animal was displaying intensive, or extensive, foraging behavioural mode.

For all individuals, mass gain (kg) over the foraging trip was related, using linear models, to overall drift rate, final drift rate and the proportions of dive classes while in intensive foraging.

In the end, we used linear models to estimate the mass gain from multiple regressions of the variables listed above. Model selection was based on Akaike Information Criterion (AIC, Akaike (1973), with a correction for small sample sizes Burnham & Anderson (2002)) to find the best linear model (Venables & Ripley, 2002).
3 Results

3.1 Statistics of the horizontal tracking data

Argos tags transmitted in average ($mean \pm s.d.$) $6.86 \pm 4.31$ locations per day whereas GPS tags collected an average of $38.28 \pm 10.57$ daily locations. The 9 animals equipped for this study spent an average of $79 \pm 6$ days at sea with $69 \pm 9$ days in the pelagic area. They covered an average of $4399 \pm 622$ km, including $3822 \pm 763$ km in pelagic waters (Figure 1). All but one individual foraged exclusively within the interfrontal zone east of Kerguelen Island. The last one went to the western interfrontal zone, near Crozet plateau. Individual seals dived on average 64 times per day which is consistent with the literature (Boyd & Arnbom, 1991). This provided 5059 ± 700 dives, per seal, of which 4529 ± 909 were in pelagic waters. From the state-space model analysis, seals displayed two statistically distinct behavioural movement modes: intensive, which corresponds to the display of ARS behaviour, and extensive foraging. Intensive foraging mode was estimated on average during 33 % of their time ($33 \pm 12%; 28 \pm 8$ days) including in pelagic waters ($23 \pm 9$ days).

3.2 Characterisation and localisation of the dive classes

For all individuals, four dive classes were detected and defined as: drift, exploratory, shallow-active and deep-active dives. The biological meanings of the dive classes will be discussed in detail in the first part of the discussion. Characteristics of the four dive classes are illustrated in Figure 2 and Table 2. Although their relative proportions varied, with for instance deep active dives being more numerous by day than by night, all dive classes were observed by day and night time (Figure 2b). Drift dives were the least sinuous in the bottom (Figure 2l), presented the longest bottom time durations (Figure 2a,c) and low horizontal distances (Figure 2l). While “drift-diving”, the animals displayed low descent (Figure 2c) and ascent speeds (Figure 2l) and performed this class of dive at average depths (Figure 2e and Table 2). On the
contrary, deep active and shallow active dive classes exhibited high sinuosity ratio during their
bottom phases (Figure 2d). The deep active dive class was the most sinuous of all dive classes.
Both shallow and deep active classes also exhibited high descent and ascent speeds (Figure
2d,e). Deep active dive presented also the lowest horizontal distance travelled between two
dives of this class (Figure 2f). Finally deep active dive class was also characterised by negative
bottom-time residuals that is bottom times lower than expected. Considering the exploratory
dive class, they were characterised by large horizontal distance (Figure 2f), medium vertical
sinuosity at the bottom of the dives (Figure 2d) and low descent speed (Figure 2e). Figure 3a
presents the typical profiles of the four dive classes.

The proportions of dive classes along the pelagic part of the individual tracks are presented on
Table 3. Deep active dives represent the majority of the dives along the animal path (46.1 % in
average) while shallow active and exploratory dives are the second and third classes with
respectively 31.3% and 14.9% of the dives. Finally, drift dives are sparsely displayed (7.7%).
The combination of deep and shallow active classes (from now on referred as active dives)
represents over three quarters of the whole set of dives (77.4 %). All dive classes occurred all
along the tracks (Figure 3b). On the other hand, observed probabilities of dive class changing
from dive at time t to dive at time (t+1) revealed a high temporal persistence, i.e. temporal
auto-correlation, in the animals behaviour and a hierarchy in the dive classes activity (Table 4).
An animal displaying a shallow active dive is more likely to continue displaying this class of
dive or to change most likely for a deep active dive or an exploratory dive.

3.3 Combining horizontal foraging behaviour identified from tracking data
to fine scale vertical behaviour

The most parsimonious linear mixed model on the daily proportions of shallow active dives
showed a positive intercept \( \text{intercept} = 42.11 \pm 5.42, \text{p.value} = < 0.0001 \), a negative link with
the daily horizontal distance travelled ($slope(Hor.Dist) = -0.26 \pm 0.03$, p.value = $< 0.0001$) and a positive link with the intensive foraging mode ($slope(ARS) = 5.32 \pm 2.35$, p.value = 0.02). Furthermore, the most parsimonious linear mixed model on the daily proportions of drift dives showed a positive intercept ($intercept = 5.91 \pm 1.28$, p.value = $< 0.0001$), a positive link with the proportions of shallow dives ($slope(Shallow.Active) = 0.03 \pm 0.01$, p.value = 0.03) and with the intensive foraging mode ($slope(ARS) = 1.37 \pm 0.73$, p.value = 0.05). Figure 4(a, b) confirm the variations of proportions of the four dive classes between the 2 behavioural movement modes detected on the tracking data: extensive (a) and intensive (b) foraging. The proportion of exploratory dives almost doubles in extensive foraging areas compared to intensive ones. Meanwhile, drift dives number is reduced nearly 50% in extensive foraging areas. The proportion of deep active dives remains identical while the proportion of shallow active dives increases with the intensification of the foraging behaviour. Therefore, the proportion of active dives, combination of deep and shallow active dives, is more important in intensive foraging areas than in extensive ones.

### 3.4 Mass Gain related to an increase in drift rate and active dives

Mass gain ranged from -60 to 120 kg (Figure 5) and was positively related to four variables. The overall change in drift rate along the track, referred as the gain in drift rate ($\rho = 0.83$, p.value = 0.006, Figure 5a), and the final drift rate ($\rho = 0.78$, p.value = 0.013, Figure 5a) were positively related to the mass gain. The proportion of time spent in intensive foraging ($\rho = 0.67$, p.value = 0.049, Figure 5b) and the proportion of active dives realised while the animal was in intensive foraging ($\rho = 0.80$, p.value = 0.009, Figure 5b) were also positively related to the mass gain. The drift rate gain was the variable best correlated with mass gain. The model selection, based on small sample size corrected AIC, that applied to the multiple regressions (table 5) highlighted the most parsimonious model: Mass Gain $\sim$ Drift Rate Gain ($AICc = 65.99, R^2 = 0.685$, with $slope = 708.26 \pm 181.54$ and
intercept = −110.75 ± 42.82). It is to be noted that the second most parsimonious is: Mass
Gain ∼ Drift Rate Gain + Proportion Active Dives in ARS (AICc = 69.47 and R² = 0.728).

4 Discussion & Perspectives

In this study, we showed that intensive foraging detected from surface tracking data is a good
predictor of the diving activity and foraging success occurring in the vertical dimension.
Previous studies have shown that southern elephant seals display several dive classes during the
pelagic parts of their foraging trips (Hindell et al., 1991; Schreer et al., 2001; Thums et al.,
2008). However, only few studies linked the fine scale diving patterns with the horizontal
movements detected from high resolution tracking data e.g. Thums et al. (2011). Our results
suggest that proportions of active dives are more important when seals are displaying
area-restricted search behaviour than when they are extensively foraging. Our study also
highlights that the mass gain over the animals’ foraging trip is positively correlated to the gain
in drift rate and to the proportions of active dives and intensive foraging detected from tracking
data.

4.1 Characterisation and ecological role of dive classes

In the pelagic waters of the interfrontal zone, all individuals displayed four distinct dive classes.
For all individuals but one (09-78524, Table 3), the drift dives were the least abundant dives. As
first mentioned by Hindell et al. (1991), drift dives occurred in bouts generally in the early
hours of the morning and are thought to be resting and/or food processing dives (Crocker et al.,
1997; Mitani et al., 2010). For some individuals, we also noticed a high occurrence of drift
dives after long sets of deep active dives (results not shown). Thums et al. (2008) observed a
tendency of positively buoyant seals to display upwards drift phases in their foraging dives
leading to their misclassification as drift dives. Because our study was based on post-breeding
foraging trips that are much shorter (ca. 2 months) than post-moult ing ones (ca. 8 months), only 
one seal reached positive buoyancy. Therefore, misclassification of the dives was not 
problematic in our study.

High sinuosity, corresponding to wiggle displays in the bottom phase, combined with a 
maximisation of the time spent at the bottom of the dive (i.e. high descent and ascent speeds) 
can be associated with intensively active foraging \cite{Fedak et al. 2001}. Both deep and shallow 
active dives are very abundant, occur in bouts or series and generally have a uniform depth 
within a bout. Finally, deep active dives were characterised by negative bottom-time residuals 
corresponding to dives with short bottom duration in regards to their maximum depths. Large 
vertical sinuosity, fast descending and ascending speeds combined to negative bottom-time 
residuals highlight the very high energetic demand of this dive class. Shallow active dives 
presented slightly positive bottom-time residuals that is a longer time than expected at the 
bottom phase which was presented in previous studies as a proxy for intensive foraging 
\cite{Bailleul et al. 2007b}. Considering the time spent at the bottom, female elephant seals seem to 
display a trade-off between foraging intensity and depths at which foraging occurs. Since 
bottom-time residuals are calculated with a linear multiple regression \cite{Bailleul et al. 2007b, 2008}, it seems plausible that at deep depths, where the deep active dives occur, the relation 
between dive duration and maximum depth changes. The inflexion of this relation would 
therefore lead to the sign inversion observed in bottom-time residuals between the deep and 
shallow active dives. In shallow active dives, seals would optimise their efficient hunting time in 
maximizing the proportion of time spent at the bottom of their dives where prey may be 
encountered, hence displaying positive bottom-time residuals \cite{Schreer et al. 2001}. While 
deep-diving, the seals’ abilities for bottom-time adjustment, on the contrary, are reduced by 
long descending and ascending durations. In the end, both active dive classes are likely to 
represent intensive foraging activity as square dives were described in previous studies on 
various diving predators \cite{LeBoeuf et al. 1988, Hindell et al. 1991, Schreer & Testa 1996}. 
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Therefore, the vertical sinuosity at the bottom was an important predictor of the dive class as speed and depth were in other classification studies on southern elephant seals (Hindell et al., 1991; Thums et al., 2008). Exploratory dives exhibited large horizontal distances and low bottom time residuals. These dives are thought to be travelling dives because the seals dive at average depths, without displaying much wiggle activity (low sinuosity in the bottom), with low-speed descent and ascent phases and potentially travelling in a straight direction (high horizontal distance). All these characteristics also describe the V-shape dives detected in previous studies (Schreer et al., 2001).

Although southern elephant seal females from Kerguelen forage mainly in pelagic waters (Dragon et al., 2010; Bailleul et al., 2010b), it has been shown that females of this species can dive and forage over continental shelves (Hindell et al., 1991). In this case, they can interact with different oceanographic conditions and display other foraging behaviours resulting in additional dive classes. As a result, our data correspond to a subset of the dive classes spectrum displayed by foraging seals. All seals in this study were females, so additional work on the fine-scale diving behaviour of males is needed.

### 4.2 Association of horizontal foraging patterns with vertical behaviour and overall successful foraging

Our results indicate that southern elephant seals display ARS over 30% of their time spent at sea and mainly within pelagic waters. From previous tracking studies, southern elephant seals are known to be predators that prospect continually within and between prey patches (Bailleul et al., 2008; McIntyre et al., 2011). This may be especially true for pregnant phocid females that exhibit a capital-breeding strategy. Phocids accumulate energy stores prior to fasting during month-long hauling-out for parturition or moult (Berta et al., 2006). Between fasting periods
during parturition and moult, pregnant southern elephant seal females, on their 3-month
post-breeding foraging trip, potentially optimise their foraging to recover from breeding and
prepare the next energetically demanding period of hauling-out. All seals in this study showed a
large proportion of active dives that occur all along the pelagic parts of the tracks by day and
night time. This confirms that seals are foraging and probably feeding all along their tracks and
that the typical intensification of their search in a zone may therefore correspond to encounters
with high quality prey patches. Seals were also found to modify their proportions of dive classes
according to the movement behavioural mode: while intensively foraging, the active dive
classes were more represented, e.g. most energetically demanding dives (high vertical sinuosity
and high ascent speed). The adjustment between intensive and extensive foraging behaviour
was not observed on the proportion of deep active dives but on the shallow active ones. From a
physiological point of view, numerous deep dives that require intense foraging activity could be
too demanding in terms of recovery time (Costa et al., 2004) as suggested by the fact that sets of
deep active dives are followed, for some individuals, by sets of drift dives. Therefore, the
proportion of deep active dives in intensive and extensive foraging areas (ca. 45%) could be an
average threshold of southern elephant seals’ ability to dive deeply and actively without
energetic pay-off (Davis et al., 2001; Costa et al., 2004).

Regarding the existence of two active dive classes, differences in their proportions were
observed between day and night. But since deep and shallow active dives are both displayed
during day and night time, a diel pattern cannot be the only explanation for their display.

Besides diving physiology, a combination of biologic and oceanographic reasons can explain the
existence of two distinct types of active dives. Previous studies have shown that female southern
elephant seals feed on myctophids in the interfrontal zone (Ducatez et al., 2008; Cherel et al.,
2008). Myctophids are bioluminescent cryptic fish and their habitat is highly dependent on light
level (Widder, 2010). They adjust their habitat depth according to the light intensity and display
not only nycthemeral migrations but also migrations in the water column depending on the local
light attenuation (e.g. depending on the particles concentration in the surface water layers, cloud
cover, moonlight intensity etc.). Furthermore, the pelagic waters of the interfrontal zone include
a variety of water masses (subtropical, subantarctic, polar water masses etc.) that host different
prey species for southern elephant seals. The variety in prey, and their respective habitats,
potentially drives different foraging behaviours. Interfrontal zones are major components in
terms of biological production and are frequently populated with intense mesoscale eddies
(Bakun, 2006). Vertical movement of water masses associated with cold-core eddies can induce
isopycnal shoaling that is likely to influence prey behaviour, driving upward migrations to
remain at preferred densities and temperatures (Wiebe, 1982). Cold water and high biomass in
the surface layers may cause a decrease of luminosity contributing to the presence of the cryptic
myctophids species at shallower depths (Flierl & McGillicuddy, 2002). Upward cold water
masses, often from cyclonic eddies’ cores and anticyclonic eddies’ edges (Bakun, 2006), have
been found to be preferential marine structures for foraging predators (Bost et al., 2009; Bailleul
et al., 2010b; Dragon et al., 2010). Female southern elephant seals were shown to clearly
intensify their diving effort and decrease their diving depth in rich upwelling areas (Dragon
et al., 2010). Therefore, the existence of two active dive classes can be interpreted as a direct
adaptation to the highly dynamic and heterogeneous pelagic waters where the seals forage.
On the other hand, the increase of shallow active dives in areas of intensive foraging likely
highlight the increased accessibility of prey in the water column as observed in previous studies
(Dragon et al., 2010). In cyclonic cores and anticyclonic edges where myctophids migrate to
shallower depths, we can therefore expect the seals to display higher proportions of shallow
active dives. Proportions of drift dives also varied accordingly: while intensively foraging, the
seals displayed more drift dives. This could highlight their need, after displaying numerous
active dives, either for resting or for food processing (Biuw et al., 2003). In contrast, when the
seals are extensively foraging and abundantly displaying exploratory dives, the diminution of
drift dive proportions suggests either a low energy consumption prospective mode adopted
during the exploratory dives or, simply, less need for food processing. Either way, the ultimate proxy of the seals overall successful foraging, the mass gain, was significantly correlated with the percentage of intensive foraging mode and of active dives while in intensive foraging: the more numerous the active dives while intensive foraging, the more successful the foraging seals. Although we worked on a 1-year sample, similar results were found on numerous post-moulting and post-breeding tracks of northern elephant seals by [Robinson et al.](2010). It should finally be noted that these significant correlations highlight the proxies’ pertinence to predict, at the track scale, the foraging success of seals. Our sample of post-breeding females was selected to be homogeneous in mass and length so that the females could be supposed to have similar age and amount of experience. All went to forage in the interfrontal zone but displayed, in the end, a variety of mass gain, ranging from a loss of 60 kg to the gain of more than 100 kg in only 3 months. This high inter-individual variability in mass gain probably drives a fitness variability related to inter-individual variations in foraging behaviour and/or differences in prey selection ([Field et al.](2004, 2007)). This confirms the importance of the individual foraging efficiency, and past experiences, in this dynamic and unpredictable oceanographic environment ([Hindell et al.](1999), [Bradshaw et al.](2004)).

### 4.3 Methodological perspectives

Direct information on prey distribution remains very scarce in the Southern Ocean. Therefore, identifying periods of intensive foraging appears to be an efficient way to investigate the prey distribution of marine predators. Animal behaviour, in the horizontal and the vertical dimension, can be modeled as a dynamic variable changing in relation to the animal’s internal state and/or its environment ([Morales et al.](2004), [Jonsen et al.](2007)). Track-based analyses of the fine scale vertical behaviour could be an interesting next step to investigate relationships between seals behaviour and their environment. This could be used to systematically detect behavioural transitions along the dive profiles, associate them with the animal’s direct
surroundings and infer information on prey distribution.

On the other hand, there are difficulties of interpretation of 2-dimensional data (time and depth) into a behaviour occurring in 4-dimensions (time-longitude-latitude-depth, Thums et al. (2008)). Brillinger & Stewart (1997) have shown that a time-depth curve can actually correspond to different possible 4D paths. We can therefore expect the same differences between the observed horizontal trajectory (longitude-latitude) and the actual path of a diving animal. Other studies have also questioned the validity of behavioural interpretations based on dataset with reduced dimensions (Harcourt et al., 2000; Davis et al., 2003). New technological devices, such as 3D-accelerometers and video cameras, are promising to solve those issues. In free-ranging Weddell seals (Leptonychotes weddellii) for instance, studies using video recordings have proved the encounter of prey within the foraging dives (Davis et al., 2003). In Weddell seals and also in captive Steller sea lions (Eumetopias jubatus), accelerometers were able to precisely detect the mouth opening events linked with prey capture attempts (Naito et al., 2010; Viviant et al., 2010). Such in situ recording devices will indicate the real behavioural activity and also help to identify accurate 2-dimensional proxies of the foraging effort. Uses of such new devices could therefore validate our findings on active dives being the most successful foraging dives. It is our intention to examine this in future work.

5 Conclusion

Previous studies have shown that southern elephant seals display various behavioural modes detected from tracking data (Bailleul et al., 2008) and various dive classes during their foraging trip (Hindell et al., 1991; Thums et al., 2008). Here we have shown that proportions of dive classes displayed by southern elephant seal females varied according to their horizontal behaviour. It is probable that by going to the polar frontal zone where resources are both spatially and temporally highly variable, female elephant seals can concentrate their foraging
searching activity in the most productive parts of the zone and maximise feeding success. They repeatedly adapt their diving behaviour to prey accessibility in the water column and seem to display a trade-off between diving depth and recovery time. Mass gain over the animals’ foraging trip was also highly correlated to the proportions of intensive foraging detected with track-based analyses. Improved body condition of seals was finally associated with the occurrence, within the vertical dimension, of sets of highly active dives. The classification of diving behaviour is not an end in itself, but rather a tool that allowed us to conclude that intensive foraging detected from surface tracking data is a good predictor of the diving activity and foraging success occurring in the vertical dimension. However, in changing environments, the addition of fine-scale monitoring of in situ oceanographic conditions to high resolution behavioural datasets is an interesting further step in research on foraging behaviour in relation to prey distribution.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bottom-Time (mn)</td>
<td>Bott.Time</td>
<td>descent end to ascent start</td>
<td>TDR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom-Time Residuals (mn)</td>
<td>Res.Bott.Time</td>
<td>residuals of multiple regression</td>
<td>TDR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum diving depth (m)</td>
<td>Max.Depth</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>TDR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinuosity</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>derived from [Weimerskirch et al. (2007)]</td>
<td>TDR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascending Speed (m/s)</td>
<td>Asc.Speed</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>TDR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Descending Speed (m/s)</td>
<td>Des.Speed</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>TDR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal Distance (km)</td>
<td>Horiz.Dist</td>
<td>distance travelled between 2 dives</td>
<td>GPS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Behavioural variables: unit, abbreviation name, definition and source.
Table 2: Characteristics of dive classes for all individuals. All differences, between the dive classes, are statistically significant ($p < 0.001$). Bott.Time stands for bottom time and Res.Bott.Time for bottom time residuals (both in minutes). Max.Depth corresponds to the maximal depth of the dive. Asc.Speed and Des.Speed stand respectively for ascent and descent speeds. Finally Horiz.Dist corresponds to the horizontal distance travelled by the animal during its dive estimated from the tracking data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Drift</th>
<th>Exploratory</th>
<th>Shallow Active</th>
<th>Deep Active</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>2846</td>
<td>6221</td>
<td>12482</td>
<td>17656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bott.Time (mn)</td>
<td>15.8 ± 6.0</td>
<td>11.3 ± 4.8</td>
<td>13.2 ± 3.3</td>
<td>10.1 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res.Bott.Time (mn)</td>
<td>2.6 ± 5.9</td>
<td>-0.6 ± 4.7</td>
<td>0.7 ± 2.8</td>
<td>-1.2 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max.Depth (m)</td>
<td>424 ± 118</td>
<td>348 ± 156</td>
<td>324 ± 127</td>
<td>698 ± 131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinuosity</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asc.Speed (m/s)</td>
<td>1.21 ± 0.22</td>
<td>1.31 ± 0.24</td>
<td>1.77 ± 0.19</td>
<td>1.56 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Des.Speed (m/s)</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.37</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.27</td>
<td>1.74 ± 0.26</td>
<td>1.76 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horiz.Dist (km)</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.74</td>
<td>1.57 ± 0.67</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.32</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Proportions of dive classes for all individuals (%) equipped in October 2009. Only dives located in the pelagic part of the tracks were classified. Overall, inter-individual variability can be noticed. But deep active dives tend to always be the most important dive class and active dives, combined deep and shallow classes, represent for each individual over two thirds of the whole dives. Drift dive class rarely reach 10% of the whole dives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ind</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%Drift</th>
<th>%Exploratory</th>
<th>%Shallow Active</th>
<th>%Deep Active</th>
<th>% Active</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09-78524</td>
<td>2605</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>75.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09-78525</td>
<td>4169</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>78.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09-86372</td>
<td>5778</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>79.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09-86373</td>
<td>4325</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>78.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ft03-Cy1-09</td>
<td>4219</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>70.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ft03-Cy2-09</td>
<td>4843</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>87.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ft03-Cy4-09</td>
<td>4889</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>81.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ft03-Cy5-09</td>
<td>5450</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>63.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ft03-Cy11038-09</td>
<td>4485</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>82.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>all ind</td>
<td>40763</td>
<td>7.7±3.2</td>
<td>14.9±7.9</td>
<td>31.3±15.4</td>
<td>46.1±16.1</td>
<td>77.4±7.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Observed probabilities of dive class changing from dive at time $t$ to dive at time $(t+1)$. High temporal persistence in the dive classes can be noticed and the second highest probabilities of dive class changing always correspond to the nearest dive class in terms of activity. Probabilities of changing reveal thus the hierarchy in the dive classes activity: an animal displaying a deep active dive is more likely to continue displaying this class of dive or to change for a shallow active dive and vice versa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dive Class $(t)$</th>
<th>Drift $(t+1)$</th>
<th>Exploratory $(t+1)$</th>
<th>Shallow Active $(t+1)$</th>
<th>Deep Active $(t+1)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drift</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploratory</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shallow Active</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep Active</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model Formula</td>
<td>AICc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MassGain ~ MassStart + DriftRateGain + FinalDriftRate + PropARS + PropActiveARS</td>
<td>111.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MassGain ~ MassStart + DriftRateGain + PropARS + PropActiveARS</td>
<td>87.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MassGain ~ MassStart + DriftRateGain + PropActiveARS</td>
<td>75.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MassGain ~ DriftRateGain + PropActiveARS</td>
<td>69.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MassGain ~ DriftRateGain</td>
<td>65.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Model selection based on small sample sizes corrected AIC. The model with the smallest AICc is considered the best. The variable to explain is the gain in mass over the animal’s foraging trip and the tested variables are: the animal mass when equipped before the post-breeding foraging trip (MassStart), the gain in drift rate over this trip (DriftRateGain), the final drift rate (FinalDriftRate), the percentage of intensive foraging mode in pelagic waters (PropARS) and the percentage of active dives displayed while the animal was in intensive foraging (PropActiveARS).
Physical oceanography in the Southern Indian Ocean and post-breeding foraging trips of 9 female southern elephant seals equipped in October 2009 (solid black lines). Light grey shading indicates depths less than 1,000 meters and depicts Kerguelen and Crozet plateaux. Îles Kerguelen and îles Crozet coastline’s contour are also indicated in white over the plateaux. Dotted lines symbolized fronts (Orsi et al., 1995), within the Southern Ocean: Southern SubTropical Front (SSTF), Sub-Antarctic Front (SAF), Polar Front (PF) and Southern Antarctic Circum-Polar Front (SACCF). In this study, we refer to the interfrontal zone as the area between the STF and the PF. Locations of intensive foraging behaviour displayed by the seals are indicated in dark grey dots over the tracks.

Characteristics of dive classes for all individuals: drift dives (dark green), exploratory dives (light green), shallow active dives (yellow) and deep active dives (orange). (a) Variations of bottom time residuals: drift dives are the longest dives while deep active present negative bottom time residuals. (b) Proportion of dive classes by day and night times: all classes occur by day and by night time. (c) Variations of maximum diving depth in function of bottom time: depending on maximum depth distribution, time spent at the bottom of the dives varies from one dive class to another. (d) Variations of sinuosity at the bottom of the dive in function of the ascending speed: the higher the sinuosity, the more important the ascending speed. (e) For each dive class, the density distribution of the descending speeds. The distribution similarity of descending speed for the two active dive classes can be noticed meanwhile exploratory and drift dives present low descending speeds. Finally, (f) distributions of the horizontal distance travelled during the dives: in exploratory dives, seals tend to swim more rapidly in the horizontal dimension than for the other dive classes. The dashed vertical lines indicate, for each dive class, the average horizontal distance.
Typical Depth-Time profiles of the four dive classes. (b) Locations of the dives along the seals’ tracks. Orange dots correspond to deep active dives, yellow to shallow active, light green to exploratory dives and finally dark green to drift dives. All dive classes occurred all along the tracks, by day as well as by night.

Figure 4: (a) and (b) Proportions of dive classes in extensive parts (N = 15,081 dives) vs. intensive foraging parts of the tracks (N = 11,463 dives). Combination of deep and shallow active (hereafter referred as active classes) is more important in intensive foraging areas than in extensive ones.

Figure 5: For all individuals, mass gain presented with (a): overall drift rate, final drift rate and (b): percentage of time spent in intensive foraging and proportion of active dives realised in intensive foraging. Lines correspond to the significant linear regressions between variables.
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(a) Drift Rate $\times$ Mass Gain

- Drift Rate Gain (m/s)
  - $\rho = 0.83$
  - $p$-value = 0.006

- Final Drift Rate (m/s)
  - $\rho = 0.78$
  - $p$-value = 0.013

(b) % of ARS and Active Dives $\times$ Mass Gain

- Time in Intensive Foraging (%)
  - $\rho = 0.67$
  - $p$-value = 0.049

- Active Dives in ARS (%)
  - $\rho = 0.8$
  - $p$-value = 0.009